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0
00:00:00.635 --> 00:00:03.345
Issue specific hearing for is resumed.
1
00:00:04.295 --> 00:00:07.425
I've just done a, a couple housekeeping matters, if I may.
2
00:00:07.435 --> 00:00:11.145
First, we've spoken with our case team
3
00:00:11.445 --> 00:00:14.745
and they've told us that they, they would try
4
00:00:14.745 --> 00:00:18.065
to upload any documents as quickly as possible, assuming
5
00:00:18.135 --> 00:00:22.825
that the XA exercises its discretion
6
00:00:22.825 --> 00:00:25.945
to accept them, but it wouldn't be immediate.
7
00:00:26.165 --> 00:00:29.385
It does need to go through a series of, um, checks.
```

```
8
00:00:30.565 --> 00:00:34.465
So, um, please bear that in mind if you do submit something.
9
00:00:35.675 --> 00:00:36.985
Thank you very much, sir.
10
00:00:37.525 --> 00:00:42.105
Um, we would welcome a
1 1
00:00:42.635 --> 00:00:47.425
discussion with yourselves, um, as to
12
00:00:49.405 --> 00:00:53.385
the best way forward, um, from, from now in.
```

```
13
00:00:53.885 --> 00:00:58.705
Um, Clearly there's been
14
00:00:58.705 --> 00:01:02.385
very little opportunity in the 20 minutes to think that
15
00:01:02.655 --> 00:01:06.185
through, um, in, in any detail.
16
00:01:06.965 --> 00:01:10.145
Um, I have not been discussing, um, the details
17
00:01:10.165 --> 00:01:11.745
of the evidence with the witnesses.
18
00:01:11.745 --> 00:01:12.905
It seems inappropriate.
1 9
00:01:13.645 --> 00:01:18.505
Um, they are under, um, examination
20
00:01:18.565 --> 00:01:20.465
by yourself at the moment.
21
00:01:21.685 --> 00:01:25.625
Um, so we would like to
2 2
00:01:26.815 --> 00:01:29.905
discuss potential logistics with,
2 3
00:01:29.975 --> 00:01:32.585
with you at an appropriate moment.
24
00:01:33.205 --> 00:01:37.545
Um, I, I would suggest that that
25
00:01:38.825 --> 00:01:42.065
probably the most sensible thing would be
26
00:01:42.165 --> 00:01:46.705
```

```
to continue on with the rest of the agenda, um,
27
00:01:46.885 --> 00:01:51.505
and then have a, a programming discussion with, with,
28
00:01:52.045 --> 00:01:54.585
uh, the three of you, if we may.
29
00:01:55.765 --> 00:01:59.225
Um, that may be
30
00:01:59.775 --> 00:02:04.265
more effective than what my original request was, that, uh,
31
00:02:04.535 --> 00:02:07.465
that you resumed the discussion this afternoon after,
32
00:02:07.715 --> 00:02:09.985
after a break, uh, which was
33
00:02:10.485 --> 00:02:14.545
before, um, that as is obvious,
34
00:02:15.025 --> 00:02:17.025
certainly before I knew that,
3 5
00:02:17.175 --> 00:02:19.425
that a wrong doc document had been uploaded.
36
00:02:19.525 --> 00:02:22.385
And thinking through the, the implications of that,
37
00:02:22.855 --> 00:02:24.825
Well, of course we can't look at a,
38
00:02:24.985 --> 00:02:26.225
a replacement document No.
39
00:02:26.225 --> 00:02:27.825
Until it's been admitted to the inquiry.
```

```
4 0
00:02:28.165 --> 00:02:29.345
No. Um,
4 1
00:02:29.925 --> 00:02:33.985
but so we would very much invite you,
4 2
00:02:34.765 --> 00:02:38.865
um, to continue asking your questions,
4 3
00:02:40.045 --> 00:02:44.385
either orally now or, um, committed to writing.
4 4
00:02:45.245 --> 00:02:49.145
Um, but certainly we need to know, um,
4 5
00:02:49.685 --> 00:02:54.225
the concerns that you have, uh, so that the team can, um,
4 6
00:02:54.855 --> 00:02:57.945
work out what is the most appropriate way with regard to
4 7
00:02:58.525 --> 00:03:02.725
all the procedural implications, uh, of, of addressing that,
4 8
00:03:02.815 --> 00:03:05.245
which is our overriding desire.
4 9
00:03:05.705 --> 00:03:08.525
Um, thank you. Which I hope you accept. So
5 0
00:03:08.855 --> 00:03:09.855
Thank you. Um,
5 1
00:03:09.855 --> 00:03:14.015
hopefully we can be finished
5 2
00:03:14.655 --> 00:03:16.455
transport by about midday.
5 3
00:03:16.675 --> 00:03:20.615
```

```
Yes. We've had Mr. Fleming from the fire
5 4
00:03:20.635 --> 00:03:22.055
and rescue service arrive.
55
00:03:22.355 --> 00:03:24.935
He can only stay until midday.
5 6
00:03:24.995 --> 00:03:27.615
And then I understand a colleague would be arriving
5 7
00:03:27.615 --> 00:03:31.135
somewhere between one 30 and 2:00 PM Yes.
58
00:03:31.515 --> 00:03:35.215
So it may be that, um, I don't, it is Mr. Fleming here.
59
00:03:39.035 --> 00:03:42.755
I was told he'd arrived, but, um, Catherine, you, he may be.
6 0
00:03:43.195 --> 00:03:45.715
I, yes sir. Sorry. It's
6 1
00:03:45.715 --> 00:03:47.115
Here virtually, I think virtually.
6 2
00:03:47.285 --> 00:03:48.555
Thank you, Mr. Fleming.
6 3
00:03:49.215 --> 00:03:52.275
Um, so you, you've got a colleague
6 4
00:03:52.275 --> 00:03:54.595
who can equally help us from one 30, is that correct?
6 5
00:03:56.665 --> 00:03:58.675
Yeah, that's correct. So, uh,
6 6
00:03:58.975 --> 00:04:01.595
my current role is fire protection manager, right.
```

```
6 7
00:04:01.595 --> 00:04:03.515
Uh, senior fire protection manager in Cambridge.
6 8
00:04:04.175 --> 00:04:05.515
Um, Tony Burton,
6 9
00:04:05.605 --> 00:04:08.595
who's the guy coming on later on is the operational manager.
70
00:04:09.045 --> 00:04:11.315
Right. So I would be with the clowning side of things,
7 1
00:04:11.675 --> 00:04:15.035
planning enforcement, legal side of things, whereas Tony
7 2
00:04:15.685 --> 00:04:19.275
would give a operational approach to the project.
7 3
00:04:19.925 --> 00:04:21.635
Thank you. It may well be
74
00:04:21.635 --> 00:04:25.315
that the operational approach is the, the most useful to us
7 5
00:04:25.315 --> 00:04:28.195
because we, we've got some questions on the layout
7 6
00:04:28.375 --> 00:04:31.995
of development and accessing it and design and so on.
7 7
00:04:32.775 --> 00:04:34.165
Um, sorry,
78
00:04:34.755 --> 00:04:37.685
That would sit with under planning myself.
7 9
00:04:37.705 --> 00:04:39.365
Oh, okay. I would be with that under
80
00:04:39.925 --> 00:04:43.245
```

```
approved document BB five access from a farm rescue point
8 1
00:04:43.245 --> 00:04:46.405
of view around, uh, emergency firefighting, access
82
00:04:46.405 --> 00:04:47.565
for appliance, et cetera.
8 3
00:04:48.185 --> 00:04:49.245
So that would sit in with me.
84
00:04:50.715 --> 00:04:54.805
Okay. If the applicant is happy with this,
85
00:04:55.985 --> 00:05:00.565
may we skip to the, the section that we are interested
86
00:05:00.625 --> 00:05:02.565
to hear from the Fire and Rescue Service on,
87
00:05:02.745 --> 00:05:04.245
and then come back to transport?
88
00:05:04.385 --> 00:05:06.245
Yes, of course, sir. It's, it's going to be a relevant,
89
00:05:06.295 --> 00:05:08.085
relatively short, yes.
90
00:05:08.315 --> 00:05:09.315
Yeah. Thank you.
91
00:05:11.515 --> 00:05:14.365
What document is that statement?
92
00:05:15.075 --> 00:05:17.325
Have you to reference number? Okay.
93
00:05:19.235 --> 00:05:22.625
Could we call up the design and access statement please?
```

```
94
00:05:28.885 --> 00:05:32.245
S 1 6 8. It's A S 1 68.
95
00:05:33.615 --> 00:05:35.885
Which page? Page
96
00:05:37.065 --> 00:05:39.925
was 89. Thanks, thanks
97
00:05:39.925 --> 00:05:40.925
For finding that.
98
00:05:41.425 --> 00:05:44.165
And, um, page 89 of that document
99
00:05:44.265 --> 00:05:46.805
and share it so that Mr. Fleming can see it.
100
00:05:52.685 --> 00:05:53.975
Yeah, I think I'm just,
101
00:06:17.255 --> 00:06:18.255
Thank you.
102
00:06:18.625 --> 00:06:22.525
And if you can zoom in as close as possible to the,
103
00:06:22.525 --> 00:06:24.365
the green area so that we can see
104
00:06:25.065 --> 00:06:26.565
the green area fully in the
105
00:06:26.565 --> 00:06:27.685
frame, that would be really helpful.
106
00:06:30.445 --> 00:06:31.705
Mr. Fleming, can you see that?
107
00:06:34.105 --> 00:06:36.125
```

```
Yes. So yeah, overall, yeah.
108
00:06:37.135 --> 00:06:42.005
Thank you. Um, this is probably a very short point.
1 0 9
00:06:42.025 --> 00:06:45.765
We are on agenda item 10, the second black bullet point
1 1 0
00:06:45.825 --> 00:06:48.765
and the second sub-bullet point under that.
1 1 1
00:06:48.825 --> 00:06:51.725
And if that relates to access to the
1 1 2
00:06:52.885 --> 00:06:54.565
proposed wastewater treatment plant.
1 1 3
00:06:54.785 --> 00:06:59.205
Now, um, I'm, I'm just gonna proceed
114
00:06:59.205 --> 00:07:01.565
as though you're not very familiar with the development.
115
00:07:01.705 --> 00:07:04.405
The, the area in white with the circles in it,
116
00:07:04.505 --> 00:07:07.125
that's the wastewater treatment plant,
1 1 7
00:07:07.825 --> 00:07:12.565
and the circumference of that is about 1.5 kilometers.
1 1 8
00:07:14.345 --> 00:07:17.605
The area around it, you can see, um,
1 1 9
00:07:17.915 --> 00:07:21.525
there's some dark green lines, uh, you know, in,
1 2 0
00:07:21.585 --> 00:07:23.365
in broadly a circular fashion
```

```
121
00:07:23.385 --> 00:07:26.405
around the wastewater treatment plant, which are trees.
122
00:07:27.065 --> 00:07:29.565
And they all sit on top of a bun.
123
00:07:30.185 --> 00:07:33.165
And I'm just gonna ask one of my colleagues to remind me
124
00:07:33.165 --> 00:07:34.165
how high the bun is.
125
00:07:34.475 --> 00:07:35.725
It's about five meters.
126
00:07:37.345 --> 00:07:40.525
And you can see from that there's three, um,
127
00:07:41.195 --> 00:07:45.285
whitish areas coming in between the buns, which are
128
00:07:45.985 --> 00:07:50.505
access, um, between the, you know, between the,
1 2 9
00:07:50.505 --> 00:07:51.745
the five meter buns
1 3 0
00:07:51.745 --> 00:07:55.145
and the buns taper down to ground level
1 3 1
00:07:56.615 --> 00:08:01.145
further out, we can see other lines that look
132
00:08:01.145 --> 00:08:02.865
as though they radiate from the circle.
133
00:08:03.565 --> 00:08:08.225
And that's, um, as I understand it anyway, undulating,
1 3 4
00:08:09.365 --> 00:08:11.945
```

```
um, landscaped area. Are you following this?
135
00:08:12.645 --> 00:08:13.785
Yes, I'm, yes. Yes.
136
00:08:14.045 --> 00:08:17.785
And then to the west of the,
137
00:08:17.865 --> 00:08:21.225
I think we're broadly north on this, um, orientation
138
00:08:21.285 --> 00:08:24.105
to the West, we can see an access road
139
00:08:24.175 --> 00:08:26.625
that comes outta the middle of the circle
140
00:08:28.245 --> 00:08:31.505
and it goes up to Hoing zero road, near the
141
00:08:32.145 --> 00:08:33.705
junction 35 slip road.
142
00:08:33.765 --> 00:08:35.225
That's also white.
143
00:08:37.165 --> 00:08:41.425
And as I understand it, alongside that, along the
144
00:08:42.435 --> 00:08:46.345
upper side of it, and broadly, northern side is a,
145
00:08:46.485 --> 00:08:47.945
um, a swale.
146
00:08:50.005 --> 00:08:53.585
The road to join with Hinge Road,
147
00:08:54.325 --> 00:08:55.685
um, is elevated.
```

148
00:08:55.825 --> 00:08:59.885
You may well see an embankment that decreases in height
149
00:08:59.945 --> 00:09:03.205
as it approaches the wastewater treatment plant.
150
00:09:03.225 --> 00:09:04.965
Now I just want to check with the applicant that
151
00:09:05.345 --> 00:09:09.485
that's a broadly accurate description for Mr. Fleming.
152
00:09:11.505 --> 00:09:14.045
My, My Dexter, the applicant,
153
00:09:14.045 --> 00:09:16.925
other than it Junction 34. But other than that, I'm sorry,
154
00:09:17.045 --> 00:09:18.045
I beg your pardon. I've
155
00:09:18.045 --> 00:09:21.605
got too many junctions in my head today. So, Mr. Mr.
156
00:09:21.885 --> 00:09:25.205
Flemings Junction 34 at joint at, um,
157
00:09:27.215 --> 00:09:31.755
the concern that we've, we want to check with you is that
158
00:09:32.775 --> 00:09:37.755
you understand that it's a 1.5 kilometer circumference,
159
00:09:37.855 --> 00:09:42.715
the plant and the applicant con confirmed in our last
160
00:09:42.815 --> 00:09:44.075
set of written questions
161
00:09:44.745 --> 00:09:47.515
that there is only one vehicular access to the site
162
00:09:48.695 --> 00:09:53.555
and that the three white strips that come off from
163
00:09:53.895 --> 00:09:57.195
around the site and not for vehicle access.
164
00:09:58.255 --> 00:10:01.635
Um, on our initial review of it, our,
165
00:10:01.815 --> 00:10:05.315
the question arose in our minds the examining authorities as
166
00:10:05.315 --> 00:10:08.115
to whether that was satisfactory.
167
00:10:08.255 --> 00:10:11.635
For example, if fire attenders needed to go
168
00:10:11.635 --> 00:10:16.195
to any other part of the external area of the site,
169
00:10:16.775 --> 00:10:21.235
the applicant has explained that if there was an emergency,
170
00:10:22.055 --> 00:10:24.835
all vehicles would access via the one access
171
00:10:25.575 --> 00:10:27.915
and then would circulate
172
00:10:27.915 --> 00:10:30.715
around the site using the internal access road.
173
00:10:31.375 --> 00:10:33.995
Do you have any observations on that?
174
00:10:34.215 --> 00:10:37.555
Are you happy with it or are there any, um, concerns

```
175
00:10:37.555 --> 00:10:39.315
that you would like to raise?
176
00:10:40.775 --> 00:10:43.235
Um, can I just clarify, sir, that there is a 360,
177
00:10:43.705 --> 00:10:44.955
that road does come
178
00:10:44.955 --> 00:10:47.795
around 360 right away round the site, is that correct?
179
00:10:47.975 --> 00:10:51.035
So, as internally? Yeah, internally, yeah.
180
00:10:51.805 --> 00:10:53.295
Applicant. Could you help with that?
181
00:10:54.035 --> 00:10:55.495
Uh, my applicant, i, I,
182
00:10:55.575 --> 00:10:58.895
I can confirm there's a blended roads that do, um,
183
00:10:59.555 --> 00:11:00.615
follow the circumference
184
00:11:00.615 --> 00:11:01.735
and also there are internal roads
185
00:11:01.735 --> 00:11:03.575
that get your all around. Thank
186
00:11:03.575 --> 00:11:04.575
You.
187
00:11:04.835 --> 00:11:07.295
And the, sorry, Mr. Fleming.
188
00:11:08.795 --> 00:11:10.735
```

No, that's okay. Just confirming that, that's perfect.
189
00:11:10.765 --> 00:11:15.125
Yeah. Yeah. So from a fire
190
00:11:15.125 --> 00:11:16.405
and rescue point of view, um,
191
00:11:16.825 --> 00:11:19.405
the operational approach is absolutely fine.
192
00:11:19.665 --> 00:11:22.445
Um, there will be a number of recommendations around the,
193
00:11:22.825 --> 00:11:24.765
um, the makeup of the road
194
00:11:24.905 --> 00:11:28.405
and the weights limit availability, et cetera,
195
00:11:28.465 --> 00:11:29.645
uh, and tonnage and stuff.
196
00:11:29.645 --> 00:11:31.205
But that'll be, uh, incorporated
197
00:11:31.205 --> 00:11:32.365
within the building control.
198
00:11:33.105 --> 00:11:36.485
Um, the 360 access is good for us,
199
00:11:36.765 --> 00:11:40.605
'cause then it will give us a, um, a movement
200
00:11:40.605 --> 00:11:42.245
of vehicles run and ular side.
201
00:11:42.785 --> 00:11:45.605
Um, what you probably need to consider is the fact, the fact

```
202
00:11:45.605 --> 00:11:47.365
that it needs to be at least two vehicles
203
00:11:48.195 --> 00:11:51.975
wide within the approach, um, the approach road,
204
00:11:51.975 --> 00:11:53.685
because we need to get vehicles in
205
00:11:53.685 --> 00:11:56.045
and out depending as we scale down
206
00:11:56.045 --> 00:11:57.605
and scale up at the operational incident.
207
00:11:58.145 --> 00:12:00.925
Uh, and that obviously multiple, multiple agencies as well.
208
00:12:01.145 --> 00:12:02.325
So that's something to consider.
209
00:12:02.985 --> 00:12:06.245
Um, overall the plan itself will be fine.
210
00:12:06.345 --> 00:12:08.805
Any buildings within the, within the, uh, inner scope
211
00:12:08.805 --> 00:12:12.725
of the, the plan will require a, an access
212
00:12:12.725 --> 00:12:15.125
of a minimum 15% internally.
213
00:12:15.825 --> 00:12:17.805
Um, however, again, that's,
214
00:12:17.805 --> 00:12:19.405
that'll be incorporated within the billing control
215
00:12:19.485 --> 00:12:20.605
```

```
consultation as well.
216
00:12:20.615 --> 00:12:23.845
We'll comment on that from an operational point of view.
217
00:12:24.105 --> 00:12:28.565
Um, we would have no major concerns at this point, uh,
218
00:12:28.835 --> 00:12:31.165
regarding access egress from the site.
219
00:12:31.585 --> 00:12:33.405
As long as we have 360 access
220
00:12:33.505 --> 00:12:35.885
and the roads are wide enough
2 2 1
00:12:35.945 --> 00:12:38.445
for at least a minimum two vehicles to become in
222
00:12:38.445 --> 00:12:40.675
and outta the site, um, we wouldn't have a,
223
00:12:40.675 --> 00:12:41.715
an objection at that point.
224
00:12:42.545 --> 00:12:45.815
Thank you. Um, just in terms of ensuring
225
00:12:45.815 --> 00:12:49.775
that the design is satisfactory, how would the building,
226
00:12:50.075 --> 00:12:52.015
and sorry, this is a question
227
00:12:52.895 --> 00:12:53.935
directed more to the applicant.
228
00:12:54.875 --> 00:12:58.495
How would building control fit in with the overall,
```

```
2 2 9
00:12:58.995 --> 00:13:00.295
um, approval process?
2 3 0
00:13:01.435 --> 00:13:04.215
How would you ensure that the design does meet the fire
2 3 1
00:13:04.215 --> 00:13:06.375
and rescue services requirement?
2 3 2
00:13:14.755 --> 00:13:17.015
The applicant? I think we did confirm that we would be,
2 3 3
00:13:17.195 --> 00:13:19.695
um, constructing to, to building standards
2 3 4
00:13:19.695 --> 00:13:21.095
and obviously with our, uh,
2 3 5
00:13:21.235 --> 00:13:23.375
and aligned with, with our bri extent with regards to
236
00:13:23.375 --> 00:13:25.655
how we're managing resources within the building.
237
00:13:26.275 --> 00:13:28.455
We would, that's how we would secure.
2 3 8
00:13:29.025 --> 00:13:31.815
Right. So is there anything that we need
2 3 9
00:13:31.815 --> 00:13:35.215
to capture in the, the draft DCO, um,
240
00:13:36.155 --> 00:13:38.175
or any management plans, for example?
241
00:13:40.775 --> 00:13:42.215
I, I don't believe so. No. Okay.
2 4 2
00:13:42.635 --> 00:13:47.015
```

```
Uh, So there's requirement seven, which, um,
243
00:13:48.515 --> 00:13:50.265
deals with detailed design,
244
00:13:54.085 --> 00:13:58.225
uh, which I, I'll just read swiftly.
245
00:13:58.235 --> 00:13:59.945
Thank you for Mr. Fleming's benefit.
246
00:14:00.265 --> 00:14:03.225
I know that, that, you know, it, um, no phase
247
00:14:03.225 --> 00:14:06.145
of the authorized development is to commence until details
248
00:14:06.245 --> 00:14:09.905
of layout, layout, scale design, external appearance
249
00:14:09.905 --> 00:14:13.665
of plant and buildings, um, materials
250
00:14:13.665 --> 00:14:15.425
and finishes, hard
251
00:14:15.425 --> 00:14:17.985
and lots, soft landscaping, boundary treatment,
252
00:14:18.345 --> 00:14:20.705
security fencing and signage gates
253
00:14:20.705 --> 00:14:25.465
and ecological habitat creation, highway design, uh,
254
00:14:25.895 --> 00:14:29.025
operational lighting, construction, method statement,
255
00:14:29.095 --> 00:14:33.465
details of electric vehicle parking, um, have been submitted
```

256
00:14:33.465 --> 00:14:36.545
and approved in writing by the relevant planning authority.
257
00:14:37.405 --> 00:14:39.745
Um, I anticipate, I'm sure Ms.
258
00:14:39.855 --> 00:14:44.505
Kaho can come in here as well, that, uh, the fire, uh,
259
00:14:44.505 --> 00:14:48.305
authority would be consulted by South Cams, uh,
260
00:14:48.325 --> 00:14:49.985
before dis discharge.
261
00:14:50.235 --> 00:14:52.165
Would it be county is the
262
00:14:52.365 --> 00:14:53.365
Relevance? Sorry? It would be the county,
263
00:14:53.365 --> 00:14:54.885
I'm sorry, yes.
264
00:14:54.955 --> 00:14:57.165
Slip of the brain. Um,
265
00:14:58.825 --> 00:15:02.365
and the details are to accord with the design code,
266
00:15:02.455 --> 00:15:03.885
which in sense is what Mr.
267
00:15:04.065 --> 00:15:05.565
Dexter was alluding to.
268
00:15:06.575 --> 00:15:08.005
Thank you, Ms. Kaho.
269
00:15:09.185 --> 00:15:11.925

```
Yes, I can confirm that that would be the case. Thank you.
270
00:15:11.925 --> 00:15:14.205
You that would be consulted. And Mr. Fleming,
2 7 1
00:15:14.205 --> 00:15:17.805
having heard all of that, are you satisfied that, um,
2 7 2
00:15:17.945 --> 00:15:20.845
the fine rescue services comments would be incorporated
273
00:15:22.965 --> 00:15:23.965
A Absolutely. Yeah. Under
274
00:15:23.965 --> 00:15:26.925
section 45, 46, the fire, CFD order,
275
00:15:26.925 --> 00:15:29.765
they have a statutory requirement to do this, so as long as
276
00:15:29.765 --> 00:15:31.365
that's met, we would have, uh,
277
00:15:31.425 --> 00:15:33.245
we would be more satisfied. Yeah.
278
00:15:34.055 --> 00:15:36.565
Thank you. Well, thank you for your time today, and
2 7 9
00:15:37.385 --> 00:15:39.525
unless we've got any other comments in the room,
280
00:15:40.285 --> 00:15:44.325
I don't think we have we or online from anybody else.
281
00:15:46.985 --> 00:15:49.765
No, I think that's, that's all we wanted to ask you about.
282
00:15:49.945 --> 00:15:52.085
And, um, you can excuse your
```

```
283
00:15:52.085 --> 00:15:53.325
colleague as well this afternoon.
284
00:15:53.385 --> 00:15:56.285
We don't need to ask them any questions. Thank you.
285
00:15:57.115 --> 00:15:58.765
Okay. Thank you very much, sir.
286
00:15:58.765 --> 00:16:00.605
And thank you, Mr. Fleming, to you
287
00:16:00.605 --> 00:16:02.805
and your colleagues for the corporation you've been giving,
288
00:16:03.305 --> 00:16:05.805
um, via Ms uh, Taylor as well. Thank you.
289
00:16:06.615 --> 00:16:09.685
Thank you, Mr. Fleming. My pleasure. Goodbye.
290
00:16:10.345 --> 00:16:14.685
Bye. Right, let's return
291
00:16:14.785 --> 00:16:16.645
to transport.
292
00:16:17.105 --> 00:16:19.165
And we're, we're looking at modeling again.
293
00:16:26.585 --> 00:16:31.325
And could we go to the ES, please and table 4.29.
294
00:16:45.125 --> 00:16:48.275
Thank you. This relates to the,
295
00:16:49.665 --> 00:16:52.005
oh no, sorry, I beg your pardon.
296
00:16:52.235 --> 00:16:56.085
```

It's, um, it's 4.68, my mistake.
297
00:16:56.085 --> 00:16:58.885
Sorry, this relates to the A 10
298
00:17:08.505 --> 00:17:10.895
and in particular,
299
00:17:11.725 --> 00:17:16.335
just I'd like some observations on the a m peak
300
00:17:16.475 --> 00:17:18.335
for a 10 northbound ahead.
301
00:18:30.215 --> 00:18:33.705
Have you got there a 10 northbound ahead?
302
00:18:34.605 --> 00:18:39.585
So we've got, um, two rows as that relates to the first row,
303
00:18:39.595 --> 00:18:41.065
which is this tabled.
304
00:18:41.085 --> 00:18:42.345
That's at the heading first.
305
00:18:42.525 --> 00:18:46.865
It, it tells us it's construction scenario in 2026.
306
00:18:47.765 --> 00:18:51.705
So then we've got, um, data for 2038,
307
00:18:51.985 --> 00:18:53.745
apparently at the top of the table,
308
00:18:55.965 --> 00:18:58.425
and then a 10 northbound ahead.
309
00:18:58.425 --> 00:19:00.545
It tells us the base is 15.6

310
00:19:01.375 --> 00:19:06.305
with construction is 16.2, and the difference is 16.2 .
311
00:19:08.595 --> 00:19:09.595
Yeah.
312
00:19:14.275 --> 00:19:16.335
Do John have a Donald on behalf the applicant?
313
00:19:16.435 --> 00:19:17.775
Yes, I can see that, sir.
314
00:19:18.195 --> 00:19:22.815
And then if we look at the next row that is, um, a 10,
315
00:19:22.995 --> 00:19:26.575
you'll understand, I'm just picking up, um, points.
316
00:19:26.945 --> 00:19:28.055
There may well be others.
317
00:19:28.535 --> 00:19:32.095
I haven't, um, exhaustively fact checked
318
00:19:32.165 --> 00:19:33.375
your documents for you.
319
00:19:34.315 --> 00:19:36.655
Um, a 10 northbound ahead at the bottom,
320
00:19:37.515 --> 00:19:41.095
the 2038 future base is 21.3
321
00:19:42.085 --> 00:19:46.935
with construction, it goes down to 14.3,
322
00:19:47.715 --> 00:19:49.015
and then we see the difference.
323
00:19:49.015 --> 00:19:53.825

There is two. It's not right, is it?
324
00:19:56.925 --> 00:19:59.185
Do you have a McDonald on our behalf of the applicant?
325
00:19:59.285 --> 00:20:01.425
Uh, no, it's not right, sir. Thank you. Apologies.
326
00:20:02.915 --> 00:20:07.345
Right, let's go onto operational modeling now, please.
327
00:20:08.165 --> 00:20:13.145
And this is, um, table 4, 77 of the
328
00:20:14.705 --> 00:20:16.115
environmental statement.
329
00:20:26.635 --> 00:20:30.255
All right. So we've just seen as we've, as we discussed
330
00:20:30.255 --> 00:20:31.255
with Mr. Fleming,
331
00:20:31.325 --> 00:20:34.655
that all vehicles have accessed the wastewater treatment
332
00:20:34.655 --> 00:20:37.935
plant via Hing Sea Road.
333
00:20:37.935 --> 00:20:40.455
That's right, isn't it? There's only one access to it.
334
00:20:41.675 --> 00:20:43.015
Uh, yes, that's Correct. Yeah.
335
00:20:44.195 --> 00:20:49.085
Now on table 47 7, just
336
00:20:49.085 --> 00:20:53.525
to clarify, peak hour pm peak hour, is that eight

```
337
00:20:53.545 --> 00:20:56.685
to nine in the morning and five to six in the evening?
338
00:21:02.775 --> 00:21:04.435
Uh, Gavin Wickman McDonna for the applicant?
3 3 9
00:21:04.435 --> 00:21:06.075
Um, yes, that should be the peak hours. Yep.
340
00:21:06.285 --> 00:21:09.635
Thank you. Right, so
341
00:21:13.105 --> 00:21:17.875
paragraph 4.3 0.5, which is just above that table.
342
00:21:18.825 --> 00:21:21.045
You can just scroll up please. Thank you.
343
00:21:21.415 --> 00:21:22.885
Let's look at the last sentence.
344
00:21:22.905 --> 00:21:25.405
It tells us that this data,
345
00:21:26.795 --> 00:21:29.205
meaning I assume anyway, the data
346
00:21:29.265 --> 00:21:34.045
and table 4, 7 7 represents the
347
00:21:34.045 --> 00:21:39.005
peak operational daily, total peak movements and am
348
00:21:39.025 --> 00:21:40.765
and pm peak hourly movements
349
00:21:41.035 --> 00:21:43.645
that would be experienced at the proposed main
3 5 0
00:21:44.415 --> 00:21:47.045
```

```
wastewater treatment plant at full capacity.
351
00:21:51.465 --> 00:21:56.325
Yep. And then
352
00:21:56.625 --> 00:21:59.165
the proceeding sentence tells us it's based on
3 5 3
00:21:59.915 --> 00:22:02.485
operational movements at the existing Cambridge
354
00:22:02.485 --> 00:22:03.725
wastewater treatment plant.
355
00:22:07.895 --> 00:22:10.185
Okay. So, um,
356
00:22:13.095 --> 00:22:15.835
if we look at, can we just call up a rep
357
00:22:16.125 --> 00:22:19.195
three zero two one please?
358
00:22:23.835 --> 00:22:27.305
Which is the deadline three ES chapter 19.
359
00:22:35.675 --> 00:22:37.095
And if we go to the same table,
360
00:22:37.315 --> 00:22:38.895
so I think it's the same number
361
00:22:45.665 --> 00:22:46.515
4, 7 7,
362
00:23:04.135 --> 00:23:04.425
yeah.
3 6 3
00:23:04.425 --> 00:23:05.665
Have we got there? Yeah.
```

```
364
00:23:06.485 --> 00:23:09.545
And that seems to, that's got the same wording in it.
365
00:23:09.605 --> 00:23:12.425
So it tells us it's based on operational movements at the
366
00:23:12.745 --> 00:23:14.545
existing Cambridge wastewater treatment plant.
3 6 7
00:23:14.925 --> 00:23:19.185
Yes. And that gives us a figure of 45.
368
00:23:21.935 --> 00:23:24.795
So that's the morning peak,
369
00:23:25.745 --> 00:23:27.275
just looking at the morning peak
370
00:23:27.295 --> 00:23:29.315
for ease rather than looking the whole table.
371
00:23:30.415 --> 00:23:34.875
So back at the deadline five, we're told it's based on the
372
00:23:36.555 --> 00:23:37.795
existing wastewater treatment plant,
3 7 3
00:23:37.795 --> 00:23:39.235
but we've got a hundred movements.
374
00:23:41.565 --> 00:23:45.405
So which one is, are we to to believe there?
375
00:23:45.995 --> 00:23:46.995
It's a big difference.
376
00:24:22.345 --> 00:24:24.195
John Webber we're doubled on behalf of the applicant.
377
00:24:24.195 --> 00:24:25.435
```

```
Apologies for the delay there. Just,
3 7 8
00:24:25.615 --> 00:24:26.595
That's fine. Yeah.
379
00:24:26.975 --> 00:24:31.355
Um, so, uh, in the submission made at
380
00:24:31.955 --> 00:24:33.595
deadline three, um,
381
00:24:34.325 --> 00:24:38.115
there was a different assumption made about, uh,
382
00:24:38.225 --> 00:24:41.915
parking at the proposed wastewater treatment plant compared
383
00:24:41.915 --> 00:24:43.915
to the submission made at deadline five.
384
00:24:44.815 --> 00:24:49.555
Um, specifically at deadline three, the assessment assumed
385
00:24:49.745 --> 00:24:54.155
that, um, the parking spaces for
386
00:24:54.805 --> 00:24:58.315
staff would be, um, occupied in the peak hour,
387
00:24:58.535 --> 00:25:03.275
but, uh, there's parking spaces for, uh, visitors
388
00:25:03.655 --> 00:25:08.355
and, um, the operational spaces would not be occupied.
389
00:25:08.355 --> 00:25:11.315
Those vehicles were not expected to travel in the,
390
00:25:12.295 --> 00:25:13.835
um, peak hours.
```

```
391
00:25:14.615 --> 00:25:18.275
Um, however, following the previous round of hearings
392
00:25:18.275 --> 00:25:21.035
and discussions that took place in those hearings
393
00:25:21.735 --> 00:25:26.435
for Deadline five, we changed the assumptions that were made
394
00:25:26.575 --> 00:25:29.835
around visitor and operational parking
395
00:25:30.295 --> 00:25:34.075
and assessed a worse case, which is that all
396
00:25:34.075 --> 00:25:37.835
of the 68 parking spaces proposed at the wastewater
397
00:25:37.835 --> 00:25:40.755
treatment plant are occupied in the peak hour.
398
00:25:41.455 --> 00:25:43.355
Um, and, and therefore you can see
399
00:25:43.385 --> 00:25:48.155
that reflected in table 4 77 in the
4 0 0
00:25:48.215 --> 00:25:50.915
TA submitted at Deadline five, whereas
4 0 1
00:25:51.455 --> 00:25:55.275
the TA submitted at Deadline three had the lower numbers
4 0 2
00:25:55.275 --> 00:25:56.435
that reflected the different
4 0 3
00:25:56.435 --> 00:25:57.675
assumptions made at that point in time.
4 0 4
00:25:58.175 --> 00:26:00.635
```

```
So is the description in the deadline five,
4 0 5
00:26:00.915 --> 00:26:05.195
I guess incorrect when it says it's based on
4 0 6
00:26:05.665 --> 00:26:08.035
operational movements at the existing Cambridge
4 0 7
00:26:08.035 --> 00:26:09.195
wastewater treatment plant?
4 0 8
00:26:11.335 --> 00:26:12.935
'cause it's, from what you've just told me,
4 0 9
00:26:12.955 --> 00:26:16.695
you've amended it to look at a worst case scenario
4 1 0
00:26:16.755 --> 00:26:18.535
for the occupation of car parking
4 1 1
00:26:18.915 --> 00:26:22.255
or vehicle parking at the proposed wastewater treatment
4 1 2
00:26:22.255 --> 00:26:27.105
plant, going back to paragraph 4.3 0.5,
4 1 3
00:26:56.775 --> 00:26:59.275
Uh, John Weber McDonald on behalf of the applicant.
4 1 4
00:26:59.415 --> 00:27:04.395
Um, yes, I think it, it, we would accept that the,
4 1 5
00:27:04.415 --> 00:27:08.635
the wording in paragraph 4 35 does not reflect the revised
4 1 6
00:27:08.945 --> 00:27:10.315
assumptions that, um,
4 1 7
00:27:10.735 --> 00:27:13.715
are reflected in table 4 77 in the deadline five submission.
```

```
4 1 8
00:27:13.715 --> 00:27:17.915
Right. So it's 4.3 0.5 just for the action point. Yes.
4 1 9
00:27:18.285 --> 00:27:20.955
Thank you. Right.
4 2 0
00:27:20.965 --> 00:27:23.275
Let's look at 4.3 0.7,
4 2 1
00:27:24.685 --> 00:27:28.465
and you've told us that the, the traffic flows outlined
4 2 2
00:27:28.465 --> 00:27:32.465
above, which I assume is four point in table 4.77
4 2 3
00:27:33.655 --> 00:27:36.705
have been distributed on the highway network for the
4 2 4
00:27:37.505 --> 00:27:39.905
permanent access to the proposed wastewater treatment plant
4 2 5
00:27:40.405 --> 00:27:43.425
for the opening slash operational year 2028
426
00:27:44.085 --> 00:27:45.985
and future year 2038.
4 2 7
00:27:47.805 --> 00:27:50.065
Can we look at 2028 first please?
4 2 8
00:28:05.505 --> 00:28:07.565
So can you tell me where 2028 is?
4 2 9
00:28:57.255 --> 00:28:59.495
I think you're having the same trouble as I had finding it,
4 3 0
00:29:06.095 --> 00:29:08.065
John Webber McDonald on behalf of the applicant.
4 3 1
00:29:08.205 --> 00:29:09.505
```

```
Um, yes sir.
4 3 2
00:29:10.535 --> 00:29:12.025
It's not There, is it, it's not a
433
00:29:12.025 --> 00:29:13.745
2028 No. For that section.
4 3 4
00:29:14.045 --> 00:29:17.025
So you've only provided it for 2038. Correct.
4 3 5
00:29:17.205 --> 00:29:18.585
And that paragraph's not correct.
436
00:29:22.635 --> 00:29:25.065
Right. Let's have a look at 2038 then.
4 3 7
00:29:27.085 --> 00:29:30.265
Um, and let's do some maths on it.
4 3 8
00:29:30.625 --> 00:29:32.345
I think we're assisted
4 3 9
00:29:33.605 --> 00:29:36.385
in doing the maths in table 4 7 8
4 4 0
00:29:37.215 --> 00:29:38.905
with table 4 7 9,
4 4 1
00:29:41.995 --> 00:29:45.655
for example, we see the Hings Road link in the morning.
442
00:29:46.885 --> 00:29:49.175
It's 1095
443
00:29:51.485 --> 00:29:55.535
with development is 1,101.
444
00:29:55.555 --> 00:29:56.655
So the difference is six,
```

```
4 4 5
00:29:56.715 --> 00:30:01.655
and we can see that difference set out in table 4 7 9.
446
00:30:02.315 --> 00:30:05.935
Yep. And
4 4 7
00:30:05.935 --> 00:30:07.375
that happens all the way through.
448
00:30:07.475 --> 00:30:10.415
So we've got on the width development
4 4 9
00:30:12.525 --> 00:30:15.215
columns in table 4.78
4 5 0
00:30:16.755 --> 00:30:19.575
for HING zero Road, we've got differences six in the morning
4 5 1
00:30:20.115 --> 00:30:21.815
and 34 in the afternoon.
4 5 2
00:30:24.005 --> 00:30:27.135
Next row we've got six in the morning, 34 in the afternoon.
4 5 3
00:30:28.565 --> 00:30:30.855
Next row we've got 39 in the morning
4 5 4
00:30:30.915 --> 00:30:32.135
and six in the afternoon.
4 5 5
00:30:33.315 --> 00:30:36.055
And that tallies up with table 4 7 9. Yes.
4 5 6
00:30:46.185 --> 00:30:48.555
John Labor on behalf the applicant? Yes, that's correct.
4 5 7
00:30:48.575 --> 00:30:51.755
Yes. Thank you. I've totaled those up.
4 5 8
00:30:51.815 --> 00:30:54.635
```

```
And I get, let's look at 4 7, 9 Now
```

459
00:30:55.135 --> 00:30:58.755
for the absolute change column for the morning,
460
00:30:59.875 --> 00:31:01.435
I get the total to 51,
461
00:31:02.815 --> 00:31:07.035
and for the afternoon I get the total to 74 .
462
00:31:07.655 --> 00:31:08.235
Is that correct?
463
00:31:29.125 --> 00:31:31.505
Do John ever mark on, on behalf of the applicant? Yes.
464
00:31:31.675 --> 00:31:34.825
Thank you. So if it's 51
465
00:31:34.825 --> 00:31:38.625
and 74, how does that relate back to the a hundred movements
466
00:31:38.625 --> 00:31:41.505
that you set out in table 4, 77
467
00:32:49.705 --> 00:32:51.245
And Gavin, which on behalf of the applicant?
468
00:32:51.305 --> 00:32:53.125
Uh, no, I agree. I don't think it does link up.
469
00:32:53.185 --> 00:32:55.245
It looks like it's, that table's not been updated properly.
470
00:32:55.545 --> 00:32:58.965
Yes. Thank you. Now going on to
471
00:32:59.875 --> 00:33:02.565
paragraph 4.3 14,

```
4 7 2
00:33:02.865 --> 00:33:05.205
that's just under table 4.78.
4 7 3
00:33:06.625 --> 00:33:09.405
Um, and bearing in mind this is 2038,
4 7 4
00:33:09.425 --> 00:33:11.365
so we're looking at the operational phase
4 7 5
00:33:13.035 --> 00:33:16.965
that tells us about the projected construction traffic
4 7 6
00:33:17.065 --> 00:33:18.565
and HGV volumes.
4 7 7
00:33:19.455 --> 00:33:21.925
Which construction traffic are we talking about there?
4 7 8
00:33:42.675 --> 00:33:44.695
Uh, that's an incorrect reference to construction traffic.
4 7 9
00:33:44.755 --> 00:33:46.935
It should just be operational XTV volumes.
4 8 0
00:33:47.425 --> 00:33:48.615
Thank you. Right.
4 8 1
00:33:48.635 --> 00:33:49.935
I'm, I'm gonna leave it there actually,
4 8 2
00:33:49.935 --> 00:33:54.615
because I think we've, we've seen that right the way
4 8 3
00:33:54.615 --> 00:33:57.855
through the transport assessment, the ES
4 8 4
00:33:57.915 --> 00:33:59.975
and even the supporting documentation.
4 8 5
00:33:59.975 --> 00:34:04.455
```

There's, there's many errors which the XA doesn't consider

```
4 8 6
```

00:34:04.455 --> 00:34:05.495
to be satisfactory.
487
00:34:06.115 --> 00:34:09.215
And it's a serious concern to the XA that we've got
488
00:34:09.215 --> 00:34:11.095
to this point in the examination.
489
00:34:12.515 --> 00:34:13.735
Um, and we are told
490
00:34:13.735 --> 00:34:15.695
that documents have been thoroughly checked
491
00:34:15.755 --> 00:34:19.375
and prepared to see, to have this state of affairs.
492
00:34:20.755 --> 00:34:24.655
Um, the concern that we have, of course, is
493
00:34:24.655 --> 00:34:26.375
that it's your application
494
00:34:26.875 --> 00:34:31.135
and we need to give you a fair opportunity for,
495
00:34:31.755 --> 00:34:35.055
you know, to give your best submissions that you can.
496
00:34:35.605 --> 00:34:40.015
However, we also have, um, to take account
497
00:34:40.015 --> 00:34:44.175
of any interested parties and also Consultees, um,
498
00:34:44.515 --> 00:34:49.055
and for us ourselves to be able to present a

```
4 9 9
00:34:49.695 --> 00:34:52.055
complete and thorough report to the Secretary of State.
500
00:34:53.795 --> 00:34:57.735
And as we know, as you've seen throughout the process, doing
501
00:34:57.735 --> 00:35:01.135
that does require the examining authority to be able
502
00:35:01.135 --> 00:35:03.495
to question and to clarify points.
503
00:35:04.905 --> 00:35:08.015
We're now very concerned that the, the time we've got left,
504
00:35:08.925 --> 00:35:13.535
that that doesn't leave, um, sufficient time for the XA to
505
00:35:14.165 --> 00:35:17.055
seek clarification on any further submissions.
506
00:35:17.635 --> 00:35:22.535
And also, um, to, for interested parties to submit and
507
00:35:22.535 --> 00:35:26.055
likewise for us to clarify any outstanding points,
508
00:35:27.155 --> 00:35:28.255
um, with them.
509
00:35:28.475 --> 00:35:32.055
So that's the position that we feel we're at.
510
00:35:32.835 --> 00:35:36.895
Um, clearly as you said, you need to speak to your clients
511
00:35:37.145 --> 00:35:38.285
and take instructions.
512
00:35:39.245 --> 00:35:42.645
```

I wonder whether the, the most productive route forward is

```
513
00:35:42.705 --> 00:35:46.685
to, um, continue up to lunchtime with the questions
5 1 4
00:35:46.755 --> 00:35:50.165
that you said the transport witnesses need to assist with
5 1 5
00:35:50.825 --> 00:35:53.045
and then to reconvene
516
00:35:53.095 --> 00:35:56.845
after lunch to discuss these matters with the,
517
00:35:56.905 --> 00:35:58.125
the transport submissions.
518
00:36:00.145 --> 00:36:05.045
Yes, sir. Um, as I said, we,
5 1 9
00:36:05.095 --> 00:36:06.885
we've obviously given some initial
520
00:36:07.025 --> 00:36:10.965
and very speedy thought to it over the 20 minute break.
521
00:36:11.865 --> 00:36:16.645
Um, there are some ideas, uh, with within the team,
52
00:36:17.345 --> 00:36:21.685
um, but I need to discuss those with wider team
523
00:36:21.785 --> 00:36:24.285
and with senior client representatives.
524
00:36:24.535 --> 00:36:27.405
Thank you. Um, so thank you for, um, giving,
525
00:36:27.505 --> 00:36:29.925
giving us the opportunity to do that over the,
```

```
526
00:36:30.265 --> 00:36:31.485
the lunch adjournment.
527
00:36:33.145 --> 00:36:37.885
Um, so do I understand you to be saying that you would like
528
00:36:37.885 --> 00:36:41.725
to continue on with as much of the rest of the agenda
529
00:36:41.745 --> 00:36:44.165
as we can cover up until lunch?
530
00:36:44.645 --> 00:36:45.685
I think we should, yes.
53
00:36:45.865 --> 00:36:48.605
Um, before we do that though, I'd just like
532
00:36:48.605 --> 00:36:50.925
to invite any other comments from, of course,
533
00:36:50.925 --> 00:36:53.085
particularly the highways authorities.
534
00:36:53.215 --> 00:36:56.445
We've got county and National Highways here. Yes.
535
00:36:56.825 --> 00:37:01.445
Um, and, um, I can't see how they would
536
00:37:02.355 --> 00:37:03.805
provide comment, would've
5 3 7
00:37:04.045 --> 00:37:06.565
provided comments on documents with so many errors in them
53
00:37:07.075 --> 00:37:09.325
that can be relied upon.
5 3 9
00:37:09.545 --> 00:37:11.805
```

```
So I'd like to invite comments from that
540
00:37:11.805 --> 00:37:13.525
or give them the opportunity to comment
5 4 1
00:37:13.945 --> 00:37:16.885
or they may wish to comment, um, as part
542
00:37:16.885 --> 00:37:18.485
of the discussion we have after lunch.
543
00:37:19.345 --> 00:37:20.925
Um, and likewise,
544
00:37:21.025 --> 00:37:23.885
if there's any interested parties either in the room
545
00:37:23.885 --> 00:37:26.325
or online, I'd like to give them the opportunity to,
546
00:37:26.865 --> 00:37:28.445
to raise any issues right now.
547
00:37:28.445 --> 00:37:31.085
Of course. So could I go to county purely
548
00:37:31.085 --> 00:37:32.445
because you are in the room, please.
549
00:37:32.855 --> 00:37:33.855
Thank you.
550
00:37:35.385 --> 00:37:39.525
So I'll defer to Mr. Carpet or, or Mr. Mor online. Yeah.
551
00:37:39.545 --> 00:37:41.165
Yes. Sorry, Mr.
52
00:37:41.405 --> 00:37:43.365
Tussle actually. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Tussle.
```

```
553
00:37:47.785 --> 00:37:51.285
Um, good afternoon, sir. Uh, just about
5 5 4
00:37:51.315 --> 00:37:52.315
Afternoon.
555
00:37:53.505 --> 00:37:58.485
Um, yeah, I mean we, we have looked through the documents.
556
00:37:58.485 --> 00:38:00.845
We've obviously got some, some further modeling
5 5 7
00:38:00.945 --> 00:38:04.245
to look at now, and we've got a, a submission
558
00:38:04.245 --> 00:38:08.165
that came in on Friday, um, to have a look at.
559
00:38:08.745 --> 00:38:11.965
One of the things that is of note
560
00:38:12.025 --> 00:38:16.125
to the transport assessment team is that there does appear
5 6 1
00:38:16.125 --> 00:38:19.485
to be discrepancies between the environmental statement
562
00:38:19.485 --> 00:38:20.525
and the transport assessment.
563
00:38:20.625 --> 00:38:25.365
And this might be to do with the way that each of those, uh,
564
00:38:25.465 --> 00:38:27.645
are regulated transport assessments.
565
00:38:28.025 --> 00:38:30.485
We have certain requirements to know about.
566
00:38:31.145 --> 00:38:34.645
```

```
I'm just referring to the link between the two junctions,
5 6 7
00:38:34.645 --> 00:38:36.165
which might not be something
568
00:38:36.165 --> 00:38:40.365
that the environmental statement necessarily actually has
5 6 9
00:38:40.465 --> 00:38:41.925
to, to consider.
5 7 0
00:38:42.825 --> 00:38:44.685
Um, what we do when we go
5 7 1
00:38:44.685 --> 00:38:47.845
through the modeling is we do a sense check, um,
572
00:38:48.305 --> 00:38:49.645
of the modeling itself
573
00:38:49.905 --> 00:38:51.605
and refer back to the surveys
574
00:38:52.315 --> 00:38:54.325
from which the flows have been derived.
575
00:38:54.945 --> 00:38:59.485
Um, so then we do a process sense check through
576
00:38:59.485 --> 00:39:01.885
to the modeling to work out whether we believe
577
00:39:01.885 --> 00:39:03.845
that the flows are reasonable
578
00:39:04.345 --> 00:39:07.125
and whether the flows are acceptable.
5 7 9
00:39:07.745 --> 00:39:10.925
Um, we don't necessarily go through every single flow,
```

```
580
00:39:10.925 --> 00:39:13.605
but we do a, as I said, a set of sense checks
581
00:39:14.145 --> 00:39:17.285
and where we find that those sense checks make sense,
582
00:39:17.305 --> 00:39:19.005
we then go forward and look at the modeling.
583
00:39:19.825 --> 00:39:24.125
Um, it seems to me that in the case of this document
584
00:39:24.125 --> 00:39:25.405
that a lot of the errors are
585
00:39:26.245 --> 00:39:28.765
document errors rather than potentially modeling errors.
586
00:39:29.625 --> 00:39:34.485
Um, although I do go
587
00:39:34.515 --> 00:39:38.565
with the errors that have been picked up in the Linig, um,
58
00:39:38.855 --> 00:39:41.045
which may have an explanation,
589
00:39:41.545 --> 00:39:43.445
I'm not a professional linig modeler,
590
00:39:43.445 --> 00:39:46.925
but I've got an idea about why they might be as they are.
591
00:39:49.545 --> 00:39:53.165
But I think overall, when you look at things like this,
592
00:39:53.955 --> 00:39:55.925
it's an understanding of whether we feel
593
00:39:55.925 --> 00:39:57.525
```

```
that the base models
594
00:39:57.625 --> 00:40:00.365
and the future year models give as accurate
5 9 5
00:40:00.385 --> 00:40:03.805
as possible representation of the conditions on site.
596
00:40:04.105 --> 00:40:06.885
And that's not necessarily then referred to back
597
00:40:06.885 --> 00:40:08.365
to in the table of the documents
598
00:40:08.595 --> 00:40:11.925
because it's easier for us to go through the, the process
599
00:40:12.025 --> 00:40:13.205
of looking at the flows
600
00:40:13.635 --> 00:40:15.565
that we have on say the flow diagrams
6 0 1
00:40:16.065 --> 00:40:19.165
and then looking at those for the modeling
602
00:40:19.165 --> 00:40:22.285
because it just makes our lives a bit more easier.
603
00:40:22.625 --> 00:40:25.605
So I don't think we've got
604
00:40:26.185 --> 00:40:29.365
any fundamental concerns with the modeling,
605
00:40:30.065 --> 00:40:33.285
but certainly, you know, I do agree
606
00:40:33.285 --> 00:40:34.845
that there are some errors in the document
```

```
607
00:40:34.875 --> 00:40:36.885
that potentially should have been picked up.
608
00:40:37.655 --> 00:40:42.005
Right. Thank you. And in terms of the, the review process,
609
00:40:42.185 --> 00:40:43.805
and this is to help the applicant
6 1 0
00:40:44.675 --> 00:40:47.725
applicant's discussions over lunchtime,
6 1 1
00:40:48.705 --> 00:40:52.125
how much time would you need, um, for your,
6 1 2
00:40:52.555 --> 00:40:54.765
obviously you've got separate colleagues who deal
6 1 3
00:40:54.765 --> 00:40:58.405
with transport assessments to look at any revisions,
614
00:40:58.705 --> 00:41:00.525
and would there be opportunities
615
00:41:00.825 --> 00:41:04.285
for the applicant's representatives to engage directly
616
00:41:04.285 --> 00:41:05.885
with you and your colleagues?
617
00:41:07.115 --> 00:41:09.765
Fine, given the situation we're in.
618
00:41:10.005 --> 00:41:12.405
I mean, I would do everything that we can
619
00:41:13.105 --> 00:41:14.565
to facilitate that process.
6 2 0
00:41:14.825 --> 00:41:17.365
```

So yes, um, quite happy to do that,
621
00:41:17.945 --> 00:41:19.125
uh, and to move this forward.
622
00:41:20.015 --> 00:41:22.045
Thank you. That's really helpful. Thank you.
623
00:41:22.905 --> 00:41:26.365
Is there anything else from county that you'd like
624
00:41:26.365 --> 00:41:27.365
to say at this point?
625
00:41:30.495 --> 00:41:33.525
No. No. Thank you. Let's go to National Highways then.
626
00:41:34.725 --> 00:41:37.925
National Highways. Do you have any observations
627
00:41:38.145 --> 00:41:41.445
or, um, requirements
628
00:41:41.445 --> 00:41:43.165
that you need to be addressed?
629
00:41:45.185 --> 00:41:48.565
Um, I, Alice Norman, um, national Highways, um,
630
00:41:48.985 --> 00:41:52.205
so I believe that the document was reviewed, um,
631
00:41:52.205 --> 00:41:54.925
obviously was reviewed as presented, um,
632
00:41:55.065 --> 00:41:59.445
and any sort of materially um, altered, um,
633
00:41:59.445 --> 00:42:02.125
figures would need to be re reviewed again.

```
6 3 4
00:42:02.425 --> 00:42:05.045
Um, sort of similarly to the comments that the,
6 3 5
00:42:05.185 --> 00:42:07.845
the county have, just thank you stated.
6 3 6
00:42:09.185 --> 00:42:11.765
And what would be your timescale for that?
637
00:42:12.705 --> 00:42:16.725
Uh, again, we would work as, um, best as possible to,
6 3 8
00:42:16.785 --> 00:42:20.325
to meet the, the examining author deadlines.
6 3 9
00:42:21.425 --> 00:42:22.815
Thank you, Mr. Lawman. That's helpful.
6 4 0
00:42:23.555 --> 00:42:25.135
Um, is there anybody else in the room
6 4 1
00:42:25.135 --> 00:42:26.255
who'd like to make some comments?
642
00:42:26.415 --> 00:42:28.175
I think first, Mr. Gilda, sir?
6 4 3
00:42:30.155 --> 00:42:34.375
Yes, thank you, sir. Um, as you've probably noticed
6 4 4
00:42:34.555 --> 00:42:36.055
by now, I've got a background in
6 4 5
00:42:36.295 --> 00:42:37.575
transport planning and transport modeling.
646
00:42:37.995 --> 00:42:41.215
Um, so I do understand some of the practical difficulties
647
00:42:41.215 --> 00:42:42.455
```

```
of getting all these numbers right.
6 4 8
00:42:42.835 --> 00:42:46.815
Um, I think all I would say, sir, is that we do need time
6 4 9
00:42:47.315 --> 00:42:50.935
to see the reports.
6 5 0
00:42:51.775 --> 00:42:53.735
IE effectively the environmental statement
6 5 1
00:42:54.055 --> 00:42:55.615
documents properly updated.
6 5 2
00:42:55.875 --> 00:42:59.255
Um, we are not taking, we're taking the view
6 5 3
00:42:59.255 --> 00:43:02.215
that the modeling, the modelers should be trusted
654
00:43:02.315 --> 00:43:05.455
to get the right modeling, um, operations.
6 5 5
00:43:05.765 --> 00:43:07.855
What we need to see are the outcomes of that
656
00:43:07.915 --> 00:43:10.495
and the analysis of the necessary mitigation
657
00:43:10.495 --> 00:43:11.895
that might need to be applied.
658
00:43:12.315 --> 00:43:16.135
So we do need, so if we can to have sight
659
00:43:16.135 --> 00:43:18.775
of the updated environmental statement chapters
6 6 0
00:43:18.775 --> 00:43:22.935
and the relevant ta um, documents, um,
```

```
6 6 1
00:43:23.925 --> 00:43:26.535
with sufficient time to be able to respond to you, sir,
6 6 2
00:43:27.355 --> 00:43:31.055
You say we, that means Safe Honey Hill Group as an ip?
6 6 3
00:43:31.555 --> 00:43:33.535
It it is, sir. Yes. Yeah. Yes. Thank you.
6 6 4
00:43:33.535 --> 00:43:35.215
Sorry, I'm not, I'm not No, that's
6 6 5
00:43:35.215 --> 00:43:36.215
Fine. I'm operating a
6 6 6
00:43:36.215 --> 00:43:37.895
personal capacity here, so no, on behalf
6 6 7
00:43:37.895 --> 00:43:39.775
of Safe Honey Hill on behalf of local residents,
6 6 8
00:43:40.115 --> 00:43:43.735
we would like to be able to yeah, review that final set
6 6 9
00:43:43.735 --> 00:43:46.815
of documents, preferably of course with both the county
6 7 0
00:43:46.835 --> 00:43:48.695
and National Highways having signed it off.
6 7 1
00:43:48.715 --> 00:43:50.975
But clearly you can't have a, a prolonged process
6 7 2
00:43:51.155 --> 00:43:52.975
and we all have to work together to try
6 7 3
00:43:52.975 --> 00:43:54.455
and do it in the swiftly as possible.
6 7 4
00:43:54.935 --> 00:43:57.055
```

```
I, I sought that distinction purely
```

675
00:43:57.055 --> 00:43:59.175
because the EX A is conscious
676
00:43:59.175 --> 00:44:02.255
that parties should be given an opportunity,
677
00:44:02.495 --> 00:44:06.415
a fair opportunity to respond to, um, new submissions.
678
00:44:06.675 --> 00:44:09.615
And that's clearly something that the applicant would have
679
00:44:09.615 --> 00:44:12.455
to take into account in their, their proposed solution.
680
00:44:12.715 --> 00:44:14.735
And clearly it will apply to the parish councils
681
00:44:14.735 --> 00:44:17.455
as a very obvious example of representatives
682
00:44:17.455 --> 00:44:20.655
of local communities in the same way as it does to us, sir.
683
00:44:21.035 --> 00:44:24.295
Yes. Well, I think if, if one IP requests it,
684
00:44:24.835 --> 00:44:28.095
all other ips would be able to, to review
685
00:44:28.095 --> 00:44:29.335
and comment at the same time.
686
00:44:29.715 --> 00:44:32.405
Um, Mr. Smith, you put your hand up as well.
687
00:44:35.135 --> 00:44:37.675
The mic? Yep. Sorry sir. Yep.

```
6 8 8
00:44:37.815 --> 00:44:40.355
Um, so I intend to make further written,
6 8 9
00:44:40.555 --> 00:44:42.595
represent written representations on ecology.
6 9 0
00:44:43.215 --> 00:44:46.035
Um, but I've realized I don't, I just wanted
6 9 1
00:44:46.035 --> 00:44:48.875
to ask a question about the transport side
6 9 2
00:44:49.025 --> 00:44:51.955
that I didn't fully understand from, from, from discussions
6 9 3
00:44:52.615 --> 00:44:55.475
is the, once the um, new site is built
694
00:44:55.935 --> 00:45:00.475
and the staff are trans located from the existing site,
6 9 5
00:45:01.385 --> 00:45:04.675
will the existing site be totally abandoned
6 9 6
00:45:04.735 --> 00:45:06.195
or will there be the provision, will there,
697
00:45:06.195 --> 00:45:08.115
could there be the potentially provision of existing uses
698
00:45:08.115 --> 00:45:11.075
such as offices within that site?
6 9 9
00:45:11.745 --> 00:45:16.395
Well, that's the post relocation is dealt with in the,
7 0 0
00:45:16.495 --> 00:45:18.275
the planning application documentation.
7 0 1
00:45:18.335 --> 00:45:20.875
```

So could I suggest that you speak to the applicant
702
00:45:20.875 --> 00:45:22.275
during the lunch break to
703
00:45:22.735 --> 00:45:24.155
direct you to where that's dealt with?
704
00:45:24.465 --> 00:45:26.035
Okay. Yep. Thank you,
705
00:45:26.035 --> 00:45:27.035
Sir. Have you got any further
706
00:45:27.035 --> 00:45:28.955
comments on transport specifically?
707
00:45:30.735 --> 00:45:32.675
Uh, no. It's just how, how the actual,
708
00:45:32.675 --> 00:45:34.235
whether the traffic flows would cease
709
00:45:34.235 --> 00:45:36.195
completely of the existing site. That's what I was after.
710
00:45:36.325 --> 00:45:37.515
Thank you. And thank you
711
00:45:37.745 --> 00:45:38.745
Just to Mr. Mr.
712
00:45:38.745 --> 00:45:43.075
Bowles who's sitting on the end of the table there, um,
713
00:45:43.225 --> 00:45:45.955
will be happy to speak to you Mr. Smith.
714
00:45:46.445 --> 00:45:48.235
Thank you. That's helpful. And finally,

```
7 1 5
00:45:48.425 --> 00:45:52.035
have we got any attendees online who'd like
716
00:45:52.035 --> 00:45:53.755
to make any comments about the,
7 1 7
00:45:54.255 --> 00:45:58.835
the transport assessment in es, Mr.
718
00:45:59.155 --> 00:46:00.155
Tuttle?
719
00:46:03.185 --> 00:46:06.515
Apologies. Uh, um, just to further
7 2 0
00:46:06.615 --> 00:46:09.355
to my previous comments, what I would say is that we will
721
00:46:09.905 --> 00:46:12.315
obviously work with National Highways as well,
722
00:46:13.055 --> 00:46:15.195
and I think it would be good for us
7 2 3
00:46:15.195 --> 00:46:18.955
to put a combined response in from all the authorities, uh,
7 2 4
00:46:19.275 --> 00:46:20.595
national Highways and, and the county
7 2 5
00:46:20.695 --> 00:46:23.875
and our colleagues so that there's no confusion over,
726
00:46:24.335 --> 00:46:27.315
you know, whether this is a, you know, combined response
7 2 7
00:46:27.335 --> 00:46:29.475
or just one higher as authorities views.
7 2 8
00:46:30.325 --> 00:46:32.915
```

Thank you. That's helpful. Anybody else online?

```
729
00:46:33.955 --> 00:46:35.595
I don't think we've got any other hands up.
7 3 0
00:46:36.135 --> 00:46:37.875
Um, but Ms. Cotton, I think you've,
7 3 1
00:46:37.935 --> 00:46:39.235
you wanted to make a point as well.
72
00:46:39.675 --> 00:46:40.915
I just wanted to say thank you very much.
73
00:46:41.175 --> 00:46:42.635
We really appreciate you looking at this.
7 3 4
00:46:42.655 --> 00:46:44.715
So forensically as a local resident,
7 3 5
00:46:44.715 --> 00:46:46.795
this junction is absolutely vital.
736
00:46:46.905 --> 00:46:48.675
It's, it's, it's equidistant as you know,
7 3 7
00:46:48.675 --> 00:46:49.715
between the two villages.
7 3 8
00:46:50.025 --> 00:46:52.435
It's used by the children to get to school and um,
7 3 9
00:46:53.095 --> 00:46:56.355
and uh, these, these worrying omissions in the tables.
740
00:46:56.545 --> 00:46:57.995
It's really good to get the mind out
7 4 1
00:46:58.055 --> 00:46:59.795
and, uh, I appreciate that. Thank you.
```

```
7 4 2
00:47:00.285 --> 00:47:01.635
We're just doing our job. We have
7 4 3
00:47:01.635 --> 00:47:03.515
to look at everything in, in detail.
7 4 4
00:47:03.725 --> 00:47:07.355
Thank you. Um, so applicant,
745
00:47:07.395 --> 00:47:08.995
I dunno whether there's anything else you'd like
746
00:47:08.995 --> 00:47:11.115
to add at this stage other than no,
7 4 7
00:47:11.695 --> 00:47:15.875
It over simply to, to thank everybody, um, for
7 4 8
00:47:16.385 --> 00:47:19.355
what they've said and the spirit in which it's been said,
749
00:47:19.895 --> 00:47:23.995
uh, which I take to be a spirit of cooperation, um,
7 5 0
00:47:24.615 --> 00:47:26.915
to assist the xa, um,
7 5 1
00:47:27.215 --> 00:47:31.725
and uh, also to assist us to assist you.
7 5 2
00:47:32.505 --> 00:47:36.635
Um, it, it's helpful to have all of those responses
753
00:47:36.735 --> 00:47:39.595
to factor into our discussions over the lunch break.
7 5 4
00:47:39.845 --> 00:47:41.075
Thank you. Thank you, sir.
7 5 5
00:47:41.725 --> 00:47:44.195
```

Let's move on to agenda item 10 then please.
756
00:47:46.045 --> 00:47:49.155
Which is major accidents and disasters,
757
00:47:52.495 --> 00:47:56.075
and I think, is this the only other item, um, Ms. Ellis
758
00:47:56.075 --> 00:47:58.755
that your transport colleagues need to respond on?
759
00:47:58.765 --> 00:48:02.835
Thank you. Can we turn up
760
00:48:03.675 --> 00:48:07.315
document rep 5077 ,
761
00:48:09.405 --> 00:48:13.915
which is the CTMP and look at figure 4.2 please.
762
00:48:37.375 --> 00:48:41.255
I think this in, um, there's, there's various related, um,
763
00:48:42.455 --> 00:48:45.055
drawings that show the a IL route
764
00:48:45.055 --> 00:48:48.175
and that came out of our last set of hearings
765
00:48:48.235 --> 00:48:51.815
and county had the concern, I believe that they wanted
766
00:48:52.795 --> 00:48:56.375
to be certain where a IL were moving on the network.
767
00:48:57.635 --> 00:49:00.775
And, um, national Highways confirmed
768
00:49:00.885 --> 00:49:03.535
that Junction 34 was satisfactory

```
7 6 9
00:49:04.595 --> 00:49:06.015
in the intervening period.
7 7 0
00:49:06.515 --> 00:49:09.055
And I believe this, um,
7 7 1
00:49:09.315 --> 00:49:12.815
issued from Safe Honey Hill Group's comments about potential
7 7 2
00:49:13.615 --> 00:49:14.695
drilling rigs and so on.
7 7 3
00:49:14.695 --> 00:49:17.615
Moving through Water Beach, the applicant has confirmed that
7 7 4
00:49:18.825 --> 00:49:22.375
roots through Water Beach would be used by a IL,
7 7 5
00:49:23.555 --> 00:49:27.895
but according to the, as chapter 19, page 42,
76
00:49:28.595 --> 00:49:32.335
the a IL through Water Beach would only be a IL by virtue
7 7 7
00:49:32.395 --> 00:49:34.495
of weight rather than dimension.
7 7 8
00:49:36.435 --> 00:49:40.035
The point I'd like to discuss is,
7 7 9
00:49:40.335 --> 00:49:41.995
and is raised I think by Mr.
780
00:49:42.255 --> 00:49:46.795
Gilder earlier, is that station road is, is quite narrow
7 8 1
00:49:47.735 --> 00:49:52.275
and we haven't had any confirmation that the dimensions of
72
00:49:52.275 --> 00:49:54.195
```

```
that and other parts of the network such
7 8 3
00:49:54.195 --> 00:49:58.755
as Clay Height Bridge, are satisfactory for a IL
74
00:49:58.755 --> 00:50:03.435
that exceed normal HGV or maximum dimensions.
7 8 5
00:50:05.375 --> 00:50:10.075
My question is whether the a IL drawings throughout the
78
00:50:10.075 --> 00:50:12.395
application could be, um,
7 8 7
00:50:12.715 --> 00:50:16.355
a distinction could be made on them so that we have roots
78
00:50:16.855 --> 00:50:18.195
for, or the route
7 8 9
00:50:18.195 --> 00:50:21.275
because it appears there'll only be one for a IL,
7 9 0
00:50:21.645 --> 00:50:25.115
which exceed both the weight, minimum weight
7 9 1
00:50:26.015 --> 00:50:30.205
and maximum dimensions to qualify as an A IL
7 9 2
00:50:30.825 --> 00:50:32.845
and whether a distinction could be made
7 9 3
00:50:32.845 --> 00:50:36.845
with the water beach routes, which are only a IL by virtue
7 9 4
00:50:36.985 --> 00:50:40.765
of weight so that larger vehicles could not go through
7 9 5
00:50:41.615 --> 00:50:45.725
those roads without first being tested by county
```

```
7 9 6
00:50:47.145 --> 00:50:50.325
and having approval that it's a satisfactory route.
7 9 7
00:50:56.785 --> 00:50:59.765
Yes. So Mr. Rawlinson will deal with this.
7 9 8
00:50:59.935 --> 00:51:03.045
Thank you. Uh, Andrew Rawlings for the applicant?
7 9 9
00:51:03.425 --> 00:51:07.165
Yes, as as, as, as, as you've raised, uh, um, at the,
800
00:51:07.165 --> 00:51:10.845
the last hearing, uh, save Honey Hill, um, raised the issue
801
00:51:10.865 --> 00:51:13.165
of, um, the directional drilling rigs
802
00:51:13.165 --> 00:51:16.365
and asked the question as to whether they were that class as
803
00:51:16.915 --> 00:51:21.605
ails since that hearing, um, we have looked at the a IL
804
00:51:21.865 --> 00:51:23.925
and the drilling rig in detail
805
00:51:24.465 --> 00:51:29.245
and can confirm as, as per the, the, the, the plan up there
806
00:51:29.315 --> 00:51:33.685
that the, um, the drilling rig for, um,
807
00:51:33.825 --> 00:51:37.965
the Water Beach pipeline can go on a standard low loader so
808
00:51:37.965 --> 00:51:42.605
that it is a, a standard legal DV size,
809
00:51:43.465 --> 00:51:47.885
```

```
um, but it is overweight in terms of the maximum 44 tons.
810
00:51:48.065 --> 00:51:52.005
So it is, it's classed as an a IL in terms of its weight.
811
00:51:53.055 --> 00:51:55.085
We've, um, confirmed with the county council
812
00:51:55.085 --> 00:51:56.925
that the Clay Hive bridge in terms
813
00:51:56.925 --> 00:52:00.285
of the weight is sufficient for that vehicle.
814
00:52:01.185 --> 00:52:05.125
Um, and we can update, um, the drawing that,
815
00:52:05.125 --> 00:52:09.365
that's on the screen now to, to, to clarify the a IL routes
816
00:52:09.365 --> 00:52:12.405
that are purely ails based on weight
817
00:52:13.345 --> 00:52:15.045
and not on size and,
818
00:52:15.145 --> 00:52:19.245
and the other a IL routes that are based on both
819
00:52:20.095 --> 00:52:22.155
the weight and the size of the vehicle.
820
00:52:22.325 --> 00:52:23.555
Thank you. That would be helpful.
821
00:52:23.655 --> 00:52:25.195
So we'll take that as an action point.
822
00:52:25.265 --> 00:52:28.195
Yeah, I think there may well be other drawings as well that,
```

```
823
00:52:28.815 --> 00:52:32.515
um, would benefit from updating. I'll,
824
00:52:32.515 --> 00:52:34.555
I'll double check through the document to make sure
825
00:52:34.555 --> 00:52:35.795
that it's, thank you.
826
00:52:35.795 --> 00:52:36.995
There's consistency across.
827
00:52:37.075 --> 00:52:41.155
Yeah, I've got in mind as well, there's a, um, a drawing
828
00:52:41.225 --> 00:52:43.515
that shows all of the route on one page.
829
00:52:43.895 --> 00:52:47.755
Yes. Um, and if that could be done in different colors
830
00:52:47.935 --> 00:52:50.675
or different line illustrations, however you want to do it.
831
00:52:50.675 --> 00:52:54.155
Yeah. But just so it's clear, um, can I come
832
00:52:54.255 --> 00:52:55.595
to county on that point?
833
00:52:55.645 --> 00:52:59.915
Would that be a satisfactory solution for you? Mr.
834
00:53:00.055 --> 00:53:01.835
Finney will be able to answer that question.
835
00:53:01.885 --> 00:53:05.835
Thank you Mr. Finney.
836
00:53:06.575 --> 00:53:08.755
```

Doc Dr. Finney can jump from Cambridge County Council.
837
00:53:09.055 --> 00:53:10.195
The answer to question is yes, sir.
838
00:53:10.195 --> 00:53:11.475
That would be satisfactory to ourselves.
839
00:53:12.085 --> 00:53:15.275
Thank you, Mr. Finney. Right.
840
00:53:15.285 --> 00:53:19.755
Let's move on to the next bullet point, which is, um,
841
00:53:20.335 --> 00:53:22.155
the timing of a IL
842
00:53:22.255 --> 00:53:26.685
and save Honey Hill Group's comments in section three of,
843
00:53:27.905 --> 00:53:30.725
um, rep 5135.
844
00:53:34.095 --> 00:53:36.365
Let's just take a minute to remind ourselves of
845
00:53:37.115 --> 00:53:38.285
Save Honey Hill's comments.
846
00:53:41.315 --> 00:53:44.205
This may well be picked up
847
00:53:45.055 --> 00:53:48.545
with the comments you made earlier about general traffic,
848
00:53:48.765 --> 00:53:51.105
but would you like to, um,
849
00:53:51.215 --> 00:53:52.945
come in on Safe Honey Hills comments?

850
00:53:54.525 --> 00:53:56.545
Andrew Rawlings, um, the applicant?
851
00:53:56.905 --> 00:53:58.665
I, I don't think that we actually did cover
852
00:53:59.175 --> 00:54:01.305
ails in the previous discussion.
853
00:54:01.305 --> 00:54:03.185
I think that was based around hgv,
854
00:54:03.185 --> 00:54:04.185
Just hgv.
855
00:54:04.365 --> 00:54:07.065
Um, so in terms of ails, as I understand it,
856
00:54:07.165 --> 00:54:10.785
the request is, um, particularly on, well it's,
857
00:54:10.805 --> 00:54:15.225
it is on the Horing Sea Road, um, a IL route
858
00:54:15.295 --> 00:54:19.865
that the ails do not, um, operate on Saturdays
859
00:54:19.865 --> 00:54:22.705
and Sundays between 11 and 11 in the morning
860
00:54:22.725 --> 00:54:24.105
and three in the afternoon.
861
00:54:24.685 --> 00:54:28.105
We are content to, um, accept that as a restriction
862
00:54:28.105 --> 00:54:31.065
and to include that within the revised CTMP.
863
00:54:31.515 --> 00:54:35.745

Thank you. And, um, the other point was this paragraph 3.1
864
00:54:35.745 --> 00:54:40.185
of Safe Honey L groups representation, which related
865
00:54:40.325 --> 00:54:41.345
to weekdays,
866
00:54:42.285 --> 00:54:44.465
and I think that's, that's the point I was referring to
867
00:54:44.575 --> 00:54:45.905
that we may have touched on earlier.
868
00:54:46.015 --> 00:54:48.425
Yeah, I think that, I mean, that, that was HTVs, we've,
869
00:54:48.425 --> 00:54:50.985
we've already agreed that, so yeah, it, it equally applies
870
00:54:51.005 --> 00:54:52.265
to a IL movement. Thank
871
00:54:52.265 --> 00:54:53.265
You.
872
00:54:53.765 --> 00:54:55.105
And I think, didn't you say
873
00:54:55.105 --> 00:54:57.465
that it was anything above three tons? Is that correct?
874
00:54:57.995 --> 00:54:59.185
Three, three and a half tons.
875
00:54:59.195 --> 00:55:00.465
Three and a half tons. Okay.
876
00:55:01.205 --> 00:55:04.145
So that would cover definitely an A IL.

```
877
00:55:04.255 --> 00:55:06.825
Yeah. Any a any a IL Mr.
878
00:55:06.995 --> 00:55:08.745
Gilda, have you got any further comments
879
00:55:08.965 --> 00:55:10.225
on, on this point?
880
00:55:14.435 --> 00:55:15.815
Um, no sir.
81
00:55:15.815 --> 00:55:19.975
Um, we are very satisfied if, if ails are restricted
82
00:55:20.315 --> 00:55:22.015
to not using Junction 34
883
00:55:22.035 --> 00:55:25.655
and those four hour periods on Saturdays and Sundays.
884
00:55:25.675 --> 00:55:27.975
Um, if the applicant's satisfied with that.
885
00:55:28.235 --> 00:55:30.895
That's our, our primary concern, sir.
88
00:55:31.035 --> 00:55:34.655
Um, I think we did raise, which he may be about to go onto
887
00:55:35.315 --> 00:55:39.695
the issue about what happens if ails have to be diverted
88
00:55:39.715 --> 00:55:43.335
for any reason because of network restrictions. Um,
889
00:55:44.125 --> 00:55:45.125
That's right, yes. We'll
890
00:55:45.125 --> 00:55:46.025
```

```
come onto that in a minute. Okay.
891
00:55:46.025 --> 00:55:49.625
There's, I just wanted to cover off paragraph 3.3 of your,
892
00:55:50.485 --> 00:55:54.105
um, representations as well just for um,
893
00:55:54.525 --> 00:55:58.505
com completeness really that that discusses Battle Road,
894
00:55:58.505 --> 00:56:00.025
station Road, clay Height Road,
895
00:56:00.085 --> 00:56:01.985
and I think that that's covered
896
00:56:02.125 --> 00:56:04.745
by the restrictions you've already agreed to.
897
00:56:04.845 --> 00:56:05.845
Is that correct?
898
00:56:09.265 --> 00:56:10.725
Yes, that's my understanding. Thank
899
00:56:10.725 --> 00:56:11.725
You. So Mr.
900
00:56:11.725 --> 00:56:15.005
Gilder, it looks like the applicant is, has dealt
901
00:56:15.075 --> 00:56:17.045
with all of those points you've raised. Yeah,
902
00:56:17.205 --> 00:56:19.205
I think in paragraph 3.3 sir, sort
903
00:56:19.205 --> 00:56:20.405
of buried there, which Mr.
```

```
904
00:56:20.405 --> 00:56:25.245
Rawlings will, will note, um, is that obviously moving
905
00:56:25.845 --> 00:56:28.925
a IL in particular, um, along state I'll,
906
00:56:28.925 --> 00:56:31.605
I'll take Station Road as it's the more restricted, um,
907
00:56:32.435 --> 00:56:36.485
will require, you know, a pre-planned and notified operation
908
00:56:36.485 --> 00:56:38.285
because it will require the clearance
909
00:56:38.285 --> 00:56:39.685
of parked vehicles, for example.
910
00:56:39.865 --> 00:56:43.285
You can't do that, um, at random.
911
00:56:43.625 --> 00:56:45.365
It has to be done with proper use
912
00:56:45.365 --> 00:56:47.605
of temporary TROs and things.
913
00:56:47.985 --> 00:56:51.365
Um, so I, the point was pre-planning for
914
00:56:51.365 --> 00:56:53.005
that particular section movement
915
00:56:53.005 --> 00:56:54.645
because clearly, um,
916
00:56:55.065 --> 00:56:57.125
it involves quite a number of traffic restrictions.
917
00:56:57.125 --> 00:57:00.895
```

```
It may even involve, um, traffic lights and so on.
918
00:57:01.315 --> 00:57:02.655
So in, in any event, Mr.
9 1 9
00:57:02.755 --> 00:57:04.735
Finney, that, would that be covered by
920
00:57:05.575 --> 00:57:07.735
county's normal procedures on a IL?
921
00:57:09.085 --> 00:57:10.095
That would be correct, sir.
922
00:57:10.195 --> 00:57:12.135
We would cover that by booking road space
923
00:57:12.155 --> 00:57:13.575
or temporary traffic Regulation order
924
00:57:13.675 --> 00:57:14.935
as Mr. Gildo has pointed out.
925
00:57:15.505 --> 00:57:16.035
Thank you.
926
00:57:21.885 --> 00:57:23.955
Let's move on to the next point then that Mr.
927
00:57:23.975 --> 00:57:27.755
Gilder, you touched on there about diversions of a IL
928
00:57:27.775 --> 00:57:31.515
during, um, incidents on the Strategic
929
00:57:32.425 --> 00:57:36.275
Road Network and you, I think you were considering here
930
00:57:36.905 --> 00:57:40.355
both the A 14 and the A 10, is that correct?
```

```
931
00:57:41.255 --> 00:57:44.655
Yes, we were sir. Thank you applicant.
932
00:57:44.655 --> 00:57:45.975
Do you have any comments on this?
933
00:57:46.085 --> 00:57:48.935
This is, um, it's section seven of
934
00:57:49.595 --> 00:57:51.135
Rep 5 1 3 5.
935
00:57:53.485 --> 00:57:57.385
Uh, Andrew Rawlings, uh, for the applicant, uh, I think
936
00:57:57.445 --> 00:58:01.785
as, as John Finney just mentioned, you ails, um, all
937
00:58:01.785 --> 00:58:03.865
of their movements will have to be pre-Agreed.
938
00:58:03.865 --> 00:58:07.585
In terms of their roots, we've established the roots, um,
939
00:58:07.585 --> 00:58:11.505
that a ails can use to access the various parts
940
00:58:11.645 --> 00:58:14.705
of the construction corridor, the construction sites,
941
00:58:15.565 --> 00:58:18.225
and that is the routes that an A IL, um,
942
00:58:19.095 --> 00:58:21.585
haulage operator will then have to use.
943
00:58:22.365 --> 00:58:26.385
Um, if there's in, in the event that there's a temporary,
944
00:58:27.085 --> 00:58:29.465
```

```
um, closure of a road that means
945
00:58:29.465 --> 00:58:32.665
that the A-I-A-I-L cannot use that road, then
946
00:58:33.205 --> 00:58:36.945
the delivery will not take place on that day until such time
947
00:58:37.605 --> 00:58:39.625
as the restriction is removed.
948
00:58:40.325 --> 00:58:43.545
And the a IL can use that prescribed route.
949
00:58:44.085 --> 00:58:47.505
Now that's, if there's a temporary restriction that happens,
950
00:58:48.125 --> 00:58:52.745
you know, quick, you know, in without any notice,
951
00:58:53.375 --> 00:58:55.985
then the a IL simply will not run on that route.
952
00:58:56.285 --> 00:58:58.225
If it's a longer term closure of a road,
953
00:58:58.255 --> 00:58:59.865
then there would need to be a discussion
954
00:58:59.865 --> 00:59:01.025
with the Highway Authority as
955
00:59:01.025 --> 00:59:02.665
to whether there is an alternative
956
00:59:03.035 --> 00:59:04.305
route that is appropriate.
957
00:59:05.125 --> 00:59:07.905
But in, in the instance of, of, uh,
```

```
958
00:59:09.245 --> 00:59:11.885
the a IL not being able to use the prescribed route,
959
00:59:11.885 --> 00:59:14.925
it simply will not be able to do the delivery on that day.
960
00:59:15.295 --> 00:59:19.125
Let's say there was a, an accident on the southbound a 10,
961
00:59:19.335 --> 00:59:22.885
which closed it up to the interchange, um,
962
00:59:23.275 --> 00:59:25.165
between Water Beach and the interchange,
963
00:59:25.865 --> 00:59:29.605
and the vehicle was just north of Water Beach going south,
964
00:59:30.635 --> 00:59:31.725
what would happen then?
965
00:59:31.775 --> 00:59:33.725
Would it divert through Water Beach?
966
00:59:35.225 --> 00:59:36.605
No, if it can't use, its,
967
00:59:36.785 --> 00:59:39.285
its the act it's prescribed route.
968
00:59:39.725 --> 00:59:42.645
I think it will be up to, it would be up to the, to the,
969
00:59:42.785 --> 00:59:45.285
the police if their police were involved in that incident
970
00:59:45.505 --> 00:59:48.205
to, to provide a diversion
971
00:59:48.205 --> 00:59:51.165
```

```
that is acceptable in those situations where, you know,
972
00:59:51.165 --> 00:59:53.965
there's, it's, it happens in a very short,
973
00:59:54.585 --> 00:59:55.605
you know, with no notice.
974
00:59:56.385 --> 01:00:00.645
And how would the police be involved more broadly in this?
975
01:00:00.645 --> 01:00:04.725
Would they have to be aware of the a IL
976
01:00:04.725 --> 01:00:07.365
because of, you know, through the road booking system,
977
01:00:08.345 --> 01:00:12.245
Um, a county, um, council officer might be able
978
01:00:12.245 --> 01:00:13.485
to provide a bit more detail on that,
979
01:00:13.485 --> 01:00:17.285
but it, it does depend on the, the type
980
01:00:17.285 --> 01:00:20.605
of a IL If it's a, if it's a very, very large A
981
01:00:21.125 --> 01:00:23.485
IL which we don't have on this project, then the, the,
982
01:00:23.505 --> 01:00:26.045
the police would be, would have a,
983
01:00:26.165 --> 01:00:27.445
a bigger part to play in that.
984
01:00:27.775 --> 01:00:29.125
Right, okay. And um,
```

```
985
01:00:29.345 --> 01:00:31.725
in some circumstances they're escorted, aren't they?
986
01:00:31.785 --> 01:00:36.005
Yes. Um, part, I suppose this issue relates
987
01:00:36.145 --> 01:00:38.165
to National Highways.
988
01:00:38.825 --> 01:00:40.285
Um, and are there any comments
989
01:00:40.315 --> 01:00:42.605
that National Highways would like to come in on
990
01:00:53.015 --> 01:00:55.675
Andrew Rosamond for National Highway, sir?
991
01:00:56.415 --> 01:01:00.395
Um, no, we haven't got no major comments about a IL at
992
01:01:00.395 --> 01:01:01.475
all on these routes.
993
01:01:03.355 --> 01:01:06.495
And in, in terms of accidents, is this something that you
994
01:01:07.005 --> 01:01:08.295
have experienced before
995
01:01:08.315 --> 01:01:10.255
and have been dealt with satisfactorily?
996
01:01:10.955 --> 01:01:14.775
Um, to be quite honest, I've never had any experience
997
01:01:14.775 --> 01:01:18.135
of an accident actually diverting an A IL.
998
01:01:18.875 --> 01:01:23.415
```

```
Um, but as, um, our cams people said it would be
999
01:01:23.555 --> 01:01:26.215
for the police to take over that incident
1000
01:01:26.395 --> 01:01:30.135
as they have control of our network in such instances,
1001
01:01:30.915 --> 01:01:33.135
and that vehicle would probably have
1002
01:01:33.135 --> 01:01:36.375
to be parked up in the nearest available lay by
1003
01:01:36.505 --> 01:01:38.615
until such time as the road was open
1004
01:01:39.035 --> 01:01:40.815
and it could continue on its route.
1005
01:01:41.785 --> 01:01:45.735
Thank you Mr. Mond. That's quite clear, I think, um, Mr.
1006
01:01:46.065 --> 01:01:47.065
Gilda?
1007
01:01:48.395 --> 01:01:50.375
Yes, thank you, sir. Um, yeah, I'm,
1008
01:01:50.435 --> 01:01:52.055
I'm satisfied with that answer, sir.
1009
01:01:52.155 --> 01:01:55.055
Um, yeah, I clearly recognize that it's a pretty un
1010
01:01:55.855 --> 01:01:57.535
unlikely event and I think the answer given
1011
01:01:57.595 --> 01:01:59.535
by National Highways is probably the correct one.
```

1012
01:01:59.535 --> 01:02:02.615
That this would normally be a circumstance in which the, uh,
1013
01:02:03.315 - -> 01:02:05.535
the convoy would be parked up until such time
1014
01:02:05.535 --> 01:02:07.535
as it could be, be moved to safety.
1015
01:02:07.905 --> 01:02:11.975
Thank you. The last point under this, um,
1016
01:02:12.235 --> 01:02:15.255
bullet was whether the county was satisfied
1017
01:02:15.255 $\rightarrow$ 01:02:17.655
with controls over a ILI haven't heard anything
1018
01:02:18.075 - -> 01:02:19.245
to the contrary so far.
1019
01:02:19.245 --> 01:02:22.005
This was just a confirmatory point, I think.
1020
01:02:23.065 --> 01:02:25.045
Yes, I, I, but Dr.
1021
01:02:25.065 --> 01:02:27.245
Finney should probably just confirm to you Yes,
1022
01:02:27.825 --> 01:02:28.825
Dr. Finney.
1023
01:02:28.825 --> 01:02:29.105

1024
01:02:33.895 - -> 01:02:35.415
I fine mute my apologies, sir.
1025
$01: 02: 35.515 \rightarrow->01: 02: 37.975$

```
Yes, with the can account is perfectly, he's,
1026
01:02:37.995 --> 01:02:40.325
is proposal acceptable.
1027
01:02:41.105 --> 01:02:43.135
Thank you, Dr. Finney Problem.
1028
01:02:46.145 --> 01:02:49.375
Let's move on to the next point, which is, um,
1029
01:02:49.645 --> 01:02:50.735
emergency services.
1030
01:02:52.155 --> 01:02:56.975
And we've already heard on the, the design point,
1031
01:02:56.975 --> 01:02:58.375
which is the second bullet.
1032
01:02:58.475 --> 01:03:01.935
So we've got, the first bullet was accessed generally
1033
01:03:01.935 --> 01:03:05.295
including, uh, water Beach during construction.
1034
01:03:05.295 --> 01:03:07.575
And that point was raised by Parish Council
1035
01:03:08.435 --> 01:03:10.055
in our last set of hearings.
1036
01:03:10.675 --> 01:03:13.015
Um, and it was set as action point 10.
1037
01:03:14.945 --> 01:03:19.565
And then we also sought a, a clarification of discussions
1038
01:03:19.675 --> 01:03:21.245
with, um,
```

```
1039
01:03:21.465 --> 01:03:24.965
and responses from the Ambulance Service Trust as well
1040
01:03:24.965 --> 01:03:26.405
as Cambridge Fire and Rescue Service.
1041
01:03:27.265 --> 01:03:30.605
We received, I think at the last deadline, deadline five,
1042
01:03:30.785 --> 01:03:34.725
an updated statement of common ground.
1043
01:03:35.025 --> 01:03:38.245
No, I beg your pardon. It was, um, deadline four
1044
01:03:41.755 --> 01:03:44.455
in, um, rep 4 0 8 7
1045
01:03:54.025 --> 01:03:56.045
and our action point was set in
1046
01:03:57.555 --> 01:03:59.845
January, 2024 on this.
1047
01:04:01.585 --> 01:04:05.085
So you responded 22nd of January, 2024.
1048
01:04:05.865 --> 01:04:10.085
And that document tells us, well, it's, sorry,
1049
01:04:10.085 --> 01:04:11.405
the document's been updated,
1050
01:04:11.835 --> 01:04:13.685
there's some track changes in that document.
1051
01:04:15.235 --> 01:04:20.085
However, um, in the audit trail of discussions, the,
1052
01:04:20.105 --> 01:04:23.645
```

the last discussion was 18th of September, 2023.
1053
01:04:25.985 --> 01:04:30.205
So I'm questioning why on
1054
01:04:30.205 --> 01:04:32.845
or well, on what basis that document was updated
1055
01:04:34.425 --> 01:04:37.805
if further discussions hadn't taken place with the,
1056
01:04:38.265 --> 01:04:40.565
the relevant a author, um, sorry,
1057
01:04:40.665 --> 01:04:42.765
the Ambulance Trust for example.
1058
01:04:46.305 --> 01:04:48.245
So Catherine Taylor for the applicant,
1059
01:04:48.965 --> 01:04:50.005
I think you're referring
1060
01:04:50.005 --> 01:04:52.565
to the technical working group meeting date.
1061
01:04:52.825 --> 01:04:54.725
That's right. That's the last list.
1062
01:04:54.955 --> 01:04:58.125
That was the last date that the group may met as a whole.
1063
01:04:59.105 --> 01:05:01.525
Um, and since then there's been sort
1064
01:05:01.525 --> 01:05:05.405
of independent correspondence with each individual authority
1065
01:05:05.585 --> 01:05:07.165
to update the statement of common ground

```
1066
01:05:07.825 --> 01:05:10.045
That's not reflected in the statement of common ground.
1067
01:05:10.425 --> 01:05:12.685
Is it possible to reflect it in that
1068
01:05:12.685 --> 01:05:13.685
Belief? Yeah, no, I, I take
1069
01:05:13.685 --> 01:05:14.965
the point that it doesn't.
1070
01:05:17.415 --> 01:05:17.845
Thank you.
1071
01:05:22.625 --> 01:05:26.165
So just on that basis then, um, where are we,
1072
01:05:26.165 --> 01:05:27.645
this is the final bullet point.
1073
01:05:27.645 --> 01:05:30.285
Where are we, are we with the emergency services
1074
01:05:31.755 --> 01:05:32.805
From our perspec, sorry,
1075
01:05:32.805 --> 01:05:34.085
Catherine Taylor for the applicant?
1076
01:05:34.115 --> 01:05:37.965
From our perspective, um, the concerns that they've had,
1077
01:05:38.015 --> 01:05:42.285
we've, we've, um, dealt with, um, and agreed,
1078
01:05:42.905 --> 01:05:45.685
and that is reflected in the document.
1079
01:05:46.385 --> 01:05:50.605
```

Um, it's been signed by the East Anglia Ambulance Authority,
1080
01:05:50.865 --> 01:05:53.005
but not so far by the Fire Authority.
1081
01:05:53.205 --> 01:05:55.685
I think they were waiting until the outcome of today
1082
01:05:55.985 --> 01:05:57.245
to see whether there's anything further
1083
01:05:57.265 --> 01:05:58.325
on the access question.
1084
01:05:59.105 --> 01:06:02.605
Um, and we're just chasing the police to see whether
1085
01:06:02.625 --> 01:06:04.685
or not they can now sign that final document.
1086
01:06:04.855 --> 01:06:08.765
Thank you. So, um, that presumably, well, um,
1087
01:06:08.925 --> 01:06:12.005
I shouldn't presume, does that cover the, um,
1088
01:06:12.065 --> 01:06:15.165
the point raised by Water Beach Parish Council about access
1089
01:06:15.165 --> 01:06:16.325
during construction?
1090
01:06:17.725 --> 01:06:19.445
I think having heard those comments,
1091
01:06:19.545 --> 01:06:21.485
we will just double check that with them
1092
01:06:21.825 --> 01:06:22.825
If you could. So we'll set

```
1093
01:06:22.825 --> 01:06:23.925
some action points there.
1094
01:06:23.945 --> 01:06:27.565
The first one is to provide the full audit trail,
1095
01:06:28.305 --> 01:06:31.365
and the second one is to double check
1096
01:06:31.395 --> 01:06:34.125
that the Water Beach routes have been covered.
1097
01:06:34.225 --> 01:06:36.525
And if they haven't, please could they be?
1098
01:06:39.745 --> 01:06:40.885
So yes. Um, thank you.
1099
01:06:41.165 --> 01:06:42.725
'cause the access routes that they've reviewed,
1100
01:06:43.285 --> 01:06:44.245
I think it's been dealt with,
1101
01:06:44.245 --> 01:06:45.445
but we will double check. Yeah,
1102
01:06:45.845 --> 01:06:47.925
I think it, it's just because it's been specifically
1103
01:06:47.925 --> 01:06:50.805
raised by the parish council, we'd like to know that
1104
01:06:51.555 --> 01:06:52.805
it's specifically dealt
1105
01:06:52.805 --> 01:06:54.685
with in the application documentation.
1106
01:06:55.695 --> 01:06:59.085
```

Thank you. That's, um,
1107
01:06:59.185 --> 01:07:02.245
all the questions I had on major accidents and disasters.
1108
01:07:02.505 --> 01:07:04.285
Are there any other comments in the room?
1109
01:07:05.025 --> 01:07:07.845
Um, anybody would like to make any observations?
1110
01:07:09.175 --> 01:07:11.725
Thank you, Mr. Um, fend Deton Parish Council,
1111
01:07:15.195 --> 01:07:17.125
Charles Jones, fend and Parish Council.
1112
01:07:17.495 --> 01:07:20.645
Thank you, sir. Um, I'd just like to return to the question
1113
01:07:20.865 --> 01:07:22.285
of, um,
1114
01:07:25.165 --> 01:07:26.685
Incidents on Strategic Road Network.
1115
01:07:27.395 --> 01:07:31.165
They're quite frequent between quite roundabout going
1116
01:07:31.795 --> 01:07:34.605
westbound all the way to GTIN interchange.
1117
01:07:34.735 --> 01:07:37.765
Quite often the backing up goes through Junction 34
1118
01:07:38.305 --> 01:07:39.565
and Junction 35.
1119
01:07:40.425 --> 01:07:43.125
We made a point in an earlier, in an earlier submission,

```
1120
01:07:43.125 --> 01:07:45.325
and I'm afraid offhand, I dunno which one it was,
1121
01:07:46.115 --> 01:07:47.525
that we were concerned to see
1122
01:07:47.525 --> 01:07:48.725
how the applicant would be able
1123
01:07:48.725 --> 01:07:52.965
to divert his operational tankers delivering stuff to, um,
1124
01:07:53.465 --> 01:07:56.565
the site so that they might end up having to go all the way
1125
01:07:56.565 --> 01:08:00.645
around through E and down the A 10, trying to make sure
1126
01:08:00.715 --> 01:08:05.405
that they don't go down high ditch road or new Market Road
1127
01:08:05.405 --> 01:08:06.965
and then turn right up ton Lane.
1128
01:08:07.265 --> 01:08:10.685
And I just wondered whether you'd actually, I, I would like
1129
01:08:10.685 --> 01:08:13.245
to ask if you believe the applicant has addressed that
1130
01:08:13.305 --> 01:08:14.485
and actually understands
1131
01:08:14.505 --> 01:08:17.925
how he can control his deliveries from the east to the site,
1132
01:08:18.705 --> 01:08:20.565
um, during an operational incident.
1133
01:08:21.215 --> 01:08:21.685
```

Thank you.
1134
01:08:26.105 --> 01:08:27.885
Can I come back to the applicant on that please?
1135
01:08:41.915 --> 01:08:45.455
Andrew? Rulings for the applicant, um, in, in terms of,
1136
01:08:45.715 --> 01:08:49.255
of, um, movements of operational tankers, they are,
1137
01:08:49.305 --> 01:08:51.135
those roots are prescribed.
1138
01:08:51.325 --> 01:08:55.975
They are, the roots are just from the A 14, um,
1139
01:08:56.235 --> 01:09:01.055
and using Junction 34, um, within, within, um,
1140
01:09:01.555 --> 01:09:05.175
my colleague, um, Gavin hopefully can help me with this.
1141
01:09:05.355 --> 01:09:10.175
Um, there are measures in place in order to, to manage, um,
1142
01:09:10.635 --> 01:09:13.615
and to control tanker movements so
1143
01:09:13.615 --> 01:09:16.175
that they are using the prescribed routes regardless
1144
01:09:16.235 --> 01:09:18.055
of whether that there may be queuing on the
1145
01:09:18.095 --> 01:09:19.335
A 14 or not. So.
1146
01:09:19.395 --> 01:09:20.935
And where are those, sorry, where,

1147
01:09:21.235 --> 01:09:23.775
So with, I think it's within the, the CTMP, there's
1148
01:09:24.425 --> 01:09:25.575
geofencing of, well
1149
01:09:25.575 $\rightarrow$ 01:09:26.695
That's just for construction,
1150
01:09:26.735 --> 01:09:29.055
I think FE ton's talking about. No, that's operational.
1151
01:09:29.085 --> 01:09:30.175
That is operational.
1152
01:09:31.925 --> 01:09:34.215
Well the CTMP just deals with construction.
1153
01:09:35.195 --> 01:09:37.775
Oh, sorry. It's within the operational logistics plan.
1154
01:09:38.065 --> 01:09:41.535
Thank you. That it's, there's geofencing of, um,
1155
01:09:42.085 --> 01:09:45.695
operational vehicles, so we are able to track those vehicles
1156
01:09:45.695 --> 01:09:49.055
and if there are any that are contravening the,
1157
01:09:49.355 --> 01:09:50.375
the prescribed routes,
1158
01:09:50.375 --> 01:09:52.335
then appropriate action can be taken. And

1159
01:09:52.335 --> 01:09:54.055
There's a monitoring provision with county,
1160
01:09:54.295 --> 01:09:55.855

I believe on that.
1161
01:09:55.875 --> 01:09:56.875
Is there?
1162
01:09:58.045 --> 01:10:01.095
Yeah, I, I dunno the, the exact details
1163
01:10:01.115 --> 01:10:02.175
of that, right? Yes,
1164
01:10:02.835 --> 01:10:03.835
Mr. May.
1165
01:10:06.195 --> 01:10:08.655
Yes, sir. Thank you. Uh, Paul Mayor, the applicant, uh,
1166
01:10:08.765 --> 01:10:10.775
requirement 19, uh, relates
1167
01:10:10.795 --> 01:10:13.215
to the operational logistics traffic plan.
1168
01:10:14.115 --> 01:10:17.895
Uh, that's one of these that requires a detailed plan
1169
01:10:17.895 --> 01:10:20.735
to be submitted in accordance with, uh, an outline.
1170
01:10:21.195 --> 01:10:25.335
Um, one of the measures that must be in the detailed plan
1171
01:10:26.115 --> 01:10:29.255
is, uh, HGV routing and monitoring proposals.
1172
01:10:29.275 --> 01:10:34.055
So, um, that would, would provide the, uh, the,
1173
01:10:34.055 --> 01:10:35.375
the hook for those provisions

```
1174
01:10:35.375 --> 01:10:36.775
to be included in the detail plan.
1175
01:10:37.075 --> 01:10:40.855
And tanker movements wouldn't be
1176
01:10:41.965 --> 01:10:46.785
necessarily monitored in a way by the police
1177
01:10:46.885 --> 01:10:48.865
as an A IL would, I would imagine.
1178
01:10:49.765 --> 01:10:53.305
So what would happen in the event, as National Highway said
1179
01:10:53.305 --> 01:10:56.625
for an A IL, um, the vehicle would have
1180
01:10:56.625 --> 01:10:58.145
to park up in a safe spot
1181
01:10:58.605 --> 01:11:00.985
and await further advice from the authorities,
1182
01:11:01.415 --> 01:11:04.065
what would happen with tankers,
1183
01:11:04.065 --> 01:11:07.745
and also to avoid the temptation of a driver just
1184
01:11:07.745 --> 01:11:09.825
to nip down the, the side road
1185
01:11:09.885 --> 01:11:11.345
and take an alternative route.
1186
01:11:16.065 --> 01:11:18.845
My Dexter for the, the applicant, um, the,
1187
01:11:18.945 --> 01:11:23.045
```

```
our WIL colleagues that work out at Milton House you met on,
1188
01:11:23.065 --> 01:11:26.485
um, Tuesday, uh, they actively manage, uh, all
1189
01:11:26.485 --> 01:11:28.525
of the tankers and our contracted tankers to make sure
1190
01:11:28.525 --> 01:11:31.725
that they are, uh, abiding by the, the geofencing,
1191
01:11:31.805 --> 01:11:34.645
the limits that that, um, that are put put upon them.
1192
01:11:35.225 --> 01:11:36.885
Um, if there is an accident
1193
01:11:36.885 --> 01:11:39.725
or incident that requires 'em to be deviated from that,
1194
01:11:39.725 --> 01:11:41.965
then they will call into their center
1195
01:11:42.065 --> 01:11:44.965
and the team in, in the WRL team will, will help manage them
1196
01:11:44.965 --> 01:11:46.565
around and make sure they're not going
1197
01:11:46.565 --> 01:11:47.805
into areas that they're not supposed to.
1198
01:11:48.105 --> 01:11:51.205
If they do encroach upon it, then that team is alerted to it
1199
01:11:51.545 --> 01:11:53.645
and the driver will be, uh, advised.
1200
01:11:53.705 --> 01:11:57.525
He will also have audible signs within Audible, uh,
```

```
1201
01:11:57.525 --> 01:11:59.405
acknowledgements within the cab that he's, he's going
1202
01:11:59.405 --> 01:12:00.965
outside of his geofenced area.
1203
01:12:01.455 --> 01:12:05.805
Thank you. So we do take a, a, a real, um, active approach
1204
01:12:05.865 --> 01:12:09.565
to the management of all of our tankers on our, on our, uh,
1205
01:12:09.565 --> 01:12:11.645
on the networks, both our own and our,
1206
01:12:11.645 --> 01:12:12.685
and our contracted ones.
1207
01:12:13.015 --> 01:12:17.885
Thank you. And, um, back to you Mr. Jones, on in,
1208
01:12:17.945 --> 01:12:19.565
in relation to the current plant,
1209
01:12:20.275 --> 01:12:23.965
does the parish ever experience any diversions when there's
1210
01:12:23.965 --> 01:12:26.485
an incident on the strategic
1211
01:12:26.525 --> 01:12:28.165
networks? The existing facility?
1212
01:12:29.065 --> 01:12:30.365
I'm not entirely sure, sir,
1213
01:12:30.385 --> 01:12:32.325
but I think they probably do occasionally.
1214
01:12:32.665 --> 01:12:36.935
```

```
Um, we get, um, quite a lot
1215
01:12:36.935 --> 01:12:40.975
of diversions through Fen onto the A 14
1216
01:12:41.645 --> 01:12:45.135
when, uh, if that, that would be specific to an incident
1217
01:12:45.335 --> 01:12:48.695
between, um, junction 34 and 35.
1218
01:12:49.595 --> 01:12:53.735
Um, but it, we, we do get hgv,
1219
01:12:53.735 --> 01:12:55.015
even though there's quite a lot
1220
01:12:55.015 --> 01:12:56.535
of the weight limits are in place
1221
01:12:56.535 --> 01:12:58.855
and there's no junction, there's no spaces for 'em to turn.
1222
01:12:59.575 --> 01:13:02.375
I believe National Highways might be able to confirm that.
1223
01:13:03.175 --> 01:13:06.095
I think Hide Ditch Road is still recognized
1224
01:13:06.095 --> 01:13:08.775
as being an alternative route in the event of the a 14
1225
01:13:09.505 --> 01:13:10.975
being closed, which is,
1226
01:13:11.885 --> 01:13:13.255
it's not very satisfactory from
1227
01:13:13.255 --> 01:13:14.335
our point of view, but it does happen.
```

```
1228
01:13:14.955 --> 01:13:16.815
And what's your observations on
1229
01:13:17.045 --> 01:13:18.695
what you've heard just now? I,
1230
01:13:18.835 --> 01:13:20.135
I'm very pleased
1231
01:13:20.245 --> 01:13:23.295
that the concern we raised has been taken forward
1232
01:13:23.635 --> 01:13:26.415
and there appears to be a plan in place to, to cope
1233
01:13:26.445 --> 01:13:30.495
with the eventuality of blockages on the A 14. Thank you.
1234
01:13:30.865 --> 01:13:32.175
Thank you Ms. Cotton.
1235
01:13:33.495 --> 01:13:35.255
I would just like to comment given that, uh, uh,
1236
01:13:35.275 --> 01:13:38.175
my house is, uh, probably the closest house to Junction 14,
1237
01:13:38.875 --> 01:13:41.375
um, and, uh, my drive is, uh,
1238
01:13:41.455 --> 01:13:43.455
just a few meters away from at the top of my drive.
1239
01:13:43.715 --> 01:13:46.455
And when the slip road accessing the A 14 is blocked,
1240
01:13:46.455 --> 01:13:49.415
which happens often, it's backed, completely backed up,
1241
01:13:49.675 --> 01:13:50.815
```

```
and I am unable to,
1242
01:13:51.035 --> 01:13:53.375
and I can only sometimes appreciate that
1243
01:13:53.375 --> 01:13:55.255
that road is blocked when I get to the top of my drive.
1244
01:13:55.955 --> 01:13:57.695
Um, and I am unable to turn
1245
01:13:58.205 --> 01:13:59.975
left in order to get onto the A 14.
1246
01:13:59.995 --> 01:14:01.135
So I often have to turn right
1247
01:14:01.635 --> 01:14:04.935
and what will happen to the traffic that's using our drive,
1248
01:14:05.395 --> 01:14:07.495
uh, to construct the transfer tunnel.
1249
01:14:07.995 --> 01:14:11.595
Um, and I want to get out of my, uh, house
1250
01:14:11.895 --> 01:14:14.435
and the, uh, the vehicles that are moving up
1251
01:14:14.435 --> 01:14:17.035
and down our drive, um, are unable
1252
01:14:17.035 --> 01:14:18.995
to turn right according to all of these.
1253
01:14:19.015 --> 01:14:23.035
And you, uh, uh, um, and it has to use the A four machine,
1254
01:14:23.035 --> 01:14:24.995
but it can't, where are they going to go
```

```
1255
01:14:25.015 --> 01:14:28.875
and am I going to be able to get outta my house?
1256
01:14:29.595 --> 01:14:31.075
Thank you. Uh, given that this happens
1257
01:14:31.105 --> 01:14:32.755
with reasonable degree of frequency
1258
01:14:32.755 --> 01:14:34.035
and that is not an exaggeration.
1259
01:14:34.535 --> 01:14:35.915
So applicant, um,
1260
01:14:36.825 --> 01:14:40.155
this may be a pointing the CTMP about managing disruption.
1261
01:14:40.535 --> 01:14:43.515
Do you have any response to Ms. Cotton's comment there?
1262
01:14:46.675 --> 01:14:49.975
Uh, my ex if applicant, uh, OO other than the why,
1263
01:14:49.975 --> 01:14:54.135
why the access is being created, um, will be the only time
1264
01:14:54.435 --> 01:14:57.175
or the access created and also decommissioned is the only
1265
01:14:57.175 --> 01:15:00.935
time that we'll be utilizing the same access road.
1266
01:15:01.115 --> 01:15:03.815
So, um, if the there is backing up
1267
01:15:03.835 --> 01:15:06.295
or there's a police diversion in place, then um,
1268
01:15:06.395 --> 01:15:09.095
```

```
all HTVs will will likely be stay on site until,
1269
01:15:09.905 --> 01:15:12.095
until there's, they're safe to move away from.
1270
01:15:12.635 --> 01:15:14.455
Um, that, that location, is
1271
01:15:14.455 --> 01:15:16.575
That captured anywhere specifically in
1272
01:15:16.575 --> 01:15:18.215
the, the documentation? I,
1273
01:15:18.285 --> 01:15:19.815
I'll need to refer back to it, sir,
1274
01:15:19.835 --> 01:15:22.335
and if not, then happy, happy to, to add that.
1275
01:15:22.335 --> 01:15:25.695
But we have answer, I believe in some of our, uh,
1276
01:15:25.695 --> 01:15:28.855
clarification questions, uh, in regards to how we'll manage,
1277
01:15:29.435 --> 01:15:33.815
um, the, um, sharing the access at that, those brief times
1278
01:15:33.845 --> 01:15:35.695
between Ms. Cotton and,
1279
01:15:35.695 --> 01:15:38.735
and others that, um, are in the pop hall complex,
1280
01:15:38.735 --> 01:15:42.615
that we will make sure that we are, um, sympathetic with
1281
01:15:42.915 --> 01:15:46.095
how they want to use the, the, the driveway to make sure
```

```
1282
01:15:46.095 --> 01:15:47.335
that we're not blocking that, um,
1283
01:15:47.395 --> 01:15:48.455
for, for any length of time.
1284
01:15:49.275 --> 01:15:53.055
And how long would that construction period last?
1285
01:15:57.175 --> 01:15:59.095
I, I may need to return back for the exact times.
1286
01:15:59.155 --> 01:16:00.615
We, we we're talking a number of weeks.
1287
01:16:00.855 --> 01:16:04.175
I I either side why, why we form the new, the other access
1288
01:16:04.175 --> 01:16:06.575
that we're proposing coming off of Horton Road.
1289
01:16:07.075 --> 01:16:09.735
So what would happen if say, um, you know,
1290
01:16:09.735 --> 01:16:11.055
there's a number of residents
1291
01:16:11.555 --> 01:16:15.175
and there's a number of dwellings there, if say one needed
1292
01:16:15.195 --> 01:16:17.935
to drive to the hospital quickly, how, how would
1293
01:16:17.935 --> 01:16:19.895
that be managed if there was a blockage?
1294
01:16:21.235 --> 01:16:24.375
All, all of our operations on site are managed with,
1295
01:16:24.485 --> 01:16:26.695
```

```
with a, with a tiered management system, um,
1296
01:16:26.695 --> 01:16:28.655
from the site manager to the logistics
1297
01:16:28.655 --> 01:16:29.855
manager and traffic marshals.
1298
01:16:30.275 --> 01:16:32.415
So all operations that involve interaction
1299
01:16:32.415 --> 01:16:33.815
with the public or or other traffic.
1300
01:16:33.875 --> 01:16:36.615
In uh, instances we'll have traffic marshals in place, so,
1301
01:16:36.615 --> 01:16:38.775
we'll, we will have active high visibility
1302
01:16:39.285 --> 01:16:41.855
traffic control in that instance.
1303
01:16:42.115 --> 01:16:45.175
So if there was a situation where a blockage could arise,
1304
01:16:46.085 --> 01:16:49.175
that would be dealt with so that Ms. Cotton
1305
01:16:49.315 --> 01:16:52.015
and her neighbors could still leave their site.
1306
01:16:54.255 --> 01:16:55.585
Correct. Right. Thank you.
1307
01:16:55.905 --> 01:16:58.465
I think it would be useful if we could put an action point
1308
01:16:58.465 --> 01:17:02.265
down just to confirm that this is dealt with in the,
```

```
1309
01:17:02.285 --> 01:17:03.505
the CTMP, please.
1310
01:17:03.645 --> 01:17:04.645
Is that okay?
1311
01:17:05.645 --> 01:17:07.345
Not a problem. Yeah. Thank you Ms. Cotton,
1312
01:17:07.485 --> 01:17:08.745
do you have any other,
1313
01:17:10.505 --> 01:17:13.125
Uh, no, just that I appreciate, uh, that that should be,
1314
01:17:13.145 --> 01:17:15.405
uh, uh, uh, taken forward
1315
01:17:15.865 --> 01:17:19.125
and I hadn't, I, I, my understanding was that the part
1316
01:17:19.125 --> 01:17:22.205
of our drive would be continually always used with regard
1317
01:17:22.205 --> 01:17:24.365
to the, uh, uh, access to the transfer tunnel
1318
01:17:24.625 --> 01:17:27.685
and also obviously in, uh, forever
1319
01:17:27.945 --> 01:17:29.325
and afterwards, uh, during the
1320
01:17:29.325 --> 01:17:31.205
operational, uh, time as well.
1321
01:17:31.445 --> 01:17:32.685
Although to a lesser extent,
1322
01:17:32.845 --> 01:17:34.245
```

I think that's a less frequent Yes.
1323
01:17:34.345 --> 01:17:35.405
Use, isn't it? Yeah. Yes.
1324
01:17:35.475 --> 01:17:37.365
Okay. Thank you. But it is an issue. Thank you. Thank
1325
01:17:37.365 --> 01:17:38.365
You.
1326
01:17:38.815 --> 01:17:42.165
Right. That's all of the questions I had.
1327
01:17:42.165 --> 01:17:43.365
Anybody else online?
1328
01:17:43.975 --> 01:17:46.125
We've been around the room, I think on this.
1329
01:17:48.905 --> 01:17:51.245
No. Anything from the applicant on this topic,
1330
01:17:52.225 --> 01:17:53.225
Sir? There is,
1331
01:17:53.225 --> 01:17:57.245
um, a point which probably logically, um,
1332
01:17:57.315 --> 01:17:59.525
fits in this morning here as well as anywhere.
1333
01:18:00.145 --> 01:18:04.365
Um, and it is to draw to everyone's attention,
1334
01:18:05.305 --> 01:18:07.965
um, an error in the
1335
01:18:08.515 --> 01:18:10.765
project description, which Mr.

```
1336
01:18:10.945 --> 01:18:12.325
Bowles will explain
1337
01:18:12.625 --> 01:18:16.245
and which we would like to take forward, uh, as an action
1338
01:18:16.265 --> 01:18:17.925
to correct deadline. Six.
1339
01:18:18.655 --> 01:18:22.525
Thank you. Thank you. Is that, can we continue
1340
01:18:22.525 --> 01:18:23.565
with our agenda
1341
01:18:23.625 --> 01:18:26.085
and then perhaps look at that this afternoon? Yes, of
1342
01:18:26.085 --> 01:18:27.085
Course. So whenever it's
1343
01:18:27.085 --> 01:18:27.845
convenient to you.
1344
01:18:28.575 --> 01:18:32.205
Thank you. Yeah.
1345
01:18:33.515 --> 01:18:34.565
Okay. Yeah.
1346
01:18:35.025 --> 01:18:36.045
How, which Questions? That
1347
01:18:40.625 --> 01:18:43.205
is that two one,
1348
01:18:44.285 --> 01:18:47.005
I mentioned it now because it, it, um, has a,
1349
01:18:47.285 --> 01:18:49.325
```

a relationship to transport,
1350
01:18:49.345 --> 01:18:51.565
but we're very happy to take it at any time
1351
01:18:51.565 --> 01:18:52.805
that suits you. That's
1352
01:18:52.805 --> 01:18:53.805
Helpful. Thank you.
1353
01:18:53.805 --> 01:18:56.965
Um, we were just looking at, um, EX one
1354
01:18:58.485 --> 01:19:01.485
responses from Anglican Water where it's noted
1355
01:19:01.485 --> 01:19:03.645
that the axis would be used for three to six months.
1356
01:19:04.185 --> 01:19:06.565
So the axis Ms. Cotton was talked
1357
01:19:06.565 --> 01:19:08.165
about, talked about, sorry.
1358
01:19:08.555 --> 01:19:11.885
Yeah. Apologies. I I may have got my weeks slightly wrong.
1359
01:19:12.055 --> 01:19:13.725
Thank you. Well, obviously it's a,
1360
01:19:13.725 --> 01:19:14.725
it's slightly longer period,
1361
01:19:14.985 --> 01:19:17.845
but if you could set out the measures in any event.
1362
01:19:18.435 --> 01:19:20.725
Yeah. Um, so that we can be certain

```
1363
01:19:20.755 --> 01:19:22.125
that it's being considered
1364
01:19:22.505 --> 01:19:23.505
Mo Most definitely. I,
1365
01:19:23.505 --> 01:19:27.165
I think the point I was, um, trying to make was
1366
01:19:27.165 --> 01:19:28.845
that the actual operations that happening within
1367
01:19:28.845 --> 01:19:32.005
that land are, are of a, are a lot longer than three
1368
01:19:32.005 --> 01:19:34.285
to three to four months with regards to the two shafts
1369
01:19:34.285 --> 01:19:35.805
and the water beach pipeline.
1370
01:19:35.905 --> 01:19:37.765
So it, it is not for the full duration
1371
01:19:38.025 --> 01:19:40.045
of all the construction activities that are there.
1372
01:19:40.745 --> 01:19:42.405
Thanks. We've got that point. Thank you.
1373
01:19:43.615 --> 01:19:46.085
Let's move on to community then.
1374
01:19:46.345 --> 01:19:49.685
And, um, the first point was Cambridge City council's
1375
01:19:49.925 --> 01:19:54.565
response to EQ 2.7 0.8, which has been dealt
1376
01:19:54.565 --> 01:19:57.045
```

with in a additional submission.

1377
01:19:57.095 --> 01:19:59.685
There was a, I think a copy and paste error in there.
1378
01:20:00.025 --> 01:20:02.045
I'm afraid it was. Yes. I apologize for that, sir.
1379
01:20:02.065 --> 01:20:05.525
That's fine. Thank you. Next bullet point, um,

1380
01:20:06.025 --> 01:20:08.245
the effect on the Milton Traveler site.

1381
01:20:08.465 --> 01:20:12.765
Now obviously we've got a public sector equality duty to
1382
01:20:13.315 --> 01:20:15.445
discharge when we make a recommendation.
1383
01:20:16.105 --> 01:20:18.805
And in South Cams, LIR
1384
01:20:19.665 --> 01:20:23.725
it mentioned two traveler sites throughout the application
1385
01:20:24.085 --> 01:20:28.245
documentation, it's only dealt with one traveler site,
1386
01:20:28.245 --> 01:20:30.965
which was the Fen Road location, um,
1387
01:20:32.095 --> 01:20:33.725
gypsy Roma and Traveler.
1388
01:20:33.905 --> 01:20:36.085
So the whole, um, group there.
1389
01:20:36.625 --> 01:20:40.165
So was that a passing reference that we don't need

```
1390
01:20:40.165 --> 01:20:42.645
to concern ourselves with two Milton,
1391
01:20:43.265 --> 01:20:45.205
or is it something that has been missed?
1392
01:20:55.245 --> 01:20:56.445
I, I, I
1393
01:20:56.445 --> 01:20:58.325
Think we can let that one go, sir.
1394
01:20:58.575 --> 01:21:02.165
Thank you. If you wouldn't mind confirming that, we'll set
1395
01:21:02.165 --> 01:21:05.365
that as an action point for you to confirm whether
1396
01:21:06.105 --> 01:21:09.085
Milton Traveler site is something we, we don't need
1397
01:21:09.085 --> 01:21:10.245
to take account of please.
1398
01:21:10.945 --> 01:21:13.445
Um, so that we've got the trail
1399
01:21:13.465 --> 01:21:15.325
for our public sector quality duty.
1400
01:21:15.385 --> 01:21:16.485
Yes, sir. Thank you.
1401
01:21:18.975 --> 01:21:21.445
Third bullet point was an update on discussions
1402
01:21:21.445 --> 01:21:23.365
with the conservators of the river camp,
1403
01:21:23.365 --> 01:21:26.165
```

```
but hopefully we had an update yesterday
1404
01:21:26.185 --> 01:21:30.085
and I, I imagine there's nothing to add, um, today.
1405
01:21:30.385 --> 01:21:32.765
Not from our side, sir. No, thank you.
1406
01:21:33.785 --> 01:21:36.085
So the final point, and the community relates
1407
01:21:36.145 --> 01:21:39.805
to public rights of way and permissive paths,
1408
01:21:39.865 --> 01:21:43.045
and we partly touched on this again yesterday.
1409
01:21:44.545 --> 01:21:48.125
Um, in preparation for these hearings,
1410
01:21:48.235 --> 01:21:52.325
I've been looking over the application documentation,
1411
01:21:52.745 --> 01:21:57.155
and I have to say I was, I was quite confused
1412
01:21:57.255 --> 01:21:59.835
and had to read certain points several times
1413
01:22:00.585 --> 01:22:03.475
because it talks about, for example, um,
1414
01:22:04.295 --> 01:22:07.235
the landowner wouldn't agree to permissive access and
1415
01:22:07.235 --> 01:22:09.875
therefore it's going to be a public right of way.
1416
01:22:10.295 --> 01:22:12.795
And then there's other discussion of permissive routes,
```

```
1417
01:22:13.295 --> 01:22:18.055
and those accounts of discussions haven't been tied
1418
01:22:18.155 --> 01:22:21.575
to particular stretches of the network
1419
01:22:22.395 --> 01:22:23.575
in the documentation.
1420
01:22:25.275 --> 01:22:29.095
And I wondered whether it would be helpful for the Secretary
1421
01:22:29.095 --> 01:22:33.015
of State if we could have a final drawing that shows
1422
01:22:34.515 --> 01:22:38.415
the public right of way, any permissive routes,
1423
01:22:38.995 --> 01:22:41.655
and then any other routes that would be created.
1424
01:22:43.875 --> 01:22:47.095
And I'd just like to turn up, um,
1425
01:22:47.915 --> 01:22:49.055
the l now,
1426
01:22:49.055 --> 01:22:53.415
which is rep 5 0 6 2.
1427
01:22:56.245 --> 01:22:57.305
So let's just have a,
1428
01:23:02.805 --> 01:23:03.765
a quick look at this.
1429
01:23:28.255 --> 01:23:31.105
5 0 6 2, if you just bear with me as well.
1430
01:23:35.365 --> 01:23:38.345
```

And some way down the document, we've got, um,
1431
01:23:38.735 --> 01:23:43.025
some drawings which set out, um, walking routes.
1432
01:23:43.095 --> 01:23:44.785
I'll just remind myself where they are.
1433
01:23:50.295 --> 01:23:54.585
Here we go. So if we look at page 40 of 84 of that document,
1434
01:24:12.735 --> 01:24:13.505
have we got there?
1435
01:24:13.505 --> 01:24:18.225
Thank you. Um, let's just look at the, if we can see
1436
01:24:18.755 --> 01:24:23.185
where it, the box saying New crossing island on Hoey Road
1437
01:24:24.205 --> 01:24:24.425
is,
1438
01:24:30.005 --> 01:24:33.865
and we've got the, um, it's kind of a pinky magenta
1439
01:24:34.765 --> 01:24:39.145
broken line, which says pedestrian only controlled access.
1440
01:24:44.045 --> 01:24:47.505
And bear in mind that this also shows existing,
1441
01:24:48.445 --> 01:24:50.625
um, rights of way.
1442
01:24:50.725 --> 01:24:53.385
So we've got the, for example, a loop path,
1443
01:24:54.125 --> 01:24:58.225
but also it illustrates the, the public right of way.

```
1444
01:24:59.205 --> 01:25:03.245
And one matter that I was quite confused on
1445
01:25:03.245 --> 01:25:07.085
with this drawing, I think it's a presentational matter, is
1446
01:25:07.155 --> 01:25:10.565
that it illustrates everything but the permissive route.
1447
01:25:11.865 --> 01:25:15.885
So, you know, it's, it seems to be incomplete
1448
01:25:15.885 --> 01:25:19.165
with somebody who's looking for the answer on that.
1449
01:25:19.825 --> 01:25:24.445
And then if we just jump forward to cycling routes,
1450
01:25:28.115 --> 01:25:32.105
we've got that same point.
1451
01:25:33.785 --> 01:25:38.745
Um, the bit I highlighted that's labeled
1452
01:25:38.745 --> 01:25:42.385
as pedestrian only is shown as a cycleway.
1453
01:25:44.125 --> 01:25:47.145
So there's some, in my view, inconsistency
1454
01:25:47.145 --> 01:25:50.225
between the drawings that the previous one shouldn't say
1455
01:25:51.355 --> 01:25:52.905
pedestrian only, for example.
1456
01:25:53.525 --> 01:25:56.865
And I think that ought to be thoroughly checked
1457
01:25:56.865 --> 01:26:00.185
```

```
because clearly you have put in your application
1458
01:26:00.505 --> 01:26:02.785
documentation that you were relying on this as a benefit
1459
01:26:03.025 --> 01:26:05.565
of the Yes scheme. Yep.
1460
01:26:05.865 --> 01:26:07.485
Um, so it's important.
1461
01:26:07.945 --> 01:26:12.845
And, um, we will attend to that and put it in deadline six.
1462
01:26:13.695 --> 01:26:16.645
Thank you. We'll take that as an action point then, um,
1463
01:26:17.225 --> 01:26:21.165
to review the l and any other documents
1464
01:26:21.165 --> 01:26:22.605
that illustrate these roots.
1465
01:26:23.225 --> 01:26:26.405
Um, so, so if I may, Andrew p prior to the applicant,
1466
01:26:26.565 --> 01:26:28.925
I think it might be useful to us to produce
1467
01:26:29.545 --> 01:26:32.725
one further additional figure showing the legal status
1468
01:26:33.185 --> 01:26:34.285
of each of the paths.
1469
01:26:34.305 --> 01:26:38.165
So in addition to the proposed users, which these maps show
1470
01:26:38.725 --> 01:26:41.805
a separate one, showing those which are, um,
```

1471
01:26:42.175 --> 01:26:44.205
permissive within the learn area,
1472
01:26:44.265 - -> 01:26:46.085
and those which are rights of way,
1473
01:26:46.275 --> 01:26:47.965
That seems like a helpful addition.
1474
01:26:48.785 --> 01:26:51.485
Um, and it may be as well, um,
1475
01:26:54.305 --> 01:26:59.245
I'm just thinking aloud here that we don't necessarily need
1476
01:26:59.245 $\rightarrow$ 01:27:01.005
to amend all of the documentation.
1477
01:27:01.065 - 01:27:03.725
If you, if you do that, then we could have
1478
01:27:04.425 --> 01:27:07.645
one definitive map, you know, not the definitive map
1479
01:27:07.665 --> 01:27:10.765
of course, but a definitive map that we can refer
1480
01:27:10.785 --> 01:27:13.325
to in our report to the Secretary State.
1481
01:27:13.325 --> 01:27:14.765
Yes. Small D. Small M

1482
01:27:15.645 --> 01:27:16.645
Exactly.

1483
01:27:17.255 --> 01:27:20.645
Thank you. So that, that covers off, um, that point.
1484
01:27:21.385 $\rightarrow$ 01:27:25.485

```
The next bullet point relates to, I'm
1485
01:27:25.975 --> 01:27:27.525
Sorry, sir, I understand that Mr.
1486
01:27:27.885 --> 01:27:30.485
Ashman is online, who, who might be able to help
1487
01:27:30.485 --> 01:27:31.805
with this particular
1488
01:27:31.945 --> 01:27:32.945
Oh, right. I, I was gonna come
1489
01:27:32.945 --> 01:27:34.685
back to people at the end,
1490
01:27:34.705 --> 01:27:35.725
but, oh, sorry sir.
1491
01:27:35.725 --> 01:27:38.125
Well, if, if he's available now, let, let's see it from him.
1492
01:27:38.595 --> 01:27:39.925
That would be kind. Thank you.
1493
01:27:41.705 --> 01:27:43.245
Um, hello. Thank you, sir.
1494
01:27:43.265 --> 01:27:45.005
Um, it's Daniel Ashman, um, acting
1495
01:27:45.025 --> 01:27:46.125
for Cambridge County Council.
1496
01:27:46.745 --> 01:27:49.655
Um, again, in in advance of these hearings,
1497
01:27:49.655 --> 01:27:51.455
I've been looking back at previous representations
```

```
1498
01:27:51.455 --> 01:27:53.295
that have been made, um, by the county
1499
01:27:53.515 --> 01:27:55.575
and by interested user groups who,
1500
01:27:55.635 --> 01:27:58.215
who are obviously have a vested interest in the routes
1501
01:27:58.215 --> 01:28:00.815
that are being created, uh, as part of this development.
1502
01:28:01.435 --> 01:28:03.255
Um, and one representation
1503
01:28:03.405 --> 01:28:06.175
that I've come across is the British Horse Society,
1504
01:28:06.355 --> 01:28:09.775
who are very interested in, in the right
1505
01:28:09.795 --> 01:28:13.455
to access across the route labeled G on the map
1506
01:28:13.495 --> 01:28:15.575
that we are looking at here, the, the yellow dash line.
1507
01:28:16.355 --> 01:28:18.155
Um, there, there,
1508
01:28:18.375 --> 01:28:19.675
and I think one thing that came
1509
01:28:19.675 --> 01:28:21.155
to the county council is very keen on is having
1510
01:28:21.335 --> 01:28:24.035
as inclusive access as possible across new routes.
1511
01:28:24.615 --> 01:28:26.915
```

Um, and I wondered what the applicant's thoughts were on
1512
01:28:26.915 --> 01:28:30.075
providing equestrian access on the orange dash line.
1513
01:28:30.185 --> 01:28:32.075
That would be, to my understanding,
1514
01:28:32.155 --> 01:28:33.635
a permissive, a permissive path.
1515
01:28:34.885 --> 01:28:38.245
I think they've already responded to this previously,
1516
01:28:38.265 --> 01:28:40.565
but I'm quite happy for them to respond again.
1517
01:28:41.505 --> 01:28:42.965
Yes, sir. Thank you for the opportunity.
1518
01:28:43.105 --> 01:28:47.565
Um, we dealt with this at, uh, two consultations, uh, and,
1519
01:28:47.665 --> 01:28:49.325
and in previous representations,
1520
01:28:49.505 --> 01:28:53.165
but we don't believe that the, um,
1521
01:28:53.655 --> 01:28:56.845
equestrian traffic and particularly carriage traffic would
1522
01:28:56.845 --> 01:29:00.685
be compatible with those, uh, recreational uses on very on,
1523
01:29:00.685 --> 01:29:03.285
on relatively narrow permissive paths within the site.
1524
01:29:03.665 --> 01:29:08.245
So those internal permissive paths are primarily designed

```
1525
01:29:08.345 --> 01:29:10.925
for pedestrians and recreational cyclists.
1526
01:29:11.545 --> 01:29:15.445
Um, there are enhanced opportunities for equestrians users
1527
01:29:15.835 --> 01:29:18.045
through the new, new bridal way,
1528
01:29:18.465 --> 01:29:20.885
and the route that was referred to in the LRP
1529
01:29:20.945 --> 01:29:24.125
of the equestrian route provides an additional 10 kilometers
1530
01:29:24.125 --> 01:29:26.725
of equestrian facilities for those users.
1531
01:29:27.425 --> 01:29:32.365
Um, we felt that those, uh, more, um, uh,
1532
01:29:32.975 --> 01:29:36.125
those uses on site weren't really particularly compatible
1533
01:29:36.125 --> 01:29:37.445
with equestrian uses.
1534
01:29:37.615 --> 01:29:39.045
Thank you. And those narrow paths.
1535
01:29:39.505 --> 01:29:41.285
And Mr. Ashman, the, um,
1536
01:29:41.825 --> 01:29:45.645
the applicant confirmed in the last set of responses
1537
01:29:45.745 --> 01:29:47.405
to our questions that the
1538
01:29:47.925 --> 01:29:51.485
```

a 14 over bridge would now be adapted for use by equestrian,
1539
01:29:51.545 --> 01:29:54.885
so it joins up that route between the north and the South.
1540
01:29:55.705 --> 01:29:58.085
Um, and just while we're talking about that,
1541
01:29:58.105 --> 01:29:59.245
that's probably something
1542
01:29:59.245 --> 01:30:02.765
that could be usefully incorporated on the drawing that, um,
1543
01:30:03.105 --> 01:30:05.125
you are going to, to consolidate drawing.
1544
01:30:06.185 --> 01:30:07.685
Indeed, sir. We can do that.
1545
01:30:07.785 --> 01:30:09.045
And also noting of course,
1546
01:30:09.145 --> 01:30:11.565
the section 106 contribution that Mr .
1547
01:30:11.585 --> 01:30:16.125
Bowles referred to, to enhance safe equestrian access
1548
01:30:16.185 --> 01:30:17.205
to the area as well.
1549
01:30:17.335 --> 01:30:19.285
Thank you. Mr. Ashman, do you have anything else
1550
01:30:19.425 --> 01:30:20.805
to comment on there?
1551
01:30:21.585 --> 01:30:23.645
Not on that point. Thank you. Thank you.

```
1552
01:30:24.705 --> 01:30:26.365
Um, permissive paths,
1553
01:30:26.665 --> 01:30:28.325
and this is a point that, um,
1554
01:30:28.595 --> 01:30:30.725
safe Honey Hill Group have raised, I think a number
1555
01:30:30.725 --> 01:30:33.205
of times now relating
1556
01:30:33.345 --> 01:30:35.965
to the 30 year period for them.
1557
01:30:36.865 --> 01:30:40.245
And, um, we're also interested as an examining authority
1558
01:30:40.345 --> 01:30:43.365
how these would be secured for the 30 years.
1559
01:30:43.545 --> 01:30:45.925
So applicant, could you explain, please?
1560
01:30:47.505 --> 01:30:48.565
Yes, sir. Thank you.
1561
01:30:48.745 --> 01:30:50.885
Uh, Andrew Prior, the applicant, the intention is
1562
01:30:50.885 --> 01:30:52.485
that they're secured under the LRP
1563
01:30:52.705 --> 01:30:55.885
and the tables on, uh, delivery
1564
01:30:56.065 --> 01:30:58.005
and maintenance at the back end of the lrp.
1565
01:30:58.025 --> 01:31:00.485
```

The final line of each of those explains
1566
01:31:00.505 --> 01:31:03.165
how those paths will be first delivered
1567
01:31:03.165 --> 01:31:05.925
and then maintained for the period of 30 years.
1568
01:31:06.905 --> 01:31:09.205
Uh, within the DCO, there is no provision
1569
01:31:09.225 --> 01:31:12.565
for their maintenance, uh, after the 30 year period.
1570
01:31:12.835 --> 01:31:16.685
However, the applicant has a water undertaker is subject
1571
01:31:16.685 --> 01:31:18.645
to the provisions of the Water Industry Act
1572
01:31:19.185 --> 01:31:23.645
and the, uh, code of access for recreational access
1573
01:31:23.665 --> 01:31:28.245
to its land, uh, which, uh, urges undertakers
1574
01:31:28.245 --> 01:31:30.085
to maintain access to those land
1575
01:31:30.345 --> 01:31:31.485
and particularly refers
1576
01:31:31.485 --> 01:31:33.365
to permissive paths and access to paths.
1577
01:31:33.745 --> 01:31:36.165
And therefore we envisage that the access
1578
01:31:36.305 --> 01:31:38.845
to those paths would be maintained under those rights.

```
1579
01:31:39.305 --> 01:31:40.645
As is the case with, um,
1580
01:31:40.745 --> 01:31:43.205
the applicant's other facilities at Rutland Water Graph
1581
01:31:43.205 --> 01:31:46.845
and Water, and numerous other, uh, places across,
1582
01:31:46.985 --> 01:31:48.165
its, its catchment.
1583
01:31:48.375 --> 01:31:51.405
Sorry, could you just tell me the legislation you referred
1584
01:31:51.405 --> 01:31:52.405
To? It's in our
1585
01:31:52.405 --> 01:31:53.645
previous representation, sir,
1586
01:31:53.645 --> 01:31:55.205
but it's under the Water Industries Act,
1587
01:31:55.265 --> 01:31:58.445
and then there's a code of a, a code of con, sorry, code of
1588
01:31:59.165 --> 01:32:01.685
practice, which is secured under a separate statutory
1589
01:32:01.685 --> 01:32:03.845
instrument, which is also in our representation.
1590
01:32:04.585 --> 01:32:07.285
So if that's the intention, why don't you state
1591
01:32:07.285 --> 01:32:09.565
that it would be for the lifetime of the development?
1592
01:32:10.305 --> 01:32:12.605
```

Um, we could state that in the lrp, sir.
1593
01:32:12.665 --> 01:32:16.165
Um, but we didn't want to secure it under the DCO in the lm.
1594
01:32:16.465 --> 01:32:18.645
So it would be a more flexible approach
1595
01:32:18.665 --> 01:32:21.405
and consistent with, with the way the, uh,
1596
01:32:21.475 --> 01:32:24.245
governing legislation for the industry,
1597
01:32:24.705 --> 01:32:26.485
uh, sees it.
1598
01:32:26.775 --> 01:32:30.045
Thank you. And can I come back to county
1599
01:32:30.185 --> 01:32:35.045
and ask whether you have experience of permissive paths
1600
01:32:35.045 --> 01:32:37.805
and whether using the LRP would be a,
1601
01:32:38.125 --> 01:32:40.205
a satisfactory way to secure them?
1602
01:32:41.065 --> 01:32:43.205
That's Mr. Ashman again. Ashman. Thank you.
1603
01:32:45.175 --> 01:32:46.485
Thank you. Yes. Daniel Ashman
1604
01:32:46.485 --> 01:32:47.565
for Cambridge County Council.
1605
01:32:48.105 --> 01:32:52.205
Um, I think using the lrp, um, is an acceptable way forward.

1606
01:32:52.475 --> 01:32:54.485
It's, it's publicly documented, so that's,
1607
01:32:54.765 --> 01:32:55.885
that's very encouraging.
1608
01:32:56.225 --> 01:32:59.915
Um, what, what we do in Cambridge County Council
1609
01:32:59.945 --> 01:33:02.755
with Permissive Paths is, um, we look
1610
01:33:02.755 --> 01:33:06.995
to enter into agreements with landowners, um, regarding the,
1611
01:33:06.995 --> 01:33:08.755
the access that they're permitting across their land.
1612
01:33:09.295 --> 01:33:11.975
Um, one of the reasons we're doing is this, is
1613
01:33:11.975 --> 01:33:13.295
that we can then publish it
1614
01:33:13.315 --> 01:33:16.775
and make it available to the public via our website, um, so
1615
01:33:16.775 --> 01:33:19.655
that, you know, the public are well aware and,
1616
01:33:19.655 --> 01:33:21.175
and have, know that this opportunity
1617
01:33:21.235 --> 01:33:22.335
has become available to them.
1618
01:33:22.795 --> 01:33:26.335
Um, it also helps us to establish minimum standards
1619
01:33:26.435 --> 01:33:29.655
for provisions, um, you know, in in, in collaboration
1620
01:33:29.725 --> 01:33:30.775
with the landowner.
1621
01:33:31.235 --> 01:33:33.495
So I would be interested in, in pursuing that
1622
01:33:33.495 --> 01:33:37.895
with the landowner, um, with the applicant, um, post DCO,
1623
01:33:38.535 --> 01:33:39.555
if this was to be approved,
1624
01:33:40.215 --> 01:33:42.995
Is that something that you would, you are asking us to,
1625
01:33:43.895 --> 01:33:46.075
um, recommend to the Secretary of State
1626
01:33:46.335 --> 01:33:50.355
or to put in any documents or an amended $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{T}-0$ ?
1627
01:33:51.655 --> 01:33:53.835
Um, no, I don't, I don't think it needs to be,
1628
01:33:53.895 --> 01:33:54.915
um, that instructive.
1629
01:33:55.335 --> 01:33:57.715
Um, I think I would hope that angling water would,
1630
01:33:57.715 --> 01:33:59.675
would be prepared to work with Cambridge County Council on
1631
01:33:59.675 --> 01:34:01.195
good faith on that, on that sort of matter.
1632
01:34:02.495 --> 01:34:03.845
Thank you. Angling water?

1633
01:34:04.145 --> 01:34:07.005
Yes, sir. Thank you. Um, if, if it's of assistance there,
1634
01:34:07.065 --> 01:34:10.165
of course the LRP needs to be approved
1635
01:34:10.265 --> 01:34:11.885
by the relevant local authorities.
1636
01:34:11.915 --> 01:34:14.085
Yeah. And there was a provision for sharing the data
1637
01:34:15.145 --> 01:34:16.725
in there in terms of monitoring, so
1638
01:34:16.725 --> 01:34:19.405
that information would automatically pass to, um,
1639
01:34:20.305 --> 01:34:23.365
to the county to manage its recreational obligation.
1640
01:34:23.425 --> 01:34:25.445
So I don't think there'll be any problem with that.
1641
01:34:25.465 --> 01:34:27.685
And I think to some extent that's envisaged.
1642
01:34:28.075 --> 01:34:30.565
Also, I think issues like, um, compatibility of signage
1643
01:34:30.565 --> 01:34:34.365
and using the brown permissive, uh, path signage, again,
1644
01:34:34.665 --> 01:34:36.245
the council can have some control over
1645
01:34:36.245 --> 01:34:37.965
that compatibility with the wider network.
1646
01:34:38.535 --> 01:34:41.085

Thank you. And just so we are clear for our notes, where,
1647
01:34:41.265 --> 01:34:44.485
can you point us where exactly this is set out in the lump?
1648
01:34:44.645 --> 01:34:46.245
I think you said it was the final table?
1649
01:34:47.465 --> 01:34:48.965
Uh, yes, sir. It, uh,
1650
01:34:49.095 --> 01:34:50.445
let's see if I can find the document.
1651
01:34:50.475 --> 01:34:53.325
It's the, it's the two tables at the end, one on delivery
1652
01:34:53.345 --> 01:34:57.165
and one on, um, uh, maintenance.
1653
01:34:57.545 --> 01:34:58.565
I'm just scanning through.
1654
01:34:58.745 --> 01:35:02.285
Now again, we've put these in our, these references in our,
1655
01:35:02.305 --> 01:35:04.085
in our last, um, submission.
1656
01:35:04.315 --> 01:35:06.845
Yeah, I think, and from page 60,
1657
01:35:07.675 --> 01:35:09.885
this is management post planting, for example.
1658
01:35:10.065 --> 01:35:13.885
You, you talk about 30 years for maintenance and so on.
1659
01:35:14.745 --> 01:35:18.885
Um, let's have a look. Is that just for,

1660
01:35:19.665 --> 01:35:20.665
Uh, We've Got, there's
1661
01:35:20.665 --> 01:35:21.365
a delivery,
1662
01:35:21.835 --> 01:35:23.645
There's a delivery one as well, sir.
1663
01:35:23.825 --> 01:35:25.405
Um, okay. In
1664
01:35:26.075 --> 01:35:27.885
5.1, is that, Yes.

1665
01:35:27.905 --> 01:35:29.245
See, your hearing is better than mine.
1666
01:35:29.485 --> 01:35:32.045
A very loud whisper. Um,
1667
01:35:35.515 --> 01:35:36.575
so let's have a look.
1668
01:35:41.605 --> 01:35:45.985
So we've got page 72 furniture permissive paths,
1669
01:35:46.565 --> 01:35:48.745
and it's, it talks about twice a year, annually
1670
01:35:48.745 --> 01:35:50.665
for the first five years, and then every five
1671
01:35:50.665 --> 01:35:52.225
years after that.
1672
01:35:52.225 --> 01:35:57.105
And I think that might be where, um, you know,
1673
01:35:57.285 --> 01:36:00.065
at the head of that table, the first few rows, it says
1674
01:36:00.405 --> 01:36:02.545
for a minimum period of 30 years.
1675
01:36:02.645 --> 01:36:06.825
And that seems to be missing from that row there.
1676
01:36:08.285 --> 01:36:09.285
Um,
1677
01:36:09.585 --> 01:36:11.505
I will, I I will have a look through that, sir.
1678
01:36:11.505 --> 01:36:13.385
And just to ensure there's consistency Yes.
1679
01:36:13.385 --> 01:36:15.065
That, so that it confirms the 30 years.
1680
01:36:15.065 --> 01:36:17.065
And we'll also add in that additional reference
1681
01:36:17.065 --> 01:36:19.945
to the water industry act to say that would be envisaged
1682
01:36:19.945 --> 01:36:22.985
that thereafter it would be access would be
1683
01:36:23.185 --> 01:36:24.225
maintained through those provisions.
1684
01:36:24.335 --> 01:36:25.985
That would be really helpful. So we'll set that
1685
01:36:25.985 --> 01:36:27.905
as an action point to Thank you, sir.
1686
01:36:28.045 --> 01:36:30.905
Update the, um, thank you Mr. Gilder.

1687
01:36:31.035 --> 01:36:33.785
These questions have issued from your representations.
1688
01:36:33.815 --> 01:36:35.025
Have you got any further comments?
1689
01:36:36.325 --> 01:36:38.185
Um, yes, I I have one, sir.
1690
01:36:38.265 --> 01:36:39.585
I mean, you, you are well aware
1691
01:36:39.585 --> 01:36:43.345
that we've made representations on several occasions
1692
01:36:43.345 --> 01:36:47.465
that we think the, the 30 year cutoff for maintenance
1693
01:36:47.465 --> 01:36:51.505
of access, um, to the land around the works is an,
1694
01:36:51.525 --> 01:36:53.505
is an arbitrary, um, commitment.
1695
01:36:54.005 --> 01:36:57.305
Um, and that we believe that the commitment should be
1696
01:36:57.305 --> 01:36:58.385
to the lifetime of the works.
1697
01:36:58.845 --> 01:36:59.985
And I think I heard Mr.

1698
01:37:00.115 --> 01:37:02.625
Pryor suggest that he was, he was happy that
1699
01:37:02.625 --> 01:37:05.025
that 30 years changed to lifetime.
1700
01:37:05.085 --> 01:37:07.305

But perhaps I mis misheard what he said.
1701
01:37:08.325 --> 01:37:11.385
Uh, um, Mr. Pryor, uh, no, I, I, I, I said
1702
01:37:11.385 --> 01:37:13.905
that we were happy that thereafter the 30 year period,
1703
01:37:14.325 --> 01:37:15.545
the provisions of the code
1704
01:37:15.545 --> 01:37:18.225
of practice under the Water Industry Act would apply,
1705
01:37:18.435 --> 01:37:20.745
which would ordinarily allow those
1706
01:37:20.745 --> 01:37:22.225
permissive paths to be continued.
1707
01:37:23.255 --> 01:37:25.035
But there would be a number of operational reasons,
1708
01:37:25.095 --> 01:37:28.555
for example, antisocial behavior, other activities on site
1709
01:37:28.555 --> 01:37:31.235
that might not allow those permissive paths to continue.
1710
01:37:31.235 --> 01:37:32.315
But nonetheless, that code
1711
01:37:32.315 --> 01:37:34.395
of practice would continue to apply to that area.
1712
01:37:34.885 --> 01:37:38.105
Thank you. Um,
1713
01:37:38.625 --> 01:37:42.985
I think along the 30 year point, so we've, we've got, as far

1714
01:37:42.985 --> 01:37:45.145
as we're going to get, um, I'm
1715
01:37:45.815 --> 01:37:48.905
less comfortable than either the county council or Mr.
1716
01:37:49.115 --> 01:37:52.225
Pryor is with relying on the LM as a means
1717
01:37:52.225 --> 01:37:53.985
of enforcing permissive access.
1718
01:37:54.325 --> 01:37:57.805
Um, the county council suggests that they will make, um,
1719
01:37:58.185 --> 01:38:00.965
or in other circumstances would make an agreement
1720
01:38:00.965 --> 01:38:04.805
with the landowner concerning, um, the maintenance
1721
01:38:04.865 --> 01:38:07.405
or sustaining of that permissive access.
1722
01:38:07.925 --> 01:38:10.845
I think that would be, you know, probably an essential part
1723
01:38:10.845 --> 01:38:12.925
of the documentation over
1724
01:38:12.945 --> 01:38:15.885
and above any commitment that's in the LMP and the
1725
01:38:16.535 --> 01:38:17.525
Thank you. Well, we'll, and
1726
01:38:17.525 --> 01:38:19.685
In the code of Practice, we'll consider your comments
1727
01:38:19.815 --> 01:38:20.925

```
along with all of the other
1728
01:38:21.525 --> 01:38:22.885
representations we've heard today.
1 7 2 9
01:38:23.055 --> 01:38:26.365
Thank you. Final bullet point on community,
1730
01:38:27.535 --> 01:38:30.685
could we look at documents rep four
1731
01:38:31.755 --> 01:38:33.885
dash 0 2 8 please
1732
01:38:35.065 --> 01:38:38.565
and rep five dash 0 4 7?
1733
01:38:39.345 --> 01:38:43.365
So these are the community and transport chapters of the es.
1734
01:38:48.885 --> 01:38:51.985
The four seven is a, um, a track changes version.
1735
01:39:17.755 --> 01:39:18.665
We're just trying to find the
1736
01:39:18.665 --> 01:39:19.785
right person to answer this question,
1737
01:39:20.085 --> 01:39:21.085
Sir. Thank you.
1738
01:39:21.085 --> 01:39:21.085
1739
01:39:26.015 --> 01:39:27.015
Yeah.
1740
01:39:29.595 --> 01:39:32.375
Uh, Ian Scott, who is online is going to respond
```

```
1741
01:39:32.375 --> 01:39:33.415
to the questions on
1742
01:39:33.415 --> 01:39:34.415
This, sir. Thank you, Scott.
1743
01:39:34.415 --> 01:39:35.975
Um,
1744
01:39:38.365 --> 01:39:41.295
community chapter rep 4 0 2 8,
1745
01:39:41.645 --> 01:39:44.215
paragraph 4.2 0.37.
1746
01:39:46.045 --> 01:39:51.015
This is talking about public right of way 85 8 and 85 6.
1747
01:39:51.155 --> 01:39:54.575
So this is around about the outfall construction area,
1748
01:39:55.475 --> 01:39:58.415
and it says that despite the application of these measures,
1749
01:39:58.475 --> 01:39:59.975
the impact would remain major.
1750
01:40:01.035 --> 01:40:02.415
The residual effect
1751
01:40:02.415 --> 01:40:06.495
therefore remains as moderate adverse, which is significant
1752
01:40:08.075 --> 01:40:09.535
in the transport chapter.
1753
01:40:10.355 --> 01:40:13.415
So that's 5.047,
1754
01:40:15.405 --> 01:40:19.935
```

```
page 1 7 4, it lists residual effect,
1755
01:40:20.335 --> 01:40:21.615
temporary major significant,
1756
01:40:22.995 --> 01:40:25.095
and in this track changes version
1757
01:40:26.255 --> 01:40:27.575
moderate's been struck through.
1758
01:40:27.835 --> 01:40:30.815
So there's a difference in the effects
1759
01:40:31.035 --> 01:40:34.135
as they've been assessed in the transport
1760
01:40:34.235 --> 01:40:37.415
and the community chapters of the es,
1761
01:40:38.595 --> 01:40:43.255
Uh, Uh,
1762
01:40:43.655 --> 01:40:44.895
Ian Scott for the applicant.
1763
01:40:45.755 --> 01:40:50.615
So my understanding from a, the community perspective is
1764
01:40:50.615 --> 01:40:52.175
that our assessments are different.
1765
01:40:52.875 --> 01:40:57.125
So, so whilst they're looking at the same, uh, change
1766
01:40:57.345 --> 01:41:00.765
and the same resource, they look at the two assessments,
1767
01:41:00.765 --> 01:41:02.365
look at them from different, uh,
```

1768
01:41:02.365 --> 01:41:03.965
perspectives or through different lenses.
1769
01:41:04.855 --> 01:41:06.285
Thank you. Um,
1770
01:41:07.265 --> 01:41:08.265
It would be helpful.
1771
01:41:08.365 --> 01:41:10.165
I don't want to create too much work for the applicant,
1772
01:41:10.225 --> 01:41:14.725
but to have a brief, um, description of that perhaps
1773
01:41:14.825 --> 01:41:18.405
as an action point, we can just ask for clarification as
1774
01:41:18.405 - 01:41:21.725
to why there's a different effect in two different chapters.
1775
01:41:22.465 --> 01:41:26.285
Um, and again, this helps with us reporting the effect
1776
01:41:26.385 --> 01:41:28.205
and also assessing them.
1777
01:41:28.305 --> 01:41:30.885
The, the related question though is
1778
01:41:31.705 --> 01:41:35.605
how do we weigh it in the balance without double counting?
1779
01:41:37.385 --> 01:41:40.765
And I wonder if that's something also to pick up in
1780
01:41:40.765 --> 01:41:41.845
that action point so
1781
$01: 41: 41.845 \rightarrow 01: 41: 43.925$
that you can have some time to think about it.
1782
01:41:48.425 --> 01:41:51.485
Yes, sir. We'll, um, attend to that
1783
01:41:51.945 --> 01:41:54.205
and those will come in at stage six.
1784
01:41:54.375 --> 01:41:57.805
Thank you. We've reached the end of the
1785
01:41:58.385 --> 01:41:59.885
points I had in community.
1786
01:41:59.915 --> 01:42:02.925
Does anybody else in the room have any additional
1787
01:42:02.925 --> 01:42:04.125
points they'd like to make?
1788
01:42:07.365 --> 01:42:09.545
No. And is there anybody online?
1789
01:42:13.745 --> 01:42:14.745
I can't see anybody.
1790
01:42:15.285 --> 01:42:18.985
Um, just before we proceed, it's 10,
1791
01:42:19.095 --> 01:42:20.625
it's approaching 10 past one.
1792
01:42:20.995 --> 01:42:22.545
Would you like to break for lunch
1793
01:42:22.605 --> 01:42:25.105
or would you, like we could break for a longer lunch,
1794
01:42:25.405 --> 01:42:29.305
or would you like to get health outta the way?

1795
01:42:29.305 --> 01:42:31.305
There's not many questions on that. I,
1796
01:42:31.465 --> 01:42:33.425
I I think it would be nice to, uh,
1797
01:42:33.425 --> 01:42:34.585
bash through health. Yes.
1798
01:42:35.325 --> 01:42:37.385
Is everybody else happy with that? If people can.
1799
01:42:37.525 --> 01:42:39.105
Um, the reason I'm asking is
1800
01:42:39.105 $\rightarrow$ 01:42:41.985
because of course people who don't need to stay Yes.
1801
01:42:41.985 --> 01:42:43.905
For our discussion on transport. Exactly.
1802
01:42:43.905 --> 01:42:47.065
Then leave and you could have a longer lunch. Yes. Yeah,
1803
01:42:47.095 --> 01:42:48.585
That would be very helpful, sir. Thank
1804
01:42:48.585 --> 01:42:49.585
You. Thank you.
1805
01:42:49.585 --> 01:42:50.425
Right.

1806
01:42:50.565 --> 01:42:54.825
So first bullet point of health, which relates
1807
01:42:54.965 --> 01:42:59.585
to document REP five dash 034.
1808
01:43:04.115 $\rightarrow$ 01:43:05.505

We've got, um,
1809
01:43:07.365 --> 01:43:09.905
and this is a for example reference,
1810
01:43:10.245 --> 01:43:12.585
so there may well be other references in the document.
1811
01:43:13.195 --> 01:43:17.425
We've got a mismatch between the, um, for example,
1812
01:43:17.525 --> 01:43:20.745
the community liaison framework plan, soil management plan,
1813
01:43:21.625 --> 01:43:25.665
outlined decommissioning strategy between what's said in
1814
01:43:25.665 --> 01:43:28.145
that chapter and what the names of the documents
1815
01:43:28.145 --> 01:43:29.425
that have been submitted are.
1816
01:43:30.885 --> 01:43:35.145
Um, so that may well be just an action point. Yes. Yep.
1817
01:43:35.195 --> 01:43:39.545
Thank you. So action point to review health to ensure
1818
01:43:39.545 --> 01:43:42.345
that documents mitigation, documents accord
1819
01:43:42.345 --> 01:43:43.505
with the submitted names.
1820
01:43:46.735 --> 01:43:51.645
Yeah. Thank you. Um, do you think it's worth extending
1821
01:43:51.675 --> 01:43:55.045
that to other parts of the es?

```
1822
01:43:57.585 --> 01:43:59.365
Um, I'm not going to turn around
1823
01:43:59.365 --> 01:44:00.645
and take instructions on this.
1824
01:44:00.745 --> 01:44:01.925
I'm going to go out on my own
1825
01:44:01.945 --> 01:44:03.845
and say, yes, thank you. I do think so.
1826
01:44:04.075 --> 01:44:06.445
Well, we'll amend the action point accordingly. Thank you.
1827
01:44:08.745 --> 01:44:12.325
And one final point, um, again,
1828
01:44:12.395 --> 01:44:15.405
I've reviewed this in detail for these hearings,
1829
01:44:15.545 --> 01:44:18.485
the mental health wellbeing impact assessment.
1830
01:44:19.545 --> 01:44:22.645
And I don't have any questions, but I'm raising it
1831
01:44:22.645 --> 01:44:25.605
because of course, um, quite a few number, sorry,
1832
01:44:25.605 --> 01:44:27.845
quite a number of local people raised mental
1833
01:44:27.845 --> 01:44:29.685
health as a concern.
1834
01:44:30.465 --> 01:44:33.245
And I'd like to find out whether there are any further
1835
01:44:33.565 --> 01:44:36.045
```

comments that anybody would like to make.
1836
01:44:36.205 --> 01:44:38.565
I believe that the, the councils,
1837
01:44:38.745 --> 01:44:40.445
so perhaps we can go to you first.
1838
01:44:41.425 --> 01:44:45.005
The councils are now happy with both the methodology
1839
01:44:45.465 --> 01:44:48.125
and the proposed mitigation for mental health.
1840
01:44:48.705 --> 01:44:50.445
But could we hear from you please?
1841
01:44:51.545 --> 01:44:55.565
So I, we have Mr. Green from county
1842
01:44:56.105 --> 01:44:57.725
to give a few comments on that
1843
01:44:57.745 --> 01:44:59.925
and then I'll just turn to the district council.
1844
01:45:00.185 --> 01:45:01.645
Yes, of course. Thank you, Mr. Green.
1845
01:45:03.545 --> 01:45:05.085
Uh, yes, thank you, sir.
1846
01:45:05.305 --> 01:45:06.445
So, Ian Green, uh,
1847
01:45:06.445 --> 01:45:07.925
Cambridge County Council of Public Health.
1848
01:45:08.385 --> 01:45:11.685
Uh, so yes, we're happy with methodology for the mental, uh,

1849
01:45:11.715 --> 01:45:13.285
wellbeing, uh, assessment.
1850
01:45:13.785 --> 01:45:18.365
Uh, I think our concerns were, uh, to add some narrative as
1851
01:45:18.365 $\rightarrow$ 01:45:20.245
to why the assessment appeared to be half finished.
1852
01:45:20.705 --> 01:45:22.725
Uh, it's not half finished, it is complete.
1853
01:45:22.825 --> 01:45:25.485
It was only because you get to a certain stage
1854
01:45:25.485 $\rightarrow$ 01:45:27.445
of the assessment and if your, uh,
1855
01:45:27.535 --> 01:45:29.805
assessment is negative, you then don't proceed.
1856
01:45:30.025 --> 01:45:31.525
So we just wanted some clarification
1857
01:45:31.525 --> 01:45:32.925
for the applicant that that is the case.
1858
01:45:33.585 ——> 01:45:36.285
Um, the one gap we have identified comes back
1859
01:45:36.285 --> 01:45:39.085
to the earlier point regarding, uh, travelers, um,
1860
01:45:39.775 --> 01:45:42.285
throughout the equality of what assessment
1861
01:45:42.745 --> 01:45:44.885
and the mental health impact assessment, uh,
1862
01:45:44.885 --> 01:45:46.045
and the health input assessment.
1863
01:45:46.345 --> 01:45:48.605
Uh, travelers only gets one mention, uh,
1864
01:45:48.625 --> 01:45:51.125
and we think they are a hard to reach group,
1865
01:45:51.265 --> 01:45:54.485
but we know they suffer, um, poor health outcomes compared
1866
01:45:54.485 --> 01:45:56.685
to the rest population, uh, around working
1867
01:45:56.685 --> 01:45:58.405
with the applicant to address that issue
1868
01:45:58.405 --> 01:46:01.485
to make sure they are probably, uh, scoped into
1869
01:46:01.485 --> 01:46:04.845
that assessment and that their views are heard either
1870
01:46:04.905 --> 01:46:07.365
as part of health or as part of mental health
1871
01:46:07.545 --> 01:46:10.925
or as part of, uh, the community, uh, work.
1872
01:46:11.485 --> 01:46:12.725
'cause they are a disadvantaged group.
1873
01:46:12.905 --> 01:46:16.045
And we have two travelers sites, as you're aware, very close
1874
01:46:16.105 --> 01:46:17.245
to the assisting site.
1875
01:46:17.545 --> 01:46:20.045
So we have the Milton Road Traveler site, one side

1876
01:46:20.045 --> 01:46:21.405
of the A 14, uh,
1877
01:46:21.585 --> 01:46:24.565
and we have the Fen Road traveler site, um,
1878
01:46:24.695 --> 01:46:25.805
south of the A 14.
1879
01:46:26.535 --> 01:46:29.885
Thank you. We had clarification from, um,
1880
01:46:30.545 --> 01:46:33.925
it was from South Cambridge District Council in, um,
1881
01:46:34.685 --> 01:46:39.445
response to e ex Q2, I believe our last set
1882
01:46:39.445 --> 01:46:43.805
of questions that the traveler liaison officer at South Cams
1883
01:46:43.985 --> 01:46:48.285
had engaged, um, on a number of occasions with the,
1884
01:46:48.705 --> 01:46:51.205
the local Gypsy Roma traveler population.
1885
01:46:51.825 --> 01:46:53.965
Um, but there'd been a low response rate.
1886
01:46:54.825 --> 01:46:59.765
Um, and the, the pre-application advice, sorry, the,
1887
01:46:59.785 --> 01:47:03.725
the pre-submission consultation response has also, um,
1888
01:47:03.725 --> 01:47:06.245
picked upon the, the disadvantaged group
1889
01:47:06.245 --> 01:47:07.405
that you were talking about.
1890
01:47:08.265 --> 01:47:12.445
Um, we've as the ex ave reviewed all of that very carefully,
1891
01:47:12.505 --> 01:47:15.125
as you're aware, um, to make sure
1892
01:47:15.155 --> 01:47:17.125
that this group has been included.
1893
01:47:18.045 --> 01:47:21.965
I wonder whether it's worth going over to South Cams just
1894
01:47:21.985 --> 01:47:24.605
to consider because they've been the ones in effect
1895
01:47:25.185 --> 01:47:29.765
who have been managing the liaison at their own request
1896
01:47:30.265 --> 01:47:31.925
to, to brief you on that,
1897
01:47:33.585 --> 01:47:34.925
If I may before you do that.
1898
01:47:35.025 --> 01:47:36.085
Oh, sorry. Yeah sir.
1899
01:47:36.705 --> 01:47:40.125
Uh, so I, I think the traveler liaison office
1900
01:47:40.125 --> 01:47:41.205
at South Cams is fine.
1901
01:47:41.685 --> 01:47:43.965
I think there are, I've other avenues
1902
01:47:43.965 --> 01:47:45.325
that would give a better response.

```
1903
01:47:45.865 --> 01:47:48.725
So third sector charities for example, the Almon Trust
1904
01:47:48.725 --> 01:47:49.925
who works with that traveler group
1905
01:47:50.305 --> 01:47:51.485
may get a better response.
1906
01:47:51.945 --> 01:47:55.885
Um, I think it's difficult when, uh, travelers, um,
1907
01:47:55.985 --> 01:47:58.285
are working with uh, regulatory bodies.
1908
01:47:58.785 --> 01:48:00.925
Um, they get it tend to get a different view
1909
01:48:00.925 --> 01:48:02.685
and sometimes working with a third sector
1910
01:48:03.105 --> 01:48:04.405
engage engages that group better.
1911
01:48:04.705 --> 01:48:06.845
So not to say one is is wrong, uh,
1912
01:48:06.865 --> 01:48:09.325
but I think there are other avenues to use
1913
01:48:09.785 --> 01:48:11.485
to get a better response rate from that group.
1914
01:48:12.255 --> 01:48:13.725
Thank you. That's understood.
1915
01:48:13.825 --> 01:48:15.565
So let's go to South Cams
1916
01:48:15.565 --> 01:48:17.325
```

and then we can invite comments from the applicant.
1917
01:48:18.265 --> 01:48:20.645
Um, thank you Sir Chen Taringa on behalf
1918
01:48:20.645 --> 01:48:24.605
of South Cambridge, we've been engaging with the applicant
1919
01:48:24.865 --> 01:48:28.805
and the county council on the, um,
1920
01:48:29.205 --> 01:48:32.125
I think the revised, uh, gypsy
1921
01:48:32.125 --> 01:48:34.165
and traveler, um, liaison plan
1922
01:48:34.165 --> 01:48:35.805
that the applicant I believe will be
1923
01:48:35.805 --> 01:48:37.125
submitting a deadline six.
1924
01:48:37.785 --> 01:48:40.725
And we believe that um, the wording
1925
01:48:40.725 --> 01:48:42.565
that the applica the applicant is going
1926
01:48:42.565 --> 01:48:44.565
to be bringing forward is acceptable to us.
1927
01:48:44.905 --> 01:48:48.765
And we do agree with, um, the county's position in terms
1928
01:48:48.765 --> 01:48:51.805
of perhaps using a different avenue in terms of engaging
1929
01:48:51.805 --> 01:48:52.885
with the hard to reach groups

```
1930
01:48:53.065 --> 01:48:54.765
Or is it an additional avenue? Yeah,
1 9 3 1
01:48:54.765 --> 01:48:56.245
Exactly. Yes. An additional avenue.
1932
01:48:56.865 --> 01:48:59.445
So that would still be the, the TLO,
1933
01:48:59.445 --> 01:49:00.725
the traveler liaison officer,
1934
01:49:00.985 --> 01:49:01.985
Yes. And then that would
1935
01:49:01.985 --> 01:49:04.365
be an additional, additional, um, then a
1936
01:49:04.365 --> 01:49:05.765
Third sector representative?
1937
01:49:05.765 --> 01:49:06.925
That is correct, yes. Um,
1938
01:49:07.025 --> 01:49:09.045
and you mentioned, uh, uh,
1939
01:49:09.485 --> 01:49:12.525
GRT Liaison Planet deadline six. There is
1940
01:49:12.525 --> 01:49:16.125
That, I understand the applicant will be submitting
1941
01:49:16.125 --> 01:49:17.205
that a deadline six,
1942
01:49:17.285 --> 01:49:19.405
but perhaps the applicant would like to comment on that.
1943
01:49:19.505 --> 01:49:22.205
```

And Oh, just before I go to them, are you satisfied
1944
01:49:22.225 --> 01:49:24.685
as an authority that your public sectoral quality
1945
01:49:24.835 --> 01:49:26.005
duty has been addressed?
1946
01:49:26.185 --> 01:49:27.285
Yes, we are. Thank you.
1947
01:49:27.865 --> 01:49:29.245
So over to the applicant please.
1948
01:49:30.645 --> 01:49:33.785
Yes. Um, Sophie Stevenson, uh, can reply on this
1949
01:49:35.605 --> 01:49:37.465
Sophie Stevenson for the applicant.
1950
01:49:37.965 --> 01:49:41.225
Um, so we had a meeting with Ian Green
1951
01:49:41.405 --> 01:49:44.705
and David Naughton where we discussed this issue with them.
1952
01:49:45.245 --> 01:49:48.805
Um, in response to that, we update, we've provide, sorry, we
1953
01:49:49.005 --> 01:49:51.925
provided some updated wording to them for approval,
1954
01:49:52.615 --> 01:49:57.165
which is a update to section four, um, table,
1955
01:49:57.445 --> 01:49:59.005
I believe it's 6.1
1956
01:49:59.385 --> 01:50:02.845
and the appendices, which states out all the organizations

```
1957
01:50:02.945 --> 01:50:04.245
for the hard to reach groups
1958
01:50:04.505 --> 01:50:07.445
Of which document Of the community liaison plan.
1959
01:50:07.455 --> 01:50:11.645
Thank you. Um, to include the Ormiston trust, which is the,
1960
01:50:11.825 --> 01:50:14.525
um, preferred option for facilitating
1961
01:50:14.905 --> 01:50:16.605
for the traveler communities.
1962
01:50:17.085 --> 01:50:19.005
I wonder if, have you checked with Ormiston trust
1963
01:50:19.005 --> 01:50:21.525
that they'd be happy to be involved in this?
1964
01:50:22.145 --> 01:50:24.765
No, I haven't. I wonder if yeah, I'll be doing that. Yes.
1965
01:50:24.835 --> 01:50:27.765
Well, I wonder if it's worth saying the and trust or
1966
01:50:28.065 --> 01:50:30.245
or another third sector to be agreed.
1967
01:50:30.315 --> 01:50:31.685
Yeah, just conscious
1968
01:50:31.685 --> 01:50:33.845
of time we've got left in the examination as well.
1969
01:50:34.865 --> 01:50:36.885
Um, thank you for that explanation.
1970
01:50:36.985 --> 01:50:39.885
```

So back to, um, county Mr. Green.
1971
01:50:39.945 --> 01:50:41.885
Oh, sorry, just who's Mr. Norton?
1972
01:50:42.175 --> 01:50:45.565
David Norton you mentioned, is that
1973
01:50:45.565 --> 01:50:47.085
Yeah, uh, David Norton.
1974
01:50:47.165 --> 01:50:48.165
I think he's county.
1975
01:50:48.825 --> 01:50:51.525
County, county. Okay. Thank you Mr. Green.
1976
01:50:53.345 --> 01:50:55.925
Yes, that's fine. So David Norton works to me, uh,
1977
01:50:55.925 --> 01:50:57.165
in public health, um,
1978
01:50:57.585 --> 01:50:59.045
and yes, we're happy with that response,
1979
01:50:59.155 --> 01:51:01.125
will make a correspondence out the next deadline.
1980
01:51:01.665 --> 01:51:03.015
Thank you. And on that basis,
1981
01:51:03.305 --> 01:51:07.015
would you have any further public sector quality duty
1982
01:51:07.135 --> 01:51:09.535
concerns on the parts of the county council?
1983
01:51:11.715 --> 01:51:13.255
Not from public health point of view, no.

```
1984
01:51:13.785 --> 01:51:15.615
Thank you. Um,
1985
01:51:15.995 --> 01:51:18.415
that's all the questions I have on that topic.
1986
01:51:18.675 --> 01:51:21.615
So, um, Ms. Cotton, you've got your hand up.
1987
01:51:22.375 --> 01:51:25.615
I just thought it was important to, uh, uh, uh, comment
1988
01:51:25.645 --> 01:51:29.015
that the whole process has actually been incredibly, uh,
1989
01:51:29.415 --> 01:51:31.575
stressful for the local community affecting their mental
1990
01:51:32.035 --> 01:51:33.295
and physical, uh, health.
1991
01:51:33.315 --> 01:51:35.535
And it's been drawn out over a long, very long period
1992
01:51:35.535 --> 01:51:36.855
of time starting off during covid,
1993
01:51:36.905 --> 01:51:38.455
which was not easy at all.
1994
01:51:38.875 --> 01:51:43.655
And that there will be absolutely, uh, uh, the, uh,
1995
01:51:43.675 --> 01:51:45.175
the community's sense of place
1996
01:51:45.195 --> 01:51:47.855
and wellbeing is significantly negatively
1997
01:51:48.335 --> 01:51:49.775
```

```
impacted, uh, in the long term.
1998
01:51:50.435 --> 01:51:54.015
Um, and there will be absolutely no benefit to any anybody,
1999
01:51:54.195 --> 01:51:57.575
no tangible benefit at all with increased noise pollution,
2000
01:51:57.575 --> 01:51:58.975
light pollution, odor, pollution,
2001
01:51:58.975 --> 01:52:02.375
pollution from increased traffic, uh, uh, increased danger
2002
01:52:02.395 --> 01:52:04.615
to the children attending the local primary school.
2003
01:52:05.195 --> 01:52:08.215
Um, and it won't even alleviate the, uh,
2004
01:52:08.215 --> 01:52:10.015
housing crisis in Cambridge.
2005
01:52:10.015 --> 01:52:12.615
And, uh, facilitate, uh, our young families being able
2006
01:52:12.615 --> 01:52:13.855
to live here more affordably
2007
01:52:13.855 --> 01:52:16.575
because there'll be an increased housing deficit as a rebo
2008
01:52:16.715 --> 01:52:18.215
as a result of this development
2009
01:52:18.445 --> 01:52:20.255
that this will be, uh, facilitating.
2010
01:52:20.715 --> 01:52:22.815
So I just think it's very important to clock that,
```

```
2011
01:52:22.845 --> 01:52:26.375
that we have been, uh, very negatively, uh, impacted
2012
01:52:26.635 --> 01:52:28.015
and um,
2013
01:52:28.115 --> 01:52:30.615
and I think that needs to be taken into account. Thank
2014
01:52:30.615 --> 01:52:31.615
You so much. Thank, I
2015
01:52:31.615 --> 01:52:33.935
think that reflects, um, written submissions
2016
01:52:34.135 --> 01:52:35.375
that we've already had
2017
01:52:36.155 --> 01:52:39.895
and we've sought to examine areas that weren't clear
2018
01:52:39.915 --> 01:52:42.695
to the examining authority on those points.
2019
01:52:42.995 --> 01:52:46.645
And we will be taking into account all representations in,
2020
01:52:46.785 --> 01:52:47.965
in preparing our report.
2021
01:52:48.145 --> 01:52:49.685
So thank you for that comment.
2022
01:52:49.825 --> 01:52:53.285
Is there anything else that anybody would like to, to say?
2023
01:52:53.435 --> 01:52:58.025
I'll go to online? No. Well thank you.
2024
01:52:58.025 --> 01:53:01.625
```

There's no further comment on that topic on the agenda.
2025
01:53:01.805 --> 01:53:04.865
So before we adjourn for lunch, um,
2026
01:53:06.165 --> 01:53:09.505
the post-lunch session will be more
2027
01:53:09.505 --> 01:53:11.385
or less an administrative session
2028
01:53:12.405 --> 01:53:16.465
and people um, may wish to leave, um,
2029
01:53:17.165 --> 01:53:19.065
at lunchtime rather than staying for that.
2030
01:53:19.505 --> 01:53:22.505
Everyone's of course, welcome. I'm just raising it in case,
2031
01:53:23.165 --> 01:53:26.185
um, people feel disappointed that we're not discussing any
2032
01:53:26.205 --> 01:53:28.585
of the, the topics on the agenda.
2033
01:53:29.405 --> 01:53:33.025
Um, so we'll break for a longer period.
2034
01:53:33.485 --> 01:53:36.945
How long do you think would be helpful to you, Ms. Ellis?
2035
01:53:41.805 --> 01:53:44.865
An hour. An hour. We think an hour would be helpful.
2036
01:53:45.275 --> 01:53:48.225
There is this little, um, point from John Bowles,
2037
01:53:48.255 --> 01:53:49.665
this little point of correction.

```
2038
01:53:49.725 --> 01:53:53.065
Yep. Before, um, possibly people disappear.
2 0 3 9
01:53:53.065 --> 01:53:55.505
Should we take that now and then if
2040
01:53:55.505 --> 01:53:57.065
You would be so good sir? Yes.
2041
01:53:57.215 --> 01:54:02.065
Perhaps we can adjourn to two 30. Yes. Yeah. Thank you Mr.
2042
01:54:02.245 --> 01:54:03.785
Bowles. Thank you sir.
2043
01:54:03.875 --> 01:54:05.745
Thank you. John Bowles, the applicant.
2044
01:54:06.285 --> 01:54:10.825
Um, yesterday in, at, in a, uh, agenda item two,
2045
01:54:11.315 --> 01:54:15.985
which was the, uh, session on the draft DCO, there was a,
2046
01:54:16.445 --> 01:54:20.905
um, series of questions, uh, raised regarding the sizing
2047
01:54:20.925 --> 01:54:23.465
of the car parking requirement, um,
2048
01:54:24.245 --> 01:54:27.505
and the provisions that are made within the draft ECO
2049
01:54:27.605 --> 01:54:31.305
for parking and, uh, those related
2050
01:54:31.565 --> 01:54:35.625
or overlapped the discussion around associated development.
2051
01:54:37.005 --> 01:54:39.785
```

```
So I have taken an action away to produce a,
2052
01:54:40.185 --> 01:54:41.625
a note which sets out
2053
01:54:41.645 --> 01:54:44.745
and breaks down the requirement for parking, um,
2054
01:54:45.005 --> 01:54:46.905
for the different component parts of act
2055
01:54:46.905 --> 01:54:48.425
of the activities on site.
2056
01:54:49.365 --> 01:54:52.665
In the course of preparing that note, which isn't ready now,
2057
01:54:52.765 --> 01:54:55.745
but will be, uh, submitted a deadline six.
2058
01:54:56.525 --> 01:54:59.665
Um, there is a discrepancy in
2059
01:55:00.485 --> 01:55:02.305
the project description.
2060
01:55:03.125 --> 01:55:06.345
Um, there is a table 2.23
2061
01:55:07.285 --> 01:55:09.345
in chapter two of the ES,
2062
01:55:10.115 --> 01:55:12.465
which is rep 4 0 2 2,
2063
01:55:12.715 --> 01:55:16.785
Table 2.3, Table 2.23
2064
01:55:16.785 --> 01:55:18.265
20, sorry, I beg your pardon. Thank
```

```
2065
01:55:18.265 --> 01:55:19.265
You. Uh, rep
2066
01:55:19.265 --> 01:55:20.905
4 0 22.
2067
01:55:22.285 --> 01:55:25.865
And that, um, has effectively I, uh,
2068
01:55:25.995 --> 01:55:27.905
lists the total number of parking spaces.
2069
01:55:30.365 --> 01:55:33.705
It emits the two disabled parking spaces at the front
2070
01:55:33.705 --> 01:55:36.065
of the building in that table now
2071
01:55:36.415 --> 01:55:41.025
that those spaces are identified in, um,
2072
01:55:42.055 --> 01:55:43.925
part 18 of the draft ECO.
2073
01:55:44.025 --> 01:55:45.565
So it's an omission, if you like,
2074
01:55:45.565 --> 01:55:46.965
in the project description table.
2075
01:55:47.465 --> 01:55:48.805
And so I will flag that
2076
01:55:48.905 --> 01:55:51.485
and we will make that correction to the project description.
2077
01:55:51.735 --> 01:55:53.485
Thank you. Does that affect any part
2078
01:55:53.485 --> 01:55:54.565
```

of the transport assessment?
2079
01:55:54.905 --> 01:55:56.205
No, it does not, Sir. Thank you.
2080
01:55:56.775 --> 01:55:58.245
Thank you for that clarification.
2081
01:55:58.785 --> 01:56:01.765
And um, hopefully that will be picked up along

2082
01:56:01.765 --> 01:56:03.805
with any other ar when you
2083
01:56:04.385 --> 01:56:06.405
do your final submission of documents.
2084
01:56:07.435 --> 01:56:11.285
Yeah. Thank you. Well, lets thank you for taking that now.
2085
01:56:11.495 --> 01:56:15.165
Thank you. Let's adjourn for lunch now until two 30.
2086
01:56:16.255 --> 01:56:16.685
Thank you.

