

WEBVTT – This file was automatically generated by VIMEO

0

00:00:00.705 --> 00:00:03.965

25 minutes past three, and this hearing is resumed.

1

00:00:05.315 --> 00:00:07.365

I'll be moving on to agenda item six,

2

00:00:07.415 --> 00:00:09.005

which is water Resources.

3

00:00:11.245 --> 00:00:14.425

The Environment Agency submitted a letter yesterday

4

00:00:14.535 --> 00:00:16.705

regarding the, uh, flood risk assessment

5

00:00:16.725 --> 00:00:19.185

or FRA, which has been published on the website.

6

00:00:19.935 --> 00:00:21.585

This, again, raises concerns

7

00:00:21.585 --> 00:00:23.985

regarding additional flood risk on third party land

8

00:00:24.005 --> 00:00:25.705

as a result of the proposed development

9

00:00:25.925 --> 00:00:29.745

and lack of mitigation measures for the benefit of the room.

10

00:00:29.765 --> 00:00:32.145

And in, in case any ips have not yet had chance

11

00:00:32.145 --> 00:00:34.465

to review the letter, could the Environment Agency briefly

12

00:00:34.465 --> 00:00:35.585

outline their concerns?

13

00:00:38.305 --> 00:00:41.095

Thank you, Madam. Um, Neville, Ben Environment Agency.

14

00:00:41.325 --> 00:00:43.735

I'll hand you over to our flat lead, Louise Foreman.

15

00:00:43.825 --> 00:00:44.825

Thank you.

16

00:00:48.095 --> 00:00:50.435

Uh, Louise Foreman from the Environment Agency.

17

00:00:51.295 --> 00:00:54.875

Um, yeah, so our main concern is that, um,

18

00:00:56.835 --> 00:00:59.655

ait we understand that a mitigation strategy is no longer

19

00:00:59.655 --> 00:01:04.575

being proposed by, um, to be included in

20

00:01:05.585 --> 00:01:06.905

a revised flood risk assessment.

21

00:01:08.045 --> 00:01:12.185

Um, the modeling that has been undertaken indicates that

22

00:01:13.435 --> 00:01:15.295

in the future there will be

23

00:01:16.025 --> 00:01:19.045

an increase in flood risk within some third party land,

24

00:01:19.355 --> 00:01:21.685

including an area where properties are located.

25

00:01:22.655 --> 00:01:26.855

Um, and this is the result of increased, um,

26

00:01:27.445 --> 00:01:31.735

volumes of water entering the treatment plant from

27

00:01:32.365 --> 00:01:34.145

future planned growth in the area.

28

00:01:35.525 --> 00:01:38.385

Um, and we consider that the cumulative impacts

29

00:01:38.445 --> 00:01:42.025

of the development should be assessed within the FRA

30

00:01:42.565 --> 00:01:45.915

and that mitigation should be, um,

31

00:01:46.395 --> 00:01:48.515

proposed within the FRA to ensure

32

00:01:48.515 --> 00:01:51.975

that there'll be no increase in flood risk elsewhere, um,

33

00:01:52.475 --> 00:01:55.255

and that the development is in accordance with YPPF.

34

00:01:57.695 --> 00:02:00.555

Um, so yeah, those are our main concerns

35

00:02:00.855 --> 00:02:03.915

and we understand that the applicant is now, um,

36

00:02:06.365 --> 00:02:09.845

planning to submit revised additional modeling, uh,

37

00:02:09.845 --> 00:02:12.085

which we haven't requested, um,

38

00:02:12.185 --> 00:02:13.805

at such a late stage in the process.

39

00:02:14.305 --> 00:02:15.305

So.

40

00:02:16.025 --> 00:02:17.115

Okay. Thank you very much.

41

00:02:18.515 --> 00:02:20.535

So could I just ask the a, uh, the applicant

42

00:02:20.555 --> 00:02:22.735

for a response on those comments in particularly just

43

00:02:22.735 --> 00:02:26.575

to understand why, um, mitigation measures aren't proposed?

44

00:02:29.755 --> 00:02:32.665

Madam? Um, may I start with the, the modeling,

45

00:02:32.845 --> 00:02:34.985

the additional modeling, um,

46

00:02:35.525 --> 00:02:38.345

and the environment agency's letter?

47

00:02:38.925 --> 00:02:41.665

Um, clearly we are all very aware of

48

00:02:41.715 --> 00:02:43.745

where we are in the examination.

49

00:02:44.525 --> 00:02:46.865

Um, but at the same time, um,

50

00:02:48.845 --> 00:02:52.105

we have needed to produce this information in,

51

00:02:52.125 --> 00:02:55.425

in order fully to inform the Environment agency

52

00:02:55.685 --> 00:02:57.825

and, um, the examination.

53

00:02:58.565 --> 00:03:03.365

Um, it's, it has been agreed today, I believe, to,

54

00:03:03.705 --> 00:03:08.565

um, transmit to the agency, um, the, the, the data,

55

00:03:09.465 --> 00:03:10.525

um, and some

56

00:03:10.525 --> 00:03:13.205

of the accompanying narrative that goes with it.

57

00:03:14.065 --> 00:03:18.245

Uh, and the, um, uh, the, that,

58

00:03:18.245 --> 00:03:20.685

that would be ahead of the modeling report

59

00:03:21.465 --> 00:03:24.005

and the modeling report would go

60

00:03:24.065 --> 00:03:26.485

to the agency on the 22nd of March.

61

00:03:27.465 --> 00:03:31.325

But, um, what is also, um, being offered,

62

00:03:31.465 --> 00:03:34.605

and we are very much hoping that the agency will be able

63

00:03:34.605 --> 00:03:39.405

to avail themselves of this is, um, that relevant members

64

00:03:39.425 --> 00:03:40.725

of our team go

65

00:03:40.985 --> 00:03:43.645

and as it were, walk them through the, the,

66

00:03:43.745 --> 00:03:47.285

the relevant officers, walk them through the material

67

00:03:47.315 --> 00:03:50.645

that they are getting, because clearly they're getting the

68

00:03:50.845 --> 00:03:53.205

material before they've got the modeling report.

69

00:03:53.865 --> 00:03:58.685

Um, so that everybody is working hard, uh,

70

00:03:58.795 --> 00:04:02.445

with, with an eye to the examination timeframe

71

00:04:02.785 --> 00:04:03.925

and timetable.

72

00:04:04.705 --> 00:04:05.725

Um, can I

73

00:04:05.725 --> 00:04:06.725

Ask Ms. Ellis on that point?

74

00:04:06.785 --> 00:04:08.605

So what is the additional model

75

00:04:08.825 --> 00:04:10.085

and, um,

76

00:04:10.945 --> 00:04:12.525

why are you providing it if it's not

77

00:04:12.525 --> 00:04:13.685

being requested by the ea?

78

00:04:13.945 --> 00:04:18.925

Yes. Um, Madam, I'll, I'll ask, um, uh, doctor,

79

00:04:19.225 --> 00:04:23.765

doctor, no, Mrs. Buchanan, um, to,

80

00:04:24.105 --> 00:04:26.125

uh, explain that, uh, to you.

81

00:04:26.125 --> 00:04:27.125

Thank you.

82

00:04:29.535 --> 00:04:32.195

No, Buchanan for the, um, applicant.

83

00:04:32.785 --> 00:04:36.235

Yeah, so the additional scenarios are associated

84

00:04:36.345 --> 00:04:37.635

with phase one

85

00:04:37.935 --> 00:04:41.555

and phase two, so that it, I nearly wanna say can directly

86

00:04:41.555 --> 00:04:44.075

and easily be aligned to the permit application

87

00:04:44.495 --> 00:04:45.915

that's been made, the discharge

88

00:04:45.915 --> 00:04:47.075

application that's been made.

89

00:04:47.525 --> 00:04:52.355

We've also run a few extra scenarios just to be able to, um,

90

00:04:52.425 --> 00:04:54.915

explain the narrative of, um,

91

00:04:56.555 --> 00:04:58.085

that the move

92

00:04:58.585 --> 00:05:02.005

of the works doesn't cause any detriment

93

00:05:02.585 --> 00:05:05.485

and how I nearly wanna say which mechanisms

94

00:05:06.065 --> 00:05:10.885

may contribute then to the small impact that is seen in some

95

00:05:10.885 --> 00:05:15.205

of the flooding scenarios to these properties, um,

96

00:05:15.715 --> 00:05:16.805

that has been mentioned.

97

00:05:17.265 --> 00:05:21.885

So that's all just to kind of give their bigger picture so

98

00:05:21.885 --> 00:05:25.645

that people can understand if there is a issue or not.

99

00:05:26.745 --> 00:05:27.965

Are you trying to identify

100

00:05:27.995 --> 00:05:30.165

that it's the proposed development which

101

00:05:30.595 --> 00:05:32.325

doesn't increase flood risk?

102

00:05:32.755 --> 00:05:36.245

Correct. As alternatively to the additional, um,

103

00:05:36.395 --> 00:05:38.565

increased future population you're, is that

104

00:05:38.565 --> 00:05:39.685

what you're trying to Yeah,

105

00:05:40.325 --> 00:05:41.325

Identify? So we we're trying to make sure

106

00:05:41.325 --> 00:05:41.525

107

00:05:41.635 --> 00:05:42.805



that the understanding is there

108

00:05:42.805 --> 00:05:46.045

or the information is there to base their conclusion on

109

00:05:46.235 --> 00:05:48.165

that it isn't the move of the works

110

00:05:49.375 --> 00:05:52.985

that actually makes a small betterment, but what it is

111

00:05:53.085 --> 00:05:56.185

and when it is or how it is that there is the impact

112

00:05:56.555 --> 00:05:57.685

where it arises.

113

00:05:58.345 --> 00:05:59.645

So that was what we were trying

114

00:05:59.665 --> 00:06:02.805

to get the information together to present to the EA

115

00:06:03.195 --> 00:06:05.605

that they can then come to the same conclusion,

116

00:06:05.605 --> 00:06:06.805

looking at the same information.

117

00:06:07.465 --> 00:06:09.925

And then just one small thing to correct,

118

00:06:10.385 --> 00:06:12.445

we are sending the modeling report

119

00:06:13.145 --> 00:06:16.365

and the models now, and then the,

120

00:06:16.835 --> 00:06:18.685

When you say now, when do you, today,

121

00:06:18.685 --> 00:06:21.205

Today, today the modeling report and just purely

122

00:06:21.205 --> 00:06:25.085

because it's a big quantity of data, so it needs zipping

123

00:06:25.085 --> 00:06:26.085

and transferring.

124

00:06:26.265 --> 00:06:29.045

So that will take basically overnight to go

125

00:06:29.045 --> 00:06:30.085

to the air for the models.

126

00:06:30.985 --> 00:06:35.645

And then the flood risk assessment document, that is the one

127

00:06:35.645 --> 00:06:37.765

that is going on the 22nd of March.

128

00:06:37.815 --> 00:06:40.645

Sorry. That's fine. Small detail

129

00:06:42.755 --> 00:06:44.765

From my reading of the EA response

130

00:06:44.765 --> 00:06:48.695

that we received yesterday, it's the cumulative impacts

131

00:06:48.695 --> 00:06:51.135

that they seem to have concerns with.

132

00:06:51.555 --> 00:06:55.405

Um, and the compliance with the MPPF,

133

00:06:56.525 --> 00:06:58.325

I know that it, it makes sense to me

134

00:06:58.325 --> 00:06:59.405

what you're saying about the modeling

135

00:06:59.545 --> 00:07:01.645

and identifying the differences between the

136

00:07:02.795 --> 00:07:05.835

relocation versus the future increased populations.

137

00:07:05.895 --> 00:07:09.035

But if it's the EA concern about the cumulative impacts,

138

00:07:10.535 --> 00:07:14.295

how will that modeling resolve that concern through the ea

139

00:07:15.975 --> 00:07:17.995

It just shows when, how,

140

00:07:18.095 --> 00:07:20.715

and where the water's coming from.

141

00:07:22.265 --> 00:07:23.845

So to kind of explain

142

00:07:23.985 --> 00:07:27.285

and provide evidence that the small increase in volume into

143

00:07:27.285 --> 00:07:29.485

the river isn't the issue

144

00:07:30.655 --> 00:07:32.365

where the problem is occurring.

145

00:07:33.065 --> 00:07:34.925

So that's the type of contents

146

00:07:34.985 --> 00:07:36.485

and information that we've been

147

00:07:36.485 --> 00:07:38.045

collecting to provide to them.

148

00:07:39.275 --> 00:07:41.855

Can I ask for, um, response from the EA on that? Please,

149

00:07:42.505 --> 00:07:43.505

Madam? Could I just

150

00:07:43.505 --> 00:07:47.335

add clearly, um, we are very keen

151

00:07:47.715 --> 00:07:50.495

for the discourse with the EA to be

152

00:07:50.835 --> 00:07:53.335

as well resourced as possible.

153

00:07:54.115 --> 00:07:57.255

Um, we also have an eye to the way in which you

154

00:07:57.255 --> 00:07:59.455

and the Secretary of State will need to,

155

00:07:59.595 --> 00:08:01.895

to look at these matters, um,

156

00:08:02.275 --> 00:08:05.015

and the pinpointing of impact.

157

00:08:05.035 --> 00:08:06.975

So clearly cumulative is one thing,

158

00:08:07.555 --> 00:08:10.895

but also, um, standalone is another thing.

159

00:08:11.595 --> 00:08:15.175

Um, and that, um, that

160

00:08:15.935 --> 00:08:19.935

pinpointing should also, um, uh, uh,

161

00:08:20.525 --> 00:08:23.855

influence any considerations of mitigation,

162

00:08:23.855 --> 00:08:27.215

which is a matter that the environment agency, um, have

163

00:08:27.755 --> 00:08:29.135

raised, uh,

164

00:08:29.435 --> 00:08:32.135

and is a matter which we want to discuss with them.

165

00:08:32.235 --> 00:08:36.135

But we want to discuss that, um, on what we see

166

00:08:36.155 --> 00:08:37.735

as a fully informed footing.

167

00:08:38.485 --> 00:08:39.935

Just before I go back to the ea, do,

168

00:08:39.955 --> 00:08:42.495

do you envisage any potential mitigation measures?

169

00:08:42.495 --> 00:08:47.355

You said that there was, did a small increase in risk,

170

00:08:47.615 --> 00:08:49.835

so you, you are not envisaging any mitigation measures

171

00:08:49.835 --> 00:08:51.475

as part of your fed risk assessment?

172

00:08:52.775 --> 00:08:54.955

We don't, but that's the kind of thing that we would love

173

00:08:55.045 --> 00:08:57.765

to discuss with the EA to make sure that we are aligned in

174

00:08:57.765 --> 00:09:00.045

that understanding that that is the,

175

00:09:00.325 --> 00:09:03.165

I only wanna say a comfortable position based on the

176

00:09:03.165 --> 00:09:04.805

conservative nature of the modeling

177

00:09:04.985 --> 00:09:07.125

and information that's used, um,

178

00:09:07.145 --> 00:09:08.765

and the model itself to reach.

179

00:09:09.515 --> 00:09:11.925

Okay. And do you, do you know how many properties,

180

00:09:11.925 --> 00:09:15.205

which properties, um, the EA has raised concerns

181

00:09:15.395 --> 00:09:17.925

regarding in terms of, uh, future flood risk?

182

00:09:18.965 --> 00:09:23.465

Yes, And with, okay, how many properties

183

00:09:23.465 --> 00:09:24.545

or plots are we talking about?

184

00:09:25.335 --> 00:09:27.795

Um, they are just rechecking those ones,

185

00:09:27.855 --> 00:09:31.875

but it is a very small handful, less than a handful number.

186

00:09:35.295 --> 00:09:36.335

And where, whereabouts are they?

187

00:09:36.425 --> 00:09:37.455

Which properties are they?

188

00:09:39.025 --> 00:09:42.615

Um, Rough, roughly speaking, are they, I dunno,

189

00:09:43.765 --> 00:09:45.465

Mon Mona Coman for the applicant?

190

00:09:45.495 --> 00:09:48.545

Yeah, so they're, they're downstream of the development, um,

191

00:09:48.855 --> 00:09:53.105

kind of in the, uh, east of water beach town.

192

00:09:53.765 --> 00:09:57.705

As, as, uh, Ms. Buchanan has said, just a, a small handful

193

00:09:57.845 --> 00:10:00.425

of properties that are currently in flood zone three

194

00:10:00.425 --> 00:10:02.625

and at risk of, of flooding currently.

195

00:10:09.245 --> 00:10:12.215

Okay, thank you. Um, could I ask for a response, uh,

196

00:10:12.215 --> 00:10:14.215

from the EA on the points outlined in terms

197

00:10:14.215 --> 00:10:15.935

of the additional modeling, which is going

198

00:10:15.935 --> 00:10:17.975

to be presented by the applicant,

199

00:10:28.315 --> 00:10:30.615

Louise Foreman for the Environment Agency?

200

00:10:31.795 --> 00:10:35.835

Um, yes, we're happy to, to look at the additional modeling.

201

00:10:36.495 --> 00:10:40.905

Um, although it, yeah, it will take us quite a long time

202

00:10:40.905 --> 00:10:44.505

to undertake a detail to review of that modeling, um,

203

00:10:46.045 --> 00:10:48.665

and to have a meeting with the applicant next

204

00:10:48.665 --> 00:10:49.745

week to discuss that.

205

00:10:50.405 --> 00:10:54.195

But, um, we're still concerned that if, um,

206

00:10:54.475 --> 00:10:58.435

a mitigation strategy is not included in the FRA,

207

00:10:58.745 --> 00:11:01.755

then it is likely to be considered unacceptable.

208

00:11:02.735 --> 00:11:07.475

Um, and we, we are not aware of any mechanism

209

00:11:07.475 --> 00:11:10.355

through the planning system that requires developers

210

00:11:10.455 --> 00:11:14.515

to limit fairwater discharges from new development into the

211

00:11:14.565 --> 00:11:17.795

wastewater water treatment works, um,

212

00:11:18.175 --> 00:11:21.405

and subsequent discharge into the river cam.

213

00:11:22.225 --> 00:11:26.775

So there is inevitably, um, going

214

00:11:26.775 --> 00:11:30.855

to be some increase in flood risk in the future, which needs

215

00:11:30.855 --> 00:11:32.535



to be mitigated for.

216

00:11:32.915 --> 00:11:35.615

Um, and we think that, um,

217

00:11:36.275 --> 00:11:40.055

the flood risk assessment should set out one reasonably

218

00:11:40.495 --> 00:11:43.935

feasible option for limiting discharges into the river cam.

219

00:11:45.455 --> 00:11:46.455

Thank you.

220

00:11:57.405 --> 00:12:01.745

Thank you. Um, if there isn't a mechanism

221

00:12:01.925 --> 00:12:04.905

to restrict, um, affluent

222

00:12:05.325 --> 00:12:09.265

or treat effluent going into the cam, does that potentially,

223

00:12:10.125 --> 00:12:11.625

um, limit the number of

224

00:12:12.635 --> 00:12:14.885

dwellings which could be delivered on the site?

225

00:12:15.705 --> 00:12:20.275

If that's the only way to reduce the affluent?

226

00:12:23.745 --> 00:12:26.045

You start a question to, oh, Sorry.

227

00:12:27.895 --> 00:12:30.555

I'm happy for the ea. Would you like to answer that first?

228

00:12:32.605 --> 00:12:35.745

Um, we understand that it's the future

229

00:12:36.655 --> 00:12:39.585  
plan development up to the year 2050

230

00:12:40.095 --> 00:12:44.145  
that is included in the modeling based on the local plan.

231

00:12:45.005 --> 00:12:49.195  
So, um, yeah, there needs

232

00:12:49.195 --> 00:12:53.805  
to be a way, somehow way of, um, controlling

233

00:12:54.265 --> 00:12:57.285  
or limiting the discharge into the river cam from the

234

00:12:57.285 --> 00:12:59.565  
additional volume that will be coming from that development,

235

00:13:02.255 --> 00:13:03.255  
Uh, Mr. Ben

236

00:13:03.255 --> 00:13:03.815

237

00:13:05.855 --> 00:13:06.855  
Environment Agency. Um,

238

00:13:06.855 --> 00:13:08.935  
I just wanted to make a point about the modeling

239

00:13:09.045 --> 00:13:11.775  
that we are being, uh, told will be submitted today

240

00:13:11.795 --> 00:13:14.735  
or tomorrow, um, as set out in our letter

241

00:13:14.875 --> 00:13:17.895  
and has been said repeatedly to the, to the applicant

242

00:13:17.895 --> 00:13:19.735

that it does take us a considerable amount of time

243

00:13:19.995 --> 00:13:21.495

and we're very concerned that we won't have time

244

00:13:21.495 --> 00:13:23.855

to review it throughout the examination period.

245

00:13:24.755 --> 00:13:27.495

Um, so we just wanted to make that point

246

00:13:27.495 --> 00:13:29.935

that it's quite possible we could reach into the examination

247

00:13:29.955 --> 00:13:33.935

and still find the modeling if unacceptable as well

248

00:13:33.935 --> 00:13:36.095

as the FRA that goes along with it.

249

00:13:37.615 --> 00:13:38.625

Okay, thank you, Mr. Ben.

250

00:13:38.965 --> 00:13:41.475

And the question that I was asking about does,

251

00:13:41.545 --> 00:13:43.795

does it then restrict potentially the number

252

00:13:43.795 --> 00:13:46.035

of dwellings which could be brought forward in the future

253

00:13:47.495 --> 00:13:49.355

in terms of ensuring flood risk,

254

00:13:50.455 --> 00:13:51.455

Adam Increase In flood

255

00:13:51.455 --> 00:13:52.305

risk? Um,

256

00:13:52.305 --> 00:13:53.105

I know that Mr.

257

00:13:53.515 --> 00:13:57.105

Pryor, uh, wishes to, I think, address this question.

258

00:13:58.165 --> 00:14:01.865

Um, if I could just pick up this logistical point, um,

259

00:14:02.015 --> 00:14:05.105

just raised about timing, as you will have gathered,

260

00:14:05.205 --> 00:14:09.265

we are doing everything that we possibly can to, um, assist

261

00:14:09.265 --> 00:14:13.625

with that to get material to the agency as fast as possible,

262

00:14:14.165 --> 00:14:17.545

um, and to assist and resource them.

263

00:14:17.925 --> 00:14:21.185

And if there's any further ways that they can suggest

264

00:14:21.185 --> 00:14:23.985

that we can help, we are very willing to consider those.

265

00:14:24.805 --> 00:14:26.345

Um, and I think Mr.

266

00:14:26.595 --> 00:14:29.385

Pryor wants to address your question,

267

00:14:29.525 --> 00:14:32.145

and it may be that I will also have some things

268

00:14:32.365 --> 00:14:33.705

to say as well.

269

00:14:35.645 --> 00:14:37.015

Yeah. Uh, thank, thank you madam.

270

00:14:37.015 --> 00:14:38.175

Uh, Andrew Pryor for the applicant.

271

00:14:38.175 --> 00:14:41.375

Um, uh, madam, we, we disagree

272

00:14:41.375 --> 00:14:44.015

with the environment agency's contention that there are not

273

00:14:44.645 --> 00:14:46.075

mitigation methods available

274

00:14:46.815 --> 00:14:49.475

to manage overall flows into the river camp,

275

00:14:49.625 --> 00:14:52.205

because that's what the planning system is there to do.

276

00:14:52.945 --> 00:14:55.365

We, they can be managed at a strategic level

277

00:14:55.365 --> 00:14:57.485

through the local plan, through the allocation of housing.

278

00:14:57.995 --> 00:15:02.245

They can be managed through, uh, maximum consumption levels

279

00:15:02.245 --> 00:15:03.245

through the local plan,

280

00:15:03.785 --> 00:15:06.045

and they can be measured ultimately for the refusal

281

00:15:06.045 --> 00:15:07.365

of planning permission if it gives rise

282

00:15:07.365 --> 00:15:09.325

to an adverse flood impact.

283

00:15:09.785 --> 00:15:12.485  
Um, our proposed development,

284

00:15:12.485 --> 00:15:15.325  
the applicant's proposed development is, is merely a conduit

285

00:15:15.325 --> 00:15:17.125  
for all those flows coming out

286

00:15:17.125 --> 00:15:19.605  
of those producers of that wastewater.

287

00:15:20.145 --> 00:15:23.325  
Um, so we feel that the mitigation

288

00:15:23.345 --> 00:15:25.245  
for this is the appropriate enforcement

289

00:15:25.705 --> 00:15:28.165  
of the strategic flood management process

290

00:15:28.195 --> 00:15:30.925  
through the local plan and through the planning system.

291

00:15:31.545 --> 00:15:35.375  
Um, we would like to sit down

292

00:15:35.375 --> 00:15:37.735  
and talk with the environment agency about that process

293

00:15:37.795 --> 00:15:38.935  
and how that process works.

294

00:15:39.115 --> 00:15:42.135  
But we don't think that project level mitigation

295

00:15:42.235 --> 00:15:45.775  
for a small increment of flooding is proportionate,

296

00:15:46.075 --> 00:15:50.455

nor should that management fall on, uh, individual,

297

00:15:51.075 --> 00:15:53.735

uh, effectively, uh,

298

00:15:53.865 --> 00:15:56.775

water customers when it can be far more effectively managed

299

00:15:56.795 --> 00:15:57.855

at a strategic level.

300

00:15:59.355 --> 00:16:02.295

So you, you suggested that the planning system, such

301

00:16:02.295 --> 00:16:04.575

as refusal of planning permission could be a a means

302

00:16:04.575 --> 00:16:07.935

potentially to, um, uh, restrict

303

00:16:08.315 --> 00:16:09.535

Across the catch across the

304

00:16:09.535 --> 00:16:10.735

catchment, across the catchment.

305

00:16:10.735 --> 00:16:13.855

You get, if, if an any individual application gives rise

306

00:16:13.875 --> 00:16:17.295

to an increased level of, uh, flood risk, then that has

307

00:16:17.295 --> 00:16:18.615

to be managed through the planning system.

308

00:16:18.895 --> 00:16:20.975

I suppose what, what I'm asking is the delivery

309

00:16:20.975 --> 00:16:25.065

of the housing as a result of this project, as a result

310

00:16:25.105 --> 00:16:27.745

of the proposed development, is that likely

311

00:16:28.045 --> 00:16:30.305

to potentially be reduced in the, the, the number

312

00:16:30.305 --> 00:16:32.665

of housing pot, the potential number of, is, is that likely

313

00:16:32.665 --> 00:16:33.745

to be reduced in the future

314

00:16:33.745 --> 00:16:35.705

because of this flood risk issue?

315

00:16:35.945 --> 00:16:38.745

I, I don't think so, mom, because that proposal

316

00:16:38.805 --> 00:16:41.185

and that development will be subject to both, uh,

317

00:16:41.185 --> 00:16:42.705

the maximum consumption requirements

318

00:16:42.725 --> 00:16:44.145

and greenfield runoff rates.

319

00:16:44.405 --> 00:16:46.985

So it's very unlikely that the redevelopment

320

00:16:46.985 --> 00:16:48.505

of Cambridge Northeast would give rise

321

00:16:48.565 --> 00:16:50.305

to an increased flood increment.

322

00:16:50.645 --> 00:16:52.065

The main challenge we face here,

323

00:16:52.065 --> 00:16:53.705



and I I think it's something that, um,

324

00:16:53.805 --> 00:16:55.465

Ms. Buchanan will probably come back

325

00:16:55.465 --> 00:16:58.065

to is this is an incredibly precautionary model

326

00:16:58.065 --> 00:17:01.025

that takes in an awfully wide number of inputs

327

00:17:01.025 --> 00:17:03.265

that just simply cannot be known at this stage.

328

00:17:03.765 --> 00:17:07.545

But, um, those flood risks can be managed on a case

329

00:17:07.545 --> 00:17:11.465

by case basis as individual developments come online

330

00:17:11.485 --> 00:17:13.065

and put more water into the system.

331

00:17:20.015 --> 00:17:22.165

Could I ask the ea um, please

332

00:17:22.165 --> 00:17:25.475

what mitigation measures they would like to see

333

00:17:25.735 --> 00:17:26.835

as part of the proposal?

334

00:17:27.415 --> 00:17:28.415

If they were offered

335

00:17:31.905 --> 00:17:34.925

Louise Fullman for the Environment Agency, um,

336

00:17:36.815 --> 00:17:40.385

we would like to see, um,

337

00:17:41.515 --> 00:17:45.785  
discharges into the rib cam being limited, um,

338

00:17:46.035 --> 00:17:49.725  
which may require additional attenuation storage being

339

00:17:49.965 --> 00:17:52.005  
provided on site at some point in the future.

340

00:17:54.845 --> 00:17:59.035  
Um, but there may be other options which, um, the applicant

341

00:17:59.735 --> 00:18:03.925  
can investigate and yeah, so we, it's,

342

00:18:04.655 --> 00:18:06.105  
yeah, we are not really able

343

00:18:06.105 --> 00:18:10.105  
to say which is the most appropriate option, uh,

344

00:18:10.265 --> 00:18:12.425  
that should be, um, provided by the applicant

345

00:18:13.045 --> 00:18:14.585  
and set out in the flood risk assessment.

346

00:18:16.065 --> 00:18:18.775  
Okay. Thank you. Did,

347

00:18:18.795 --> 00:18:20.335  
can I ask you if the councils have got any

348

00:18:20.695 --> 00:18:21.775  
comments on this matter, please?

349

00:18:23.095 --> 00:18:26.015  
We, we haven't, madam? No. Okay.

350

00:18:27.945 --> 00:18:31.735

Madam, could, could I come back and then Ms.

351

00:18:32.155 --> 00:18:34.815

Mrs. Buchanan, um, and Ms.

352

00:18:35.155 --> 00:18:39.495

Cowman, um, if we could each say a little, um, I just wanted

353

00:18:39.495 --> 00:18:40.775

to pick up one or two things

354

00:18:40.775 --> 00:18:42.615

and develop them slightly from what Mr.

355

00:18:42.825 --> 00:18:46.015

Pryor has said about the planning system.

356

00:18:46.675 --> 00:18:50.255

Um, he's mentioned attenuation to greenfield rates.

357

00:18:50.995 --> 00:18:54.575

Um, now that that is relevant

358

00:18:54.925 --> 00:18:58.535

because he also described the project as leading

359

00:18:58.555 --> 00:18:59.975

to a small increment,

360

00:19:00.515 --> 00:19:03.535

and both of those points are, are right

361

00:19:03.555 --> 00:19:05.855

and will be obvious to you, madam,

362

00:19:06.075 --> 00:19:09.375

but they are connected with this be

363

00:19:09.375 --> 00:19:12.615

because the environment agency, again,

364

00:19:12.615 --> 00:19:14.015  
as you have identified, are,

365

00:19:14.475 --> 00:19:17.935  
are here expressing concern about cumulative impacts.

366

00:19:18.715 --> 00:19:22.175  
And so, um, the, the ability of the planning system

367

00:19:22.635 --> 00:19:26.255  
to control to attenuate to greenfield rates,

368

00:19:26.265 --> 00:19:28.255  
which is clearly established, um,

369

00:19:28.815 --> 00:19:31.015  
national policy is one thing.

370

00:19:31.715 --> 00:19:36.015  
Um, there is also, um, the, the, the,

371

00:19:36.595 --> 00:19:40.335  
um, the planning tools, policy tools

372

00:19:40.435 --> 00:19:43.255  
and development management tools, which are growing a pace,

373

00:19:43.915 --> 00:19:48.335  
um, to, um, to, to get into, um,

374

00:19:49.215 --> 00:19:53.655  
limiting the generation of wastewater within,

375

00:19:54.075 --> 00:19:56.415  
uh, buildings, residential buildings

376

00:19:56.595 --> 00:19:58.455  
and, uh, commercial buildings.

377

00:19:58.915 --> 00:20:01.575

Um, that's, that's, uh,

378

00:20:02.305 --> 00:20:06.495  
developing in policy ways, um, well beyond

379

00:20:06.525 --> 00:20:09.295  
what perhaps any of us might have thought of 10

380

00:20:09.295 --> 00:20:10.295  
or 15 years ago.

381

00:20:10.555 --> 00:20:11.775  
Um, cutting edge stuff.

382

00:20:12.395 --> 00:20:15.375  
Um, at the period that we are, in terms

383

00:20:15.395 --> 00:20:17.815  
of plan development in this part of the world,

384

00:20:17.815 --> 00:20:20.775  
there is the golden opportunity, um, to

385

00:20:21.285 --> 00:20:24.535  
develop such far thinking policies here

386

00:20:25.035 --> 00:20:28.295  
and to take them through the emerging development plan.

387

00:20:28.835 --> 00:20:33.055  
So the councils, the, the Joint planning Service, um,

388

00:20:33.315 --> 00:20:36.335  
has a role that it can play here in, in this,

389

00:20:36.635 --> 00:20:37.975  
um, as, as well.

390

00:20:38.555 --> 00:20:43.535  
And also building regulations, um, which again,

391

00:20:44.115 --> 00:20:47.205

are much more advanced than they were, um, 10

392

00:20:47.205 --> 00:20:50.405

or 15 years ago, or possibly even five years ago.

393

00:20:51.145 --> 00:20:54.005

So, so that there is an existing

394

00:20:54.185 --> 00:20:57.285

and evolving suite of policy instruments

395

00:20:57.355 --> 00:21:00.405

that the public sector can use here.

396

00:21:01.165 --> 00:21:02.485

I would also returning

397

00:21:02.485 --> 00:21:05.405

to the discussion this morning about associated development

398

00:21:05.405 --> 00:21:09.765

and the discussion last time about the, uh, education,

399

00:21:10.425 --> 00:21:13.405

um, uh, element of the project.

400

00:21:14.465 --> 00:21:17.925

Um, I talked last time about the importance

401

00:21:18.065 --> 00:21:21.005

of public education in this whole area.

402

00:21:21.625 --> 00:21:24.605

The, the, the bringing about a culture change

403

00:21:24.625 --> 00:21:26.125

and winning of hearts and minds.

404

00:21:26.665 --> 00:21:29.605

Uh, there are all those policy instruments, um,

405

00:21:29.625 --> 00:21:33.005

but that they, they should be much more effective if they

406

00:21:33.005 --> 00:21:36.485

are allied with education, particularly with,

407

00:21:36.595 --> 00:21:38.925

with our children and young people, you know,

408

00:21:38.925 --> 00:21:41.165

the next generations coming through so

409

00:21:41.165 --> 00:21:44.765

that people can really understand how important all this is

410

00:21:45.105 --> 00:21:46.125

and why it matters.

411

00:21:46.705 --> 00:21:50.005

And that is why the educational function

412

00:21:50.005 --> 00:21:51.885

of this project is important

413

00:21:52.465 --> 00:21:56.485

and is intimately linked with the operation of the project

414

00:21:56.905 --> 00:22:01.165

and indeed the wider planning of Cambridge, which we know

415

00:22:01.935 --> 00:22:05.485

faces important issues to do with water management.

416

00:22:05.905 --> 00:22:10.725

So, so there is a bigger picture here, um, which,

417

00:22:10.855 --> 00:22:13.485

which is a much bigger picture than, than what Mr.

418

00:22:13.615 --> 00:22:16.725

Pryor rightly calls the small increment from this

419

00:22:16.865 --> 00:22:18.365

one, um, project.

420

00:22:19.225 --> 00:22:21.565

And, uh, these are some of the points

421

00:22:21.985 --> 00:22:25.045

and ideas which we would like to discuss further

422

00:22:25.115 --> 00:22:26.805

with the environment agency.

423

00:22:26.825 --> 00:22:29.365

And I've, I've given a bit of a, of a,

424

00:22:29.425 --> 00:22:32.885

of a plot spoiler here, uh, about some of the things, um,

425

00:22:33.025 --> 00:22:35.085

to have a sensible conversation about.

426

00:22:35.905 --> 00:22:40.845

Um, I'd now like to bring in, um, perhaps Ms.

427

00:22:40.945 --> 00:22:45.045

Cowman, um, first of all to, um, just to,

428

00:22:45.105 --> 00:22:47.885

to talk a little more about the technical sides

429

00:22:47.905 --> 00:22:49.125

of this, which Mr.

430

00:22:49.785 --> 00:22:51.365

Uh, Pryor left to her.

431

00:22:51.465 --> 00:22:54.885



And then, um, Ms. Buchanan I know has points that she wishes

432

00:22:54.985 --> 00:22:57.965

to add, if you'll just indulge us for a few moments.

433

00:22:58.055 --> 00:22:59.055

Thank you

434

00:23:03.695 --> 00:23:05.385

Mona Coleman for the applicant.

435

00:23:06.205 --> 00:23:10.425

So the modeling that's been undertaken, um,

436

00:23:10.765 --> 00:23:13.825

as we've described is, is highly conservative

437

00:23:13.925 --> 00:23:18.265

and it's largely based on what's known today,

438

00:23:18.645 --> 00:23:22.025

rather than projecting anything other than population

439

00:23:22.025 --> 00:23:23.225

growth into the future.

440

00:23:23.565 --> 00:23:26.865

So, for example, we know that in the future there's

441

00:23:27.465 --> 00:23:30.345

a very strong likelihood of reduced water consumption

442

00:23:30.775 --> 00:23:33.465

that hasn't been included in the model we're using kind

443

00:23:33.465 --> 00:23:35.785

of today's, uh, today's assumptions on that.

444

00:23:36.645 --> 00:23:40.905

Um, likewise, um, there's a, a, an element

445

00:23:41.005 --> 00:23:44.385  
of surface water runoff included in the new model

446

00:23:44.525 --> 00:23:45.965  
for this new development.

447

00:23:46.585 --> 00:23:48.405  
Um, realistically we would hope

448

00:23:48.475 --> 00:23:51.165  
that suds is very strictly enforced in,

449

00:23:51.165 --> 00:23:53.965  
in the new development, and there would be no surface water

450

00:23:54.425 --> 00:23:56.125  
runoff in the, in the fowl store.

451

00:23:56.545 --> 00:23:59.845  
So the model is very conservative in, in that respect.

452

00:24:00.105 --> 00:24:03.245  
And, um, the, the, the flood risk that we're seeing

453

00:24:03.505 --> 00:24:07.685  
to these properties downstream may be purely a result

454

00:24:07.685 --> 00:24:09.805  
of this conservatism in the model.

455

00:24:09.945 --> 00:24:13.845  
And as Mr. Pryor has, has, um, alluded to it,

456

00:24:13.905 --> 00:24:17.845  
it it's not really possible at this time to refine

457

00:24:18.075 --> 00:24:22.165  
that analogy until, until we're kind of at a position

458

00:24:22.165 --> 00:24:24.685

where we understand these figures a little better

459

00:24:24.745 --> 00:24:26.085

and we're not there at the moment.

460

00:24:33.815 --> 00:24:35.105

Yeah, I think Mona

461

00:24:35.105 --> 00:24:36.585

and I wanted to highlight the same things.

462

00:24:36.585 --> 00:24:38.985

There's several very conservative assumptions

463

00:24:38.985 --> 00:24:41.385

that go into the flood, um, flood models.

464

00:24:41.805 --> 00:24:44.785

Um, and then the other things were that we

465

00:24:45.505 --> 00:24:48.945

specifically checked if the sewage component

466

00:24:49.575 --> 00:24:51.545

made an impact in terms of flooding

467

00:24:51.925 --> 00:24:54.865

and the sewage component doesn't even including all the

468

00:24:54.865 --> 00:24:58.505

growth, it is the rainfall element that does

469

00:24:59.035 --> 00:25:01.025

bring in some of the scenarios.

470

00:25:01.025 --> 00:25:02.825

And that that's the kind of things that we would love

471

00:25:02.825 --> 00:25:03.945

to discuss with the EA

472

00:25:04.545 --> 00:25:07.985

'cause um, yeah, like one scenario would show something

473

00:25:08.085 --> 00:25:09.425

and then another one wouldn't.

474

00:25:09.885 --> 00:25:14.025

So it brings that reasonable doubt if there is really a real

475

00:25:14.025 --> 00:25:17.425

thing based on all the conservative assumptions, one on top

476

00:25:17.425 --> 00:25:20.185

of another, um, that needs mitigating.

477

00:25:20.805 --> 00:25:23.585

And, um, the suggestion that was made by the ea

478

00:25:23.585 --> 00:25:26.865

what they would love to see in terms of extra storage

479

00:25:27.085 --> 00:25:29.185

or something like that at innovation on the site.

480

00:25:29.765 --> 00:25:33.985

In terms of the volumes, it is, it's massive amounts

481

00:25:33.985 --> 00:25:36.745

of volumes and it doesn't really add up.

482

00:25:37.225 --> 00:25:39.265

'cause you can only keep water for a certain amount of time

483

00:25:39.265 --> 00:25:42.345

and then you still have to, um, discharge it

484

00:25:42.855 --> 00:25:46.265

into the same river, which will cause the same problem.

485

00:25:46.645 --> 00:25:50.105

So yeah, we struggle to find a way of

486

00:25:51.095 --> 00:25:54.465

attenuating on our site when there's live attenuation in the

487

00:25:54.485 --> 00:25:56.465

cam, which is part of the fan network,

488

00:25:56.555 --> 00:25:58.785

which is drained manually.

489

00:25:59.765 --> 00:26:04.665

Um, so yeah, it didn't make sense to get to a place where

490

00:26:05.205 --> 00:26:06.865

the solution is on the site.

491

00:26:07.645 --> 00:26:12.185

It has to come from, um, other places as well to support it.

492

00:26:13.215 --> 00:26:16.055

'cause it's not caused by the development, it's not caused

493

00:26:16.055 --> 00:26:17.375

by the wastewater treatment works.

494

00:26:17.725 --> 00:26:18.725

It's itself.

495

00:26:30.045 --> 00:26:31.565

I just come back to the councils.

496

00:26:31.685 --> 00:26:36.515

I think, um, It's obviously, it's the applicant's stance

497

00:26:36.515 --> 00:26:39.515

that the planning system is, is the most appropriate way

498

00:26:39.855 --> 00:26:43.485

or is a, is a way to manage, um, the final levels

499

00:26:43.505 --> 00:26:45.005

of discharge into the cam.

500

00:26:45.665 --> 00:26:48.485

Um, and Ms. Ellis has suggested a number of different ways

501

00:26:48.485 --> 00:26:51.285

that that might be possible, such as, um, uh,

502

00:26:51.565 --> 00:26:55.285

emerging local policies which could, um, control, uh,

503

00:26:55.285 --> 00:26:56.285

water efficiency.

504

00:26:56.745 --> 00:26:59.445

Um, it doesn't necessarily need to be a response right now.

505

00:26:59.445 --> 00:27:01.325

It might be something that you want to have a think about

506

00:27:01.325 --> 00:27:05.445

and take away, but I would be interested to understand, um,

507

00:27:05.505 --> 00:27:08.885

the council's stance on the, the, uh, ability

508

00:27:08.945 --> 00:27:12.845

of the planning system to control, um, the final effluent,

509

00:27:13.025 --> 00:27:16.885

um, the final discharge rates, um, into the cam.

510

00:27:22.965 --> 00:27:24.515

Madam, I, I, I hear you.

511

00:27:24.675 --> 00:27:28.275

I, I, i, the, it would this come from all three councils,

512

00:27:28.275 --> 00:27:31.635

because obviously we have the county's position, a, a

513

00:27:31.655 --> 00:27:34.635

as the, the local, local drainage authority.

514

00:27:34.635 --> 00:27:35.915

Mm-Hmm. Um, uh,

515

00:27:36.055 --> 00:27:38.715

and then the other councils as, as the,

516

00:27:38.775 --> 00:27:40.675

as the planning authorities for specific types

517

00:27:40.675 --> 00:27:41.835

of development within their area.

518

00:27:41.935 --> 00:27:45.875

Mm-Hmm. The, I mean the, the, the reason

519

00:27:45.875 --> 00:27:49.235

that I was not going to make it a, a comment earlier is

520

00:27:49.235 --> 00:27:52.435

because the principal reference point would be the ea.

521

00:27:53.655 --> 00:27:56.555

So absolutely, if we can inform you and,

522

00:27:56.555 --> 00:27:59.635

and put a note in of, of how the, the system would work in

523

00:28:00.375 --> 00:28:04.555

any given example, uh, of, of a site

524

00:28:04.625 --> 00:28:09.355

that might have implications in, in, um, flood terms,

525

00:28:09.545 --> 00:28:10.875

then, then absolutely.

526

00:28:11.015 --> 00:28:14.875

But the, we're sort of stuck in terms of

527

00:28:14.975 --> 00:28:19.635

how far we would be able to, I suppose, disagree with ea.

528

00:28:19.755 --> 00:28:21.155

I think that's the point that I'm making.

529

00:28:21.525 --> 00:28:23.875

Understood. No, I, I, I totally respect that.

530

00:28:23.955 --> 00:28:26.675

I think it's, you know, are there any emerging local plan

531

00:28:26.955 --> 00:28:30.075

policies which would control, uh, water efficiency,

532

00:28:30.135 --> 00:28:33.475

for example, is there anything currently, um,

533

00:28:34.035 --> 00:28:36.355

proposed within the emerging local plan which would be able

534

00:28:36.355 --> 00:28:39.955

to control, um, water for efficiency in that regard?

535

00:28:40.575 --> 00:28:43.155

Um, I think it's the application of

536

00:28:45.135 --> 00:28:49.505

policies in the future, you know, without making speculation

537

00:28:50.055 --> 00:28:52.785

obviously, but how the planning system controls water

538

00:28:52.785 --> 00:28:54.865

efficiency or potentially could do in the future,

539

00:28:56.055 --> 00:28:57.835



not withstanding the EAs comments.

540

00:28:58.595 --> 00:29:00.355

Absolutely understood. We'll do that. Thank you.

541

00:29:03.025 --> 00:29:05.565

Yes, Charles Jones ton parish council.

542

00:29:05.895 --> 00:29:07.325

Could I make three simple points?

543

00:29:07.425 --> 00:29:10.285

The first is that the water efficiency you've,

544

00:29:10.285 --> 00:29:12.685

you've pointed out just then, um,

545

00:29:12.995 --> 00:29:15.125

it's pales into insignificance compared

546

00:29:15.125 --> 00:29:16.565

to the stormwater runoff components.

547

00:29:17.105 --> 00:29:19.925

And actually by focusing on a greenfield runoff rate,

548

00:29:19.925 --> 00:29:22.085

that takes you back to a certain level.

549

00:29:22.885 --> 00:29:24.165

I can't see any particular reason.

550

00:29:24.225 --> 00:29:25.605

And maybe it's something that

551

00:29:26.265 --> 00:29:28.565

you yourselves might consider whether, um,

552

00:29:28.995 --> 00:29:30.605

forthcoming projects could look

553

00:29:30.605 --> 00:29:33.325

for a lower than greenfield runoff rate with the intention,

554

00:29:33.325 --> 00:29:35.205

therefore of reducing overall flows.

555

00:29:36.115 --> 00:29:38.685

It's, it's quite a simple thing to, to deposit.

556

00:29:38.905 --> 00:29:40.165

It may be a difficult thing to do.

557

00:29:41.025 --> 00:29:44.125

The second point was a simple one I raised this morning.

558

00:29:44.665 --> 00:29:47.365

If there's a half meter extra concrete sitting just

559

00:29:47.365 --> 00:29:50.325

downstream of the a 14 road bridge

560

00:29:50.585 --> 00:29:52.325

that's within the conveyance zone

561

00:29:52.545 --> 00:29:55.325

of the cam in flood when it's out of bank.

562

00:29:55.625 --> 00:29:57.285

And I would've thought that extra half meter

563

00:29:57.285 --> 00:29:59.045

of concrete would make a material

564

00:29:59.125 --> 00:30:00.485

difference, stop seem flooding.

565

00:30:00.985 --> 00:30:05.565

And I just wondered whether the, the modeling exercise had

566

00:30:06.075 --> 00:30:08.645

assumed that ground levels were as existing,

567

00:30:08.645 --> 00:30:10.645

or whether in fact they looked at that question

568

00:30:10.645 --> 00:30:12.365

of the extra concrete sticking up in the middle

569

00:30:12.365 --> 00:30:13.445

of the A 14 space.

570

00:30:14.545 --> 00:30:16.605

The third point, it's very simple.

571

00:30:16.745 --> 00:30:20.215

One, the connection of the

572

00:30:20.975 --> 00:30:22.935

W Water Beach pipeline South,

573

00:30:24.835 --> 00:30:29.275

absent a new works immediately transfers the potential

574

00:30:29.275 --> 00:30:31.315

to pump an extra 280 liters a second,

575

00:30:31.435 --> 00:30:34.875

I think 286, 283 liters a second into

576

00:30:35.415 --> 00:30:37.635

the existing outfall into the cam.

577

00:30:38.655 --> 00:30:41.835

Now, that may be a very small amount in relation to

578

00:30:42.365 --> 00:30:45.035

storm flows in the cam, even over a five

579

00:30:45.035 --> 00:30:49.115

or 10 year period that until the main works was approved or,

580

00:30:49.175 --> 00:30:50.475  
or upgraded or something.

581

00:30:51.825 --> 00:30:56.285  
But if it has an upstream effect on outta bank flooding in f

582

00:30:57.155 --> 00:30:59.045  
then we'd, we'd be concerned.

583

00:30:59.305 --> 00:31:02.445  
And that the, the point of really coming to on that is

584

00:31:03.185 --> 00:31:06.205  
the environmental statement is completely silent on the

585

00:31:06.205 --> 00:31:09.045  
subject of what happens when you connect water Beach South,

586

00:31:09.385 --> 00:31:11.045  
uh, handling storm flows.

587

00:31:11.665 --> 00:31:13.205  
And it, it, if there is an argument

588

00:31:13.205 --> 00:31:15.405  
to say it doesn't matter, then I feel

589

00:31:15.425 --> 00:31:16.445  
it should be presented.

590

00:31:17.295 --> 00:31:18.295  
Thank you.

591

00:31:20.075 --> 00:31:21.055  
The applicant would like to

592

00:31:21.055 --> 00:31:22.095  
respond to those points please.

593

00:31:30.365 --> 00:31:33.825

Um, I was just trying to see if I could find the notes as

594

00:31:33.825 --> 00:31:34.825  
to the accuracy

595

00:31:34.885 --> 00:31:37.625  
and the exact date of when the model was updated.

596

00:31:37.805 --> 00:31:39.665  
But the revised model that was issued

597

00:31:39.665 --> 00:31:43.945  
by the EA was specifically, um, updated, um,

598

00:31:44.205 --> 00:31:48.945  
to incorporate a later survey date, I think it was 2018, um,

599

00:31:49.155 --> 00:31:50.185  
topography survey.

600

00:31:50.925 --> 00:31:54.065  
So the change of a small portion

601

00:31:54.125 --> 00:31:56.945  
of concrete is insignificant in the wider

602

00:31:56.945 --> 00:31:58.305  
context of that model.

603

00:31:58.965 --> 00:32:01.905  
Um, and would not be, we would not be able to take

604

00:32:01.905 --> 00:32:05.185  
that into account, um, for the modeling,

605

00:32:05.445 --> 00:32:07.545  
and that's not that sensitive for it.

606

00:32:08.285 --> 00:32:11.145  
So yeah, that's where it leaves us.

607

00:32:15.215 --> 00:32:15.565

Right.

608

00:32:23.675 --> 00:32:25.095

Any other points made by Mr. Jones?

609

00:32:32.995 --> 00:32:35.655

Um, Andrew per the outcome? Adam?

610

00:32:35.675 --> 00:32:39.055

Um, I think in respect to the water beach south flows, um,

611

00:32:40.015 --> 00:32:42.725

those are assessed in, in, in our application as far

612

00:32:42.725 --> 00:32:45.645

as our application, the application for yourselves is,

613

00:32:45.785 --> 00:32:46.965

is goes.

614

00:32:47.065 --> 00:32:51.525

So, um, I'm, I'm not sure that's a correct characterization.

615

00:32:51.625 --> 00:32:54.165

If, um, if Mr. Jones is saying

616

00:32:54.165 --> 00:32:56.405

that those flows should be characterized elsewhere in

617

00:32:56.405 --> 00:32:59.125

another application or in respect of another process, then

618

00:32:59.755 --> 00:33:00.845

that, that may be the case.

619

00:33:00.905 --> 00:33:04.205

But the FRA takes into account those flows going

620

00:33:04.205 --> 00:33:06.965

to Cambridge and then coming on through the transfer tunnel

621

00:33:07.545 --> 00:33:09.845

to the new development and then through the outfalls.

622

00:33:09.945 --> 00:33:12.645

So I, I don't think that's a, a correct characterization.

623

00:33:13.385 --> 00:33:15.925

Um, I think in terms of, uh,

624

00:33:16.255 --> 00:33:20.225

additional flood risk elsewhere, um, Mrs.

625

00:33:20.265 --> 00:33:22.505

Cannon's talked about the modeling there

626

00:33:22.505 --> 00:33:24.825

and how the sensitivity of that modeling on, on,

627

00:33:25.065 --> 00:33:27.665

'cause it's a regional model, you know, is a small raising

628

00:33:27.665 --> 00:33:29.505

of the bank in a very, very small period

629

00:33:29.525 --> 00:33:30.985

of the very small portion

630

00:33:30.985 --> 00:33:33.265

of the river is not gonna affect that model.

631

00:33:34.205 --> 00:33:36.625

My understanding is actually it's the, the, the,

632

00:33:36.805 --> 00:33:38.465

the potential flood impacts are

633

00:33:38.465 --> 00:33:39.905

downstream from their, not upstream.

634

00:33:40.205 --> 00:33:41.865

So they're downstream of our outfall.

635

00:33:42.125 --> 00:33:44.665

Um, so unlikely to affect F ton.

636

00:33:46.945 --> 00:33:50.925

Thank you. I'm sorry,

637

00:33:50.925 --> 00:33:52.765

Mr. Bright Charles Jones f Parish Council.

638

00:33:53.205 --> 00:33:55.925

I specifically said it was the water bee South cline

639

00:33:55.925 --> 00:33:57.925

before the new works is in operation.

640

00:33:58.465 --> 00:34:00.565

So you have this interim case where

641

00:34:01.335 --> 00:34:03.605

extra flood water is being brought into the cam

642

00:34:04.265 --> 00:34:05.485

that's not there at the moment

643

00:34:05.485 --> 00:34:08.085

because it's being discharged down to, um,

644

00:34:08.995 --> 00:34:11.045

much further downstream via drain.

645

00:34:11.585 --> 00:34:13.645

And it may be insignificant,

646

00:34:14.025 --> 00:34:16.365

but I do think the environmental statement should address

647

00:34:16.365 --> 00:34:17.765



that question and, and state.

648

00:34:17.785 --> 00:34:19.085

So if it is insignificant,

649

00:34:20.545 --> 00:34:23.075

Yeah, the, the, the adequacy of the FRA

650

00:34:23.075 --> 00:34:25.315

and the assumptions and the future baseline assumptions have

651

00:34:25.315 --> 00:34:27.595

been agreed with, with the environment agency.

652

00:34:27.615 --> 00:34:29.075

So they're the, they're the authority

653

00:34:29.075 --> 00:34:30.915

that we discuss the adequacy of the modeling within

654

00:34:31.455 --> 00:34:33.435

to my understanding the assumptions in

655

00:34:33.555 --> 00:34:35.715

that model are agreed with, with them.

656

00:34:35.975 --> 00:34:36.975

Ma,

657

00:34:37.535 --> 00:34:38.535

Thank you.

658

00:34:40.455 --> 00:34:42.765

Thank you. Um, with regard

659

00:34:42.765 --> 00:34:46.205

to the environment agency's concern about, um,

660

00:34:47.305 --> 00:34:49.565

all the extra housing providing more runoff,

661

00:34:49.685 --> 00:34:52.685

I think the applicant's response was a bit disingenuous

662

00:34:53.155 --> 00:34:56.405

because actually the city, uh,

663

00:34:56.465 --> 00:34:59.325

and the district council don't have that much power.

664

00:34:59.945 --> 00:35:03.885

Um, we've seen housing developments, um, being turned down

665

00:35:04.105 --> 00:35:05.405

by planning authorities,

666

00:35:05.465 --> 00:35:10.125

and then two have been, um, one on appeal by the developers.

667

00:35:10.505 --> 00:35:12.885

And I think the whole point about the, um,

668

00:35:13.585 --> 00:35:14.725

the government's proposal

669

00:35:14.905 --> 00:35:18.925

for 150,000 new homes is not

670

00:35:18.955 --> 00:35:20.525

what the councils would wish,

671

00:35:20.585 --> 00:35:24.445

and yet it looks like they may be pushed into accepting it.

672

00:35:24.545 --> 00:35:28.485

So it's, it's not up to local councils to be able

673

00:35:28.485 --> 00:35:30.685

to make those decisions necessarily.

674

00:35:31.405 --> 00:35:33.845

I think also there's um, there are a number

675

00:35:33.845 --> 00:35:35.845  
of developments going ahead at the moment,

676

00:35:36.385 --> 00:35:38.525  
and none of them, to the best of my knowledge,

677

00:35:39.115 --> 00:35:42.005  
have state-of-the-art water requirements in them.

678

00:35:42.105 --> 00:35:43.765  
So there are many houses being built

679

00:35:43.765 --> 00:35:45.045  
without gray water systems.

680

00:35:45.655 --> 00:35:48.885  
There are, um, the plumbing restrictions aren't down

681

00:35:48.985 --> 00:35:52.845  
to 90 liters, um, a a day use,

682

00:35:53.105 --> 00:35:54.325  
uh, and, and so on.

683

00:35:54.345 --> 00:35:58.085  
So I think the whole building, um, uh,

684

00:35:58.085 --> 00:36:00.525  
possibilities are not being used in current,

685

00:36:00.905 --> 00:36:01.925  
um, developments.

686

00:36:02.535 --> 00:36:03.535  
Thank you.

687

00:36:04.315 --> 00:36:06.925  
Thank you, Ms. Cut.

688

00:36:08.705 --> 00:36:10.385

I just wondered, um, if, uh,

689

00:36:10.385 --> 00:36:14.705

the environment agency would be, uh, um, I'm not quite sure

690

00:36:14.725 --> 00:36:16.745

who, to whose advantage this question is.

691

00:36:17.125 --> 00:36:21.305

Um, um, whether the problems will be the same if, if it was

692

00:36:21.305 --> 00:36:22.385

with the current outfall.

693

00:36:22.385 --> 00:36:24.305

So if the proposed development doesn't go ahead,

694

00:36:24.535 --> 00:36:27.625

does the current outfall uh, potentially manage, uh,

695

00:36:27.945 --> 00:36:31.025

a growth in population, uh, better than the one,

696

00:36:31.125 --> 00:36:32.265

uh, that is designed?

697

00:36:32.365 --> 00:36:33.545

And so there was that point.

698

00:36:33.565 --> 00:36:37.585

And also, um, uh, of course, uh, uh, water,

699

00:36:37.675 --> 00:36:40.025

water companies are, are, are meant to respond

700

00:36:40.025 --> 00:36:43.385

to population growth rather than be, uh, party to it,

701

00:36:43.385 --> 00:36:45.105

which they are in this current situation,

702

00:36:45.105 --> 00:36:47.545

which is why I was interested to hear you, um,

703

00:36:47.545 --> 00:36:49.905

asking if it was, if the connection was being made

704

00:36:49.905 --> 00:36:51.905

with the development that is facilitated

705

00:36:51.905 --> 00:36:54.905

because of this de uh, uh, uh, relocation

706

00:36:55.445 --> 00:36:57.145

and the excess population growth.

707

00:36:57.165 --> 00:36:58.385

So it was, it was two things there,

708

00:36:58.485 --> 00:37:00.785

but, uh, just interested in, in the workings

709

00:37:00.785 --> 00:37:03.425

of the two outfall and if, if the new outfall actually

710

00:37:04.485 --> 00:37:07.745

is aggressively going to exacerbate, uh, or, or not.

711

00:37:07.765 --> 00:37:09.145

And I fear you might say something

712

00:37:09.145 --> 00:37:11.665

that would work in anyway.

713

00:37:11.665 --> 00:37:12.665

It's an interesting point.

714

00:37:14.465 --> 00:37:16.655

Would the applicant like to respond to

715

00:37:16.655 --> 00:37:17.975  
that in the first instance,

716

00:37:20.765 --> 00:37:22.545  
An Buchanan for the applicant?

717

00:37:22.725 --> 00:37:24.585  
Um, that was one of the scenarios

718

00:37:24.585 --> 00:37:25.905  
that we specifically checked

719

00:37:26.245 --> 00:37:29.185  
to see if you kept discharging from the existing, um,

720

00:37:29.515 --> 00:37:33.465  
wastewater treatment works in Milton compared to the

721

00:37:34.065 --> 00:37:35.185  
proposed new location.

722

00:37:35.725 --> 00:37:38.145  
If there was a, um, a significant difference

723

00:37:38.145 --> 00:37:40.825  
and there was a slight betterment with the new location.

724

00:37:41.405 --> 00:37:43.625  
And that has got to do with the fact that it's just,

725

00:37:43.725 --> 00:37:47.065  
it was a slightly, um, time-wise further down.

726

00:37:47.165 --> 00:37:49.305  
So it's, it's got to do with the timing

727

00:37:49.365 --> 00:37:50.785  
of the flows into the river

728

00:37:51.405 --> 00:37:52.825

and that's why it's slightly better

729

00:37:55.965 --> 00:37:58.905

What it's in a different,

730

00:37:59.105 --> 00:38:02.625

'cause it's a, it's like half a meter, uh,

731

00:38:02.625 --> 00:38:05.065

It's, it's about, it provides about half an

732

00:38:05.065 --> 00:38:06.385

hour's worth of attenuation.

733

00:38:06.925 --> 00:38:09.225

So it, that extra distance that the water actually has

734

00:38:09.225 --> 00:38:12.345

to come to the new location does actually make it a

735

00:38:12.505 --> 00:38:13.745

slightly small difference,

736

00:38:14.645 --> 00:38:16.745

But the flow is greater from the new outfall.

737

00:38:17.095 --> 00:38:19.185

It's not greater 'cause it's the same people

738

00:38:19.185 --> 00:38:23.305

that you're connecting into the same type of treatment.

739

00:38:23.365 --> 00:38:25.705

So you've got one flow coming in one side

740

00:38:26.245 --> 00:38:27.945

and the same flow into the other one,

741

00:38:28.485 --> 00:38:31.705

and it literally is only the distance

742

00:38:31.845 --> 00:38:33.265  
to the wastewater treatment works.

743

00:38:33.295 --> 00:38:34.385  
That makes the difference

744

00:38:37.985 --> 00:38:38.985  
Difference. Thank you.

745

00:38:38.985 --> 00:38:42.945  
Um, a question for the applicant.

746

00:38:43.845 --> 00:38:47.215  
What are the examining authorities options if the

747

00:38:47.775 --> 00:38:50.055  
EA maintains its objection

748

00:38:50.345 --> 00:38:52.495  
after the additional modeling is considered?

749

00:38:55.515 --> 00:38:58.745  
Madam? Um, I'm not being flippant.

750

00:38:59.045 --> 00:39:00.545  
I'm being absolutely sincere.

751

00:39:01.045 --> 00:39:05.545  
It will depend upon, um, what the EAs stated reasons are

752

00:39:06.685 --> 00:39:10.245  
and, um, it's impossible for, for us,

753

00:39:12.225 --> 00:39:15.315  
with all due respect to, to answer that question.

754

00:39:15.495 --> 00:39:19.835  
Now, um, with, without we,

755

00:39:20.295 --> 00:39:24.115



uh, have been through the process that we want to go through

756

00:39:24.185 --> 00:39:26.795

with the Environment Agency in the next couple of weeks

757

00:39:26.855 --> 00:39:31.035

or so, uh, and that we've had those discussions

758

00:39:31.335 --> 00:39:33.275

and, um, and heard their,

759

00:39:34.825 --> 00:39:38.165

and heard their views in the light of the,

760

00:39:38.585 --> 00:39:42.685

of the new matter information such as the kind of thing

761

00:39:42.685 --> 00:39:45.485

that, um, Ms. Buchanan has just mentioned.

762

00:39:46.085 --> 00:39:48.645

I know that Mr. Pryor wants to answer this question as well.

763

00:39:49.715 --> 00:39:52.255

Um, Madam uh, Andrew Pryor, the applicant, uh,

764

00:39:52.295 --> 00:39:54.375

I think if it's of use to you

765

00:39:54.535 --> 00:39:56.655

and your colleagues, what we would like to do is

766

00:39:57.205 --> 00:39:58.615

produce a position statement.

767

00:39:58.715 --> 00:40:01.175

We can try and agree with both Environment,

768

00:40:01.175 --> 00:40:02.215

environment Agency

769

00:40:02.215 --> 00:40:05.895

and the council characterizing this as a cumulative impact,

770

00:40:06.595 --> 00:40:08.455

um, agreeing

771

00:40:08.455 --> 00:40:10.935

that the most appropriate mitigation is upstream

772

00:40:11.235 --> 00:40:15.495

and strategic, um, and see where that takes us.

773

00:40:15.505 --> 00:40:17.935

There are local plan policies, there are, uh,

774

00:40:17.935 --> 00:40:19.095

other policy levers

775

00:40:19.405 --> 00:40:21.335

that can be applied at a strategic level.

776

00:40:21.395 --> 00:40:25.575

And I think if you would, uh, indulge us, give us a week

777

00:40:25.575 --> 00:40:28.175

or so to try and agree that position statement, um,

778

00:40:28.725 --> 00:40:33.175

with those bodies, that would be a useful way to take this.

779

00:40:33.195 --> 00:40:35.735

And then after that, we then probably need to see

780

00:40:35.735 --> 00:40:38.775

what mitigation the Environment Agency would be suggesting

781

00:40:39.235 --> 00:40:40.775

and see what the implications of

782

00:40:40.775 --> 00:40:43.095

that mitigation they're suggesting would be for the project.

783

00:40:43.355 --> 00:40:46.575

But as Mrs. Buchanan said, actually the ability

784

00:40:46.595 --> 00:40:50.535

to attenuate very, very large storm flows on site

785

00:40:51.195 --> 00:40:53.655

is not a sensible use of anybody's money and

786

00:40:53.675 --> 00:40:55.135

nor does it actually solve the problem.

787

00:40:55.555 --> 00:40:57.775

And so our contention is

788

00:40:57.775 --> 00:41:00.815

that this mitigation is at strategic level upstream

789

00:41:00.815 --> 00:41:02.655

and cumulative, and we would like to try

790

00:41:02.655 --> 00:41:04.335

and agree that position with the local authorities

791

00:41:04.335 --> 00:41:05.975

and with the environment agency.

792

00:41:09.215 --> 00:41:12.715

Can I just ask the environment agency, do they, excuse me,

793

00:41:12.715 --> 00:41:16.515

do they recognize that in comparison to the, um,

794

00:41:18.895 --> 00:41:20.935

existing wastewater treatment plan is suggested

795

00:41:20.935 --> 00:41:23.215

by the applicant that there would be a slight betterment

796

00:41:23.215 --> 00:41:27.055

with the relocation of the proposed development in

797

00:41:31.675 --> 00:41:32.195

isolation,

798

00:41:33.975 --> 00:41:36.275

Uh, Louise Foreman for the Environment Agency?

799

00:41:36.655 --> 00:41:40.685

Um, we, we would need to look back at the modeling

800

00:41:40.755 --> 00:41:44.805

that has been undertaken for the existing baseline scenario

801

00:41:45.075 --> 00:41:49.805

with future growth included, um, to compare the two,

802

00:41:49.825 --> 00:41:52.765

but I don't remember there being, uh, a big difference

803

00:41:52.765 --> 00:41:55.885

between the two, the results for those two scenarios.

804

00:41:56.545 --> 00:42:00.775

So I, I don't think there is, um,

805

00:42:01.235 --> 00:42:02.535

any significant betterment.

806

00:42:04.155 --> 00:42:06.975

But you also, uh, would you agree that there was an, um,

807

00:42:07.695 --> 00:42:10.375

a, a worsening either in that regard? Um,

808

00:42:10.995 --> 00:42:12.015

In Isolation

809

00:42:12.575 --> 00:42:16.525

Actually from Henry, there was this, a couple

810

00:42:16.545 --> 00:42:19.085  
of small areas, um, of land

811

00:42:19.085 --> 00:42:23.085  
that showed an increase in flood risk, um, in the,

812

00:42:23.915 --> 00:42:26.935  
the proposed, um, wastewater treatment plant com

813

00:42:27.455 --> 00:42:28.535  
compared with the existing one.

814

00:42:30.975 --> 00:42:34.275  
So I don't, that's that there is any betterment, no.

815

00:42:36.205 --> 00:42:37.265  
So that's different to

816

00:42:37.495 --> 00:42:39.265  
what you've just set out a moment ago.

817

00:42:46.845 --> 00:42:48.895  
Just to be absolutely clear, Mrs.

818

00:42:49.095 --> 00:42:54.015  
Buchanan's last answer, um, had the benefit of the new work,

819

00:42:54.385 --> 00:42:56.215  
which she, she knows,

820

00:42:56.835 --> 00:42:59.375  
and which the environment agency officers haven't

821

00:42:59.515 --> 00:43:03.015  
yet had the chance to look at that this is why

822

00:43:03.845 --> 00:43:05.535  
this dialogue is so important.

823

00:43:16.915 --> 00:43:18.875

I think that's probably as far as we can go

824

00:43:19.065 --> 00:43:21.035

with the flood risk assessment today.

825

00:43:21.335 --> 00:43:23.635

Ms. Cotton, were, you were indicating that Yeah, yeah.

826

00:43:24.175 --> 00:43:26.115

One more time. You think just because the outfalls on the

827

00:43:26.115 --> 00:43:29.195

other side, again, excuse my ignorance from an engineering

828

00:43:29.195 --> 00:43:30.755

point of view and water flow point of view, but

829

00:43:31.115 --> 00:43:33.995

'cause the outflows on a totally opposite side, uh,

830

00:43:34.055 --> 00:43:37.315

and most of the properties downstream are on the same on

831

00:43:37.315 --> 00:43:39.475

that side as well, rather than the other side.

832

00:43:39.515 --> 00:43:41.315

I wonder if that's a contributing factor.

833

00:43:41.315 --> 00:43:45.515

That might mean that the, the relocation of the outflow, um,

834

00:43:45.565 --> 00:43:47.755

might potentially put those properties on the right hand

835

00:43:47.755 --> 00:43:49.355

side at risk because the outfall is now

836

00:43:49.355 --> 00:43:50.435

on, on the right hand side.

837

00:43:50.435 --> 00:43:53.795

And again, that's about water flow and I, I just wondered

838

00:43:54.635 --> 00:43:56.535

And the applicant provide a response Yeah.

839

00:43:56.955 --> 00:43:58.655

On acomb for the applicant. Yeah.

840

00:43:58.715 --> 00:44:01.735

Um, don't downstream, obviously the river water will mix

841

00:44:01.755 --> 00:44:03.295

and won't be, um, you know,

842

00:44:03.395 --> 00:44:06.135

on one side preferentially to the other.

843

00:44:06.395 --> 00:44:11.015

So sides of river is, is is fairly irrelevant assuming

844

00:44:11.015 --> 00:44:12.895

that they're both, that the banks are much

845

00:44:12.895 --> 00:44:14.095

the same height either side.

846

00:44:21.855 --> 00:44:23.405

Susan bucking for friends of the cam.

847

00:44:23.825 --> 00:44:25.445

Um, I'm not sure whether

848

00:44:25.515 --> 00:44:26.845

this question would fit anywhere else.

849

00:44:27.065 --> 00:44:28.685

I'd like to bring it in here

850

00:44:28.865 --> 00:44:30.885

and is whether the, uh,

851

00:44:30.935 --> 00:44:34.205

flood risk has taken into account sea level rise, um,

852

00:44:35.145 --> 00:44:38.165

and the predictions for, uh, the flooding

853

00:44:38.265 --> 00:44:41.565

of the fences over the next 20

854

00:44:42.455 --> 00:44:43.565

years and upwards.

855

00:44:43.895 --> 00:44:44.895

Thank you

856

00:44:50.285 --> 00:44:54.075

Koman for the applicant, the, um, model we've been

857

00:44:54.435 --> 00:44:57.835

provided by the EA is a fluvial model.

858

00:44:58.145 --> 00:45:00.955

It's not a title model, so we've got to work with the,

859

00:45:00.955 --> 00:45:02.515

the model that is in hand.

860

00:45:03.015 --> 00:45:06.355

So obviously being a flu model model, um, uh,

861

00:45:06.775 --> 00:45:09.515

sea level changes aren't, aren't relevant.

862

00:45:09.515 --> 00:45:11.675

It was a coastal model, obviously, uh,

863

00:45:11.765 --> 00:45:14.155



title sea level climate change impacts

864

00:45:14.155 --> 00:45:15.315

will be included in that.

865

00:45:16.015 --> 00:45:19.355

So for the fluvial model, there's climate change, um, uh,

866

00:45:19.485 --> 00:45:23.315

allowances in that for fluvial allowances,

867

00:45:23.415 --> 00:45:24.955

but not title allowances.

868

00:45:28.885 --> 00:45:33.095

Okay, thank you. Um, so moving on to the outline.

869

00:45:33.095 --> 00:45:34.615

Water quality monitoring plan.

870

00:45:36.035 --> 00:45:39.255

Uh, the National Trust requested changes

871

00:45:40.025 --> 00:45:41.815

under rep 5 1 2 7

872

00:45:42.115 --> 00:45:45.775

to the outlined water quality management plan, including use

873

00:45:45.775 --> 00:45:48.055

of loggers at all ball holes, which are monitored

874

00:45:49.075 --> 00:45:51.255

and monitoring throughout all phases of development.

875

00:45:51.875 --> 00:45:54.375

Um, could I ask the applicant's response to this,

876

00:45:54.905 --> 00:45:56.895

these requests and, uh, why?

877

00:46:18.335 --> 00:46:23.055

I think, um, we're going to need to take this in writing.

878

00:46:23.455 --> 00:46:28.215

I know, um, it, it's a subject that's being covered in the

879

00:46:28.765 --> 00:46:31.015

statement of common ground with the county.

880

00:46:32.105 --> 00:46:37.015

Um, I, I understand that we are in agreement

881

00:46:37.165 --> 00:46:41.055

with the county now, um, on that.

882

00:46:41.915 --> 00:46:44.135

Uh, but we will have to take the position

883

00:46:44.135 --> 00:46:46.135

with the National Trust offline if we may,

884

00:46:46.135 --> 00:46:47.775

and, uh, respond at the next deadline.

885

00:46:48.575 --> 00:46:53.485

I gather,

886

00:46:53.865 --> 00:46:56.485

uh, that, uh, Catherine Taylor can speak to this,

887

00:46:56.855 --> 00:46:58.365

Sorry, Catherine Taylor for the applicant.

888

00:46:58.425 --> 00:47:01.525

Um, just to update the comments from the National Trust,

889

00:47:01.625 --> 00:47:03.565

we are looking at those in relation to the water quality

890

00:47:04.365 --> 00:47:05.485

Turing plan specifically.

891

00:47:05.945 --> 00:47:08.125

Um, and we need to go, go back to them

892

00:47:08.125 --> 00:47:10.285

and see whether what we've added they're happy with.

893

00:47:11.285 --> 00:47:15.405

Okay, thank you. They've also, um, uh, requested

894

00:47:15.405 --> 00:47:18.845

to be in the list of, uh, recipients in Table 5.1

895

00:47:19.065 --> 00:47:20.965

of the outline water quality monitoring plan.

896

00:47:21.185 --> 00:47:23.645

Um, is that something that you're also looking at? It

897

00:47:23.645 --> 00:47:25.085

Is, and we've confirmed to them that we're happy

898

00:47:25.085 --> 00:47:26.565

to add add them to that table.

899

00:47:26.775 --> 00:47:27.245

Thank you.

900

00:47:42.765 --> 00:47:46.695

Okay. Um, moving on to, uh, brim and water efficiency.

901

00:47:48.025 --> 00:47:52.705

Um, uh,

902

00:47:52.705 --> 00:47:54.665

south Cambridge District Council's response,

903

00:47:55.365 --> 00:48:00.065

toq two point 21 point 13, uh, rep 5 1 1 2,

904

00:48:00.085 --> 00:48:01.265

uh, 1, 2, 2, sorry.

905

00:48:01.765 --> 00:48:04.865

States that brim excellent would not, uh,

906

00:48:05.065 --> 00:48:08.185

guarantee the maximum number of credits for what zero one

907

00:48:08.185 --> 00:48:10.505

regarding water efficiency, which is contrary

908

00:48:10.505 --> 00:48:13.185

to the applicant's view taken in response to ex Q2.

909

00:48:14.405 --> 00:48:17.425

Um, please can the applicant confirm how can the draft,

910

00:48:17.685 --> 00:48:19.065

or how could the draft DCO

911

00:48:19.065 --> 00:48:21.585

or supporting documents ensure that the maximum number

912

00:48:21.585 --> 00:48:24.425

of credits, um, for what zero one

913

00:48:24.425 --> 00:48:26.625

regarding water efficiency be secured,

914

00:48:28.195 --> 00:48:29.365

Mike Dexter for the applicant?

915

00:48:29.505 --> 00:48:33.725

Um, yeah, we're trying to be concise with a design code.

916

00:48:33.785 --> 00:48:35.325

We blended two elements,

917

00:48:35.385 --> 00:48:37.885

so we've mentioned we'll be b excellent,

918

00:48:37.885 --> 00:48:40.405

but also trying to conform to the, um,

919

00:48:42.205 --> 00:48:43.655

beta Cambridge sustainable design

920

00:48:43.655 --> 00:48:46.535

and construction STP 2020 where we'll be required

921

00:48:46.675 --> 00:48:49.175

for non-residential buildings to achieve five credits.

922

00:48:49.175 --> 00:48:51.815

Under what, under the design code, uh,

923

00:48:51.815 --> 00:48:54.295

the applicant's proposal is we will split those two apart

924

00:48:54.295 --> 00:48:55.695

and make two separate design codes

925

00:48:55.695 --> 00:48:56.775

and secure it through that manner.

926

00:48:58.285 --> 00:49:00.255

Yeah, I think the key to be specific about

927

00:49:00.975 --> 00:49:03.735

ensuring the maximum number of credits so that, yeah. Okay.

928

00:49:03.765 --> 00:49:06.375

Yeah, happy to, happy to do that by the next deadline.

929

00:49:06.625 --> 00:49:11.565

Thank you. I will submit that at the stage six.

930

00:49:11.695 --> 00:49:14.625

Thank you. Yes, Ms. Jones,

931

00:49:14.815 --> 00:49:18.065

Charles Jones ton Parish Council on the overall question

932

00:49:18.065 --> 00:49:19.185

of water efficiency.

933

00:49:19.685 --> 00:49:21.225

Um, I've raised the question of

934

00:49:21.685 --> 00:49:26.025

how the irrigation scheme needed to secure the, um,

935

00:49:26.945 --> 00:49:29.905

embankment planting, which is critical to the landscape

936

00:49:29.905 --> 00:49:33.025

and visual assessment, how that would actually take place,

937

00:49:33.315 --> 00:49:35.425

especially if there's a drought order in place.

938

00:49:36.045 --> 00:49:38.105

And I wondered whether the applicant had considered

939

00:49:38.105 --> 00:49:40.265

how they would get the water to do that irrigation

940

00:49:40.265 --> 00:49:44.065

and if they were proposing to use to adjustment TSE,

941

00:49:44.065 --> 00:49:46.545

whether they need any more kit to

942

00:49:46.765 --> 00:49:48.465

or permits to make it possible.

943

00:49:53.605 --> 00:49:55.305

Um, Mike Dexter for the applicant.

944

00:49:55.525 --> 00:49:57.305

Um, couple of points there.

945

00:49:57.605 --> 00:49:59.345

Um, I, I think we do discuss

946

00:49:59.345 --> 00:50:01.225

how we water within the, in the lrp.

947

00:50:01.365 --> 00:50:04.545

Um, we would struggle from a discharge consenting

948

00:50:04.545 --> 00:50:07.105

perspective to use final EFF in anything other than

949

00:50:07.345 --> 00:50:09.625

discharging it, um, would be a reuseable waste.

950

00:50:09.685 --> 00:50:11.625

So we would have to discuss

951

00:50:11.625 --> 00:50:13.105

how we could use the final elephant.

952

00:50:13.365 --> 00:50:16.505

Um, it's not necessarily, um, within our gift

953

00:50:16.525 --> 00:50:17.665

to to to do that.

954

00:50:17.925 --> 00:50:20.505

Um, but we have answered that within the learn with

955

00:50:20.505 --> 00:50:22.945

how we would manage water in the plants, uh,

956

00:50:22.945 --> 00:50:25.785

water in the trees and within the lu, um, they are supposed

957

00:50:25.785 --> 00:50:30.045

to be designed to be not watered in perpetuity, so they,

958

00:50:30.045 --> 00:50:31.525

they are supposed to grow themselves

959

00:50:31.625 --> 00:50:34.725

and then, um, live naturally within the, within the abundant

960

00:50:34.725 --> 00:50:36.085

and the landscape that we're designing.

961

00:50:37.705 --> 00:50:41.125

If I may ma'am, the question also involves if there's a

962

00:50:41.125 --> 00:50:43.685

drought order in place at the time when these plants are

963

00:50:43.685 --> 00:50:44.685

trying to get established.

964

00:50:45.385 --> 00:50:47.805

Um, is there any way through the DCO

965

00:50:47.805 --> 00:50:49.605

or some agreement with environment agents

966

00:50:49.605 --> 00:50:52.925

or any other mechanism to secure to allow the watering

967

00:50:52.925 --> 00:50:54.485

to take place so that we don't actually

968

00:50:54.485 --> 00:50:55.525

lose the visual screen?

969

00:51:01.905 --> 00:51:03.245

The applicant like to respond to that?

970

00:51:08.635 --> 00:51:10.375

Um, Sophie Stevenson for the applicant,

971

00:51:10.475 --> 00:51:12.615



the applicant updated the landscape

972

00:51:13.215 --> 00:51:16.135

ecological recreational management plan deadline five

973

00:51:16.395 --> 00:51:19.415

to cover additional watering elements

974

00:51:19.415 --> 00:51:20.575

during a drought period.

975

00:51:20.645 --> 00:51:24.255

That was predominantly in response to, um, the councils,

976

00:51:24.255 --> 00:51:26.175

which they've now agreed with the position

977

00:51:26.175 --> 00:51:28.855

that we've done everything possible in order to ensure

978

00:51:28.965 --> 00:51:33.455

that the, the plants are retained during a drought period.

979

00:51:33.675 --> 00:51:37.495

But obviously climate change means that, you know,

980

00:51:37.495 --> 00:51:38.575

we've gone as far as possible.

981

00:51:42.355 --> 00:51:45.505

Okay, thank you. Um,

982

00:51:46.775 --> 00:51:50.545

does Cambridge County counts, um, Cambridge City Council,

983

00:51:50.565 --> 00:51:52.985

excuse me, consider that the proposed development would

984

00:51:52.985 --> 00:51:56.865

secure a full number of credits for category what zero one

985

00:51:56.865 --> 00:51:58.625  
of Brim in accordance with the requirements

986

00:51:58.625 --> 00:52:00.665  
of Cambridge local plan plus E 28.

987

00:52:01.325 --> 00:52:05.065  
Um, if it's the developments updated in, in line with

988

00:52:05.065 --> 00:52:08.195  
how the applicant sets out in terms of the design code,

989

00:52:11.485 --> 00:52:12.825  
And We're gonna have to come back to you on

990

00:52:12.825 --> 00:52:13.825  
That, please. I'm sorry.

991

00:52:13.825 --> 00:52:13.825

992

00:52:24.945 --> 00:52:26.725  
Uh, moving on to septic tanks.

993

00:52:27.105 --> 00:52:29.645  
Um, the examining authority notes that the code

994

00:52:29.645 --> 00:52:33.365  
of construction practice part B, which is REP 5 52,

995

00:52:33.465 --> 00:52:35.645  
was updated to include mitigation measures

996

00:52:35.645 --> 00:52:39.085  
regarding septic tanks set out with an Appendix C

997

00:52:39.425 --> 00:52:41.005  
of rep 4 87.

998

00:52:41.915 --> 00:52:44.645

However, the updates do not refer to Red House Close,

999

00:52:44.695 --> 00:52:48.325

which was referenced in Appendix C of rep, uh, 4 87.

1000

00:52:48.625 --> 00:52:50.685

Please can the applicant confirm whether this was

1001

00:52:50.685 --> 00:52:51.845

intentional or an error?

1002

00:52:53.245 --> 00:52:54.825

Sophie Stevens for the applicant?

1003

00:52:54.985 --> 00:52:57.425

I can confirm that that was an error that that was omitted

1004

00:52:57.485 --> 00:52:59.945

and that the code of construction practice Part B will be

1005

00:52:59.975 --> 00:53:02.025

updated at the next deadline.

1006

00:53:05.765 --> 00:53:09.565

Thank you. Uh,

1007

00:53:09.565 --> 00:53:10.925

moving on to water quality.

1008

00:53:12.145 --> 00:53:13.725

Um, in the EAs response

1009

00:53:13.725 --> 00:53:17.405

to ex Q1 point 21.42,

1010

00:53:17.695 --> 00:53:21.965

which is rep 1 1 5 2, it stated that the modeling undertaken

1011

00:53:22.025 --> 00:53:24.525

for this application was based on models designed

1012

00:53:24.525 --> 00:53:27.005

to inform PR 19 decisions.

1013

00:53:28.045 --> 00:53:29.805

Although the PR 19 model suggests

1014

00:53:29.805 --> 00:53:33.125

that suggests the phosphate status in the river can may

1015

00:53:33.125 --> 00:53:36.165

change from poor to moderate with the proposed limit

1016

00:53:36.265 --> 00:53:39.005

of N 0.4 milligrams per liter,

1017

00:53:39.555 --> 00:53:41.605

this may not be true under the new model designed

1018

00:53:41.605 --> 00:53:45.165

to inform PR 24 decisions due to the updates

1019

00:53:45.195 --> 00:53:47.765

that include pollution pays considerations.

1020

00:53:48.425 --> 00:53:50.405

We are currently in discussions with Anglia Water

1021

00:53:50.455 --> 00:53:54.325

concerning proposed P limits for PR 24 at the existing site

1022

00:53:54.745 --> 00:53:57.685

as their proposed 0.4 milligram per liter does not appear

1023

00:53:57.685 --> 00:53:58.725

to improve the water course

1024

00:53:58.725 --> 00:54:00.445

to moderate status for phosphate.

1025

00:54:01.345 --> 00:54:03.245

Um, can the EA confirm

1026

00:54:03.435 --> 00:54:05.165

what progress has been made on this matter

1027

00:54:05.165 --> 00:54:06.885

and whether things have moved on since then?

1028

00:54:09.885 --> 00:54:12.075

Thank you. Madam Neville been the Environment Agency.

1029

00:54:12.295 --> 00:54:15.315

Um, as I as we spoke about earlier,

1030

00:54:15.455 --> 00:54:17.675

the permitting is still not been duly made

1031

00:54:17.735 --> 00:54:20.435

for water quality, so I'm unable

1032

00:54:20.435 --> 00:54:21.635

to update you any further, I'm afraid.

1033

00:54:26.825 --> 00:54:29.515

Okay. Could the applicant offer any comments on this?

1034

00:54:29.675 --> 00:54:31.755

I mean, this is obviously quite important in terms

1035

00:54:31.755 --> 00:54:33.355

of water quality, um,

1036

00:54:34.335 --> 00:54:37.325

and understanding whether there would be, um,

1037

00:54:38.245 --> 00:54:40.765

a change from the, an improvement to the watercourse

1038

00:54:40.765 --> 00:54:42.965

for moderate to moderate, um,

1039

00:54:42.965 --> 00:54:45.565

because obviously the effects, the significance of effects,

1040

00:54:47.225 --> 00:54:49.245

Uh, Mona Koman for the applicant.

1041

00:54:49.865 --> 00:54:53.805

Um, the modeling that was undertaken for

1042

00:54:54.345 --> 00:54:56.925

PR 19, I believe is what is re um,

1043

00:54:57.155 --> 00:55:01.605

reflected in a PP 1 61 perhaps, um,

1044

00:55:01.675 --> 00:55:06.085

that was referenced only in the WFD report, uh,

1045

00:55:06.255 --> 00:55:11.125

where we did mention that finding of, uh, an, uh, improved

1046

00:55:11.885 --> 00:55:14.525

phosphorus level from, um, poor

1047

00:55:14.785 --> 00:55:17.045

to moderate based on, on that work.

1048

00:55:17.585 --> 00:55:20.925

It is only in the WFD that that is, uh, ref referenced.

1049

00:55:20.925 --> 00:55:23.125

It is not referenced in the es.

1050

00:55:23.145 --> 00:55:27.805

In the es we do our own calculations based on effluent load

1051

00:55:27.985 --> 00:55:30.365

and, uh, don't reference, uh, modeling.

1052

00:55:55.065 --> 00:55:57.325

In the absence of the proposed development,

1053

00:55:57.425 --> 00:55:59.445

please can the applicant confirm if

1054

00:55:59.985 --> 00:56:03.165

and when the existing wastewater treatment plan would have

1055

00:56:03.165 --> 00:56:06.085

to treat the, to the higher water quality standards sought

1056

00:56:06.205 --> 00:56:07.965

to be achieved by the proposed development

1057

00:56:08.035 --> 00:56:10.445

through rev review, through a review

1058

00:56:10.445 --> 00:56:11.845

of its environmental permit

1059

00:56:20.715 --> 00:56:23.055

And be canon for the applicant.

1060

00:56:23.345 --> 00:56:27.485

Um, the EA typically reviews the permit

1061

00:56:28.305 --> 00:56:32.045

on flow, uh, it triggers normally triggers on flow

1062

00:56:32.625 --> 00:56:33.645

or other triggers.

1063

00:56:33.645 --> 00:56:35.725

Could be the water framework director, for example,

1064

00:56:35.795 --> 00:56:37.125

that looks at the whole catchment.

1065

00:56:37.425 --> 00:56:41.445

So there are specific trigger points that the EA use

1066

00:56:42.145 --> 00:56:43.885

to look at these and then

1067

00:56:43.885 --> 00:56:47.645

therefore, um, trigger the changes.

1068

00:56:48.225 --> 00:56:52.085

So in terms of when would be difficult to predict

1069

00:56:54.145 --> 00:56:55.145

It, would it happen?

1070

00:56:55.145 --> 00:56:57.965

Is it, I I understand it's difficult to predict,

1071

00:56:58.105 --> 00:57:01.205

but I suppose the, the point that I'm getting at is

1072

00:57:01.205 --> 00:57:02.845

that the level of the significance

1073

00:57:02.905 --> 00:57:04.885

of benefit is derived in a comparison

1074

00:57:04.885 --> 00:57:08.005

between the existing wastewater treatment plant, um,

1075

00:57:08.095 --> 00:57:10.685

water quality effects and the proposed water,

1076

00:57:10.945 --> 00:57:12.005

uh, quality effects.

1077

00:57:12.505 --> 00:57:15.045

And if the existing wastewater treatment plant at some point

1078

00:57:15.305 --> 00:57:16.525

in the future, admittedly,

1079

00:57:17.105 --> 00:57:20.965



I'm not saying we can be specific about when, if it

1080

00:57:21.545 --> 00:57:23.765

it were to have to achieve those, um,

1081

00:57:23.765 --> 00:57:26.245

higher water quality effects in any any event.

1082

00:57:26.975 --> 00:57:29.405

Would that therefore reduce the significance of effects?

1083

00:57:33.725 --> 00:57:36.255

It's very difficult to forecast how those,

1084

00:57:36.435 --> 00:57:39.415

and I wanna say blocks would stack up one on top of another.

1085

00:57:40.075 --> 00:57:43.015

Um, I think if the same application was made

1086

00:57:43.015 --> 00:57:46.855

to the existing works, the EA might have a similar view,

1087

00:57:47.355 --> 00:57:51.175

but over time things may change to different places as well.

1088

00:57:51.995 --> 00:57:56.055

So it's really hard to give you a clear answer on that one.

1089

00:57:56.055 --> 00:57:57.055

Sorry,

1090

00:57:58.135 --> 00:58:00.005

Could the EA provide a response please?

1091

00:58:02.175 --> 00:58:04.125

Level burn environment agency, again,

1092

00:58:04.225 --> 00:58:06.125

all these matters are covered under our regulation,

1093

00:58:06.125 --> 00:58:08.165

which doesn't fall within our planning remit.

1094

00:58:08.185 --> 00:58:10.245

So there's very little I can say on the matter

1095

00:58:10.245 --> 00:58:11.925

because it's the applications are

1096

00:58:11.925 --> 00:58:13.045

being processed at the moment.

1097

00:58:16.015 --> 00:58:16.485

Thank you.

1098

00:58:22.635 --> 00:58:26.905

Um, please could the, uh, applicant expand on

1099

00:58:26.925 --> 00:58:28.345

how future climate change

1100

00:58:28.365 --> 00:58:30.225

and certainties are built into the design

1101

00:58:30.225 --> 00:58:31.465

of the proposed development?

1102

00:58:40.075 --> 00:58:42.695

Um, are you specifically asking from a water quality

1103

00:58:42.695 --> 00:58:45.415

perspective or Generally speaking?

1104

00:58:45.915 --> 00:58:46.975

Uh, generally speaking.

1105

00:58:47.565 --> 00:58:52.175

Okay. So if we start with the flows arriving at the works,

1106

00:58:52.715 --> 00:58:56.295

the network models, um, considered the, um,

1107

00:58:56.515 --> 00:58:59.295

one in a hundred year plus climate change scenario,

1108

00:59:00.165 --> 00:59:02.815

then if you then go into the switch works,

1109

00:59:02.915 --> 00:59:05.055

it obviously can handle those flows.

1110

00:59:05.515 --> 00:59:08.335

Um, in terms of the full floated treatment

1111

00:59:08.335 --> 00:59:12.415

and storm component of that same bigger flows, um,

1112

00:59:12.525 --> 00:59:16.455

with climate change, um, the treatment processes,

1113

00:59:16.465 --> 00:59:18.175

we've allowed to be able

1114

00:59:18.175 --> 00:59:21.095

to comfortably handle two degrees extra temperature

1115

00:59:21.735 --> 00:59:22.925

throughout, um,

1116

00:59:22.935 --> 00:59:25.845

which is a reasonable expectation in terms of climate change.

1117

00:59:26.465 --> 00:59:30.485

Um, for that, um, the odor control system would be

1118

00:59:31.075 --> 00:59:35.045

adaptable should the, um, circumstances

1119

00:59:35.145 --> 00:59:36.285

and the temperatures

1120

00:59:36.305 --> 00:59:40.045

and climate, generally speaking, change significantly to

1121

00:59:40.635 --> 00:59:43.445

need to be adapted even further beyond what the, um,

1122

00:59:43.725 --> 00:59:45.085

odor models, et cetera are showing.

1123

00:59:45.825 --> 00:59:48.645

Um, and then in terms of the flood risk assessment,

1124

00:59:48.835 --> 00:59:51.525

once again, that has, um, a variety

1125

00:59:51.585 --> 00:59:54.845

of different climate change scenarios included, um,

1126

00:59:55.015 --> 00:59:59.045

storm frequencies and sizes, et cetera as well.

1127

00:59:59.705 --> 01:00:01.285

Um, and I'm sure there are more

1128

01:00:01.435 --> 01:00:04.885

that I'm not exist currently thinking about, uh,

1129

01:00:05.025 --> 01:00:09.845

to the level of equipment, um, warming inside kiosks

1130

01:00:09.895 --> 01:00:11.685

where it might get warmer,

1131

01:00:11.685 --> 01:00:13.245

therefore you need extra ventilation,

1132

01:00:13.465 --> 01:00:14.845

et cetera, things like that as well.

1133

01:00:15.625 --> 01:00:18.525

Um, yeah, so there's different layers

1134

01:00:18.665 --> 01:00:21.605

and different areas of the plant as is relevant.

1135

01:00:23.895 --> 01:00:26.115

You think in specifically in relation to water quality.

1136

01:00:31.925 --> 01:00:33.865

The water quality is linked

1137

01:00:33.935 --> 01:00:36.265

with the flows and the weather.

1138

01:00:37.285 --> 01:00:40.465

So we have run various different scenarios

1139

01:00:40.485 --> 01:00:44.025

for if it's warmer, hence why we're speaking about the two

1140

01:00:44.025 --> 01:00:46.385

degrees that we looked at through the treatment process.

1141

01:00:47.285 --> 01:00:52.025

Um, if it's dry, if it's wetter, if it's uh, colder,

1142

01:00:52.725 --> 01:00:56.105

all those scenarios we've kind of run and risk assessed

1143

01:00:56.105 --> 01:01:00.305

and addressed at the place where they're making an impact.

1144

01:01:01.335 --> 01:01:04.275

So for example, if it gets much colder, do we need

1145

01:01:04.275 --> 01:01:07.515

to provide extra lagging for different pieces of equipment,

1146

01:01:07.525 --> 01:01:09.795

extra heat exchanges for if it gets hotter

1147

01:01:10.335 --> 01:01:12.995

to cool things down, that might get too hot.

1148

01:01:13.615 --> 01:01:17.035

So yeah, it, we've gone through a variety

1149

01:01:17.035 --> 01:01:19.755

of different risk assessment processes to try

1150

01:01:19.755 --> 01:01:21.955

and determine which elements would be vulnerable

1151

01:01:22.455 --> 01:01:23.555

and need extra attention.

1152

01:01:29.675 --> 01:01:32.235

I think Mr. Dexter may have something

1153

01:01:32.235 --> 01:01:33.835

to add on design as well.

1154

01:01:34.145 --> 01:01:38.805

Okay. Thank you. Um, Mike Dexter, I think, and,

1155

01:01:38.865 --> 01:01:40.765

and I also covered it, um, pretty thoroughly.

1156

01:01:41.705 --> 01:01:42.715

I've got nothing more to add.

1157

01:01:44.195 --> 01:01:46.945

Thank you. Um, those were all the questions

1158

01:01:46.945 --> 01:01:48.825

that I had on water resources.

1159

01:01:49.685 --> 01:01:51.625

Um, before we move on, does anybody, how,

1160

01:01:51.885 --> 01:01:54.065

did anyone have any other comments on agenda item

1161

01:01:54.135 --> 01:01:55.785

five? Yes, Mr. Jones?

1162

01:01:56.205 --> 01:01:57.785

I'm sorry to return to question water quality

1163

01:01:58.005 --> 01:02:01.225

and um, perhaps it's a question that one might ask

1164

01:02:01.225 --> 01:02:03.105

that you might have directed Environment agency,

1165

01:02:03.285 --> 01:02:06.425

but the Water Beach Pipeline South means

1166

01:02:06.655 --> 01:02:08.705

that the existing treatment processes

1167

01:02:08.705 --> 01:02:12.145

that we visited yesterday, that's all there is to cope

1168

01:02:12.145 --> 01:02:13.505

with the extra flows coming in from

1169

01:02:13.505 --> 01:02:14.705

Water Beach when they arrive.

1170

01:02:15.205 --> 01:02:19.165

And my question is sim simply, is it likely that the, the,

1171

01:02:20.025 --> 01:02:23.125

um, the necessary consenting standard, whatever

1172

01:02:23.125 --> 01:02:25.845

that might be, is that something angling water are likely

1173

01:02:25.845 --> 01:02:27.685

to be able to achieve with the kit they've got?

1174

01:02:28.875 --> 01:02:29.875

Thank you.

1175

01:02:32.985 --> 01:02:35.405

Um, would the Environment Agency like to respond to that

1176

01:02:36.665 --> 01:02:38.175

Level Bad Environment agency?

1177

01:02:38.185 --> 01:02:41.655

Again, this goes down to, to our, um, regulatory role

1178

01:02:41.795 --> 01:02:43.895

and that's not, um, something I can comment on,

1179

01:02:43.955 --> 01:02:46.975

but if it wants to be put to us in as a question,

1180

01:02:46.975 --> 01:02:49.255

written question, I'll try and do what I can to answer it.

1181

01:02:52.745 --> 01:02:54.245

Did the applicant want to respond at all

1182

01:02:58.935 --> 01:03:00.755

As commented by, um, Mr.

1183

01:03:00.935 --> 01:03:04.515

Ben? The changes in the existing search works

1184

01:03:04.695 --> 01:03:07.955

as consenting changes are typically dealt with

1185

01:03:07.955 --> 01:03:10.195

through the AMP cycles and

1186

01:03:10.195 --> 01:03:12.435

therefore if there is a shortage will

1187

01:03:12.435 --> 01:03:13.515



be addressed in that way.

1188

01:03:16.945 --> 01:03:21.225

Thank you. Can't see any more hands up

1189

01:03:21.225 --> 01:03:22.265

here or virtually.

1190

01:03:24.885 --> 01:03:28.625

Um, so I will now move on to agenda item seven,

1191

01:03:28.715 --> 01:03:33.355

which is land quality. So just first

1192

01:03:33.455 --> 01:03:34.515

We just have a moment

1193

01:03:34.615 --> 01:03:36.795

or two to change around with personnel?

1194

01:03:36.795 --> 01:03:37.475

Yes. Thank you

1195

01:04:11.155 --> 01:04:11.445

Adam.

1196

01:04:11.885 --> 01:04:14.605

I, our expert on this. Mr.

1197

01:04:15.145 --> 01:04:18.645

Um, Gordon Elli is online. We believe he's there.

1198

01:04:18.745 --> 01:04:19.745

Is he good?

1199

01:04:21.825 --> 01:04:26.285

Okay. So firstly, uh,

1200

01:04:26.615 --> 01:04:29.125

compliance with Cambridge and Peterborough Min

1201

01:04:29.225 --> 01:04:30.285  
and Peterborough Minerals

1202

01:04:30.345 --> 01:04:33.405  
and Waste Local Plan, uh, policy five.

1203

01:04:38.015 --> 01:04:41.635  
The anxiety authority is unclear from Cambridge County

1204

01:04:41.635 --> 01:04:45.915  
Council's response to XQ two point 15.1 should rep

1205

01:04:45.985 --> 01:04:48.315  
5 1 1 8 whether it considers

1206

01:04:48.315 --> 01:04:50.715  
that the proposed development complies with CRE

1207

01:04:50.715 --> 01:04:52.115  
and Peterborough Minerals

1208

01:04:52.115 --> 01:04:54.235  
and Waste Local plan policy five in full.

1209

01:04:54.775 --> 01:04:57.955  
Please can CRE County Council confirm if it considers

1210

01:04:57.955 --> 01:04:59.275  
that there is an overriding need

1211

01:04:59.275 --> 01:05:00.795  
for the proposed development which meets

1212

01:05:00.795 --> 01:05:02.595  
with the requirements of Policy five A1?

1213

01:05:07.195 --> 01:05:10.715  
Um, oh, it looks like we've got somebody poised.

1214

01:05:13.345 --> 01:05:15.115

Nope, that's not one of us. Sorry.

1215

01:05:15.575 --> 01:05:17.765

Uh, Madam, I'm going to turn to Mr. Canford for this.

1216

01:05:20.215 --> 01:05:23.035

Yes. Um, we, we can provide further information on this,

1217

01:05:23.095 --> 01:05:27.515

but, um, in relation to policy five, uh, as came

1218

01:05:27.515 --> 01:05:31.555

to County Council's intent, uh, within the criteria, um,

1219

01:05:32.685 --> 01:05:37.465

uh, that has, it has been satisfied in respect to, uh, uh,

1220

01:05:37.905 --> 01:05:40.025

complete prior extraction is not feasible

1221

01:05:40.525 --> 01:05:42.425

and that partial extraction can be addressed

1222

01:05:42.425 --> 01:05:43.705

through Waste Management Plan.

1223

01:05:43.965 --> 01:05:48.385

Um, we just minded, should the xa, uh, be mind is, uh,

1224

01:05:48.515 --> 01:05:50.345

there is no overriding need for the development.

1225

01:05:50.365 --> 01:05:52.865

Um, policy five would be satisfied,

1226

01:05:59.845 --> 01:06:00.845

Thank you.

1227

01:06:06.335 --> 01:06:08.635

But the statement of common ground still suggests

1228

01:06:08.635 --> 01:06:12.955

that this is an outstanding, um, matter of disagreement.

1229

01:06:13.335 --> 01:06:16.315

Um, perhaps that does need to still be updated

1230

01:06:16.455 --> 01:06:18.875

to reflect an agreed position. Yeah,

1231

01:06:19.045 --> 01:06:19.715

Stage six,

1232

01:06:34.765 --> 01:06:35.915

Sorry, just to confirm.

1233

01:06:37.095 --> 01:06:39.155

So just Cambridge County Council consider

1234

01:06:39.195 --> 01:06:40.555

that there is an overriding need,

1235

01:06:44.625 --> 01:06:48.895

Madam, as with other, the other two councils.

1236

01:06:48.895 --> 01:06:51.335

The county's position is that, that the, the question

1237

01:06:51.395 --> 01:06:54.055

of overriding need would be ultimately

1238

01:06:54.055 --> 01:06:56.335

for the decision maker, but if there is an overriding need

1239

01:06:56.915 --> 01:07:01.015

for the scheme, then, then it flows that the policy is met.

1240

01:07:02.185 --> 01:07:03.185

Okay.

1241

01:07:07.575 --> 01:07:10.955

Um, so moving on to the, um, generic, um,

1242

01:07:10.955 --> 01:07:12.475  
quantitative risk assessment.

1243

01:07:13.055 --> 01:07:15.435  
Um, do any of the councils have any comments

1244

01:07:15.575 --> 01:07:17.955  
or concerns regarding the submitted risk assessment?

1245

01:07:18.655 --> 01:07:20.115  
Um, and its conclusions,

1246

01:07:20.115 --> 01:07:23.035  
which is Rep five 70 submitted at deadline five?

1247

01:07:30.155 --> 01:07:33.365  
Nothing. No, madam, no. No concerns? No.

1248

01:07:33.875 --> 01:07:38.645  
Okay. Uh,

1249

01:07:38.645 --> 01:07:40.925  
those were the questions that I had on, um,

1250

01:07:41.195 --> 01:07:43.245  
land quality before we move on.

1251

01:07:43.545 --> 01:07:44.545  
Yep. Sorry.

1252

01:07:46.165 --> 01:07:48.785  
So about, about, so I understand that we're the, you know,

1253

01:07:48.875 --> 01:07:52.345  
we're re making the recommendation and the decision maker,

1254

01:07:52.345 --> 01:07:55.635  
but these are your policies from your local plan.

1255

01:07:55.815 --> 01:07:58.275

So do you not have an opinion as to whether

1256

01:07:59.115 --> 01:08:01.285

there's no riding need for the development or not?

1257

01:08:02.845 --> 01:08:07.375

Well, sir, um, I understand that the, that from the nature

1258

01:08:07.375 --> 01:08:09.095

of the questions that have come through

1259

01:08:09.095 --> 01:08:11.255

to the local authority across this examination,

1260

01:08:11.685 --> 01:08:16.205

that it is a matter of concern that, um, that

1261

01:08:16.785 --> 01:08:20.525

the, the, the councils, uh, in any of their positions

1262

01:08:20.525 --> 01:08:24.765

as local planning authority, uh, have, have not been able,

1263

01:08:25.875 --> 01:08:30.535

uh, to express a view about the overriding need and,

1264

01:08:30.535 --> 01:08:32.175

and the overriding benefits of the scheme.

1265

01:08:33.755 --> 01:08:35.565

Both the district council

1266

01:08:35.665 --> 01:08:37.645

and the city council has explained their position.

1267

01:08:37.885 --> 01:08:39.405

'cause they're not the planning authority

1268

01:08:39.505 --> 01:08:42.445

and would never be the planning authority for this scheme.

1269

01:08:42.985 --> 01:08:47.005

The county council would be the deciding authority

1270

01:08:47.915 --> 01:08:51.485

were this to be an application under the 1990 Act,

1271

01:08:52.065 --> 01:08:55.005

but they are still not the decision maker

1272

01:08:55.425 --> 01:08:56.485

in, in this instance.

1273

01:08:56.505 --> 01:09:00.285

And therefore, for the, for, if it was

1274

01:09:00.425 --> 01:09:04.445

for the county council to be deciding this, that process

1275

01:09:05.095 --> 01:09:08.845

would have to involve a, um, a, an investigation

1276

01:09:08.845 --> 01:09:12.285

of the scheme that would, would be wholly artificial

1277

01:09:13.715 --> 01:09:17.165

well would be, would be different to the exercise

1278

01:09:17.165 --> 01:09:18.205

that you are doing.

1279

01:09:18.785 --> 01:09:23.445

So for the county council to, to, to sit before you here

1280

01:09:23.505 --> 01:09:27.045

and say we've come to a conclusion, uh, as the,

1281

01:09:27.045 --> 01:09:30.445

as the planning authority on the basis that we would be the,

1282  
01:09:30.785 --> 01:09:33.485  
the decision maker in, in another, in another world

1283  
01:09:34.175 --> 01:09:35.445  
would be inappropriate.

1284  
01:09:36.625 --> 01:09:38.845  
We are relying upon you as decision maker

1285  
01:09:38.845 --> 01:09:41.005  
and the Secretary of state as, as the decision maker

1286  
01:09:41.505 --> 01:09:43.565  
to say whether there is an overriding need.

1287  
01:09:43.985 --> 01:09:47.205  
If there is an overriding need, then the policy is met.

1288  
01:09:48.105 --> 01:09:51.485  
So I'm, I I'm, I'm sorry that I know why

1289  
01:09:51.795 --> 01:09:53.365  
that you are asking those questions,

1290  
01:09:53.785 --> 01:09:56.525  
but it's important we, for us throughout the whole

1291  
01:09:56.525 --> 01:09:59.245  
of this process that we don't overstep the mark.

1292  
01:10:07.835 --> 01:10:10.685  
Hmm. So you don't even have you, you can't even give a,

1293  
01:10:10.705 --> 01:10:12.765  
an opinion like it's, I'm not saying it's,

1294  
01:10:13.605 --> 01:10:14.925  
I know you are not the decision maker,

1295  
01:10:15.065 --> 01:10:18.765



but you must have some thoughts on whether your policies

1296

01:10:18.785 --> 01:10:20.045

are met or not.

1297

01:10:22.025 --> 01:10:24.275

Well, sir, it's not as simple as that be.

1298

01:10:24.465 --> 01:10:29.355

Forgive me, because the, if we were to, to, to give

1299

01:10:29.355 --> 01:10:33.045

that a a view, it would be

1300

01:10:33.625 --> 01:10:36.445

wholly artificial and it would be inappropriate

1301

01:10:36.715 --> 01:10:40.725

because in order to come to that view about overriding need,

1302

01:10:41.705 --> 01:10:43.525

it would've required a separate process.

1303

01:10:44.745 --> 01:10:47.485

So it's, and the,

1304

01:10:49.515 --> 01:10:54.425

the question that you are asking would, would, um,

1305

01:10:54.505 --> 01:10:55.865

I can't put it any other way, but,

1306

01:10:55.865 --> 01:10:57.905

but we would be overstepping the mark.

1307

01:10:58.325 --> 01:11:01.865

You are an opinion from, from a a, from a,

1308

01:11:02.065 --> 01:11:05.345

a planning consultant who might be advising the,

1309

01:11:05.565 --> 01:11:07.465  
the county council as one, one thing.

1310

01:11:07.845 --> 01:11:10.945  
And, uh, I can't possibly give you my opinion as a,

1311

01:11:10.945 --> 01:11:13.105  
as a lawyer about overriding need on, on,

1312

01:11:13.245 --> 01:11:14.525  
on basis of my instruction.

1313

01:11:14.585 --> 01:11:17.405  
So we don't, we're not being unhelpful.

1314

01:11:18.065 --> 01:11:20.285  
Um, but the, the question

1315

01:11:20.305 --> 01:11:24.205  
of the benefits from this scheme would be reliant upon

1316

01:11:24.745 --> 01:11:26.925  
the local, upon upon the city council

1317

01:11:27.425 --> 01:11:28.925  
and the views of the district council.

1318

01:11:29.025 --> 01:11:31.125  
So if you want to look to,

1319

01:11:31.305 --> 01:11:34.565  
or what we would look to as the county council would be

1320

01:11:34.565 --> 01:11:36.765  
to look to advice from the city council

1321

01:11:36.945 --> 01:11:37.965  
and the district council.

1322

01:11:38.465 --> 01:11:42.045

So that's, that's as far as we could possibly take it.

1323

01:11:42.065 --> 01:11:46.795

But the county council before you now cannot, cannot,

1324

01:11:47.495 --> 01:11:49.155

and should not express a view about the

1325

01:11:49.155 --> 01:11:50.555

overriding NA scheme?

1326

01:11:50.835 --> 01:11:51.835

'cause that is your decision.

1327

01:11:52.265 --> 01:11:54.035

Haven't the authorities already gone

1328

01:11:54.035 --> 01:11:55.075

through a similar process?

1329

01:11:55.575 --> 01:12:00.395

Um, wasn't there a, a local plan proposal to, well,

1330

01:12:00.395 --> 01:12:03.875

an investigation to relocate the wastewater treatment plant.

1331

01:12:05.055 --> 01:12:09.635

And how far did that go? What did the authorities look into?

1332

01:12:10.195 --> 01:12:12.435

I understand that the allocation didn't come

1333

01:12:12.435 --> 01:12:15.835

through the minerals plan, uh, minerals and waste plan

1334

01:12:15.835 --> 01:12:18.435

because the relocation wasn't viable.

1335

01:12:19.135 --> 01:12:22.715

Yes. But there must have been some type of conclusion, um,

1336

01:12:22.975 --> 01:12:27.475

as to, um, the acceptability of it for the authorities

1337

01:12:27.615 --> 01:12:28.715

to progress it that far.

1338

01:12:28.985 --> 01:12:30.595

Well, sir, my instruction is, it's,

1339

01:12:30.595 --> 01:12:32.275

it's in the local impact report.

1340

01:12:32.295 --> 01:12:33.515

It sets out the history there.

1341

01:12:33.515 --> 01:12:34.955

And you've just referred to that, sir.

1342

01:12:35.455 --> 01:12:36.635

My instructions are that

1343

01:12:36.635 --> 01:12:39.955

because it wasn't viable, there was no, there was no, well,

1344

01:12:39.955 --> 01:12:41.355

the matter was not, not taken any further.

1345

01:12:42.055 --> 01:12:45.355

So there was no overriding decision at that point.

1346

01:12:45.455 --> 01:12:46.475

And then it was like, oh,

1347

01:12:46.475 --> 01:12:47.955

we can't do it because it's not viable.

1348

01:12:48.415 --> 01:12:50.715

It was made very clear that it wasn't viable, so

1349

01:12:50.905 --> 01:12:52.635

that the matter was, was left there.

1350

01:12:53.585 --> 01:12:55.615

Right. So if it had been viable,

1351

01:12:55.725 --> 01:12:58.615

what further steps would've been undertaken to,

1352

01:12:59.035 --> 01:13:01.775

to reach a decision that the authorities were happy with it?

1353

01:13:03.115 --> 01:13:08.105

Uh, I would be

1354

01:13:08.105 --> 01:13:10.705

making assumptions, but if you would like to have

1355

01:13:11.335 --> 01:13:15.765

that hypothetical, uh, position set out, we, we could,

1356

01:13:15.765 --> 01:13:16.765

we could do that because,

1357

01:13:16.825 --> 01:13:21.045

but, um, I would, I can't tell you what they would've done,

1358

01:13:21.345 --> 01:13:23.165

but it would've been part of the process

1359

01:13:23.265 --> 01:13:24.645

of adapting their local plan.

1360

01:13:25.025 --> 01:13:27.445

And that probably would've involved, probably, sir.

1361

01:13:27.645 --> 01:13:30.405

I mean, I have to say this probably involved, uh,

1362

01:13:30.645 --> 01:13:32.005

carrying out a full consultation

1363

01:13:32.385 --> 01:13:34.205

of all the relevant parties involved

1364

01:13:34.225 --> 01:13:37.725

and whether, uh, whether the, in particular the city council

1365

01:13:37.985 --> 01:13:42.565

and the district council w were w were in favor. I

1366

01:13:42.565 --> 01:13:46.605

Think that just, just to boil it down, the, the, the point

1367

01:13:46.605 --> 01:13:48.165

where you're really struggling with is

1368

01:13:48.395 --> 01:13:51.845

that there is a clear proposition from an applicant,

1369

01:13:52.905 --> 01:13:55.845

and we're, we aren't getting any answers from the

1370

01:13:55.845 --> 01:13:59.565

authorities as to whether that would comply

1371

01:13:59.565 --> 01:14:00.725

with your policies or not,

1372

01:14:00.725 --> 01:14:03.365

or whether, you know, if you were assessing it,

1373

01:14:03.665 --> 01:14:07.765

it would comply, albeit we know you aren't assessing it.

1374

01:14:07.945 --> 01:14:11.605

And, um, it's a struggle for us to understand why

1375

01:14:12.435 --> 01:14:14.405

that opinion isn't being provided.

1376

01:14:15.475 --> 01:14:18.205

Well, well, Sarah, I, forgive me,

1377

01:14:18.425 --> 01:14:21.645

but we can only provide the decisions that would be,

1378

01:14:22.225 --> 01:14:23.725

and views that would be appropriate.

1379

01:14:25.025 --> 01:14:29.405

It, this is not, so it would be wrong for

1380

01:14:30.065 --> 01:14:34.325

the, the county council to say what their

1381

01:14:35.405 --> 01:14:37.725

position would be in that other world.

1382

01:14:38.475 --> 01:14:40.605

They can't tell you what the position,

1383

01:14:40.835 --> 01:14:42.245

what position you should take.

1384

01:14:42.905 --> 01:14:46.365

No. Well, we'd, but we'd like to be informed by the,

1385

01:14:46.745 --> 01:14:48.405

the parties that prepared the policy.

1386

01:14:48.665 --> 01:14:52.445

So if it was a section 78 non determination appeal,

1387

01:14:52.465 --> 01:14:55.085

for example, yes, an authority would tell us

1388

01:14:55.115 --> 01:14:58.025

what they would've, um, decided

1389

01:14:58.565 --> 01:15:00.545

had they had the powers to do so.

1390

01:15:01.685 --> 01:15:04.345

Yes, absolutely. So, but this is not a section 78.

1391

01:15:04.545 --> 01:15:06.905

I understand. Um, because we are not,

1392

01:15:06.965 --> 01:15:09.545

the county council is not the local planning authority,

1393

01:15:09.605 --> 01:15:13.185

and neither is the city and neither is the district council.

1394

01:15:13.765 --> 01:15:17.865

So for any of those authorities objectively to go beyond

1395

01:15:18.295 --> 01:15:23.105

that position and say to you, given what's given the basis

1396

01:15:23.125 --> 01:15:27.585

of those plan policies to say to you, oh,

1397

01:15:27.585 --> 01:15:30.225

clearly there's an overriding need that we,

1398

01:15:30.255 --> 01:15:33.265

that the county council has somehow come to a view on that.

1399

01:15:33.285 --> 01:15:36.225

Mm-Hmm. Even though it has not carried out

1400

01:15:36.225 --> 01:15:39.225

and can't carry out the same exercise that it would've done,

1401

01:15:39.515 --> 01:15:41.585

would simply be, would be wrong.

1402

01:15:42.285 --> 01:15:45.585

We, we, we, I know that I understand why you want it, but

1403

01:15:45.585 --> 01:15:49.785



because of the nature of this DCO and, and what is at stake

1404

01:15:49.785 --> 01:15:53.905

and the nature of the, the policies, the best advice

1405

01:15:53.905 --> 01:15:58.545

that we can give you is to say, look to, um,

1406

01:15:59.125 --> 01:16:01.985

the justification that we would look to in terms of

1407

01:16:02.505 --> 01:16:04.985

benefits from the applicant and from the city

1408

01:16:05.165 --> 01:16:06.945

and from the district, um,

1409

01:16:07.125 --> 01:16:10.065

and that overriding need would be considered as part

1410

01:16:10.065 --> 01:16:11.785

of the county council's position.

1411

01:16:11.805 --> 01:16:14.825

But it wouldn't, it's not for the county council to say,

1412

01:16:15.355 --> 01:16:16.705

based on the evidence that's

1413

01:16:16.705 --> 01:16:18.945

before you, they would have granted

1414

01:16:19.205 --> 01:16:21.025

or would've refused planning permission.

1415

01:16:21.365 --> 01:16:23.705

So does that mean you haven't told us about all

1416

01:16:23.705 --> 01:16:26.025

of the impacts that you think might arise from the

1417

01:16:26.025 --> 01:16:29.785

development if you're, if you're not offering a view on

1418

01:16:30.345 --> 01:16:32.865

a positive, a potential positive

1419

01:16:32.865 --> 01:16:35.905

and need the benefits of the development, have you

1420

01:16:36.385 --> 01:16:40.825

provided us with a full, um, view on potential impacts?

1421

01:16:43.295 --> 01:16:46.285

Well, so as far as the county,

1422

01:16:46.705 --> 01:16:48.885

but the county has looked at all the impacts,

1423

01:16:49.025 --> 01:16:50.765

so not just in its position as

1424

01:16:51.705 --> 01:16:54.765

So why, why is that appropriate when you can, um,

1425

01:16:54.955 --> 01:16:56.125

tell us about impact,

1426

01:16:56.145 --> 01:17:00.605

but you can't tell us about, um, other, you know, benefits.

1427

01:17:03.225 --> 01:17:07.275

Well, so, um, it's, it's not the same.

1428

01:17:07.705 --> 01:17:09.725

Forgive me, it's not the same thing

1429

01:17:09.725 --> 01:17:11.765

because I, I understand your,

1430

01:17:11.765 --> 01:17:14.125

your position is about overriding need.

1431

01:17:14.555 --> 01:17:16.445

That is a very specific issue.

1432

01:17:16.635 --> 01:17:18.845

It's something to be weighed in the planning balance. Yes,

1433

01:17:18.865 --> 01:17:19.865

Sir. So, so

1434

01:17:19.865 --> 01:17:21.485

that is that one particular part.

1435

01:17:21.545 --> 01:17:22.965

It would be inappropriate. So that's,

1436

01:17:22.965 --> 01:17:24.805

That's the only point that the county

1437

01:17:25.545 --> 01:17:26.765

or the authorities aren't willing

1438

01:17:26.785 --> 01:17:28.725

to give a, a view on. Well,

1439

01:17:28.985 --> 01:17:29.985

So forgive me. It's not that

1440

01:17:29.985 --> 01:17:31.845

we're not willing. So it's, it's,

1441

01:17:31.945 --> 01:17:34.205

it really isn't, uh, it, it's

1442

01:17:34.205 --> 01:17:37.485

because it would be inappropriate for the county council

1443

01:17:38.225 --> 01:17:41.525

to give you a view about the overriding need for this scheme

1444

01:17:42.505 --> 01:17:44.565  
or whether or not that's met. Why,

1445

01:17:44.665 --> 01:17:45.965  
Why is it inappropriate?

1446

01:17:47.505 --> 01:17:52.095  
Well, sir, but perhaps it's better, sir, that,

1447

01:17:52.095 --> 01:17:55.815  
that I, I, I, we put this down in a, in a position statement

1448

01:17:55.815 --> 01:17:56.935  
and, and legal position

1449

01:17:56.935 --> 01:17:58.855  
because I had understood

1450

01:17:58.855 --> 01:18:01.335  
that the local impact report had made that clear.

1451

01:18:02.035 --> 01:18:03.255  
Um, uh, uh, and at the moment,

1452

01:18:03.285 --> 01:18:08.055  
this is coming outta a consequence of, of, uh, questions on,

1453

01:18:08.715 --> 01:18:09.735  
uh, land quality.

1454

01:18:10.635 --> 01:18:12.775  
So I don't want to respond

1455

01:18:12.775 --> 01:18:17.255  
to you off the cuff without having spoken to the all my,

1456

01:18:17.355 --> 01:18:20.575  
my clients, because clearly this is a fundamental

1457

01:18:20.665 --> 01:18:21.775

point that's being raised.

1458

01:18:22.475 --> 01:18:23.935

Um, and, but,

1459

01:18:23.995 --> 01:18:28.615

but we, we had hope that we had made our position clear, uh,

1460

01:18:28.755 --> 01:18:32.215

and we do want to assist the examining authority.

1461

01:18:32.755 --> 01:18:34.175

And, and as I understand it,

1462

01:18:34.235 --> 01:18:36.055

you are all concerned about the fact

1463

01:18:36.055 --> 01:18:40.615

that the county council, um, I is not going to go as far

1464

01:18:40.635 --> 01:18:43.935

to assess whether there's an overriding need or not.

1465

01:18:44.245 --> 01:18:46.135

Okay. But it is the other local authorities

1466

01:18:46.135 --> 01:18:47.655

as well, not just the county council.

1467

01:18:47.695 --> 01:18:52.175

A a Absolutely. So we will put

1468

01:18:52.175 --> 01:18:53.615

that in a clear statement. That'd

1469

01:18:53.615 --> 01:18:54.615

Be helpful. Thank you.

1470

01:18:54.615 --> 01:18:54.825

1471

01:18:58.275 --> 01:19:02.905

Yes, Mr. Gilda. Thank you, ma'am.

1472

01:19:03.005 --> 01:19:05.585

Can I, can I just throw into the pot since the county

1473

01:19:05.585 --> 01:19:07.105

council are going away to talk to the,

1474

01:19:07.805 --> 01:19:10.385

the other two planning authorities about this question of

1475

01:19:11.125 --> 01:19:13.985

owning up to the extent to which there are impacts.

1476

01:19:14.765 --> 01:19:17.785

Can I please ask that the greenbelt impacts are looked at

1477

01:19:17.785 --> 01:19:19.625

again, because we've got a situation where

1478

01:19:20.245 --> 01:19:22.225

the county council seems happy to defer

1479

01:19:22.225 --> 01:19:23.345

to the district councils.

1480

01:19:23.565 --> 01:19:25.905

And the district council seems happy to defer to you

1481

01:19:26.445 --> 01:19:28.905

in terms of the impact and harm on Greenbelt.

1482

01:19:29.365 --> 01:19:31.185

And I think that's the same question that Mr.

1483

01:19:31.185 --> 01:19:33.105

Burley's asking effectively. Yeah.

1484

01:19:33.255 --> 01:19:34.665

He's not getting clear guidance

1485

01:19:35.655 --> 01:19:37.585  
even on a policy by policy basis.

1486

01:19:37.715 --> 01:19:39.585  
Leave aside the overriding need,

1487

01:19:40.415 --> 01:19:42.265  
very special circumstances balance.

1488

01:19:42.855 --> 01:19:45.905  
He's not getting clear guidance on the impacts

1489

01:19:45.965 --> 01:19:47.265  
and individual policies.

1490

01:19:47.525 --> 01:19:49.545  
And since those policies are very much those

1491

01:19:49.565 --> 01:19:51.105  
of the local planning authorities

1492

01:19:51.525 --> 01:19:53.585  
and are backed up by their evidence base

1493

01:19:53.645 --> 01:19:54.945  
and not by something that's

1494

01:19:54.945 --> 01:19:57.385  
before this inquiry, I think it is important

1495

01:19:57.385 --> 01:20:00.825  
that the local authorities make a clear statement about

1496

01:20:00.825 --> 01:20:02.225  
things like harm to greenbelt.

1497

01:20:03.315 --> 01:20:04.595  
I I think that all ties into

1498

01:20:04.595 --> 01:20:06.955

what the question we've been asking all the way along,

1499

01:20:06.990 --> 01:20:09.725

and we have asked the written questions we're asking again.

1500

01:20:10.385 --> 01:20:13.365

So, yeah, I mean, the local authorities say they could

1501

01:20:13.365 --> 01:20:15.005

amount to very special circumstances,

1502

01:20:15.865 --> 01:20:18.445

but they're unable to tell us if they consider they

1503

01:20:18.445 --> 01:20:19.885

would, for example.

1504

01:20:20.105 --> 01:20:22.475

But we'll leave that for now quite what we said.

1505

01:20:22.475 --> 01:20:24.715

But I, I understand where we're going with this one,

1506

01:20:29.805 --> 01:20:30.805

Ms. Cotton.

1507

01:20:32.435 --> 01:20:35.695

Um, if it, if it is for you to decide, um,

1508

01:20:35.695 --> 01:20:37.575

whether there is an overriding need

1509

01:20:37.575 --> 01:20:41.895

or not for this relocation, then, uh, should you not be, uh,

1510

01:20:41.895 --> 01:20:44.615

completely across all the changes and,

1511

01:20:44.635 --> 01:20:46.135



and facts about the new development.

1512

01:20:46.475 --> 01:20:49.855

Um, and the recent, um, uh, presentation made

1513

01:20:49.855 --> 01:20:52.695

by the developers to the, uh, county council was

1514

01:20:52.795 --> 01:20:54.895

of a very different shape from the original one.

1515

01:20:55.115 --> 01:20:57.375

So the numbers that have been submitted to you for jobs

1516

01:20:57.595 --> 01:21:01.055

and houses in the, uh, statement of reason has now changed

1517

01:21:01.055 --> 01:21:04.295

because the developers have said that, um, instead of, uh,

1518

01:21:04.715 --> 01:21:07.815

to the, to the surprise of the Count Counter Council, uh,

1519

01:21:07.815 --> 01:21:09.495

that instead of 20,000, uh,

1520

01:21:09.495 --> 01:21:10.815

square meters per commercial space,

1521

01:21:10.815 --> 01:21:13.255

it's now being quadrupled over quadrupled.

1522

01:21:13.255 --> 01:21:14.655

It's going to be, uh, four

1523

01:21:14.655 --> 01:21:17.895

and a half times that's going to be, uh, 90,000, uh,

1524

01:21:17.895 --> 01:21:19.695

square meters per commercial and r

1525

01:21:19.695 --> 01:21:21.455  
and d space, which means that the number

1526

01:21:21.455 --> 01:21:24.775  
of jobs created is going to be four and a half times that.

1527

01:21:24.775 --> 01:21:29.375  
So instead of, uh, um, uh, 15,000 jobs there,

1528

01:21:29.675 --> 01:21:31.775  
if, if the ratio is the same, that's now going

1529

01:21:31.775 --> 01:21:34.695  
to be 67,500 jobs,

1530

01:21:35.075 --> 01:21:36.935  
and given that they're going to be building the commercial

1531

01:21:37.275 --> 01:21:39.415  
sector first, and it's going to be a slow build out

1532

01:21:39.415 --> 01:21:41.295  
for H Homes, then that means

1533

01:21:41.295 --> 01:21:43.735  
that we're gonna have a massive housing deficit created

1534

01:21:43.955 --> 01:21:45.415  
by this, uh, development

1535

01:21:45.415 --> 01:21:48.575  
because the, uh, 8,350 homes, uh,

1536

01:21:48.575 --> 01:21:51.735  
releases 13,500 people of working age.

1537

01:21:51.795 --> 01:21:55.015  
So we're gonna have a massive deficit, in fact, of 54,000,

1538

01:21:55.015 --> 01:21:55.855

and they're going to be building

1539

01:21:55.875 --> 01:21:57.055

all this commercial area first.

1540

01:21:57.315 --> 01:21:59.415

So all of these people have got to live somewhere else,

1541

01:21:59.475 --> 01:22:02.295

not within the quote unquote sustainable location, which

1542

01:22:02.315 --> 01:22:04.095

of course is very questionable, uh,

1543

01:22:04.095 --> 01:22:05.135

next to Cambridge North Station.

1544

01:22:05.235 --> 01:22:08.455

So if you are deciding if you are the ones deciding the

1545

01:22:08.455 --> 01:22:12.735

overall benefit, you need to be completely, uh, across all,

1546

01:22:12.755 --> 01:22:15.455

all the changes to this plan, which seem to be changing, uh,

1547

01:22:15.525 --> 01:22:16.695

very frequently.

1548

01:22:17.715 --> 01:22:21.295

Uh, sorry if that sounds a bit rude. Sorry. Apologies.

1549

01:22:25.315 --> 01:22:27.755

I I think we're straying slightly off the agenda at the

1550

01:22:27.755 --> 01:22:29.955

moment, so I'm just gonna bring it back round to back

1551

01:22:29.955 --> 01:22:32.675

to the agenda, um, that we've got in front of us.

1552

01:22:32.975 --> 01:22:36.925

So, um, just to clarify,

1553

01:22:37.225 --> 01:22:39.085

did anybody else have any comments on agenda item

1554

01:22:39.085 --> 01:22:40.365

seven, which is land quality?

1555

01:22:43.855 --> 01:22:44.955

No. Okay. Thank you.

1556

01:22:45.775 --> 01:22:48.635

Um, so I'll now move on to agenda item eight,

1557

01:22:48.645 --> 01:22:50.035

which is noise and vibration.

1558

01:22:52.095 --> 01:22:55.715

Um, could I just check that Mr. Ed House is online?

1559

01:22:55.865 --> 01:22:56.865

John Ed House?

1560

01:23:00.065 --> 01:23:03.645

I am, yes. I'm online, but thank you. Thank

1561

01:23:03.645 --> 01:23:04.645

You.

1562

01:23:04.745 --> 01:23:08.085

Um, so firstly, uh, scoping out of, um,

1563

01:23:12.185 --> 01:23:14.845

of the emergency generators from the noise assessment,

1564

01:23:18.005 --> 01:23:21.435

Madam, there's been that there's a, a piece

1565

01:23:21.435 --> 01:23:24.395

of further information which has come in

1566

01:23:24.965 --> 01:23:29.675

today in the form of an email from David Norton,

1567

01:23:29.675 --> 01:23:34.395

senior Public Health Manager at the County Council, um,

1568

01:23:35.295 --> 01:23:36.475

saying we are happy

1569

01:23:36.475 --> 01:23:39.275

that the emergency generators have now been assessed

1570

01:23:39.375 --> 01:23:40.995

and scoped into the noise assessment,

1571

01:23:41.415 --> 01:23:45.515

but need time to review the report, um, just submitted

1572

01:23:45.535 --> 01:23:48.315

and will consult with environmental health colleagues

1573

01:23:48.695 --> 01:23:49.795

before giving a view.

1574

01:23:49.935 --> 01:23:54.115

So that sounds like a deadline six, um, matter,

1575

01:23:54.935 --> 01:23:58.515

but that's, uh, that's a, an email exchange today.

1576

01:23:58.855 --> 01:24:00.995

So, sorry, have, have you, you have done an assessment

1577

01:24:00.995 --> 01:24:04.275

of the, uh, emergency generators and

1578

01:24:04.435 --> 01:24:08.385

provided that to Yes.

1579

01:24:08.405 --> 01:24:10.305

The council, and then that was the response

1580

01:24:10.305 --> 01:24:11.545

that you've received back from them?

1581

01:24:11.565 --> 01:24:14.105

Yes. And they are reviewing that information? Yes.

1582

01:24:14.345 --> 01:24:18.505

A note has been shared with the county council about that.

1583

01:24:19.255 --> 01:24:21.265

Okay. Is that, is

1584

01:24:21.265 --> 01:24:22.865

that the county council's understanding as well?

1585

01:24:22.925 --> 01:24:24.385

Can I just confirm? Yes,

1586

01:24:24.385 --> 01:24:24.825

It, it's,

1587

01:24:33.525 --> 01:24:36.905

Mr. Gibson is also available to give commentary from, from,

1588

01:24:37.165 --> 01:24:38.185

um, the district council.

1589

01:24:38.655 --> 01:24:39.655

Okay, thank you.

1590

01:24:43.325 --> 01:24:45.595

Hello, uh, Peter Gibson, south C District Council.

1591

01:24:45.855 --> 01:24:47.035

Uh, just on the, um,

1592

01:24:47.135 --> 01:24:48.635

so I wasn't aware that there was a notice actually.

1593

01:24:49.015 --> 01:24:50.475

Uh, but uh, I was gonna say I'm happy

1594

01:24:50.495 --> 01:24:51.715

for the emergency generators

1595

01:24:51.715 --> 01:24:54.115

to be scoped out the noise assessment likelihood as is

1596

01:24:54.115 --> 01:24:56.595

that they'd only operate if they're absolutely required, uh,

1597

01:24:56.755 --> 01:24:58.475

I under untypical conditions.

1598

01:24:59.055 --> 01:25:01.475

Um, but, uh, I also, I'm more than happy

1599

01:25:01.475 --> 01:25:04.035

to review any comments and if, if the app been sort

1600

01:25:04.035 --> 01:25:06.075

of scoped in now, uh, I'm more than happy to review that

1601

01:25:06.135 --> 01:25:08.795

and any, any subsequent documentation.

1602

01:25:10.765 --> 01:25:11.935

Okay. We'll leave it that there's,

1603

01:25:11.935 --> 01:25:14.895

there's an update at deadline six coming, I think,

1604

01:25:15.305 --> 01:25:16.335

based on what I've heard.

1605

01:25:17.325 --> 01:25:19.275

Okay. Yes, madam.

1606

01:25:19.955 --> 01:25:23.795

Thank you. Um, so,

1607

01:25:27.795 --> 01:25:28.935

uh, effects from, uh,

1608

01:25:29.215 --> 01:25:31.175

temporary oor control measures and scrubbers.

1609

01:25:31.315 --> 01:25:34.815

So can, um, can we chair county council confirm whether the

1610

01:25:34.895 --> 01:25:37.975

applicant's response to XQ two point 18.3

1611

01:25:38.935 --> 01:25:41.135

resolves its concerns regarding potential noise

1612

01:25:41.135 --> 01:25:43.535

and vibration effects from temporary odor controls

1613

01:25:43.535 --> 01:25:46.015

and scrubbers identified in its local impact report?

1614

01:25:50.415 --> 01:25:51.795

Mr. Ka might be with that?

1615

01:25:52.585 --> 01:25:55.685

Uh, yes, we should have online, um, Ian Green

1616

01:25:55.705 --> 01:25:58.165

and, uh, David Norton that might be able to,

1617

01:25:58.345 --> 01:25:59.765

uh, talk to this item.

1618

01:26:06.555 --> 01:26:08.295

Yes. Thank you. Uh, sir.

1619

01:26:08.515 --> 01:26:11.015



So Ian Green Public Health at Cambridge County Council.

1620

01:26:11.515 --> 01:26:14.295

Uh, so just to agree that we've met with the applicant,

1621

01:26:14.305 --> 01:26:17.215

we've had discussion on temporary audit control measures

1622

01:26:17.715 --> 01:26:19.335

and further inflation, and we'll be

1623

01:26:19.575 --> 01:26:22.095

provided, uh, that we've asked for, so we can deal

1624

01:26:22.095 --> 01:26:23.175

with that at the next deadline.

1625

01:26:27.805 --> 01:26:28.515

Thank you.

1626

01:26:35.875 --> 01:26:38.775

And complaints procedures, please can South Cambridge

1627

01:26:38.775 --> 01:26:41.215

to District Council confirm whether the applicant's response

1628

01:26:41.235 --> 01:26:45.895

to ex Q2 point 18.4 sufficiently addresses its comments

1629

01:26:45.895 --> 01:26:47.935

regarding the complaints' procedure for noise

1630

01:26:47.935 --> 01:26:50.415

and vibration identified within its local impact report?

1631

01:26:54.825 --> 01:26:56.645

Uh, please gives the South Cambridge District Council.

1632

01:26:56.765 --> 01:26:58.325

I think these comments are actually made from the county

1633  
01:26:58.325 --> 01:26:59.765  
council rather than the district council.

1634  
01:27:00.065 --> 01:27:01.565  
I'm relatively happy actually,

1635  
01:27:01.565 --> 01:27:03.045  
with the, the complaints procedure.

1636  
01:27:03.065 --> 01:27:04.845  
It says that it's gonna be developed, uh,

1637  
01:27:04.865 --> 01:27:06.205  
as the project sort of evolves.

1638  
01:27:06.205 --> 01:27:07.885  
So, uh, more than happy to sort

1639  
01:27:07.885 --> 01:27:09.285  
of keep in with those conversations.

1640  
01:27:11.385 --> 01:27:13.075  
Okay. Can I hear from the county council please,

1641  
01:27:17.195 --> 01:27:18.195  
Adam? I,

1642  
01:27:18.195 --> 01:27:19.725  
I'm, my understanding is we're

1643  
01:27:19.725 --> 01:27:20.805  
all right with that one as well.

1644  
01:27:20.985 --> 01:27:23.005  
So is that fine?

1645  
01:27:31.405 --> 01:27:32.535  
Okay. Those were all the questions

1646  
01:27:32.535 --> 01:27:34.295

that I had on noise vibration.

1647

01:27:34.395 --> 01:27:36.975

Can I ask if there's any other comments from anybody else in

1648

01:27:36.975 --> 01:27:38.945

the room or virtually?

1649

01:28:22.715 --> 01:28:25.445

Yeah, I'd just had one point going back to, uh,

1650

01:28:25.485 --> 01:28:29.745

biodiversity, and I'd just like to try

1651

01:28:29.745 --> 01:28:31.225

and understand a bit about the,

1652

01:28:32.245 --> 01:28:34.345

any potential Section 1 0 6 agreement,

1653

01:28:35.355 --> 01:28:38.185

which would come outta the requirement 25

1654

01:28:38.205 --> 01:28:39.345

for offsite by diversity.

1655

01:28:40.685 --> 01:28:44.145

Why, why would a section 1 0 6 agreement be needed anyway?

1656

01:28:44.215 --> 01:28:46.865

What would it look like? Who would be party to it

1657

01:28:48.425 --> 01:28:49.445

and what would it be for?

1658

01:28:50.945 --> 01:28:54.805

So, um, we had some discussions, um, about this

1659

01:28:55.515 --> 01:28:57.085

over the last break.

1660

01:28:57.205 --> 01:28:58.245  
I think it was the last break.

1661

01:28:59.145 --> 01:29:03.225  
Um, Mr. May can speak to this,

1662

01:29:03.445 --> 01:29:06.265  
but possibly also, um, uh, Ms.

1663

01:29:06.455 --> 01:29:07.455  
Kaho Kaun,

1664

01:29:10.485 --> 01:29:11.485  
But maybe better.

1665

01:29:11.965 --> 01:29:15.185  
Um, but, so the discussion we had was,

1666

01:29:15.245 --> 01:29:17.945  
was over requirement 25, uh, uh, um,

1667

01:29:18.005 --> 01:29:22.445  
and coming out the discussions that we'd had earlier, the,

1668

01:29:22.625 --> 01:29:25.605  
the council's concern was that there was

1669

01:29:26.555 --> 01:29:28.375  
no ability within the requirement

1670

01:29:28.875 --> 01:29:31.415  
to ensure subsequent monitoring,

1671

01:29:32.155 --> 01:29:33.895  
but on the basis of the description,

1672

01:29:34.045 --> 01:29:35.735  
that was, I think it was Mr.

1673

01:29:35.785 --> 01:29:38.055

Pryor as well as Mr. Melley spoke to it being a scheme

1674

01:29:38.055 --> 01:29:40.575  
that would come out of requirement 25.

1675

01:29:41.115 --> 01:29:43.935  
And the wording that is contained within the requirement

1676

01:29:43.935 --> 01:29:46.095  
that refers to future monitoring

1677

01:29:46.095 --> 01:29:47.855  
and management needing to be agreed.

1678

01:29:48.135 --> 01:29:49.495  
I know it's referred to as a report,

1679

01:29:49.495 --> 01:29:52.375  
but it is a, it is a scheme, uh, uh, and

1680

01:29:52.605 --> 01:29:56.455  
therefore the, the county council is, is content

1681

01:29:56.455 --> 01:30:00.255  
that it would have, uh, a means of, um,

1682

01:30:01.105 --> 01:30:02.595  
feeding into and ensuring

1683

01:30:02.595 --> 01:30:04.355  
that monitoring would, would occur.

1684

01:30:04.545 --> 01:30:07.915  
However, if that monitoring had to, uh, take place

1685

01:30:08.015 --> 01:30:11.875  
or needed to, to involve the local authorities,

1686

01:30:12.505 --> 01:30:16.115  
that would, uh, lead to a cost to the councils,

1687

01:30:16.375 --> 01:30:21.035

and that in turn would need the 1 0 6, uh,

1688

01:30:21.185 --> 01:30:22.235

funding for that.

1689

01:30:22.615 --> 01:30:25.235

And that's where perhaps Mr. Mail might come in.

1690

01:30:25.335 --> 01:30:27.715

So that is our, that is our understanding of

1691

01:30:27.715 --> 01:30:31.875

where a 1 0 6 might be needed outta the sort of scheme that

1692

01:30:31.875 --> 01:30:34.035

that is envisaged in requirement 25.

1693

01:30:34.585 --> 01:30:39.425

Okay. So if, how would you monitor it if it was

1694

01:30:39.425 --> 01:30:41.465

only on something, a scheme that was on your land?

1695

01:30:41.465 --> 01:30:43.665

Or would this, would you monitor it through say a,

1696

01:30:44.465 --> 01:30:46.045

you're talking about buying credits

1697

01:30:46.045 --> 01:30:47.845

through a, some kind of company.

1698

01:30:49.145 --> 01:30:51.525

Why would the council be monitoring that? Why would,

1699

01:30:52.325 --> 01:30:54.125

I suppose it depends upon what would be monitored,

1700

01:30:54.595 --> 01:30:55.925

what would require monitoring.

1701

01:30:55.925 --> 01:30:59.005

Yeah, if we, but all of the biodiversity net gain,

1702

01:30:59.005 --> 01:31:01.485

that would, that's quite a, a wide array.

1703

01:31:02.985 --> 01:31:07.775

But if it's about the river units, that's something else.

1704

01:31:50.975 --> 01:31:54.145

Yeah. So just, so for example, the, the carbon manage,

1705

01:31:54.615 --> 01:31:57.665

like carbon credits, you would just approach company

1706

01:31:57.685 --> 01:31:58.905

and pay them money.

1707

01:32:00.135 --> 01:32:01.395

That's it, that's the end.

1708

01:32:01.395 --> 01:32:02.795

The council's not monitoring that.

1709

01:32:04.135 --> 01:32:05.835

Why is it different if you purchase

1710

01:32:07.165 --> 01:32:09.505

or credits for biodiversity net gain?

1711

01:32:09.565 --> 01:32:13.015

Why, why would that need to be monitored, I suppose is

1712

01:32:13.015 --> 01:32:14.415

what I'm, I'm trying to understand.

1713

01:32:17.355 --> 01:32:21.245

Well, so it's very much for the county to explain this.

1714  
01:32:21.945 --> 01:32:25.505  
Um, uh, we've made it clear that, um,

1715  
01:32:26.085 --> 01:32:28.585  
if a proper case can be made for it, um,

1716  
01:32:29.095 --> 01:32:31.345  
then we are prepared to consider it.

1717  
01:32:32.325 --> 01:32:35.905  
Um, it, it might depend on

1718  
01:32:35.905 --> 01:32:39.905  
what form the biodiversity credits took,

1719  
01:32:41.365 --> 01:32:43.945  
uh, that that might affect things.

1720  
01:32:45.185 --> 01:32:50.045  
Um, but uh, it,

1721  
01:32:50.635 --> 01:32:53.485  
following the discussion, the main, uh, uh,

1722  
01:32:53.485 --> 01:32:56.365  
and we looked at the requirement 25 together,

1723  
01:32:56.665 --> 01:33:01.005  
and particularly paragraph D of that, which I think met some

1724  
01:33:01.005 --> 01:33:04.245  
of the officer's concerns and that it, it

1725  
01:33:04.245 --> 01:33:07.125  
therefore really boiled down to this monitoring

1726  
01:33:07.885 --> 01:33:08.925  
contribution issue.

1727  
01:33:10.265 --> 01:33:14.485



Um, and clearly if it's proper that can,

1728

01:33:15.595 --> 01:33:17.205

something can be drafted.

1729

01:33:17.425 --> 01:33:21.725

The, the drafting of this is not complicated potentially.

1730

01:33:28.825 --> 01:33:30.545

Hmm. Okay. I'll leave it there for now. Thank you.

1731

01:33:40.665 --> 01:33:42.715

Okay. I think we're going to leave it there for today.

1732

01:33:42.715 --> 01:33:45.395

Thank you everybody for your contributions there are valued.

1733

01:33:45.695 --> 01:33:48.115

Oh, Mr. Mr. Mr. Jones,

1734

01:33:51.285 --> 01:33:52.435

Thank you very much indeed.

1735

01:33:52.475 --> 01:33:53.915

I wish to apologize for making a

1736

01:33:53.915 --> 01:33:55.115

misleading statement this morning.

1737

01:33:55.595 --> 01:33:58.395

I said that the, um, applicant had missed out one

1738

01:33:58.395 --> 01:34:00.715

of the hedges, uh, a gap in a hedge needed

1739

01:34:00.715 --> 01:34:01.835

to build a crossroads.

1740

01:34:02.255 --> 01:34:04.155

Um, in a discussion over lunchtime, it's pointed out

1741

01:34:04.155 --> 01:34:06.195

to me it's not a hedge, it's actually shrubs with trees.

1742

01:34:06.615 --> 01:34:07.955

So it's an area of vegetation.

1743

01:34:08.435 --> 01:34:10.515

I think that raises one wider two points.

1744

01:34:10.615 --> 01:34:13.715

One wider question about how we preserve the vegetation

1745

01:34:13.715 --> 01:34:15.915

that we're interested in, where it's not classed as a hedge.

1746

01:34:16.495 --> 01:34:20.275

Um, and the second point was that, um, we, we've also agreed

1747

01:34:20.275 --> 01:34:22.635

to, as you directed this morning, to work with the applicant

1748

01:34:22.635 --> 01:34:24.635

to find out where we have got vegetation.

1749

01:34:24.635 --> 01:34:25.955

It is Class A hedge. Thank you very much.

1750

01:34:28.005 --> 01:34:30.895

Noted. Thank you. Okay,

1751

01:34:31.295 --> 01:34:32.615

I said we we're gonna leave it there.

1752

01:34:32.835 --> 01:34:34.935

Um, I'll now adjourn the hearing

1753

01:34:35.075 --> 01:34:38.495

and we'll commence with agenda item nine tomorrow

1754

01:34:38.495 --> 01:34:39.575

morning at nine 30.

1755

01:34:40.325 --> 01:34:43.895

It's, um, 1659 and this hearing is adjourned. I.