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```
0
00:00:01.565 --> 00:00:02.665
And the hearing's resumed.
1
00:00:02.885 --> 00:00:05.635
Um, is everyone back?
2
00:00:05.715 --> 00:00:06.835
I might just give it a couple of minutes
3
00:00:06.965 --> 00:00:09.405
until people are back.
4
00:00:17.565 --> 00:00:19.455
Okay. I'll, we'll, we'll proceed.
5
00:00:22.605 --> 00:00:23.665
So, uh, yeah, just
6
00:00:23.665 --> 00:00:25.745
before the break, we were talking about associated
7
00:00:25.745 --> 00:00:26.745
development, um,
8
00:00:27.565 --> 00:00:32.045
and what would be the case for the ex say,
9
00:00:32.185 --> 00:00:34.325
or the Secretary State decide that they go
10
00:00:34.325 --> 00:00:36.685
beyond what's necessary.
1 1
00:00:36.825 --> 00:00:41.665
Um, I think you said you'd come back to us on that,
12
00:00:41.765 --> 00:00:43.935
but it'd be, we,
```

```
13
00:00:43.955 --> 00:00:45.695
we don't necessarily just want things repeated,
14
00:00:45.715 --> 00:00:47.815
so we understand your case on it.
15
00:00:48.315 --> 00:00:49.575
You know, if you have anything to add to
16
00:00:49.575 --> 00:00:50.695
that, it may be useful.
17
00:00:51.115 --> 00:00:55.905
Um, but we don't just want necessarily in written repeat
18
00:00:55.905 --> 00:00:57.905
of previous submissions.
1 9
00:01:01.495 --> 00:01:02.595
Yes, sir. Thank you.
20
00:01:02.695 --> 00:01:05.755
Um, Andrew, prior for the applicant, um,
21
00:01:07.835 --> 00:01:10.075
I think in the event that the Secretary
2 2
00:01:10.075 --> 00:01:14.535
of State would determine that it would be fairly fundamental
2 3
00:01:14.555 --> 00:01:18.695
to the project design, um, that as the design
24
00:01:18.695 --> 00:01:21.975
and access statement states, this is the main hub
25
00:01:22.355 --> 00:01:24.855
of the way water treatment works for operational workers.
26
00:01:25.115 --> 00:01:28.015
```

```
It provides offices, meeting spaces, welfare facilities,
2 7
00:01:28.555 --> 00:01:32.335
and that's a adaptable, flexible space
28
00:01:32.475 --> 00:01:34.375
to meet operational requirements in the future.
29
00:01:34.635 --> 00:01:38.655
Uh, nine 14 of the das states
30
00:01:38.685 --> 00:01:40.895
that very clearly, um,
31
00:01:42.785 --> 00:01:44.865
I hear your point about being repre repetitive.
32
00:01:45.895 --> 00:01:48.155
This bit has been developed
33
00:01:48.335 --> 00:01:50.315
to meet the requirements of good design.
34
00:01:51.095 --> 00:01:54.275
In the national policy statement, it has been developed
35
00:01:54.275 --> 00:01:56.475
with stakeholders in accordance
36
00:01:56.475 --> 00:01:58.275
with the National Infrastructure Commission's
37
00:01:58.875 --> 00:02:02.755
guidance on good design, which talks about placemaking
38
00:02:03.295 --> 00:02:06.995
making developments attractive for workers and for visitors.
39
00:02:07.735 --> 00:02:12.555
Um, and so this design is fundamental
```

```
4 0
00:02:12.775 --> 00:02:14.635
to the operation of this development.
4 1
00:02:14.735 --> 00:02:16.115
It is associated development.
42
00:02:16.115 --> 00:02:18.795
It's intrinsically linked to how it is operated.
4 3
00:02:20.295 --> 00:02:23.715
Um, if we were to remove it, it would
4 4
00:02:24.225 --> 00:02:25.875
necessitate a completely different design.
4 5
00:02:27.405 --> 00:02:30.455
Okay. Thank you. So moving on then, if the
4 6
00:02:32.085 --> 00:02:33.765
examining authority and Secretary of State were
4 7
00:02:33.765 --> 00:02:36.005
to find the gateway building and its uses, uh,
4 8
00:02:36.365 --> 00:02:39.575
constituted associated development, then
4 9
00:02:40.595 --> 00:02:42.415
noting its location within the Green Belt,
5 0
00:02:43.225 --> 00:02:44.935
could a smaller size building be
5 1
00:02:45.135 --> 00:02:48.185
provided, which would still provide sufficient space
5 2
00:02:48.185 --> 00:02:50.385
for the minimum number of office staff required
5 3
00:02:50.925 --> 00:02:51.985
```

```
to enable the carrying out
5 4
00:02:51.985 --> 00:02:54.825
of any necessary day-to-Day operational functions directly
5 5
00:02:54.825 --> 00:02:57.385
related to the pros proposed wastewater treatment plant,
56
00:02:58.565 --> 00:03:01.345
and maybe to provide a lesser degree of educational space.
5 7
00:03:03.405 --> 00:03:07.025
Um, so a Andrew prior to the applicant, we believe
5 8
00:03:07.025 --> 00:03:11.185
that the massing has been brought down to the relevant
59
00:03:11.185 --> 00:03:13.625
and proportionate size to XS function.
6 0
00:03:13.965 --> 00:03:17.785
Um, and that was, uh, carried out at com two
6 1
00:03:17.885 --> 00:03:19.585
and described in the das about
6 2
00:03:19.585 --> 00:03:23.225
how the original massing was significantly reduced, uh,
6 3
00:03:24.055 --> 00:03:26.225
including with advice from the design council
6 4
00:03:26.325 --> 00:03:28.825
to bring it down to its proportionate size
6 5
00:03:28.825 --> 00:03:29.985
and appropriate to green belt.
6 6
00:03:33.355 --> 00:03:35.055
So, for example, you would not be willing
```

```
6 7
00:03:35.055 --> 00:03:38.175
to say reduce the maximum height of the gateway building
6 8
00:03:38.315 --> 00:03:41.015
to say five meters in height, so is not to extend
6 9
00:03:41.015 --> 00:03:42.975
above the height of the proposed earth bun.
70
00:03:44.785 --> 00:03:48.445
Um, so again, that was subject to a lot of discussion
7 1
00:03:48.465 --> 00:03:50.125
and input from the design council.
72
00:03:50.425 --> 00:03:53.605
The height was brought down, it, it would be
7 3
00:03:53.605 --> 00:03:56.165
above the BUN height, but with the plant planting on top
74
00:03:56.165 --> 00:03:58.445
of the BUN as well, it was considered,
7 5
00:03:58.585 --> 00:04:01.205
and again, I think the das touches upon this, uh,
7 6
00:04:01.265 --> 00:04:05.165
to be proportionate and in keeping with the, um, embankment
7 7
00:04:05.165 --> 00:04:06.565
and, and its greenbelt location.
78
00:04:14.625 --> 00:04:17.725
Yeah. So
7 9
00:04:22.205 --> 00:04:24.865
we saw the, we saw on the site as of yesterday, the workshop
8 0
00:04:25.665 --> 00:04:29.985
```

```
building, and we saw it was being used for like, repairs to
81
00:04:31.375 --> 00:04:33.625
some of the plant, I think it was.
82
00:04:34.245 --> 00:04:39.195
Um, so could you just clarify
83
00:04:39.495 --> 00:04:42.595
the work, why the workshop space is necessary
84
00:04:42.615 --> 00:04:45.155
for the day-to-day operation of the proposed development
85
00:04:46.135 --> 00:04:47.635
of the size you're proposing?
86
00:04:48.895 --> 00:04:52.035
Um, Mr. Dexter will pick up on the, on the sizing of the,
87
00:04:52.095 --> 00:04:53.635
um, of the workshop building, which
88
00:04:53.635 --> 00:04:55.115
of course is within the Earth Bank.
89
00:04:57.525 --> 00:04:59.275
Thank you. My Dexter for the applicant. Yeah.
90
00:04:59.295 --> 00:05:01.675
The workshop provides a, a vital function
91
00:05:01.735 --> 00:05:03.475
to keep ongoing maintenance
92
00:05:03.535 --> 00:05:05.835
and, uh, servicing of all the mechanical
93
00:05:06.635 --> 00:05:07.835
electrical apparatus on site.
```

```
94
00:05:08.415 --> 00:05:11.315
Um, so we, we size it
95
00:05:11.315 --> 00:05:14.035
accordingly to make sure we can lift heavy equipment, move
96
00:05:14.035 --> 00:05:17.155
around and also repair multiple things at, at any one time,
97
00:05:17.775 --> 00:05:19.355
uh, as well as bring in new,
98
00:05:19.355 --> 00:05:21.075
new technologies where weren't required.
99
00:05:21.695 --> 00:05:25.395
Um, as you saw in the workshop yesterday that they weren't,
100
00:05:25.645 --> 00:05:27.235
there wasn't a lot of space in there.
101
00:05:27.385 --> 00:05:30.835
They had a, a number of operations that they were repairing,
102
00:05:31.075 --> 00:05:33.515
maintaining, servicing, to make sure that the, the vital
103
00:05:34.075 --> 00:05:36.235
services that the treatment works provides is, is secured,
104
00:05:36.235 --> 00:05:38.755
particularly when we have a stu treatment center in
105
00:05:38.755 --> 00:05:41.515
incorporated, uh, into the wastewater treatment plant.
106
00:05:41.515 --> 00:05:43.315
That's quite a complex system that, that,
1 0 7
00:05:43.315 --> 00:05:45.075
```

```
that requires ongoing maintenance.
108
00:05:45.615 --> 00:05:48.555
Um, we need to make sure that gas production, which is quite
1 0 9
00:05:49.355 --> 00:05:51.795
a significant operation, is, has got,
1 1 0
00:05:51.795 --> 00:05:53.315
we've got the right apparatus to,
1 1 1
00:05:53.335 --> 00:05:55.195
to repair quickly and effectively.
1 1 2
00:05:55.685 --> 00:05:58.885
Mm-Hmm, okay. So the height of this is, so it's up
113
00:05:58.885 --> 00:06:00.085
to about }10\mathrm{ meters, I think.
114
00:06:00.145 --> 00:06:02.485
So is that to kind of accommodate
1 1 5
00:06:03.765 --> 00:06:05.685
structures which need fixing up to 10 meters,
116
00:06:05.685 --> 00:06:08.685
or is it, as you say, to provide some kind of hoist system
1 1 7
00:06:08.825 --> 00:06:10.765
to move things around? Or,
118
00:06:11.485 --> 00:06:12.485
Uh, Mike Dexter. There,
1 1 9
00:06:12.485 --> 00:06:16.435
there was a discussion
1 2 0
00:06:16.455 --> 00:06:19.595
and an embedment of good design within the, the workshop
```

```
121
00:06:19.595 --> 00:06:21.635
to make sure that it wasn't just a, a box.
122
00:06:22.375 --> 00:06:24.315
Um, we do need an element of height in there
123
00:06:24.335 --> 00:06:25.915
for the gantry crane to move around.
124
00:06:26.055 --> 00:06:27.315
So from a health
125
00:06:27.315 --> 00:06:29.595
and safety CDM perspective, we wanna make sure
126
00:06:29.595 --> 00:06:31.715
that we are reducing manual handling first
127
00:06:31.735 --> 00:06:35.475
and foremost so the, our operational colleagues can move
128
00:06:35.475 --> 00:06:37.235
and maneuver within there with,
129
00:06:37.295 --> 00:06:39.715
by mechanical means rather than having to, to carry things,
130
00:06:39.935 --> 00:06:43.965
um, via trolleys or, um, carrying them.
131
00:06:44.025 --> 00:06:45.845
Um, so the gantry sits quite high,
132
00:06:46.025 --> 00:06:49.845
but the, how the building was composed was discussions
133
00:06:49.845 --> 00:06:52.165
with the design council and our architects about making sure
134
00:06:52.165 --> 00:06:53.685
```

we embed good design
135
00:06:53.805 --> 00:06:56.285
and we don't just put a very industrial style,
136
00:06:56.665 --> 00:06:57.965
um, building in there.
137
00:06:58.025 --> 00:07:00.805
So, uh, the, the gable was, was, was decided.
138
00:07:01.835 --> 00:07:05.475
Okay. So within the workshop building, for example,
139
00:07:05.685 --> 00:07:08.675
given its size, would there be scope
140
00:07:08.975 --> 00:07:12.925
to provide some office space related to, for those people
141
00:07:12.925 --> 00:07:17.205
that specifically needed to be on site with direct, um,
142
00:07:17.265 --> 00:07:19.445
responsibility for day-to-day operations?
143
00:07:21.905 --> 00:07:24.565
Within the, the current footprint, we, we have a number
144
00:07:24.585 --> 00:07:27.285
of, uh, desk spaces that are already reoccupied,
145
00:07:27.285 --> 00:07:30.485
but our, our maintenance, um, uh, yeah,
146
00:07:30.485 --> 00:07:32.245
mechanical electrical maintenance, um,
147
00:07:32.245 --> 00:07:35.845
professionals on site, um, that builder would obviously need

```
148
00:07:35.845 --> 00:07:39.365
to get much bigger if we had to, um, bring in the rest
1 4 9
00:07:39.365 --> 00:07:42.765
of the, um, the team that, that operate
1 5 0
00:07:42.765 --> 00:07:45.765
and maintain the site significantly. So I would say,
151
00:07:46.495 --> 00:07:49.385
Okay, so for example, the area that's shown for, um,
152
00:07:50.345 --> 00:07:54.075
I think it's vehicle maintenance, is it,
153
00:07:54.135 --> 00:07:56.835
do you currently have vehicle vehicle maintenance in the
154
00:07:56.995 --> 00:07:58.755
workshop buildings on the existing site?
155
00:07:59.725 --> 00:08:00.755
There, there are times
156
00:08:00.755 --> 00:08:03.035
where vehicle maintenance may happen on site.
157
00:08:03.295 --> 00:08:05.795
Um, we have a relatively dynamic ability to,
158
00:08:05.855 --> 00:08:08.875
to maintain our, our fleet, um, as just,
159
00:08:08.935 --> 00:08:10.595
we have a few, few vans on site.
160
00:08:10.695 --> 00:08:13.075
Uh, with it being a, a central area for the,
1 6 1
00:08:13.135 --> 00:08:16.875
```

the Cambridge team, um, that we do, we do repair vehicles
162
00:08:16.875 --> 00:08:20.555
as well as some of our apparatus, um, to, to make sure that,
163
00:08:21.105 --> 00:08:22.635
that both the treatment works and,
164
00:08:22.775 --> 00:08:24.555
and the regional are working, um,
165
00:08:24.555 --> 00:08:26.155
because the, it's all one system.
166
00:08:31.305 --> 00:08:32.885
So, similar to the question
167
00:08:32.885 --> 00:08:33.965
regarding the gateway building,
168
00:08:34.785 --> 00:08:37.295
would the applicant be willing to reduce the maximum height
169
00:08:37.295 --> 00:08:39.255
of the workshop building noting
170
00:08:39.275 --> 00:08:40.415
its location of the green belt?
171
00:08:40.635 --> 00:08:41.655
Or is it,
172
00:08:41.655 --> 00:08:43.415
because you've said it needs to be that height for
173
00:08:44.615 --> 00:08:45.975
a functional purpose?
174
00:08:46.755 --> 00:08:49.375
Um, Mike Dexter is something we could possibly look at.

175
00:08:49.565 --> 00:08:52.815
However, we do believe when you look at the photo montages
176
00:08:52.815 --> 00:08:57.605
following, um, the, the growth of the organic screen on top
177
00:08:57.605 --> 00:09:00.605
of the Earth Bank, most of the, if not all of the,
178
00:09:00.625 --> 00:09:03.045
the workshop will actually be visually screened.
179
00:09:03.345 --> 00:09:04.845
Uh, particularly from ground level.
180
00:09:05.025 --> 00:09:08.005
As you walk around, you, you're unlikely to see any
181
00:09:08.005 --> 00:09:10.365
of the workshop, uh, once any of the, um,
182
00:09:10.715 --> 00:09:13.525
organic screen has been grown, um,
183
00:09:13.865 --> 00:09:15.005
on, on top of the Earth Bank.
184
00:09:15.385 --> 00:09:16.445
So we, we did try
185
00:09:16.445 --> 00:09:18.605
and keep a natural balance between making sure we were
186
00:09:18.605 --> 00:09:19.525
screened, but also creating
187
00:09:19.525 --> 00:09:20.765
good design within the Earth Bank.
188
00:09:23.895 --> 00:09:27.195

So a Andrew prior to the applicant, if it's useful to, to,
189
00:09:27.375 --> 00:09:30.915
um, the panel, we could produce a note for you
190
00:09:31.335 --> 00:09:33.995
for the next deadline from our landscape visual team
191
00:09:34.405 --> 00:09:37.115
discussing how that height of that building contributes
192
00:09:37.115 --> 00:09:38.875
to the overall massing of the development.
193
00:09:39.335 --> 00:09:41.995
But I think if you look back at the photo montages,
194
00:09:42.625 --> 00:09:46.235
it's not a distinct, um, uh, unit.
195
00:09:46.375 --> 00:09:48.235
It, it, it fills that overall massing.
196
00:09:48.455 --> 00:09:50.675
So we, we can, we can talk a little bit about whether
197
00:09:50.675 --> 00:09:54.675
that height, um, it contributes to massing,
198
00:09:54.935 --> 00:09:56.555
but again, the design process
199
00:09:57.255 --> 00:09:59.795
in which has involved consultation has involved design
200
00:09:59.795 --> 00:10:03.115
council and the architects has right sized this building.
201
00:10:03.535 --> 00:10:06.355
Um, I think your, your comment about the, um,

```
202
00:10:06.495 --> 00:10:07.715
the fleet workshop area
203
00:10:07.775 --> 00:10:09.435
and whether that could accommodate office space,
204
00:10:09.465 --> 00:10:11.035
it's a relatively small area.
205
00:10:11.155 --> 00:10:13.875
I mean, over half of the footprint of the workshop is,
206
00:10:13.895 --> 00:10:18.395
is workshop area, and those other spaces on page 1 6, 1
207
00:10:18.395 --> 00:10:22.955
of the das have distinct functions that are required by, by,
208
00:10:22.955 --> 00:10:24.075
by that, in that building.
209
00:10:26.245 --> 00:10:27.935
Okay. Uh, Mr. Burley just has a couple
210
00:10:27.935 --> 00:10:29.135
of questions on that point.
211
00:10:29.895 --> 00:10:32.495
I wonder if we could just call up page one six one
212
00:10:32.495 --> 00:10:33.895
of the design and access statement.
213
00:10:33.895 --> 00:10:35.055
It would be helpful to look at that.
214
00:10:35.755 --> 00:10:38.335
And while we're doing that, um, Mr.
215
00:10:38.535 --> 00:10:41.615
```

Hudson's asked about the, the gateway building.
216
00:10:41.875 --> 00:10:43.615
Can we just turn that around the other way?
217
00:10:43.795 --> 00:10:47.535
And in the event that the Secretary of State did decide
218
00:10:48.565 --> 00:10:50.415
that the office
219
00:10:50.595 --> 00:10:53.495
and the Discovery Center element were not necessary,
220
00:10:53.925 --> 00:10:55.375
what would you need in that building?
221
00:11:00.335 --> 00:11:04.165
Thank you. We've got the, um, the drawing up.
222
00:11:05.345 --> 00:11:08.085
So we see on the right hand side, there's a big area that
223
00:11:08.555 --> 00:11:09.615
that's the workshop.
224
00:11:10.875 --> 00:11:12.495
And then on the left hand side,
225
00:11:12.505 --> 00:11:16.375
we've got messing facilities, the vehicle area,
226
00:11:16.715 --> 00:11:19.815
and I think some office and laboratory space.
227
00:11:20.915 --> 00:11:24.295
If that's a 10 meter building, wouldn't it be possible
228
00:11:24.295 --> 00:11:28.135
to put, um, an additional story within the building above

```
229
00:11:28.845 --> 00:11:33.655
that area on the left hand side to accommodate areas such
2 3 0
00:11:33.655 --> 00:11:34.935
as meeting rooms and so on?
231
00:11:39.575 --> 00:11:43.275
Um, sir, I think it would depend on
2 3 2
00:11:43.275 --> 00:11:44.315
what the driver is there.
233
00:11:44.315 --> 00:11:47.275
If the driver is that the gateway building is not associated
234
00:11:47.275 --> 00:11:50.435
development and therefore it's not possible, um,
2 3 5
00:11:50.905 --> 00:11:54.985
putting those facilities into this building could work.
236
00:11:54.985 --> 00:11:56.985
But again, we're talking about a fairly radical design
237
00:11:57.125 --> 00:11:59.785
change to the whole project, which has been developed,
2 3 8
00:11:59.845 --> 00:12:01.625
as I say, with stakeholders in accordance
2 3 9
00:12:01.625 --> 00:12:02.785
with the good design principles.
240
00:12:02.805 --> 00:12:04.425
So I'd, I'd be reluctant
241
00:12:04.425 --> 00:12:06.825
to start redesigning this project on the fly. Do
242
00:12:06.825 --> 00:12:07.865
```

```
Any of those stakeholders
2 4 3
00:12:08.365 --> 00:12:12.745
or any of their policies relate to the acceptability or
244
00:12:12.745 --> 00:12:14.505
otherwise of associated development?
245
00:12:17.015 --> 00:12:18.595
Not that I'm aware. So other than in terms
246
00:12:18.595 --> 00:12:19.915
of the green belt and visual tests
247
00:12:20.335 --> 00:12:23.155
And in terms of a radical redesign,
248
00:12:23.175 --> 00:12:26.995
why would it be a radical redesign to put an additional
249
00:12:27.715 --> 00:12:29.075
internal floor in this building?
250
00:12:29.815 --> 00:12:32.635
Um, which presumably wouldn't require any
251
00:12:32.635 --> 00:12:33.755
change to the parameters?
252
00:12:34.855 --> 00:12:36.675
Um, so
253
00:12:36.995 --> 00:12:41.835
'cause we, we would be stating
254
00:12:41.835 --> 00:12:44.035
that we didn't believe the gateway building served its
255
00:12:44.035 --> 00:12:45.235
intrinsic purpose to the site.
```

```
256
00:12:45.235 --> 00:12:46.235
We don't believe there's a need
2 5 7
00:12:46.235 --> 00:12:47.675
to redesign either of these buildings.
258
00:12:47.675 --> 00:12:49.075
This building is right sized
259
00:12:49.455 --> 00:12:51.395
and the gateway building serves its purpose
260
00:12:51.455 --> 00:12:52.755
as associated development.
261
00:12:53.175 --> 00:12:56.315
Um, as I say, I, I don't think anybody wants
262
00:12:56.315 --> 00:12:58.435
to get into redesign of the project at this stage.
263
00:12:59.055 --> 00:13:02.755
Um, uh, co contrary to the principles that we,
264
00:13:02.855 --> 00:13:03.915
we strongly believe in,
265
00:13:03.915 --> 00:13:06.675
and that is that we've met the requirements of good design
266
00:13:06.675 --> 00:13:08.875
and we believe these are associated developments. So
267
00:13:08.955 --> 00:13:11.795
Could we ask you to come back please, with an explanation
268
00:13:11.815 --> 00:13:15.475
of if the Secretary of State did decide that the offices
2 6 9
00:13:16.295 --> 00:13:18.635
```

```
and the, um, discovery Center
270
00:13:19.185 --> 00:13:22.755
weren't associated development, what you would need in terms
2 7 1
00:13:22.755 --> 00:13:24.915
of floor space in the gateway building,
2 7 2
00:13:26.575 --> 00:13:29.315
Um, we will certainly come back to you on that point.
273
00:13:29.635 --> 00:13:32.075
I can't promise about whether we would be able
274
00:13:32.075 --> 00:13:34.875
to say whether they would go into this building or not,
2 7 5
00:13:34.875 --> 00:13:36.955
but well, perhaps you could, we'd come back with a response
276
00:13:37.055 --> 00:13:39.355
to what would happen if the secretive state determined
277
00:13:39.355 --> 00:13:41.675
that the gateway building wasn't associated. And
278
00:13:41.675 --> 00:13:44.035
Perhaps you could look at the art of the possible in terms
2 7 9
00:13:44.035 --> 00:13:47.195
of this building to see how much floor space
280
00:13:47.215 --> 00:13:49.195
and additional internal floor could create.
281
00:13:49.735 --> 00:13:51.675
Indeed, sir. And, and there may be other options,
282
00:13:51.675 --> 00:13:53.755
including smaller buildings within the footprint
```

```
283
00:13:53.755 --> 00:13:55.355
that Thank you of those purposes as well.
284
00:13:57.125 --> 00:13:58.295
Okay, thank you. Uh,
285
00:13:59.015 --> 00:14:02.615
I, I I think it's also worth, um, iterating, sir,
286
00:14:02.635 --> 00:14:05.095
in this discussion that of course, the way
287
00:14:05.095 --> 00:14:06.895
that the DCO has been drafted, the way
288
00:14:06.895 --> 00:14:08.655
that the works plans have been drafted, the way
289
00:14:08.655 --> 00:14:09.775
that the mitigation plans,
290
00:14:10.275 --> 00:14:14.335
et cetera have been drafted are all based on what is, uh, a,
291
00:14:14.655 --> 00:14:18.655
a, an advanced advanced design with discrete works packages,
292
00:14:19.075 --> 00:14:23.335
um, to, uh, you know, defined areas shown,
293
00:14:23.425 --> 00:14:24.575
shown on the works plans.
294
00:14:25.425 --> 00:14:28.125
So it's not simply a case of the Secretary
295
00:14:28.125 --> 00:14:31.725
of State being able to say, let's remove work number three,
296
00:14:31.905 --> 00:14:33.525
```

```
or let's work, uh, let's remove
297
00:14:33.785 --> 00:14:35.005
the, the, the gateway building.
298
00:14:35.665 --> 00:14:37.805
Um, there would need to be substantial revisions
2 9 9
00:14:37.805 --> 00:14:41.205
to the project as proposed in order to then get to that
300
00:14:41.365 --> 00:14:42.365
Position.
301
00:14:42.845 --> 00:14:44.215
Okay. Maybe it'd be worthwhile
302
00:14:44.825 --> 00:14:46.215
indicating what those would be.
303
00:14:48.205 --> 00:14:50.825
Um, can I just ask the, um, local authorities,
304
00:14:50.845 --> 00:14:54.595
do they have any views on associated development?
305
00:14:55.255 --> 00:14:58.315
Um, and you know, the things we've just been discussing.
306
00:14:59.855 --> 00:15:01.635
No, sir. We've never raised this as an issue.
3 0 7
00:15:19.175 --> 00:15:23.735
Yes, please, Charles Jones
308
00:15:24.055 --> 00:15:27.455
offended and parish council, we have objected quite strongly
309
00:15:27.635 --> 00:15:31.215
to the proposition of having a big office space, um,
```

```
310
00:15:31.505 --> 00:15:34.135
quite visible from, because of its landscape
311
00:15:34.195 --> 00:15:37.855
and, um, its landscape impact equally,
312
00:15:38.395 --> 00:15:40.455
we are concerned about the sort of surge
313
00:15:40.525 --> 00:15:43.695
that would happen when office workers leave and,
314
00:15:43.995 --> 00:15:45.175
and enter the site
315
00:15:45.285 --> 00:15:47.895
because I think Cowley Road at the moment already
316
00:15:47.895 --> 00:15:51.095
experiences a bit of blockage at office discharging times.
317
00:15:51.795 --> 00:15:54.455
So putting that to one side, I just wanted
318
00:15:54.475 --> 00:15:58.895
to draw your attention to, um, part 18 of the, uh,
319
00:15:59.135 --> 00:16:01.975
schedule 14 in the DCO, which refers
320
00:16:01.975 --> 00:16:03.775
to 56 operational staff.
321
00:16:04.515 --> 00:16:08.655
And I just suggest that point we put in writing,
322
00:16:09.025 --> 00:16:13.055
which this is conflating the, um, office workers
323
00:16:13.235 --> 00:16:15.935
```

```
who carry out the regional function for Ang and Water
324
00:16:16.635 --> 00:16:18.375
and those that are actually necessary
325
00:16:18.395 --> 00:16:19.895
to operate the works in question.
326
00:16:20.295 --> 00:16:21.615
Actually, yeah, I think that's why we're asking the
327
00:16:21.695 --> 00:16:23.055
questions we're asking, but thank you.
328
00:16:27.625 --> 00:16:30.125
Yes, thank you, sir. Um, can I add
3 2 9
00:16:30.125 --> 00:16:33.285
through you ask one question directly of the applicant, sir.
3 3 0
00:16:33.545 --> 00:16:35.365
Um, the workshop building
331
00:16:35.365 --> 00:16:38.645
that we saw yesterday on the existing plant, would Mr.
332
00:16:38.785 --> 00:16:41.485
Dexter please confirm how tall that building is,
3 3 3
00:16:41.585 --> 00:16:42.725
how high that building is?
3 3 4
00:16:43.385 --> 00:16:46.845
Um, it's certainly not 10 meters from my estimation,
335
00:16:47.125 --> 00:16:50.405
standing on site, um, significantly less than that.
336
00:16:50.905 --> 00:16:52.645
And we'd like an explanation as to why
```

```
337
00:16:52.645 --> 00:16:56.605
that taller workshop building is necessary. Um,
338
00:16:57.355 --> 00:16:58.845
Yeah, that's, I think I asked
339
00:16:58.845 --> 00:17:00.005
that question to the applicant.
340
00:17:01.785 --> 00:17:03.745
I Think I asked the applicant why they need, I
341
00:17:03.745 --> 00:17:06.945
Don't think the applicant answered it, sir, in respect of
342
00:17:06.945 --> 00:17:10.945
what their operations are at present works, which presumably
343
00:17:11.505 --> 00:17:14.105
encompass all the sort of functions that, uh, Mr.
344
00:17:14.165 --> 00:17:16.785
Dexter has talked about occasionally looking at vehicles,
345
00:17:16.785 --> 00:17:20.025
lifting bits of plant, that that workshop has a,
346
00:17:20.225 --> 00:17:21.745
a full gantry system inside it.
347
00:17:21.745 --> 00:17:24.225
Because I have to look and see, see that it was there.
348
00:17:24.805 --> 00:17:27.105
Um, so there is the question as to why
349
00:17:27.105 --> 00:17:28.585
that extra height is necessary
350
00:17:28.685 --> 00:17:32.825
```

and extra height isn't necessary of any building inside the, 351
00:17:33.685 --> 00:17:37.145
inside the bond, in the interest of good design, as Mr.
352
00:17:37.195 --> 00:17:40.905
Pryor puts it, that's, uh, really a red herring. So, okay.
353
00:17:41.885 --> 00:17:44.105
Can I just throw the other point back in
354
00:17:44.105 --> 00:17:45.305
which I'm sure you're aware of?
355
00:17:45.545 --> 00:17:47.385
'cause we have been pressing the same point.
356
00:17:48.045 --> 00:17:52.545
Um, the overall footprint of the gateway building as defined
357
00:17:52.565 --> 00:17:55.425
by the parameters is somewhat a rare is,
358
00:17:55.565 --> 00:17:57.785
is circa 2000 square meters,
359
00:17:58.035 --> 00:18:00.745
which is an extremely large building given this,
360
00:18:01.455 --> 00:18:04.545
even given the disparate functions that the applicants want
361
00:18:04.545 --> 00:18:05.745
to carry out within it.
362
00:18:06.405 --> 00:18:09.865
Um, and there clearly has to be an opportunity without
363
00:18:10.745 --> 00:18:13.025
removing the gateway building entirely as a,

364
00:18:13.845 --> 00:18:17.705
an entry point into the scheme, um, to reduce
365
00:18:17.705 --> 00:18:19.265
that substantially in scale.
366
00:18:22.265 --> 00:18:24.395
Okay. Would the applicant like to just briefly respond to

## 367

00:18:24.395 --> 00:18:25.555
that, those points please?
368
00:18:27.155 --> 00:18:29.055
Uh, my applicant, yeah.
369
00:18:29.055 --> 00:18:31.295
Just to reiterate the, the, the work, we're happy
370
00:18:31.315 --> 00:18:34.615
to re-look at the workshop with regards to the height, the,

## 371

00:18:34.935 --> 00:18:36.335
I don't know off the top of our head,
372
00:18:36.355 --> 00:18:38.295
but I can return back with regards to the height
373
00:18:38.295 --> 00:18:39.495
of the existing site, but it,
374
00:18:39.805 --> 00:18:41.495
it's definitely a, a two story building.
375
00:18:42.035 --> 00:18:45.095
Um, it was built with a, within a different era with,
376
00:18:45.095 --> 00:18:46.095
with different requirements.
377
00:18:46.395 --> 00:18:49.695

Um, as you saw the lifting gantry there, albeit suitable
378
00:18:49.755 --> 00:18:51.575
for the, the current means is,
379
00:18:51.595 --> 00:18:53.335
is not a modern lifting gantry.
380
00:18:53.915 --> 00:18:56.615
Um, so we just, we would like to, the, the,
381
00:18:57.075 --> 00:18:58.815
the proposed workshop would obviously be built
382
00:18:58.815 --> 00:19:00.535
to current standards and expectations for
383
00:19:00.795 --> 00:19:02.335
how we would operate and maintain our plan.
384
00:19:04.185 --> 00:19:06.235
Okay. Thank you. Um, I'm going to move on
385
00:19:06.235 --> 00:19:09.675
to the next bullet point in that case, which is schedule 12,
386
00:19:11.435 --> 00:19:14.195
temporary possession and consideration of plot oh two one B.
387
00:19:15.345 --> 00:19:20.235
Um, so this is a plot just to the north of the A 14 within
388
00:19:22.185 --> 00:19:23.625
LER hall farm complex.
389
00:19:24.365 --> 00:19:28.225
Um, and the applicant's response to ex Q2 0.3 0.3,
390
00:19:29.555 --> 00:19:32.145
which related to effects on pothole farm,

```
391
00:19:32.725 --> 00:19:35.345
stated the plot oh two one B would now be subject
392
00:19:35.345 --> 00:19:37.305
to a lesser degree of ca three hold
393
00:19:38.125 --> 00:19:40.985
and a greater degree of temporary possession instead.
394
00:19:42.005 --> 00:19:44.305
And given the statement, um, I'd like
395
00:19:44.305 --> 00:19:46.585
to understand if any changes are necessary
396
00:19:47.765 --> 00:19:49.865
to schedule 12 land plans
397
00:19:50.285 --> 00:19:51.945
and the work plans to reflect this.
398
00:19:54.435 --> 00:19:57.535
Uh, thank you sir. The short, the short answer, uh, uh, to
399
00:19:57.535 --> 00:19:59.135
that is no, um,
400
00:20:00.035 --> 00:20:04.495
It, it Schedules 12, um, relates solely, um,
4 0 1
00:20:04.715 --> 00:20:08.775
to land colored green, uh, on the land plans, which is for,
4 0 2
00:20:09.235 --> 00:20:12.335
uh, land, which is required for temporary possession only.
4 0 3
00:20:13.075 --> 00:20:17.855
What's proposed for, um, uh, plot 21 B is,
4 0 4
00:20:18.155 --> 00:20:20.975
```

```
uh, is for, uh, freehold acquisition.
4 0 5
00:20:21.135 --> 00:20:25.735
Although what in practice the applicant would do, uh, is
4 0 6
00:20:25.735 --> 00:20:28.535
that it would seek to take temporary possession under the
4 0 7
00:20:28.535 --> 00:20:32.615
terms of Article 35, um, during, uh,
408
00:20:32.635 --> 00:20:36.215
the period within which the works, uh, would be carried out.
4 0 9
00:20:36.795 --> 00:20:40.375
And then, um, uh, likely only require, uh,
4 1 0
00:20:40.375 --> 00:20:42.935
the permanent acquisition of, of part
4 1 1
00:20:42.935 --> 00:20:46.975
of it once the final layout of, uh, of the works are known.
4 1 2
00:20:48.035 --> 00:20:52.655
So, um, uh, in, in, in short no changes to, uh, to, to, to,
4 1 3
00:20:52.655 --> 00:20:54.735
to schedule 12 and it needs to remain,
4 1 4
00:20:54.995 --> 00:20:56.215
uh, it needs to remain pink.
4 1 5
00:21:17.645 --> 00:21:18.955
Sorry, just bear with me a second.
416
00:21:51.195 --> 00:21:52.445
Okay. I can see your hand is up.
4 1 7
00:21:52.505 --> 00:21:54.365
Um, virtually I'll come to you in just a minute.
```

```
4 1 8
00:21:59.755 --> 00:22:03.135
So it is in response to that question, you define the area
4 1 9
00:22:03.165 --> 00:22:04.295
that would be subject to ca
4 2 0
00:22:04.635 --> 00:22:06.575
and subject t temporary possession,
4 2 1
00:22:07.355 --> 00:22:11.065
and it was about 1.2 hectares or something for ca
4 2 2
00:22:11.165 --> 00:22:13.305
and the remainder for now for temporary possession.
4 2 3
00:22:13.445 --> 00:22:16.495
So you've, you've kind of defined the areas
4 2 4
00:22:16.495 --> 00:22:18.575
that would be subject to each and in that response.
4 2 5
00:22:18.715 --> 00:22:20.695
So that's why I was asking the question as to whether,
4 2 6
00:22:23.305 --> 00:22:24.725
so you, you say as a result,
4 2 7
00:22:24.725 --> 00:22:28.325
discussions parcel oh two one B would be subject to only
4 2 8
00:22:28.985 --> 00:22:32.645
1.09 hectares of permanent acquisition,
4 2 9
00:22:33.295 --> 00:22:35.845
while the remaining 2.3 hectares will be subject
4 3 0
00:22:35.865 --> 00:22:37.285
to temporary land acquisition.
4 3 1
00:22:37.705 --> 00:22:40.925
```

```
So you've kind of split up the parcel to say,
4 3 2
00:22:41.905 --> 00:22:44.605
to give like a quite precise description of
4 3 3
00:22:44.605 --> 00:22:45.925
what, what it would be subject to.
4 3 4
00:22:45.985 --> 00:22:49.485
So my question is, in schedule 12, do you need to reflect
4 3 5
00:22:49.485 --> 00:22:52.165
that area of temporary possession as well
436
00:22:52.165 --> 00:22:53.365
as on the land plans?
4 3 7
00:22:55.355 --> 00:22:58.195
I, I, I think it comes back to actually the uncertainty of
4 3 8
00:22:58.195 --> 00:22:59.915
where, um, those areas
4 3 9
00:23:00.015 --> 00:23:02.275
of permanent acquisition would be within that plot.
440
00:23:02.975 --> 00:23:06.875
Um, uh, obviously there, uh, we haven't got
4 4 1
00:23:06.875 --> 00:23:07.995
to the detailed design stage.
442
00:23:07.995 --> 00:23:09.875
We dunno the final location of the outfall.
443
00:23:09.875 --> 00:23:12.075
We dunno the final alignment of the, uh,
444
00:23:12.075 --> 00:23:14.955
the final effluent pipeline, uh, that comes into that plot.
```

```
445
00:23:14.955 --> 00:23:17.195
We dunno, as a result of both of those things,
4 4 6
00:23:17.225 --> 00:23:20.875
what the final extent of the, uh, the ecological mitigation,
4 4 7
00:23:21.175 --> 00:23:22.875
uh, area, uh, would be.
4 4 8
00:23:23.455 --> 00:23:25.595
So, um, in, in terms
4 4 9
00:23:25.595 --> 00:23:28.835
of those areas which would be acquired permanently, um,
4 5 0
00:23:28.985 --> 00:23:31.635
that would be the outfall in the ecological mitigation area.
4 5 1
00:23:32.255 --> 00:23:35.315
Um, as I say, we can't specify where, uh,
4 5 2
00:23:35.465 --> 00:23:37.235
exactly those would be in the plot.
4 5 3
00:23:37.655 --> 00:23:41.355
Um, the, the remainder will be subject to, uh, new rights,
4 5 4
00:23:41.615 --> 00:23:44.635
uh, and restrictive covenants related to the, uh,
4 5 5
00:23:44.815 --> 00:23:46.965
or probably the large part of the remainder will be subject
4 5 6
00:23:46.965 --> 00:23:49.645
to new rights and, and restrictive covenants related to the,
4 5 7
00:23:49.825 --> 00:23:51.565
uh, to the final effluent pipeline.
4 5 8
00:23:51.985 --> 00:23:56.445
```

```
So if, if, if we are at the stage of final,
4 5 9
00:23:56.535 --> 00:23:59.485
final design and you were perhaps redoing those land plans,
4 6 0
00:23:59.485 --> 00:24:01.965
you might be able to color bits pink and bits blue.
4 6 1
00:24:02.305 --> 00:24:04.405
But we're not, we're not in that position at the moment
4 6 2
00:24:04.405 --> 00:24:05.765
because we don't know the alignment.
4 6 3
00:24:05.865 --> 00:24:07.685
We dunno the extent to which, uh,
4 6 4
00:24:08.445 --> 00:24:10.205
freehold acquisition will be required.
4 6 5
00:24:10.545 --> 00:24:12.925
Mm-Hmm. Okay. In those two separating,
4 6 6
00:24:12.925 --> 00:24:14.125
it doesn't talk about rights at all.
4 6 7
00:24:14.125 --> 00:24:16.165
It just says temporary possession
4 6 8
00:24:16.225 --> 00:24:19.415
and ca freehold
4 6 9
00:24:20.355 --> 00:24:21.455
or permanent acquisition.
4 7 0
00:24:21.555 --> 00:24:25.655
So maybe you'd like to clarify that statement.
4 7 1
00:24:26.135 --> 00:24:28.755
'cause it seems quite detailed.
```

```
4 7 2
00:24:29.595 --> 00:24:31.295
It sounds like you know what's going to be happening
4 7 3
00:24:31.295 --> 00:24:34.015
and you don't need as much ca powers over that plot of land
4 7 4
00:24:34.355 --> 00:24:35.735
as you show on the land plans.
4 7 5
00:24:38.225 --> 00:24:39.995
Well, I think, I think again, to, I can,
4 7 6
00:24:40.075 --> 00:24:42.275
I can only come back to there were that, that
4 7 7
00:24:42.275 --> 00:24:46.195
that plot is quite an active plot in terms of the, uh,
4 7 8
00:24:46.415 --> 00:24:50.035
the construction activity that will be undertaken upon it
4 7 9
00:24:50.615 --> 00:24:54.075
and, um, and the various items of infrastructure
4 8 0
00:24:54.695 --> 00:24:57.555
and mitigation, uh, that will be, uh,
4 8 1
00:24:57.875 --> 00:25:00.715
provided on it at, at the present moment in time.
4 8 2
00:25:01.575 --> 00:25:06.445
Um, we can't rule out any of that plot not being
4 8 3
00:25:06.955 --> 00:25:10.165
subject to freehold acquisition, which is why the entirety
4 8 4
00:25:10.265 --> 00:25:12.845
of it is, um, is colored pink.
4 8 5
00:25:13.865 --> 00:25:18.585
```

```
Um, now will the whole of that plot ultimately be,
4 8 6
00:25:18.925 --> 00:25:21.665
uh, uh, subject to Freehold acquisition?
4 8 7
00:25:22.145 --> 00:25:24.425
I think from the submissions that you've seen, the answer to
4 8 8
00:25:24.425 --> 00:25:25.905
that question is, is no.
4 8 9
00:25:26.805 --> 00:25:29.585
Um, there will be other elements where a lesser
4 9 0
00:25:30.185 --> 00:25:34.425
interest is acquired by way of rights and, and, and, and,
4 9 1
00:25:34.445 --> 00:25:35.665
and restrictive covenants,
4 9 2
00:25:35.845 --> 00:25:40.475
but spatially we're not able to identify which areas subject
4 9 3
00:25:40.575 --> 00:25:42.555
to which powers at this stage.
4 9 4
00:25:42.825 --> 00:25:43.995
Okay, I understand. Um,
4 9 5
00:25:45.175 --> 00:25:46.595
Ms. Sharp, you've got your hand raised.
4 9 6
00:25:48.305 --> 00:25:51.525
Thanks. Um, Rebecca Sharp, um, from on behalf
4 9 7
00:25:51.585 --> 00:25:53.925
of Goman Keys, who's the landowner of,
4 9 8
00:25:54.105 --> 00:25:55.245
um, that particular plot?
```

```
4 9 9
00:25:55.745 --> 00:25:58.925
Um, I would query,
500
00:25:59.185 --> 00:26:01.125
and I I'm conscious not to repeat things
501
00:26:01.125 --> 00:26:03.605
that we've already said in previous CPO hearings.
502
00:26:03.985 --> 00:26:07.005
Um, I would suggest that from conversations that we've had
503
00:26:07.105 --> 00:26:10.645
and from rep representations from the applicant, um,
504
00:26:10.765 --> 00:26:13.765
I would agree that they do have a good, i a pretty good idea
505
00:26:13.765 --> 00:26:14.965
of, of the rights that they need.
506
00:26:15.505 --> 00:26:18.325
Um, I would say why is there that level of uncertainty
507
00:26:18.425 --> 00:26:20.085
and why do you not have that information?
508
00:26:20.465 --> 00:26:24.525
Um, uh, previously it's been suggested that, um, um,
509
00:26:24.525 --> 00:26:29.385
topography, um, uh, surveys need to be undertaken.
510
00:26:29.665 --> 00:26:32.625
I would ask again why they haven't been done, um,
511
00:26:32.895 --> 00:26:36.145
because it would, from all previous plans,
512
00:26:36.145 --> 00:26:39.745
```

```
particularly the biodiversity, um, the, the ditches,
5 1 3
00:26:39.775 --> 00:26:42.785
they are clearly marked on your suggestion plans.
5 1 4
00:26:43.465 --> 00:26:45.805
Um, and they don't cover the whole field.
5 1 5
00:26:45.945 --> 00:26:49.205
The rest of it is covered by, um, the outfall pipes
516
00:26:49.825 --> 00:26:51.285
for all other pipes going across.
517
00:26:51.465 --> 00:26:53.565
Um, my client's land, you've dealt with
5 1 8
00:26:53.565 --> 00:26:54.645
that under easements.
519
00:26:54.795 --> 00:26:58.125
I've seen no, um, evidence that would suggest that you need,
520
00:26:58.585 --> 00:27:02.255
um, freehold, um, of the whole field,
5 2 1
00:27:02.995 --> 00:27:04.255
um, to that end.
522
00:27:05.165 --> 00:27:06.745
If, if, if you are going down that route
523
00:27:06.745 --> 00:27:09.465
and suggesting that you do need freehold, um, for now,
524
00:27:09.645 --> 00:27:13.465
and that we would get it back in the future, there's,
525
00:27:13.465 --> 00:27:15.305
there's no assurance under
```

```
526
00:27:15.365 --> 00:27:17.825
how those rights are currently applied for that,
527
00:27:17.825 --> 00:27:19.305
my client would get that lamb back.
528
00:27:20.165 --> 00:27:22.625
Um, I understand that we may be able to get
5 2 9
00:27:22.625 --> 00:27:23.745
that under cri down rules,
5 3 0
00:27:23.765 --> 00:27:27.505
but obviously there's no, um, guarantee around around that.
531
00:27:27.685 --> 00:27:29.665
Um, and the value that my client would be able
532
00:27:29.665 --> 00:27:30.905
to purchase LAMB back at.
5 3 3
00:27:31.605 --> 00:27:35.985
Um, and if there is a way of amending the LAMB plans
534
00:27:35.985 --> 00:27:39.105
and the Schedule 12, I would suggest that you do do that.
5 3 5
00:27:39.765 --> 00:27:43.295
Um, yeah, I think that probably summarizes our,
536
00:27:43.355 --> 00:27:45.055
our thoughts on, on, on that matter.
5 3 7
00:27:46.305 --> 00:27:47.475
Okay. Thank you for that. Um,
5 3 8
00:27:47.475 --> 00:27:50.755
obviously without getting too much into compulsory
5 3 9
00:27:50.755 --> 00:27:51.955
```

```
acquisition related matters,
540
00:27:51.955 --> 00:27:54.175
because it's not a ca hearing,
5 4 1
00:27:54.425 --> 00:27:56.895
would you just pre briefly respond to that point please?
542
00:27:58.205 --> 00:27:59.815
Well, I, I think that, you know, I'd,
543
00:27:59.815 --> 00:28:01.375
I'd reiterate a a lot of what I said.
544
00:28:01.415 --> 00:28:05.055
I also think, so I, I I think the original question, uh, uh,
545
00:28:05.165 --> 00:28:09.055
that that prompted the response was, um, in relation
546
00:28:09.055 --> 00:28:11.015
to agricultural land impact.
547
00:28:11.875 --> 00:28:14.735
And so the, the applicant's response should be read in,
548
00:28:14.795 --> 00:28:18.775
in the light of the, the, the, the right package as it,
549
00:28:18.775 --> 00:28:20.055
as it were, that goes alongside
550
00:28:20.055 --> 00:28:21.975
that won't stop the farm from operating.
551
00:28:21.975 --> 00:28:24.775
So I think that was the context in which the re in which the
52
00:28:24.975 --> 00:28:26.095
response, uh, was given.
```

```
553
00:28:26.835 --> 00:28:30.815
Um, in terms of, of the other matters, obviously
554
00:28:30.815 --> 00:28:35.015
that's something that will potentially be addressed
555
00:28:35.015 --> 00:28:37.535
through direct discussions with, with the landowners and,
55
00:28:38.035 --> 00:28:41.655
and, uh, we're happy to, uh, to keep those discussions open.
557
00:28:42.155 --> 00:28:46.215
Um, the earlier answers, I think justified why, uh, the,
55
00:28:46.275 --> 00:28:49.815
the, the plot is, is, is colored pink, uh, which was, uh,
5 5 9
00:28:49.815 --> 00:28:52.495
really I think, uh, what underpinned the question.
560
00:28:53.725 --> 00:28:57.005
Hmm. Okay. Thank you. Um, in that case, we'll move on to,
5 6 1
00:28:57.305 --> 00:29:00.125
um, schedule 14 parameters, um,
5 6 2
00:29:02.015 --> 00:29:04.815
I think part }18\mathrm{ right into the gateway building
5 6 3
00:29:04.835 --> 00:29:08.615
and vehicle parking and possibly the workshop building we've
5 6 4
00:29:08.615 --> 00:29:09.655
discussed already.
565
00:29:09.675 --> 00:29:12.335
So I'm not going to address those as part of this,
5 6 6
00:29:12.395 --> 00:29:16.725
```

```
but in part 15, could I just,
5 6 7
00:29:18.385 --> 00:29:20.575
could you please clarify whether,
568
00:29:26.255 --> 00:29:27.955
so there's reference to a flare stack
569
00:29:35.055 --> 00:29:35.875
in part 15.
570
00:29:36.855 --> 00:29:41.205
Um, where's that ref ref,
5 7 1
00:29:41.205 --> 00:29:42.645
where is that in schedule one?
572
00:29:42.665 --> 00:29:46.485
Is that the same as the waste gas burner
5 7 3
00:29:47.925 --> 00:29:49.325
referred to in work number eight?
574
00:29:49.625 --> 00:29:51.845
Oh, in Schedule one?
575
00:29:53.805 --> 00:29:56.025
And if it is, why are the terms different?
576
00:29:58.585 --> 00:29:59.535
Thank you, sir. I thought that
577
00:29:59.535 --> 00:30:00.295
might be where you go with this.
578
00:30:00.395 --> 00:30:03.335
Um, uh, I, I think it probably should be expressly included
579
00:30:03.495 --> 00:30:06.295
within, um, uh, within that work description.
```

```
5 8 0
00:30:06.295 --> 00:30:08.055
Happy to make, happy to make that amendment.
51
00:30:08.555 --> 00:30:11.575
So is the waste gas burner the same as the flare stack?
52
00:30:11.965 --> 00:30:13.135
It's just, they're just termed
53
00:30:13.135 --> 00:30:14.495
different, got different terms,
584
00:30:15.165 --> 00:30:16.165
Correct? Yes. It might,
585
00:30:16.165 --> 00:30:17.655
might Dexter the applicant, yes. It's
56
00:30:18.165 --> 00:30:19.165
Okay. So you can just change
58
00:30:19.165 --> 00:30:19.335
that.
58
00:30:26.655 --> 00:30:28.315
Um, with regard to all parts,
59
00:30:28.635 --> 00:30:30.235
I think it was Save Honey Hill Group
590
00:30:30.255 --> 00:30:31.955
who suggests adding relevant work numbers
591
00:30:32.095 --> 00:30:35.155
to the relevant parts of Schedule 14
592
00:30:35.455 --> 00:30:37.155
or something similar to that.
593
00:30:38.255 --> 00:30:39.835
```

```
Um, for ease of cross-referencing
594
00:30:39.835 --> 00:30:41.115
and for clarity, um,
595
00:30:42.195 --> 00:30:43.975
is there something which could be done relatively easily,
596
00:30:44.795 --> 00:30:46.015
you know, particularly noting the number
597
00:30:46.015 --> 00:30:50.465
of inconsistencies which we've picked up as well
598
00:30:50.465 --> 00:30:52.905
as other interested parties during the course
599
00:30:52.905 --> 00:30:54.145
of the examination so far.
600
00:30:54.885 --> 00:30:58.015
And, you know, might to help the Secretary of State to
6 0 1
00:30:59.145 --> 00:31:02.695
understand which work numbers the individual
602
00:31:02.705 --> 00:31:03.935
parts are referring to.
6 0 3
00:31:07.185 --> 00:31:09.135
Thank you, sir. I think there, I think there are probably
604
00:31:09.155 --> 00:31:10.735
two points in, in relation to that.
605
00:31:10.955 --> 00:31:13.255
Um, firstly, from an interpretive perspective,
6 0 6
00:31:13.835 --> 00:31:16.295
we don't think that that needs needs to happen
```

```
607
00:31:16.295 --> 00:31:20.675
because there's no cross reference to direct cross reference
608
00:31:20.675 --> 00:31:24.195
between work packages and, uh, and, and, and Schedule 14.
6 0 9
00:31:24.935 --> 00:31:28.435
Um, and one of the reasons why Schedule 14 doesn't specify,
6 1 0
00:31:29.135 --> 00:31:31.675
um, uh, work numbers currently is
6 1 1
00:31:31.675 --> 00:31:35.315
because, um, uh, effectively some elements
6 1 2
00:31:35.315 --> 00:31:38.555
of those parameters sit across multiple work numbers.
6 1 3
00:31:39.295 --> 00:31:42.355
Um, I think one thing that we might be able to look at is
6 1 4
00:31:43.105 --> 00:31:47.415
looking within that schedule where perhaps in
6 1 5
00:31:47.415 --> 00:31:51.095
that table we could indicate which work packages, uh,
6 1 6
00:31:51.505 --> 00:31:53.575
those elements, those elements fell within.
6 1 7
00:31:53.875 --> 00:31:55.175
And it might be multiple
6 1 8
00:31:55.525 --> 00:31:56.525
That, that's fine. I
6 1 9
00:31:56.525 --> 00:31:58.655
mean, I myself have found it quite tricky
620
00:31:58.715 --> 00:32:00.615
```

```
to cross reference and understand which
```

621
00:32:01.385 --> 00:32:02.815
parts relate to Rich works.
622
00:32:03.015 --> 00:32:05.655
I mean, $I, I$ do now $I$ 've spent a lot of time looking at it,
623
00:32:05.675 --> 00:32:09.615
but, you know, someone looking at it with fresh eyes might,
624
00:32:10.585 --> 00:32:13.155
you know, save them
625
00:32:13.255 --> 00:32:15.555
or maybe the Secretary of State as well to go
626
00:32:15.555 --> 00:32:17.875
through the same processes that we've had to do.
627
00:32:18.575 --> 00:32:21.675
Um, it may be useful if you could have a look into that.
628
00:32:21.985 --> 00:32:23.675
I'll certainly look at how that can be done, sir. Thanks.
629
00:32:25.965 --> 00:32:29.155
Thank you. And, uh, moving on to schedule 16 , the,
630
00:32:29.155 --> 00:32:32.995
there's reference for Pink line for H 19 H 20 , um,
631
00:32:33.895 --> 00:32:36.875
so noting the change to edge hedge H 19
632
00:32:36.875 --> 00:32:38.275
and 20 on the hedge regulations
633
00:32:38.275 $\rightarrow$ 00:32:39.840
and tree preservation plans,

634
00:32:41.025 --> 00:32:43.885
should the word pink in Schedule 16, which relates
635
00:32:43.885 --> 00:32:46.085
to important hedges be changed to the word orange?
636
00:32:48.175 --> 00:32:49.635
It should, sir. Yes. Apologies.
637
00:32:50.385 --> 00:32:54.065
Okay, thank you. So can I just, uh, just, just, just
638
00:32:54.065 --> 00:32:56.945
as a, uh, to, to flag, uh, uh,
639
00:32:57.045 --> 00:32:59.505
the applicant's aware from recent work that done that?
640
00:32:59.705 --> 00:33:01.425
Actually there's a further hedge row that needs to be added,
641
00:33:01.925 --> 00:33:03.425
um, uh, to that schedule.
642
00:33:03.595 --> 00:33:06.145
We'll pick that up in the next, uh, iteration of the DCO
643
00:33:06.145 --> 00:33:08.425
and we'll explain the reasons why, uh, when we get there.
644
00:33:08.495 --> 00:33:09.945
Okay. Is it an important hedge row?
645
00:33:10.165 --> 00:33:11.305
Uh, we are not sure.
646
00:33:11.365 --> 00:33:13.585
So those provisions may need to go back in,
647
00:33:13.685 --> 00:33:16.505

```
but we will explain, um, when we submit.
648
00:33:17.345 --> 00:33:19.005
I'm conscious that we previously took them out
649
00:33:19.005 --> 00:33:20.005
because we didn't think they were.
650
00:33:20.705 --> 00:33:21.845
It may need to go back in.
6 5 1
00:33:23.315 --> 00:33:26.565
Yes, please. Charles Jones Fe Parish Council.
652
00:33:27.065 --> 00:33:31.325
Um, could somebody please show us, um, the, uh,
6 5 3
00:33:31.505 --> 00:33:33.845
rep 5 0 20 sheet three,
654
00:33:33.985 --> 00:33:38.905
the hedge rose retained drawing for what purpose?
655
00:33:39.165 --> 00:33:41.585
Uh, I'd like to show you where there's a hedge row
656
00:33:41.615 --> 00:33:44.505
that needs a gap cut in it that's not shown on the drawings,
657
00:33:44.505 --> 00:33:45.665
and so the cut's not shown
6 5 8
00:33:46.005 --> 00:33:48.785
and several hedges that are important to us as,
659
00:33:48.805 --> 00:33:50.745
as residents that aren't shown either.
6 6 0
00:33:58.015 --> 00:34:00.305
Okay. Are you able to, uh, pick
```

661
00:34:00.305 --> 00:34:01.865
that or that plan on the screen?
662
00:34:03.365 --> 00:34:05.715
Personally not, no. I wouldn't know how to do it. No.
663
00:34:05.965 --> 00:34:07.235
Sorry, I was talking to the applicant
664
00:34:21.125 --> 00:34:22.125
I's just loading.
665
00:34:24.585 --> 00:34:25.395
Yeah, quite big
666
00:34:48.615 --> 00:34:49.325
sheet three.
667
00:34:59.775 --> 00:35:03.005
Thank you. So the issue, the first issue is,
668
00:35:03.385 --> 00:35:06.805
as you come off the a 14 slip up to what is proposed
669
00:35:06.825 --> 00:35:08.005
as a four-way junction,
670
00:35:08.695 --> 00:35:11.485
there is actually quite a long hedge running all the way
671
00:35:11.485 --> 00:35:12.765
along that embankment.
672
00:35:13.425 --> 00:35:15.565
Um, between the a 14
673
00:35:15.705 --> 00:35:18.205
and, uh, almost the TA lowen drove,
674
00:35:18.515 --> 00:35:19.805
there's quite a decent hedge.
675
00:35:19.805 --> 00:35:21.885
It's got some good trees in it, provides lots of screening
676
00:35:21.885 --> 00:35:23.005
for people on the bridge of
677
00:35:23.005 --> 00:35:26.485
where the proposed works would be that hedge is not shown.
678
00:35:26.945 --> 00:35:30.365
And to build the highway, uh, connection across to, uh,
679
00:35:30.365 --> 00:35:32.245
where the proposed works would be the entrance,
680
00:35:32.505 --> 00:35:34.085
you obviously need to cut a hole in it.
681
00:35:34.105 --> 00:35:35.865
So there's the drawing.
682
00:35:38.285 --> 00:35:41.665
Second point was, um, coming down
683
00:35:41.845 --> 00:35:45.865
to the field south of Poplar Hall, there's quite some,
684
00:35:45.865 --> 00:35:50.265
quite decent hedges along the, um, again on the Hoey Road
685
00:35:51.205 --> 00:35:54.855
west side and on Philly Lane, north side.
686
00:35:55.285 --> 00:35:57.695
Neither of those hedges are shown on the drawing.
687
00:35:58.195 --> 00:36:00.975
And yet the notes on the drawing are quite specific to say

```
68
00:36:00.975 --> 00:36:04.095
that hedges to be retained are shown in green and,
6 8 9
00:36:04.155 --> 00:36:06.975
and then mentions hedges to be removed, shown where cut.
690
00:36:07.435 --> 00:36:11.455
We are a bit concerned that the hedges that are useful to us
6 9 1
00:36:11.475 --> 00:36:15.535
as, as, as as residents and are not shown, and
6 9 2
00:36:15.535 --> 00:36:17.015
therefore it leaves it rather opaque
6 9 3
00:36:17.015 --> 00:36:20.935
or ambiguous as to whether somebody on site might choose
6 9 4
00:36:21.075 --> 00:36:23.535
to make further holes or cut down further hedges
6 9 5
00:36:23.535 --> 00:36:26.015
because it's not shown as being protected on this drawing.
6 9 6
00:36:26.305 --> 00:36:27.695
Thank you. Okay, thank you.
697
00:36:27.715 --> 00:36:29.975
Is that something the applicant can look into and, well,
698
00:36:29.975 --> 00:36:31.935
Certainly, Sarah, I was gonna suggest the relevant members
6 9 9
00:36:31.935 --> 00:36:33.975
of our team have a chat with Mr. Jones.
700
00:36:34.115 --> 00:36:36.535
Uh, look at those, uh, look at those particular hedgerows.
7 0 1
00:36:36.555 --> 00:36:37.815
```

If any changes need to be made
702
00:36:37.815 --> 00:36:39.015
or any the clarifications made,
703
00:36:39.015 --> 00:36:40.095
we'll pick that up in our, in our,
704
00:36:40.165 --> 00:36:41.165
Okay. Thank you. We'll, we'll
705
00:36:41.165 --> 00:36:42.535
put that as an action point as well.
706
00:36:43.995 --> 00:36:48.195
Thank you. Moving on to requirement 10, um,
707
00:36:48.415 --> 00:36:50.875
the conservators of the river cam requested they were added
708
00:36:50.895 --> 00:36:54.705
as a consultee on this and because it,
709
00:36:54.705 --> 00:36:58.795
because it, it has implications I guess for the river cam.
710
00:36:58.815 --> 00:37:01.475
Is that something you'd be willing to add?
711
00:37:01.995 --> 00:37:03.435
I, I, I think there's a couple of points to that.
712
00:37:03.455 --> 00:37:07.595
So first, firstly, we, we'd rather took the view that the,
713
00:37:07.735 --> 00:37:10.995
um, uh, the local authority would consult 'em as,
714
00:37:11.015 --> 00:37:13.395
as the local authority considered necessary in the

```
7 1 5
00:37:13.395 --> 00:37:14.595
discharge of the requirement.
716
00:37:14.855 --> 00:37:16.275
But, but, but secondly,
7 1 7
00:37:16.695 --> 00:37:18.835
we didn't think it was particularly necessary
718
00:37:18.835 --> 00:37:23.475
because there are a whole raft of consultation, um,
7 1 9
00:37:23.775 --> 00:37:26.715
uh, requirements set out in the protected provisions,
7 2 0
00:37:26.965 --> 00:37:30.915
which actually go into a lot more detail than is,
7 2 1
00:37:31.135 --> 00:37:34.075
is discussed in, uh, in requirement 10 in relation
72
00:37:34.095 --> 00:37:35.435
to, uh, those works.
7 2 3
00:37:38.215 --> 00:37:40.145
Okay. Would it, would it harm to add them
7 2 4
00:37:40.245 --> 00:37:41.665
to the requirement if Nope.
7 2 5
00:37:41.665 --> 00:37:44.105
They're going to be consulting other documents anyway? Nope.
726
00:37:44.725 --> 00:37:46.825
So is that something you'd be willing to I, I do,
7 2 7
00:37:47.165 --> 00:37:48.165
Yes. I'm, I'm,
7 2 8
00:37:48.165 --> 00:37:49.505
```

I can, I can do that if required.

729
00:37:49.505 --> 00:37:50.665
But there was, there was a reason
730
00:37:50.665 - -> 00:37:52.025
why you didn't think it was
731
00:37:52.025 --> 00:37:53.025
Necessary. Okay.
732
00:37:53.025 --> 00:37:56.865
I think it would probably be useful seeing
733
00:37:56.865 --> 00:37:59.695
as they've requested it and, you know,
734
00:37:59.835 --> 00:38:02.975
it may have implications for the use of the river.
735
00:38:05.615 --> 00:38:09.585
So yeah, that can be included, sir. It's not an issue.
736
00:38:09.615 --> 00:38:13.855
Okay, thank you. Um, as clear,
737
00:38:13.875 --> 00:38:14.935
we would like to comment on that.
738
00:38:16.895 - 00:38:20.115
Uh, that's fine. I'm glad they've, um, conceded that point
739
00:38:20.115 --> 00:38:22.075
because it, it, it is one
740
00:38:22.105 --> 00:38:24.635
that we felt was quite important and useful.
741
00:38:26.435 --> 00:38:27.005
Okay, thank you.

```
72
00:38:35.165 --> 00:38:38.015
Requirement }13\mathrm{ refers to the archeological
7 4 3
00:38:38.575 --> 00:38:39.815
investigation mitigation strategy,
74
00:38:40.835 --> 00:38:43.375
and there was some matters around flexibility as raised
7 4 5
00:38:43.395 --> 00:38:44.655
by Cambridge County Council.
746
00:38:45.115 --> 00:38:49.735
Um, so the county advised in response to AQ two,
7 4 7
00:38:49.735 --> 00:38:52.855
that flexibility should be built into the aims
7 4 8
00:38:54.525 --> 00:38:58.025
to enable variations to the defined excavation areas.
749
00:38:58.315 --> 00:39:01.585
Could the county council please clarify whether this would
7 5 0
00:39:01.585 --> 00:39:03.385
involve a change to requirement 13
7 5 1
00:39:04.405 --> 00:39:06.985
or a change to the framework aims?
7 5 2
00:39:07.845 --> 00:39:08.905
Um, and whichever it is,
7 5 3
00:39:08.905 --> 00:39:10.305
do you have any suggested wording for that?
7 5 4
00:39:10.965 --> 00:39:14.265
Um, Mr. Thomas is going to deal with that, sir. Thank you.
7 5 5
00:39:16.455 --> 00:39:17.875
```

Uh, good morning. Andy Thomas,
756
00:39:17.875 --> 00:39:20.395
Cambridge County Council Historic Environment team.
757
00:39:21.105 --> 00:39:24.595
It's, um, I haven't put any specific thought into the
758
00:39:24.595 --> 00:39:25.915
precise wording for this.
759
00:39:26.255 --> 00:39:29.715
Um, I thought I would raise it in response to the submission
760
00:39:29.715 --> 00:39:32.275
to, um, flag it as a potential issue.
761
00:39:32.495 --> 00:39:34.075
Um, as for the reason stated
762
00:39:34.735 --> 00:39:38.835
in the county council's response, it's, um, evaluation isn't
763
00:39:39.995 --> 00:39:44.755
a, um, precise, uh, technique, uh, particularly for the,
764
00:39:44.975 --> 00:39:47.235
uh, type of archeology we're looking at for this one,
765
00:39:47.415 --> 00:39:48.475
but it's certainly something
766
00:39:48.475 --> 00:39:50.795
that we could put some port thought into an advice
767
00:39:50.855 --> 00:39:52.595
for further, if that would help, sir.
768
00:39:55.035 --> 00:39:57.405
Yeah. Is, is this something that you can liaise

769
00:39:57.405 --> 00:39:59.085
with directly over the applicant
770
00:39:59.145 --> 00:40:03.885
and, um, including your statements, common ground, um, and
771
00:40:04.125 --> 00:40:06.325
'cause I, I'm not too sure whether it's the requirement
772
00:40:06.325 --> 00:40:09.205
or the actual document which would need to be changed
773
00:40:09.945 --> 00:40:11.645
or which you are seeking to be changed.
774
00:40:12.195 --> 00:40:14.125
That that's certainly something I would be happy to do
775
00:40:14.125 --> 00:40:15.765
with the applicant's archeological consultant.
776
00:40:15.825 --> 00:40:16.825
Yes, sir.
777
00:40:17.345 --> 00:40:18.635
Okay. And maybe report back
778
00:40:18.635 --> 00:40:21.355
to us at the next deadline on that. Uh,
779
00:40:21.545 --> 00:40:22.545
Certainly. So very, very
780
00:40:22.545 --> 00:40:23.315
happy to do that.
781
00:40:23.395 --> 00:40:24.715
I think from the applicant's perspective,
782
00:40:24.895 --> 00:40:26.835
the applicant envisage that actually that was something
783
00:40:26.835 --> 00:40:30.515
that we could be picked up in the detailed aims, uh, that
784
00:40:30.515 --> 00:40:32.875
that submitted in, in, in, in due course.
785
00:40:33.095 --> 00:40:35.475
But more than happy to, uh, to speak to the council
786
00:40:35.535 --> 00:40:37.315
and see if there's another way that would, uh,
787
00:40:37.315 --> 00:40:38.555
alleviate their concerns.
788
00:40:38.745 --> 00:40:41.355
Okay. So maybe something in the framework needs
789
00:40:41.355 --> 00:40:43.275
to be specified with in that regard,
790
00:40:43.275 --> 00:40:44.275
and then more detail will be
791
00:40:44.555 --> 00:40:46.075
provided in the detailed perhaps.
792
00:40:46.075 --> 00:40:47.075
So yes, Aims
793
00:40:49.245 --> 00:40:51.175
That certainly approach that I would, um,
794
00:40:51.615 --> 00:40:52.895
I would be happy to go with, sir. Yes.
795
00:40:53.845 --> 00:40:56.735
Okay. Thank you. I'll leave that to you two to, to discuss

```
7 9 6
00:40:56.765 --> 00:40:58.495
outside of this hearing.
7 9 7
00:40:58.585 --> 00:40:59.055
Thank you.
7 9 8
00:41:04.025 --> 00:41:05.245
Uh, requirement 17.
7 9 9
00:41:06.135 --> 00:41:08.595
Um, so we asked the issue specific hearing one,
800
00:41:08.595 --> 00:41:10.235
whether there should be a requirement relating
801
00:41:10.235 --> 00:41:11.315
to the decommissioning
802
00:41:11.315 --> 00:41:13.075
of the proposed wastewater treatment plant,
803
00:41:15.015 --> 00:41:16.155
and both the applicant
804
00:41:16.415 --> 00:41:20.195
and local authorities agreed that this was not necessary due
805
00:41:20.195 --> 00:41:22.595
to the long-term nature of the proposed development.
806
00:41:22.855 --> 00:41:24.155
And as this will be governed
807
00:41:24.155 --> 00:41:26.755
by management systems in environmental permits.
808
00:41:28.025 --> 00:41:31.155
However, in response to EQ one point 10.3,
809
00:41:32.025 --> 00:41:33.115
```

```
both South Cambridge
810
00:41:33.875 --> 00:41:36.975
and Cambridge City Council suggested
811
00:41:36.975 --> 00:41:38.735
that there should be a requirement in this regard.
812
00:41:39.315 --> 00:41:42.545
Um, could both councils
813
00:41:42.545 --> 00:41:44.425
and maybe the counter council also please
814
00:41:44.425 --> 00:41:45.825
clarify their view on the matter.
815
00:41:59.105 --> 00:42:02.645
The, the position is in fact that the councils would,
816
00:42:02.775 --> 00:42:06.005
would defer to the ea uh, uh, in terms
817
00:42:06.025 --> 00:42:07.325
of any decommissioning,
818
00:42:10.925 --> 00:42:15.195
I don't want, but it may be
819
00:42:15.195 --> 00:42:17.795
that I've not picked up properly on the response
820
00:42:17.855 --> 00:42:19.475
to the question.
821
00:42:21.225 --> 00:42:23.195
Okay. So the May to XQ two, sir,
822
00:42:23.495 --> 00:42:25.915
Uh, is the XQ one point 10.3.
```

```
823
00:42:26.695 --> 00:42:28.995
So we discussed at the previous hearing whether such
824
00:42:29.235 --> 00:42:31.035
requirement was necessary and you said no,
825
00:42:32.135 --> 00:42:34.075
but then in response to the recent questions, the
826
00:42:34.615 --> 00:42:36.835
the response seems to change to say yes.
827
00:42:37.335 --> 00:42:39.875
So I was just wanting some clarification as to whether
828
00:42:40.415 --> 00:42:43.435
in your view, notwithstanding the, the A'S view, whether
829
00:42:44.615 --> 00:42:47.075
you wish to see a requirement relating
830
00:42:47.075 --> 00:42:49.795
to the decommissioning of the proposed plant, whether
831
00:42:49.795 --> 00:42:53.525
that's in a hundred, 150 years, whatever.
832
00:42:54.745 --> 00:42:56.845
So my instructions are that, that,
833
00:42:56.915 --> 00:42:59.885
that no such requirement is, and, and
834
00:42:59.885 --> 00:43:01.245
therefore I need to double check.
835
00:43:01.245 --> 00:43:03.885
And if there's an error in our response, then, then we will,
836
00:43:04.145 --> 00:43:05.205
```

```
uh, make that clear.
837
00:43:05.785 --> 00:43:07.965
But my instructions are that, that we would not,
838
00:43:07.985 --> 00:43:09.925
and we would defer to the EA
839
00:43:09.925 --> 00:43:11.445
and whatever position they would
840
00:43:11.445 --> 00:43:13.005
take at that particular time. Okay.
841
00:43:13.065 --> 00:43:14.805
So Scrub that idea.
842
00:43:15.275 --> 00:43:16.565
Okay. Thank You. Thank you very much.
843
00:43:17.385 --> 00:43:18.525
And does the applicant want to comment
844
00:43:18.525 --> 00:43:19.925
on anything regarding that?
845
00:43:20.915 --> 00:43:21.975
No, sir. I think the articles
846
00:43:21.975 --> 00:43:23.335
with the applicant's previous position, so.
847
00:43:24.365 --> 00:43:29.175
Okay. Thank you. Requirement 25 2 B relates
848
00:43:29.175 --> 00:43:32.095
to onsite offsite biodiversity net gain.
849
00:43:33.235 --> 00:43:37.075
Um, so the, we understand
```

```
850
00:43:37.075 --> 00:43:41.115
that requirement 25 2 B, which is
851
00:43:41.115 --> 00:43:45.675
to secure river units potentially offsite, may involve
852
00:43:48.195 --> 00:43:52.295
in the, in the, at some point a section 1 0 6
853
00:43:52.555 --> 00:43:53.975
and a financial payment.
854
00:43:55.215 --> 00:43:56.795
Um, and that was set out in the, a
855
00:43:59.255 --> 00:44:00.995
q3 your response.
856
00:44:01.175 --> 00:44:04.575
So we asked about how this would meet the relevant,
857
00:44:06.195 --> 00:44:08.945
uh, national policy statement, um,
858
00:44:09.085 --> 00:44:10.825
and planning practice guidance tests.
859
00:44:12.005 --> 00:44:16.465
Um, so could you clarify having regard
860
00:44:16.465 --> 00:44:20.225
to the planning practice guidance, whether without this part
861
00:44:20.225 --> 00:44:24.425
of the requirement IE, that to require offsite river units,
862
00:44:25.255 --> 00:44:26.305
whether the delivery
863
00:44:26.305 --> 00:44:28.225
```

```
of the development would be at serious risk?
864
00:44:28.825 --> 00:44:29.825
I,
865
00:44:37.975 --> 00:44:40.195
So, well, I think, I think requirement, the function
866
00:44:40.195 --> 00:44:43.955
of ri the function of requirement 25 is to
867
00:44:44.545 --> 00:44:48.235
require a scheme to explain how, um,
868
00:44:48.355 --> 00:44:50.715
biodiversity net gain will be met
869
00:44:51.175 --> 00:44:55.635
and in relation to, uh, river units, um,
870
00:44:56.105 --> 00:45:00.795
that will comprise details of how those river units will be,
871
00:45:01.495 --> 00:45:06.455
uh, delivered either on e either within the order limits or,
872
00:45:06.515 --> 00:45:08.975
or, or outside of, of, of the order limits.
873
00:45:09.155 --> 00:45:12.615
So the, um, uh, sorry, delivered and, and secured.
874
00:45:13.155 --> 00:45:16.735
Um, now that is the function of, of the requirement.
875
00:45:17.605 --> 00:45:21.775
It's very much the applicant's view that in discharging, uh,
876
00:45:22.275 --> 00:45:26.055
the scheme that's submitted under that requirement, um, uh,
```

```
877
00:45:26.365 --> 00:45:28.855
that, that the planning authority would want to ensure
878
00:45:28.855 --> 00:45:32.375
that there was some form of security in relation to any,
879
00:45:32.715 --> 00:45:34.295
any offsite units.
880
00:45:34.955 --> 00:45:37.015
Now, as we don't know where the location
81
00:45:37.035 --> 00:45:40.575
of those units might be, um, there are a number
882
00:45:40.575 --> 00:45:43.895
of potential mechanisms available that might relate
883
00:45:43.915 --> 00:45:47.055
to providing, uh, that, that, that security,
84
00:45:47.555 --> 00:45:50.015
but that would need to be set out in the scheme
885
00:45:50.475 --> 00:45:53.255
and the scheme wouldn't be discharged.
886
00:45:53.295 --> 00:45:54.815
It's the applicant's position, unless,
887
00:45:54.835 --> 00:45:57.855
and until the council were satisfied
88
00:45:57.855 --> 00:46:00.375
that the relevant security was in place, that
889
00:46:00.405 --> 00:46:02.775
that might be a section 1 0 6 agreement.
890
00:46:03.155 --> 00:46:05.135
```

It might be some other form of agreement such
891
00:46:05.135 --> 00:46:06.295
as a conservation covenant,
892
00:46:06.595 --> 00:46:08.535
it might be some other regulatory mechanism
893
00:46:08.535 --> 00:46:11.295
that might be porting related to the provision of, of
894
00:46:12.055 --> 00:46:14.055
BNG credits, for example, or something like that.
895
00:46:15.985 --> 00:46:18.425
Hmm. Yes. But when we're writing up our recommendation,
896
00:46:18.565 --> 00:46:21.965
we have to have regard, you know, to relevant tests.
897
00:46:22.265 --> 00:46:26.045
So if the te if, if the PPG says you shouldn't
898
00:46:26.675 --> 00:46:29.365
have a condition that re that would then require
899
00:46:30.915 --> 00:46:32.775
or result in a section 106
900
00:46:32.795 --> 00:46:36.655
or financial payment, then in order to
901
00:46:37.445 --> 00:46:39.735
include such requirement, there are certain
902
00:46:40.875 --> 00:46:41.975
things which have to be met.
903
00:46:41.975 --> 00:46:44.735
And one is which, um, if the development, if the delivery

```
904
00:46:44.735 --> 00:46:46.495
of the development would be at risk, then it'd be okay
905
00:46:46.495 --> 00:46:47.695
to include such condition.
906
00:46:48.715 --> 00:46:53.505
If it wouldn't, then perhaps it's not
907
00:46:54.065 --> 00:46:57.315
appropriate to include such a requirement.
908
00:46:58.255 --> 00:47:02.815
Well, I, I would, I would slightly challenge the,
909
00:47:02.915 --> 00:47:07.055
the conclusion, sir, that the condition requires the payment
910
00:47:07.155 --> 00:47:08.175
of a contribution.
911
00:47:08.795 --> 00:47:11.535
The condition requires the submission of a schemes
912
00:47:11.875 --> 00:47:14.135
to demonstrate how 20%
913
00:47:15.235 --> 00:47:18.415
net gain in river units will be delivered and secured.
914
00:47:19.035 --> 00:47:22.255
So, um, as I say, there are a number of ways in which
915
00:47:22.285 --> 00:47:23.415
that might be achieved.
916
00:47:24.815 --> 00:47:29.725
And, and I think in our, in our response to, um, uh,
917
00:47:30.235 --> 00:47:32.405
```

```
that, that that was submitted at, at the,
918
00:47:32.405 --> 00:47:35.725
at the last deadline, we identified a number of, um,
919
00:47:36.215 --> 00:47:40.245
other made dcos, which referred to, uh, similar conditions.
920
00:47:40.345 --> 00:47:41.845
Uh, so sorry, similar requirements
921
00:47:41.845 --> 00:47:43.205
relating to offsite provision.
922
00:47:43.455 --> 00:47:45.005
Apolo. If, if, if that's not there,
923
00:47:45.155 --> 00:47:48.205
then it's certainly something that we can, we can provide.
924
00:48:01.175 --> 00:48:03.925
Maybe you can have a look and then maybe later on this
925
00:48:03.925 --> 00:48:06.005
afternoon, the biodiversity section, you could come back
926
00:48:06.005 --> 00:48:08.285
and show us where exactly where you refer
927
00:48:08.285 --> 00:48:09.685
to those on the dcos, please.
928
00:48:09.715 --> 00:48:12.205
Certainly Sarah and Apolo, apologies nodding yet, but,
929
00:48:12.205 --> 00:48:16.045
but, um, uh, the, the, the med worth energy from waste, um,
930
00:48:16.305 --> 00:48:17.885
uh, DCO, uh,
```

```
931
00:48:18.035 --> 00:48:19.035
Okay. That's only just come
932
00:48:19.035 --> 00:48:20.085
out recently. Yeah.
933
00:48:20.085 --> 00:48:22.045
So that wouldn't have been in, in your response.
934
00:48:22.195 --> 00:48:24.605
Well, exactly. Drax and, and some other ones
935
00:48:24.605 --> 00:48:26.685
and kbi, for example, refer to those things.
936
00:48:26.945 --> 00:48:27.965
Um, uh, the,
937
00:48:28.605 --> 00:48:30.205
I think We'll go away and check so, and,
938
00:48:30.225 --> 00:48:32.725
and make sure that you have that information, if
939
00:48:32.725 --> 00:48:33.725
Not, okay. And maybe check as well
940
00:48:33.725 --> 00:48:35.285
with med with, for example, whether,
941
00:48:36.265 --> 00:48:37.645
um, a section 1 0 6 was
942
00:48:37.845 --> 00:48:40.005
provided as part of the application rather
943
00:48:40.005 --> 00:48:41.125
than in a requirement.
944
00:48:41.545 --> 00:48:43.685
```

```
Uh, indeed. Happy to, happy to do all of that and,
945
00:48:43.705 --> 00:48:44.725
and, and spell it out, sir.
946
00:48:44.755 --> 00:48:45.805
Okay. So we'll come back to that
947
00:48:45.805 --> 00:48:46.885
maybe later this afternoon.
948
00:48:56.495 --> 00:48:59.075
If offsite
949
00:49:01.405 --> 00:49:02.785
wasn't provided, how would
950
00:49:02.785 --> 00:49:05.025
that affect the o overall 20% net
951
00:49:05.185 --> 00:49:06.345
gain you are looking to achieve?
952
00:49:08.975 --> 00:49:11.085
Let's say we say the XA came to conclusion
953
00:49:11.085 --> 00:49:14.685
that the requirement without the offsite word,
954
00:49:14.685 --> 00:49:18.135
that word offsite, the delivery
955
00:49:18.135 --> 00:49:20.015
of the development wouldn't be a serious risk.
956
00:49:21.075 --> 00:49:23.055
How would that affect the overall biodiversity net gain
957
00:49:23.055 --> 00:49:26.465
of 20% that you're seeking to provide?
```

```
958
00:49:27.285 --> 00:49:29.985
Uh, um, so I think Andrew, prior for the applicant,
959
00:49:30.305 --> 00:49:33.865
I think, um, we shouldn't think in terms of overall,
960
00:49:33.865 --> 00:49:35.385
because the important bit is those high
961
00:49:35.625 --> 00:49:36.665
distinctiveness river units.
962
00:49:37.205 --> 00:49:40.065
So I think it's only not point, not four something,
963
00:49:40.085 --> 00:49:42.985
but those, but those high distinctiveness units would
964
00:49:42.985 --> 00:49:44.105
not be delivered.
965
00:49:44.545 --> 00:49:46.625
I think we would have to do the calculation on
966
00:49:46.625 --> 00:49:47.705
what the overall gain is.
967
00:49:47.705 --> 00:49:49.945
But of course, the overall gain puts
968
00:49:49.945 --> 00:49:51.025
those into those three tiers.
969
00:49:51.025 --> 00:49:52.345
So it would be that high distinctiveness
970
00:49:52.345 --> 00:49:53.505
units which would be lost.
971
00:50:00.065 --> 00:50:01.275
```

Okay. Would the,

```
972
00:50:01.275 --> 00:50:03.595
would the proposed development be a serious risk without
973
00:50:04.105 --> 00:50:05.795
that particular requirement?
974
00:50:09.745 --> 00:50:10.925
Uh, well under the current
975
00:50:10.925 --> 00:50:12.165
drafting of the requirement, yes.
976
00:50:12.325 --> 00:50:13.565
'cause it couldn't proceed, could it? So,
977
00:50:16.105 --> 00:50:18.485
But if the requirement was amended, would,
978
00:50:18.495 --> 00:50:19.525
would the delivery
979
00:50:19.525 --> 00:50:22.605
of the project be at serious risk without those offsite
980
00:50:22.605 --> 00:50:26.745
biodiversity net gain units being secured?
981
00:50:30.585 --> 00:50:32.765
No, no, sir. They're just, they, they form part
982
00:50:32.765 --> 00:50:35.045
of our voluntary commitment to deliver that net gain,
983
00:50:35.045 --> 00:50:37.085
but they're not a statutory requirement to deliver
984
00:50:37.085 --> 00:50:38.085
Them. Okay. Thank you.
```

```
985
00:50:38.085 --> 00:50:41.835
Um, okay.
986
00:50:42.135 --> 00:50:47.085
And I'm sorry, friends of the river camp?
987
00:50:47.785 --> 00:50:48.005
Yes.
988
00:50:54.735 --> 00:50:55.075
No, I,
989
00:50:55.955 --> 00:50:57.355
I realize that, uh, the issue
990
00:50:57.355 --> 00:51:00.275
of biodiversity net gain is going to come up again, uh,
991
00:51:00.275 --> 00:51:04.305
this afternoon, but I just, um, would like to
992
00:51:05.205 --> 00:51:10.165
ask the, uh, uh, Ang Anglia water representatives if,
993
00:51:10.465 --> 00:51:14.205
in considering and making out the case for, um,
994
00:51:14.205 --> 00:51:18.045
20% biodiversity net gain, maybe on site, maybe offsite,
995
00:51:18.395 --> 00:51:22.325
they are mindful for the fact that these promises, according
996
00:51:22.425 --> 00:51:26.205
to a number of studies, are rarely delivered in practice.
997
00:51:26.865 --> 00:51:30.445
So the requirement is to overcome the problems
998
00:51:30.825 --> 00:51:33.205
```

of delivering biodiversity knock net gain,
999
00:51:33.345 --> 00:51:37.045
not just putting forward a plausible case that it,
1000
00:51:37.045 --> 00:51:38.725
that it can be de delivered.
1001
00:51:39.005 --> 00:51:41.685
I mean, my own view of biodiversity net gain is probably
1002
00:51:41.685 --> 00:51:45.605
irrelevant here, but I, I, uh, I believe it can rare,
1003
00:51:45.605 --> 00:51:46.605
rarely be achieved.
1004
00:51:46.925 --> 00:51:48.805
Actually, it's on the North Cambridge site
1005
00:51:48.915 --> 00:51:53.205
that biodiversity net gain in theory could be achieved
1006
00:51:53.205 --> 00:51:55.365
because it, it contains a lot of toxic waste
1007
00:51:55.575 --> 00:51:56.725
underneath the site.
1008
00:51:57.185 --> 00:52:00.405
And it's, it's where, where a site contains toxic toxic
1009
00:52:00.495 --> 00:52:03.565
waste that you can actually bring back into operation,
1010
00:52:03.685 --> 00:52:05.685
a site that is, is otherwise, um,
1011
00:52:06.025 --> 00:52:07.525
not supporting biodiversity.

```
1012
00:52:07.595 --> 00:52:11.125
Generally, when you take a site like the, the, the, the,
1013
00:52:11.125 --> 00:52:15.865
the mo the site to which, um, this, um,
1014
00:52:16.085 --> 00:52:17.905
sewage work is proposed to move,
1015
00:52:18.085 --> 00:52:21.705
you've already got such huge potential in the development
1016
00:52:21.705 --> 00:52:25.785
of biodiversity, which will inevitably be re reduced
1017
00:52:27.355 --> 00:52:28.455
By the shift in sewage works.
1018
00:52:28.475 --> 00:52:30.655
But I, I just wanted to make that ask
1019
00:52:30.655 --> 00:52:32.975
that question really whether you are mindful of the fact
1020
00:52:33.285 --> 00:52:35.735
that, that, that a good case for biodiversity net gain,
1021
00:52:35.735 --> 00:52:38.815
however good it looks, is rarely delivered in practice
1022
00:52:38.815 --> 00:52:39.815
as studies have shown.
1023
00:52:42.205 --> 00:52:44.055
Okay, thank you for that. I mean, I think the point is
1024
00:52:44.055 --> 00:52:48.815
where that would be secured in the DCO, um, anything beyond
1025
00:52:48.815 --> 00:52:52.405
```

that would most likely be some kind
1026
00:52:52.405 --> 00:52:54.935
of enforcement issue.
1027
00:52:55.695 --> 00:52:57.375
Possibly maybe the applicant can respond.
1028
00:53:00.715 --> 00:53:01.735
Uh, yes. Thank you, sir.
1029
00:53:01.735 --> 00:53:03.255
I mean, o obviously the, the last part
1030
00:53:03.255 --> 00:53:06.455
of the requirement is, is to comply with the scheme, uh,
1031
00:53:06.485 --> 00:53:08.455
that submitted and, and, and signed off
1032
00:53:08.455 --> 00:53:10.455
and the enforcement provisions would apply to that.
1033
00:53:11.335 --> 00:53:14.305
Okay. Thank you. Um, moving on then, the
1034
00:53:15.385 --> 00:53:17.785
examining authority asks to issue specific hearing three,
1035
00:53:17.785 --> 00:53:21.155
whether parameters relating to the bund, uh,
1036
00:53:21.155 --> 00:53:23.635
stroke Earth bank should be included in the DCO,
1037
00:53:24.295 --> 00:53:25.885
for example, in Schedule 14.
1038
00:53:25.985 --> 00:53:27.245
And the applicant considers

```
1039
00:53:27.245 --> 00:53:29.285
that this is best placed within the design code
1040
00:53:29.905 --> 00:53:33.405
and includes some details at LAN zero two.
1041
00:53:34.645 --> 00:53:36.945
Um, could you just briefly clarify why this is your
1042
00:53:36.945 --> 00:53:40.465
preferred place to include this rather than in the DCO
1043
00:53:40.465 --> 00:53:44.665
and comment on whether land zero two goes far enough?
1044
00:53:45.045 --> 00:53:47.625
For example, should it include
1045
00:53:48.275 --> 00:53:50.745
above ordinance datum heights rather than just a minimum
1046
00:53:50.805 --> 00:53:53.385
of five meters above existing ground level?
1047
00:53:54.205 --> 00:53:58.155
And should it specify the width of the flat top area, which
1048
00:53:58.985 --> 00:54:02.795
elsewhere has suggested a six meter minimum width?
1049
00:54:03.135 --> 00:54:05.795
And perhaps should it for further clarity refer to the
1050
00:54:06.465 --> 00:54:07.555
landscape ecological
1051
00:54:07.735 --> 00:54:10.115
and recreational management plan, which shows a section
1052
00:54:10.115 --> 00:54:11.355
```

that figure 3.4.
1053
00:54:17.615 --> 00:54:20.595
Um, sir, that tho those are, are matters
1054
00:54:20.655 --> 00:54:22.515
of detailed design, which obviously our local
1055
00:54:22.515 --> 00:54:23.955
authorities would approve.
1056
00:54:24.415 --> 00:54:27.275
Um, I think the sufficient information,
1057
00:54:27.295 --> 00:54:31.195
as you say in in the LRP to, um, which also needs
1058
00:54:31.195 --> 00:54:33.715
to be approved to secure those, those issues.
1059
00:54:33.975 --> 00:54:37.435
Um, I understand that there's a reluctance
1060
00:54:37.455 --> 00:54:40.515
to put the bond parameters into the DCO
1061
00:54:40.515 --> 00:54:42.875
because of uncertainties about compaction
1062
00:54:42.875 --> 00:54:44.155
of soils, et cetera.
1063
00:54:44.535 --> 00:54:45.835
Um, I, I dunno whether Mr.
1064
00:54:45.895 --> 00:54:48.235
Dexter would like to talk a little bit further about the
1065
00:54:48.395 --> 00:54:50.715
rationale for including them within the design code.

```
1066
00:54:50.775 --> 00:54:53.915
But we would be happy to, uh, tighten the design code if,
1067
00:54:53.915 --> 00:54:56.795
if it was felt by our stakeholders that it was important
1068
00:54:56.855 --> 00:54:58.315
to secure those specific details.
1069
00:55:03.465 --> 00:55:05.645
My Dexter, the applicant, um, yeah,
1070
00:55:05.645 --> 00:55:08.725
within the design code we've um, referenced, um,
1071
00:55:08.755 --> 00:55:10.325
five meters above existing ground level.
1072
00:55:10.325 --> 00:55:12.485
There is quite, there is a fall from one
1073
00:55:12.485 --> 00:55:13.525
side of the site to the other.
1074
00:55:13.865 --> 00:55:16.805
Um, with a maximum parameter being fixed at one point,
1075
00:55:17.345 --> 00:55:18.965
it would possibly be that the,
1076
00:55:19.185 --> 00:55:20.365
the Earth Bank is quite a bit higher
1077
00:55:20.475 --> 00:55:21.605
from one side to the other.
1078
00:55:22.305 --> 00:55:26.205
Um, not being a NA would make it a less natural, um,
1079
00:55:26.365 --> 00:55:27.965
```

intervention in the landscape.
1080
00:55:28.505 --> 00:55:30.205
Um, so we've kept it five meters
1081
00:55:30.205 --> 00:55:31.565
above the existing ground level.
1082
00:55:31.885 --> 00:55:34.885
'cause the whole rationale behind the Earth Bank is for
1083
00:55:34.885 --> 00:55:37.045
that visual screening, that area being lower,
1084
00:55:37.105 --> 00:55:39.285
the visual screening impact is exactly the same.
1085
00:55:39.725 --> 00:55:42.625
'cause you, you're not virtually higher. So,
1086
00:55:42.925 --> 00:55:44.945
And how do we know what the existing ground level is?
1087
00:55:45.055 --> 00:55:47.025
Like when the, when the, if
1088
00:55:47.025 --> 00:55:50.345
and when it's built, how, how would it, how would
1089
00:55:51.425 --> 00:55:54.525
anyone be able to tell that it is five meters above
1090
00:55:55.885 --> 00:55:57.575
what is now the existing ground level?
1091
00:55:57.775 --> 00:56:00.015
'cause levels will change across the site. I
1092
00:56:02.635 --> 00:56:04.235
May need to put some thought to that Sarah,

```
1093
00:56:04.495 --> 00:56:05.915
and return back to you on that.
1094
00:56:07.285 --> 00:56:09.685
Hmm. So I think maybe you could look to tighten up
1095
00:56:09.795 --> 00:56:12.685
that part of the design code, um,
1096
00:56:13.815 --> 00:56:16.635
and just consider all those points that I've just mentioned
1097
00:56:17.305 --> 00:56:19.165
and whether you should do some more cross-referencing
1098
00:56:19.165 --> 00:56:23.765
to other documents, please.
1099
00:56:25.465 --> 00:56:27.775
Thank you, sir. As you know, this is a,
1100
00:56:28.055 --> 00:56:30.655
a point which we've been making a number
1101
00:56:30.655 --> 00:56:35.455
of times at earlier stages in our, um, submissions,
1102
00:56:35.455 --> 00:56:37.135
and I don't want to go back over all the
1103
00:56:37.135 --> 00:56:38.535
information that we've just presented.
1104
00:56:38.915 --> 00:56:42.015
Um, I think the point in particular
1105
00:56:42.045 --> 00:56:45.735
that we've been making is that these parameters need
1106
00:56:45.735 --> 00:56:47.255
```

```
to be defined in the DCO
1107
00:56:47.275 --> 00:56:50.375
and it's not good enough to suggest that compaction
1108
00:56:50.375 --> 00:56:52.975
of soil may end up with a small abundant we'd,
1109
00:56:53.405 --> 00:56:54.815
we'd previously been promised.
1110
00:56:55.115 --> 00:56:57.375
Um, that I think is a nonsensical position
1111
00:56:57.375 --> 00:57:00.295
that we shouldn't be, um, taking in the DCO.
1112
00:57:01.315 --> 00:57:03.055
The, the other point, Sarah, is that the
1113
00:57:03.695 --> 00:57:05.855
finished floor level of the plant is defined
1114
00:57:05.955 --> 00:57:09.415
as a single flat level a OD
1115
00:57:09.415 --> 00:57:11.695
around 10.0 meters.
1116
00:57:12.195 --> 00:57:16.075
Um, the bond needs to relate to that level
1117
00:57:16.495 --> 00:57:19.155
and it needs to be leveled level at the top.
1118
00:57:20.175 --> 00:57:23.035
And that needs to be specified either in the parameters in
1119
00:57:23.155 --> 00:57:27.155
CHE 14, which the applicant doesn't want to do or prefer,
```

```
1120
00:57:27.375 --> 00:57:30.755
or as a second best in, in those parameters in,
1121
00:57:30.775 --> 00:57:31.835
in the design code.
1122
00:57:32.295 --> 00:57:36.105
And it has to define that the top, the top of the bun is
1123
00:57:36.105 --> 00:57:37.745
to be level and not,
1124
00:57:38.205 --> 00:57:41.745
and not sloping in accordance with the ground
1125
00:57:41.745 --> 00:57:45.175
because the finished floor level of the plant
1126
00:57:45.175 --> 00:57:48.055
behind it is going to be at a constant a OD.
1127
00:57:48.675 --> 00:57:53.255
So a 10 meter high building will in effect be only shaded
1128
00:57:53.255 --> 00:57:55.215
by, say four meters at if it happens
1129
00:57:55.215 --> 00:57:56.975
to be at the lower end of the site.
1130
00:57:57.915 --> 00:58:00.575
So that the height needs to be defined as a,
1131
00:58:00.575 --> 00:58:02.695
as an a ODI believe so. Mm.
1132
00:58:03.065 --> 00:58:05.535
Might Mike Dexter for the applicant, if I can respond,
1133
00:58:05.535 --> 00:58:06.695
```

```
sorry, should have asked.
1134
00:58:06.695 --> 00:58:08.215
Wait, you asked me. Um,
1 1 3 5
00:58:08.275 --> 00:58:09.735
we haven't got a fixed floor level across
1136
00:58:09.735 --> 00:58:10.775
the half site of 10 meters.
1137
00:58:10.775 --> 00:58:12.535
We've got multiple different floor levels.
1138
00:58:12.675 --> 00:58:14.015
Uh, the STCs actually one
1 1 3 9
00:58:14.015 --> 00:58:16.015
and a half meters lower than existing floor level,
1140
00:58:16.035 --> 00:58:17.255
so existing ground level.
1141
00:58:17.675 --> 00:58:21.055
So we do have a, an undulation within the site in
1142
00:58:21.055 --> 00:58:22.095
a, in a negative manner.
1143
00:58:22.355 --> 00:58:23.455
So quite a few of the,
1144
00:58:23.875 --> 00:58:26.575
the largest structures have been sunken into the ground as,
1145
00:58:26.575 --> 00:58:28.815
as far as reasonably practicable for, um,
1146
00:58:28.965 --> 00:58:30.415
from construction perspective.
```

1147
00:58:30.515 --> 00:58:32.095
So we are not set at 10 meters.
1148
00:58:32.205 --> 00:58:33.975
Yeah, pretty much everything's down.
1149
00:58:34.155 --> 00:58:35.455
But I, I think maybe you do need
1150
00:58:35.695 --> 00:58:38.935
to re-look at the design code, whether it sufficiently,
1151
00:58:39.615 --> 00:58:42.215
I suppose another point you use the word should quite a lot
1152
00:58:42.215 --> 00:58:44.815
in the design code and whether in the design access
1153
00:58:44.815 --> 00:58:48.015
statement you often say, will design code says should.
1154
00:58:48.155 --> 00:58:50.255
And I wonder if that's, that also needs
1155
00:58:50.255 --> 00:58:52.695
to be strengthened throughout the design code, say,
1156
00:58:52.995 --> 00:58:54.615
you know, shall instead of should.
1157
00:58:56.315 --> 00:58:58.655
Sir, sir, thank you for your observations.
1158
00:58:58.975 --> 00:59:03.055
I think if it's of assistance, we should define
1159
00:59:03.955 --> 00:59:05.735
the top of the bank in accordance
1160
00:59:05.735 --> 00:59:06.855
with the Rochdale principles
1161
00:59:06.855 --> 00:59:08.775
and those shown in the landscape and visual assessment
1162
00:59:08.835 --> 00:59:11.775
and make sure it's aligned and secured so that it delivers
1163
00:59:12.565 --> 00:59:13.935
what that assessment shows.
1164
00:59:15.265 --> 00:59:16.355
Okay, thank you. I'll leave that
1165
00:59:16.355 --> 00:59:17.555
with you to thank you, sir.
1166
00:59:17.555 --> 00:59:18.875
Look at in a bit more further detail.
1167
00:59:19.535 --> 00:59:21.035
Um, and we can make a,
1168
00:59:25.995 --> 00:59:28.975
um, so we asked the applicant
1169
00:59:28.975 --> 00:59:32.975
to $A Q$ one whether there should be a requirement relating
1170
00:59:32.975 --> 00:59:35.855
to the demonstration to the secretary state of funding prior
1171
00:59:35.855 --> 00:59:38.255
to the exercising of CATP powers.
1172
00:59:39.485 --> 00:59:40.905
And you said not. Um,
1173
00:59:40.905 --> 00:59:43.505
however, given that the XA has not seen details of how

```
1174
00:59:44.325 --> 00:59:45.345
the shortfall in funding
1175
00:59:45.365 --> 00:59:47.585
of the proposed wastewater treatment plant will be met,
1176
00:59:47.585 --> 00:59:48.985
does this change your view on the matter?
1177
01:00:07.655 --> 01:00:09.355
So can I take that one away and discuss it with,
1178
01:00:09.415 --> 01:00:11.235
and discuss it with colleagues working
1179
01:00:11.235 --> 01:00:12.355
on the ca side of things?
1180
01:00:12.695 --> 01:00:15.995
Um, and we'll, we'll come back to you, uh, in our response,
1181
01:00:16.335 --> 01:00:18.355
uh, our post hearing
1182
01:00:18.355 --> 01:00:19.355
Submissions. Yeah, so it's not, it's
1183
01:00:19.355 --> 01:00:20.915
not the ca cost necessarily.
1184
01:00:21.025 --> 01:00:23.075
It's the, it's the whole, it's the whole project cost.
1185
01:00:23.275 --> 01:00:25.355
I understood. Thank you.
1186
01:00:27.805 --> 01:00:30.785
Um, so question for the local authorities.
1187
01:00:30.925 --> 01:00:32.705
```

```
Um, safe Honey Hill Group has suggested
1188
01:00:32.705 --> 01:00:34.545
that Cambridge County Council
1189
01:00:34.605 --> 01:00:37.305
and South Cambridge District Council should be consultee on
1190
01:00:37.305 --> 01:00:40.715
most requirements and, um,
1191
01:00:40.715 --> 01:00:42.395
because it's the county council
1192
01:00:42.615 --> 01:00:45.715
who would be discharging the requirements.
1193
01:00:46.055 --> 01:00:50.195
And I just wanted to understand the views of the
1194
01:00:51.215 --> 01:00:54.125
local authorities, whether they thought that was necessary
1195
01:00:54.125 --> 01:00:57.035
or whether it had just been automatic, came
1196
01:00:57.035 --> 01:00:58.595
to the county council consulting,
1197
01:00:59.755 --> 01:01:01.005
both the local authorities,
1198
01:01:13.825 --> 01:01:16.525
So said, uh, it, it would happen as a matter of course,
1199
01:01:16.555 --> 01:01:21.365
that the county would consult with both the district council
1200
01:01:21.465 --> 01:01:22.885
and if necessary, the city council.
```

```
1201
01:01:23.065 --> 01:01:27.325
So, um, it, it, it, it doesn't need saying,
1202
01:01:28.105 --> 01:01:29.205
but, um, if,
1203
01:01:29.425 --> 01:01:32.245
if you consider it needs saying, then do so, sir.
1204
01:01:32.625 --> 01:01:35.325
But you have no concerns. No, absolutely not. Okay.
1205
01:01:35.325 --> 01:01:37.765
Thank you. Um,
1206
01:01:37.865 --> 01:01:41.245
and, uh, with regard to,
1207
01:01:43.105 --> 01:01:46.835
so yeah, schedule }18\mathrm{ lists all the, uh,
1208
01:01:47.875 --> 01:01:49.395
documents which requires certification.
1209
01:01:50.875 --> 01:01:54.435
Um, so with regard to schedule 18
1210
01:01:54.495 --> 01:01:57.795
and the ES ar RTA document, which is REP 1 0 8 1,
1211
01:01:58.855 --> 01:02:01.195
is it still the applicant's intention to update
1212
01:02:02.455 --> 01:02:06.715
all documents where ar RTA have not yet been included
1213
01:02:08.195 --> 01:02:09.695
before the end of the examination?
1214
01:02:10.435 --> 01:02:14.905
```

And if not, then is there a need for an updated
1215
01:02:15.785 --> 01:02:17.745
document which would then need to be append to
1216
01:02:19.975 --> 01:02:21.255
relevant s doc document?
1217
01:02:21.255 --> 01:02:23.615
Because the implications are, if the,
1218
01:02:23.675 --> 01:02:27.315
if there's a separate err, someone's gonna have
1219
01:02:27.315 --> 01:02:30.755
to always be cross-referencing a main document to that.
1220
01:02:31.175 --> 01:02:33.955
And I think, and tell me if I'm incorrect,
1221
01:02:33.955 --> 01:02:36.275
but at the beginning of this, of the hearing sessions, you
1222
01:02:37.805 --> 01:02:39.935
indicator that you probably would be
1223
01:02:40.975 --> 01:02:43.335
updating all documents at the end to include Thera List.
1224
01:02:43.335 --> 01:02:44.775
So therefore we could, you would,
1225
01:02:44.835 --> 01:02:47.415
we would not need Thera list effectively.
1226
01:02:48.445 --> 01:02:49.785
Yes, sir. That's still the intention.
1227
01:02:51.755 --> 01:02:53.375
And would that be at the very final deadline,

```
1228
01:02:53.375 --> 01:02:54.455
or would that be at the deadline
1229
01:02:54.555 --> 01:02:59.395
before the final deadline so that we get an opportunity to
1230
01:02:59.985 --> 01:03:01.875
make sure that it's all included?
1231
01:03:14.895 --> 01:03:16.885
We'll look to do it for the penultimate deadline.
1232
01:03:16.945 --> 01:03:19.365
So I think that that's what our target would be.
1233
01:03:20.005 --> 01:03:22.015
Okay. And I, you, I think you have been updating
1234
01:03:22.455 --> 01:03:24.215
documents as we go along?
1235
01:03:25.245 --> 01:03:26.455
Yeah, that that's correct.
1236
01:03:26.675 --> 01:03:27.775
But there may be some, there may be
1237
01:03:27.775 --> 01:03:28.615
A sweep, yeah. Yeah.
1238
01:03:28.805 --> 01:03:33.205
Okay. Thank you. Okay.
1239
01:03:33.205 --> 01:03:36.485
In that case, we'll move on to, um, schedule 15,
1240
01:03:36.485 --> 01:03:37.845
which is protected provisions.
1241
01:03:39.465 --> 01:03:44.455
```

And this is about explanations for any differences of view
1242
01:03:44.475 --> 01:03:45.935
and timescales for resolution.
1243
01:03:46.985 --> 01:03:50.685
Um, I think it'd be useful maybe to start with, uh,
1244
01:03:51.085 --> 01:03:52.525
national Highways, um,
1245
01:03:58.575 --> 01:04:00.515
if that's, if that suits the applicant
1246
01:04:00.575 --> 01:04:01.595
and National Highways.
1247
01:04:03.165 --> 01:04:07.615
Certainly, sir. I think, um, since, since the, uh,
1248
01:04:07.725 --> 01:04:10.015
last issue specific hearing, the applicant's had, uh,
1249
01:04:10.015 --> 01:04:11.695
several meetings with National Highways in order
1250
01:04:11.695 --> 01:04:13.135
to progress those protected provisions.
1251
01:04:13.595 --> 01:04:18.255
Um, their largely agreed safe, safe, safe
1252
01:04:18.255 --> 01:04:21.675
for one point, um, which is unlikely to be agreed.
1253
01:04:22.655 --> 01:04:27.445
And, and, and that relates to, uh, provisions around, uh,
1254
01:04:28.305 --> 01:04:32.925
the, uh, exercise of, uh, compulsory acquisition, um, uh,

```
1255
01:04:33.185 --> 01:04:36.565
powers, uh, without the consent of, of,
1256
01:04:36.585 --> 01:04:40.645
of National Highways, uh, you would've seen, um, I think,
1257
01:04:40.905 --> 01:04:44.125
uh, submissions from both, uh, the applicant
1258
01:04:44.225 --> 01:04:48.605
and National Highways relating to, um, uh, the case
1259
01:04:48.665 --> 01:04:52.165
for the acquisition of, of, of the freehold acquisition of,
1260
01:04:52.345 --> 01:04:56.965
of, of Subsoil, um, under, uh, under, uh, uh,
1261
01:04:57.155 --> 01:04:58.885
well under, under the Strategic Road Network
1262
01:04:58.885 --> 01:05:01.205
that's in the ownership of, of, of National Highways.
1263
01:05:01.665 --> 01:05:05.125
Um, I don't think, um, either party is probably going to
1264
01:05:05.675 --> 01:05:07.325
move, uh, from those positions.
1265
01:05:07.865 --> 01:05:12.485
Uh, I understand we may get further clarity shortly through,
1266
01:05:12.865 --> 01:05:16.885
uh, a, a decision on the high net project, which we may wish
1267
01:05:16.885 --> 01:05:18.475
to make further submissions on.
1268
01:05:18.835 --> 01:05:20.875
```

```
I think that's due our next week, sir.
1269
01:05:22.715 --> 01:05:26.715
Okay. So just so I understand it, there's a set
1270
01:05:26.715 --> 01:05:30.255
of protected provisions and effectively, uh,
1271
01:05:30.615 --> 01:05:33.935
national Highways wants to remove certain words from it.
1272
01:05:33.935 --> 01:05:37.055
Is that the, will that be the crux of it?
1273
01:05:37.055 --> 01:05:39.615
Will, will, will the, if you are not going to come to a,
1274
01:05:39.635 --> 01:05:43.555
an agreement, um, are you intending to provide the
1275
01:05:44.515 --> 01:05:48.255
examining authority with your recommended set
1276
01:05:48.255 --> 01:05:49.535
of protected provisions
1277
01:05:49.595 --> 01:05:52.335
or maybe a track change version
1278
01:05:52.475 --> 01:05:54.885
of the applicant's?
1279
01:05:57.755 --> 01:05:59.105
Sorry, is that direction? Sorry.
1280
01:05:59.535 --> 01:06:02.025
It's Swimmer Marshall. Thank you.
1281
01:06:02.555 --> 01:06:03.705
Sorry. Good afternoon, sir.
```

```
1282
01:06:03.705 --> 01:06:05.385
Sorry, I thought you were directing that at the,
1283
01:06:05.485 --> 01:06:08.985
at the applicant Sarah Marshall for National Highways.
1284
01:06:09.445 --> 01:06:10.825
Um, thank you, sir. Yes.
1285
01:06:10.965 --> 01:06:13.945
So it is, um, paragraph four, article
1286
01:06:14.855 --> 01:06:19.385
16 in the latest, um, draft ECO.
1287
01:06:20.845 --> 01:06:23.945
We did not agree with the applicant's wording.
1288
01:06:24.075 --> 01:06:28.305
There is a paragraph that when, when we, we last met
1289
01:06:28.975 --> 01:06:32.185
that we said we would want included, now we,
1290
01:06:32.235 --> 01:06:36.025
we've had a similar paragraph, um, that was accepted
1291
01:06:36.025 --> 01:06:38.265
by the Secretary of State on the Med Worth decision.
1292
01:06:38.725 --> 01:06:41.105
Um, I'm, I'm happy
1293
01:06:41.285 --> 01:06:44.705
to forward the Med Worth decision if it would help the
1294
01:06:44.865 --> 01:06:46.505
examining authority with that wording.
1295
01:06:47.425 --> 01:06:50.345
```

I would, I'm also happy to submit the protective provisions
1296
01:06:50.345 --> 01:06:53.785
with that paragraph or submit that paragraph on its own.
1297
01:06:54.205 --> 01:06:56.305
So it is clear. There's only one paragraph
1298
01:06:56.305 --> 01:06:59.345
that is in dispute on the, this concerning acquisition.
1299
01:07:00.085 --> 01:07:02.705
Um, I don I don't think the parties,
1300
01:07:02.845 --> 01:07:04.145
we have made many attempts.
1301
01:07:04.225 --> 01:07:08.265
I don't think the parties are expected to, to agree.
1302
01:07:08.725 --> 01:07:12.585
Um, the other point we have raised the issue of nwe,
1303
01:07:12.685 --> 01:07:15.185
and again, national Highways used that the,
1304
01:07:15.205 --> 01:07:17.345
the applicant is a ary, undertaker,
1305
01:07:17.485 --> 01:07:21.905
and ware rights apply to beyond the, um,
1306
01:07:22.915 --> 01:07:24.065
zoner operation of the highway.
1307
01:07:24.245 --> 01:07:27.625
So they, they apply even to the subsoil.
1308
01:07:27.925 --> 01:07:31.105
Um, and we have obtained a Casey opinion

```
1309
01:07:31.215 --> 01:07:34.145
that opinion was submitted for the high net DCO.
1310
01:07:34.805 --> 01:07:38.945
Um, and I, I was proposing to submit that opinion,
1311
01:07:39.645 --> 01:07:42.225
um, for the next deadline for this, for this,
1312
01:07:42.445 --> 01:07:43.625
for this DCO, sir.
1313
01:07:43.915 --> 01:07:44.915
Thank you.
1314
01:07:46.415 --> 01:07:48.505
Okay. Thank you. I think it would be useful if you could
1315
01:07:48.505 --> 01:07:50.985
take the, so the applicant's sector provisions are
1316
01:07:51.295 --> 01:07:53.945
effectively what you'd like to see in the DCO.
1317
01:07:54.645 --> 01:07:57.665
If you could take those and track change
1318
01:07:57.965 --> 01:08:01.745
or say the, you know, set out the wording
1319
01:08:01.745 --> 01:08:03.625
that you would want to see in those, then
1320
01:08:04.385 --> 01:08:06.005
it sounds like it's going to be up to the
1321
01:08:06.805 --> 01:08:08.525
examining authority to make a decision on that
1322
01:08:09.305 --> 01:08:10.805
```

```
or recommendation on that.
1323
01:08:11.465 --> 01:08:15.720
Um, what's wrong with the med, what's, what's wrong
1324
01:08:15.720 --> 01:08:17.305
with using the med with the same med
1325
01:08:17.305 --> 01:08:19.825
with protected provisions, which has just been,
1326
01:08:20.245 --> 01:08:22.305
um, approved by the Secretary of State?
1327
01:08:22.825 --> 01:08:25.665
'cause I think they basically reiterate
1328
01:08:25.735 --> 01:08:28.465
what National Highways are requesting, don't they?
1329
01:08:29.255 --> 01:08:31.465
Well, I mean, I, I I think I'd have
1330
01:08:31.465 --> 01:08:34.745
to re review those points in, in, in more detail, sir.
1331
01:08:34.745 --> 01:08:38.065
But, but, but fundamentally, uh, the applicant's view is
1332
01:08:38.065 --> 01:08:40.185
that the acquisition or the freehold acquisition
1333
01:08:40.185 --> 01:08:44.785
of a subsoil interest at some 20 meters, uh, below, um,
1334
01:08:45.085 --> 01:08:49.225
uh, below the highway, uh, is not something which is, uh,
1335
01:08:49.365 --> 01:08:54.145
to the detriment of, uh, national Highway's undertaking, um,
```

```
1336
01:08:54.485 --> 01:08:57.145
and is necessary, uh, in order
1337
01:08:57.205 --> 01:08:59.185
to adequately protect the asset,
1338
01:08:59.195 --> 01:09:01.945
which is a significant asset in, uh, in,
1339
01:09:01.965 --> 01:09:03.065
in the transfer tunnel.
1340
01:09:03.725 --> 01:09:06.305
And, uh, the applicant requires the comfort
1341
01:09:06.335 --> 01:09:09.905
that it can exercise its powers, uh, in order to acquire,
1342
01:09:10.285 --> 01:09:12.505
uh, uh, that interest for those purposes.
1343
01:09:13.005 --> 01:09:15.625
So did Med have any compulsory acquisition of
1344
01:09:17.065 --> 01:09:20.185
National Highways land specifically on the, on the
1345
01:09:21.395 --> 01:09:22.445
land plans, for example?
1346
01:09:23.185 --> 01:09:24.925
Uh, I'd have to look at that in order to be able
1347
01:09:24.925 --> 01:09:26.245
to comment fully on it, so I haven't done that.
1348
01:09:28.345 --> 01:09:30.955
Okay. So in terms of National Highways, we'll,
1349
01:09:30.955 --> 01:09:34.395
```

```
we'll put an action point for you to, um, submit
1350
01:09:35.115 --> 01:09:38.085
your preferred protected provisions by the,
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01:09:38.345 --> 01:09:39.725
by the next deadline in that case.
1352
01:09:41.205 --> 01:09:44.025
And then as the ex examining authority, we'll have
1353
01:09:44.025 --> 01:09:45.305
to look at the evidence and consider,
1354
01:09:45.305 --> 01:09:49.875
which we think would be the wants to go with. Uh,
1355
01:09:50.215 --> 01:09:52.875
Yes, I think, I think that's probably, uh, uh, right, sir.
1356
01:09:53.095 --> 01:09:56.235
Uh, I'm, I'm conscious Ms. Marshall also, um, referred to,
1357
01:09:56.495 --> 01:09:59.875
uh, a legal opinion, um, which she may wish
1358
01:09:59.875 --> 01:10:01.795
to rely on clearly, if that could come in
1359
01:10:01.895 --> 01:10:04.195
and we could then have the opportunity to respond to it.
1360
01:10:06.395 --> 01:10:09.985
Okay. Thank you. Um,
1361
01:10:11.175 --> 01:10:12.955
Ms. Marshall, did you want to add anything else?
1362
01:10:14.345 --> 01:10:16.155
Yeah, thank you, sir. Sarah Marshall
```

```
1363
01:10:16.155 --> 01:10:20.555
for National Highways, um, there was a,
1364
01:10:20.815 --> 01:10:21.955
an incident that occurred
1365
01:10:22.055 --> 01:10:24.315
and it was a, a freight train that derailed
1366
01:10:24.775 --> 01:10:28.155
and that was arising from tunneling under the, under,
1367
01:10:28.285 --> 01:10:29.755
under a road, under the railway.
1368
01:10:30.255 --> 01:10:34.355
And there was, um, land, land heave.
1369
01:10:34.455 --> 01:10:36.595
Anyway, there, there's a formal report on it
1370
01:10:36.595 --> 01:10:39.675
that was submitted for the high net DCO.
1371
01:10:40.015 --> 01:10:42.795
Um, and, and the reason it was submitted is it is
1372
01:10:43.195 --> 01:10:46.075
demonstrates the risk if you have tunneling under a road
1373
01:10:46.135 --> 01:10:50.915
or under a railway, um, of, of changes in land due
1374
01:10:50.915 --> 01:10:52.235
to weather, climate, whatever.
1375
01:10:52.615 --> 01:10:56.075
But there is a, a risk, even if the tunnel,
1376
01:10:56.415 --> 01:10:59.115
```

```
the service tunnel is 20 meters under,
1377
01:10:59.115 --> 01:11:02.995
there is still a potential risk to the, to the SRN.
1378
01:11:03.375 --> 01:11:07.475
I'm happy to submit that formal report at the next deadline.
1379
01:11:07.535 --> 01:11:11.035
So along with, um, along with the EKC opinion on ns, well,
1380
01:11:11.345 --> 01:11:12.755
Okay, yeah, I understand that.
1381
01:11:13.025 --> 01:11:17.485
But doesn't, they don't, the protector provisions explain
1382
01:11:17.505 --> 01:11:20.965
how the work would be done, whereas anything to
1383
01:11:20.965 --> 01:11:24.985
with land ownership is, is doesn't, does that relate
1384
01:11:25.005 --> 01:11:26.625
to safety issues?
1385
01:11:26.625 --> 01:11:28.425
Isn't that just a legal thing rather than,
1386
01:11:29.005 --> 01:11:31.865
so when the protector provisions indicate how
1387
01:11:32.045 --> 01:11:33.385
and to your satisfaction
1388
01:11:33.485 --> 01:11:35.105
how the works would actually proceed?
1389
01:11:37.165 --> 01:11:41.025
Yes, sir. Um, I, the, the report was just to demonstrate,
```

```
1390
01:11:41.205 --> 01:11:43.225
to demonstrate the risks, but you, as you said,
1391
01:11:43.225 --> 01:11:44.785
you understand those, those risks.
1392
01:11:45.165 --> 01:11:49.065
Um, as we've ex explained at the, the previous hearing, um,
1393
01:11:49.885 --> 01:11:53.585
the, the difficulty for
1394
01:11:54.505 --> 01:11:59.465
National Highways is once you have works being
1395
01:11:59.465 --> 01:12:04.145
undertaken under the srn, so
1396
01:12:04.205 --> 01:12:05.745
for once those works are completed,
1397
01:12:05.745 --> 01:12:07.625
and those works will need to be completed according
1398
01:12:07.625 --> 01:12:08.745
to the protected provisions.
1399
01:12:08.745 --> 01:12:12.425
And of course, the important paragraph in the protected
1400
01:12:12.425 --> 01:12:14.705
provisions is the, is the paragraph that isn't agreed.
1401
01:12:15.525 --> 01:12:19.745
Um, paragraph 16, which, yeah, so, but
1402
01:12:19.745 --> 01:12:20.945
You are s are you satisfied in
1403
01:12:20.965 --> 01:12:21.905
```

The way that they, if that paragraph was
1404
01:12:21.905 --> 01:12:22.905
agreed? Sorry, sir.
1405
01:12:23.345 --> 01:12:25.175
Sorry. I was gonna, are you satisfied in the way that,
1406
01:12:25.595 --> 01:12:28.415
so I know you don't, you don't, you, you, you don't want 'em
1407
01:12:28.415 --> 01:12:30.935
to own the land, but are you satisfied in the way
1408
01:12:30.935 --> 01:12:33.855
that they're proposing through the protective provisions
1409
01:12:33.855 --> 01:12:35.575
to actually undertake or, and
1410
01:12:35.575 --> 01:12:38.015
or the parts of the application in order
1411
01:12:38.015 --> 01:12:39.335
to actually undertake those works?
1412
01:12:41.915 --> 01:12:45.415
Yes, sir. Can I bring in my colleague Andrew Rosman
1413
01:12:45.515 --> 01:12:47.195
to provide comment?
1414
01:12:47.615 --> 01:12:48.615
Um,
1415
01:12:53.145 --> 01:12:54.195
Good afternoon, sir.
1416
01:12:54.255 --> 01:12:58.155
Andrew Rosman, national Highways, um, when it comes down

1417
01:12:58.215 --> 01:13:02.995
to the service tunnel under the A 14, uh, we will have
1418
01:13:02.995 --> 01:13:06.195
to go through a geotechnical check of it
1419
01:13:06.415 --> 01:13:07.995
by our geotechnical team,
1420
01:13:08.775 --> 01:13:12.475
and we will have to monitor the surface of the carriageway
1421
01:13:13.095 --> 01:13:14.675
before, during, and
1422
01:13:14.675 --> 01:13:17.515
after the service tunnel is completed
1423
01:13:18.055 --> 01:13:22.595
to ensure no settlement or heave occurs on that carriageway
1424
01:13:22.975 --> 01:13:26.195
and therefore a risk and liability to National Highways.
1425
01:13:27.735 --> 01:13:29.275
So yes, that's all well and good,
1426
01:13:29.295 --> 01:13:31.155
but what does the legal ownership of
1427
01:13:31.315 --> 01:13:35.515
that land 20 meters down, um, how does that implicate
1428
01:13:36.135 --> 01:13:37.155
you being able to do that?
1429
01:13:38.095 --> 01:13:40.475
Um, Probably that's just a legal issue rather than
1430
01:13:41.375 --> 01:13:45.145

```
one which would affect the operation of the road, or,
1431
01:13:45.735 --> 01:13:47.625
Well, if there is any settlement
1432
01:13:47.645 --> 01:13:52.465
or heave over a certain, uh, recognized level of, uh,
1433
01:13:52.665 --> 01:13:55.745
a matter of probably no more than 15 millimeters,
1434
01:13:56.295 --> 01:13:59.505
that could have a detrimental effect on the traffic
1435
01:13:59.575 --> 01:14:03.105
traveling on that carriageway and could cause an accident.
1436
01:14:04.285 --> 01:14:07.905
Yes. But whether the applicant owns the land,
1437
01:14:07.925 --> 01:14:11.315
the pipe would be going through at what, 18 meters
1438
01:14:11.315 --> 01:14:15.215
below ground level, that's an ownership issue.
1439
01:14:15.555 --> 01:14:19.095
The protector provisions would be the area
1440
01:14:19.105 --> 01:14:22.255
where your concerns there would be dealt with, aren't they?
1441
01:14:26.005 --> 01:14:29.545
So Sarah Marshall National Highways, it would,
1442
01:14:30.445 --> 01:14:34.225
the ownership of the, if in future, again,
1443
01:14:34.905 --> 01:14:37.555
national Highways have to look many years forward,
```

```
1444
01:14:38.455 --> 01:14:41.515
if there were improvements, um,
1445
01:14:42.695 --> 01:14:47.085
works been undertaken to the A 14, we don't know what's,
1446
01:14:47.835 --> 01:14:49.845
what type of works would be required.
1447
01:14:51.225 --> 01:14:55.205
But if we, if National Highways did not own the subsoil,
1448
01:14:57.085 --> 01:15:00.545
we are restricted in what improvements can be undertaken
1449
01:15:00.645 --> 01:15:01.705
to the, to the road.
1450
01:15:01.805 --> 01:15:04.905
We have to go through a, a third party.
1451
01:15:05.885 --> 01:15:10.475
Um, I did refer in our deadline five submissions
1452
01:15:10.615 --> 01:15:14.675
to the fact that, um, subsoil of the SRN is
1453
01:15:15.255 --> 01:15:17.355
in the vast majority was Crown Land.
1454
01:15:18.135 --> 01:15:20.555
And for some reason this was submitted, um,
1455
01:15:20.865 --> 01:15:23.555
when National Highways became a, a company,
1456
01:15:23.775 --> 01:15:24.955
um, within Highways England.
1457
01:15:25.455 --> 01:15:30.065
```

```
Um, and it is likely,
1458
01:15:30.425 --> 01:15:34.225
I expect, it's likely that, um, the government may look
1459
01:15:34.405 --> 01:15:36.785
to making a subsoil of
1460
01:15:37.695 --> 01:15:39.595
the SRN Crown Land again,
1461
01:15:40.305 --> 01:15:42.835
because there are, it is a different landscape now.
1462
01:15:42.835 --> 01:15:44.635
There are many third party dcos
1463
01:15:44.705 --> 01:15:47.795
that impact the SRN. So thank you.
1464
01:15:48.505 --> 01:15:49.515
Okay, thank you. Um,
1465
01:15:49.935 --> 01:15:51.395
I'm not sure we're going to get any further on this.
1466
01:15:51.415 --> 01:15:53.915
So would the applicant just like to respond very briefly?
1467
01:15:54.615 --> 01:15:56.835
Uh, well, I think a lot of that speculation, sir,
1468
01:15:56.835 --> 01:15:59.835
but I mean, uh, the, the point is,
1469
01:15:59.835 --> 01:16:01.115
and I think, I think you are, you,
1470
01:16:01.175 --> 01:16:04.355
you you're absolutely identified it that all of these things
```

```
1471
01:16:05.055 --> 01:16:08.195
and nothing to do with land ownership of the subsoil strata
1472
01:16:08.915 --> 01:16:11.475
19 meters, }19\mathrm{ meters under the ground and,
1473
01:16:11.495 --> 01:16:13.595
and the kind of things that we are seeing, which is
1474
01:16:13.595 --> 01:16:16.475
what worries the, uh, the applicant in, uh,
1475
01:16:16.795 --> 01:16:19.515
national Highway's, previous responses, a reference to lift
1476
01:16:19.515 --> 01:16:20.555
and shift provisions
1477
01:16:20.555 --> 01:16:23.355
and things like that, which are entirely inappropriate
1478
01:16:23.415 --> 01:16:25.115
for this piece of vital infrastructure.
1479
01:16:25.535 --> 01:16:30.315
So that's why, uh, the applicant wants, uh, uh, a freehold
1480
01:16:30.315 --> 01:16:32.555
of the subs Strat in order to provide, to provide
1481
01:16:32.555 --> 01:16:33.635
that asset protection.
1482
01:16:36.725 --> 01:16:39.055
Okay, thank you. Um, I'm going to move on then to
1483
01:16:39.965 --> 01:16:41.975
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited.
1484
01:16:42.335 --> 01:16:45.755
```

I, I don't have we got anyone from Network Rail?
1485
01:16:46.745 --> 01:16:47.385
I don't think we do.
1486
01:16:54.105 --> 01:16:56.895
Maybe the applicant then could give us an update on, I mean,
1487
01:16:56.895 --> 01:16:59.455
it didn't sound like, it sounds like you were fairly close
1488
01:17:00.455 --> 01:17:01.335
I i, To agreeing
1489
01:17:01.335 --> 01:17:02.895
protective provisions with Network Rail. I,
1490
01:17:02.935 --> 01:17:04.255
I, I, I I think, I think so.
1491
01:17:04.275 --> 01:17:06.095
So I mean, the dis discussions were ongoing.
1492
01:17:06.395 --> 01:17:10.685
Um, the extent of difference between, uh, uh, the applicant
1493
01:17:10.685 --> 01:17:12.285
and Network Rail is really related
1494
01:17:12.425 --> 01:17:15.045
to aligning what's in the protected provisions
1495
01:17:15.385 --> 01:17:17.685
to what's in the asset protection agreement,
1496
01:17:17.695 --> 01:17:20.405
which the applicant has already completed with Network Rail.
1497
01:17:20.545 --> 01:17:23.245
So it's, it's fairly, you know, minor stuff,

```
1498
01:17:23.245 --> 01:17:25.965
which should be, should be able to be, uh, rectified within,
1499
01:17:25.965 --> 01:17:27.045
within the examination.
1500
01:17:27.395 --> 01:17:31.765
Okay, thank you. Um, in that case came to counter counsel,
1501
01:17:32.225 --> 01:17:33.805
I'm hoping you're gonna tell me that everything's agreed
1502
01:17:33.805 --> 01:17:35.165
and we don't have to worry about it anymore.
1503
01:17:35.775 --> 01:17:39.845
We're nearly there, sir. Excellent. Um, uh, but, but Mr.
1504
01:17:40.015 --> 01:17:43.245
Kafer perhaps should, should just, uh, confirm his view. Um,
1505
01:17:45.315 --> 01:17:49.455
Yes, we, we are, uh, in dialogue, um, with the applicants
1506
01:17:49.455 --> 01:17:51.055
and progressing matters, um,
1507
01:17:51.515 --> 01:17:55.175
and uh, hopefully we'll be able to report positively, um,
1508
01:17:55.515 --> 01:17:56.815
at the next deadline, hopefully.
1509
01:17:57.445 --> 01:17:59.535
Okay. Okay, that's good.
1510
01:17:59.715 --> 01:18:02.215
And so just on that point, does
1511
01:18:02.215 --> 01:18:04.175
```

```
that include your concerns around the bridal way?
1512
01:18:06.805 --> 01:18:11.265
Um, it in relation to the, um, it had been
1513
01:18:11.905 --> 01:18:13.145
proposed to be permissive well,
1514
01:18:13.665 --> 01:18:14.665
Previously? Yeah, I think you mentioned
1515
01:18:14.665 --> 01:18:16.105
you need protective provisions
1516
01:18:16.105 --> 01:18:18.705
for the bridal way and you wanted
1517
01:18:18.705 --> 01:18:21.465
that written into the protected provisions rather than just
1518
01:18:21.485 --> 01:18:23.545
the talking about the local highway network.
1519
01:18:26.965 --> 01:18:30.505
Yes, by my understanding is the bridal way is now to be, um,
1520
01:18:31.305 --> 01:18:32.365
public right of way.
1521
01:18:32.985 --> 01:18:36.315
That's the latest position. Yes.
1522
01:18:36.375 --> 01:18:39.905
But are you not
1523
01:18:39.965 --> 01:18:41.665
so concerned about the protected
1524
01:18:41.665 --> 01:18:42.705
provisions for the bridal away?
```

1525
01:18:42.865 --> 01:18:44.865
I wasn't too sure why you needed them in the first place,
1526
01:18:45.925 --> 01:18:47.505
but maybe you can explain if you are
1527
01:18:47.505 --> 01:18:48.825
still concerned about that.
1528
01:18:49.605 --> 01:18:52.185
Um, I might have to check with my colleagues on that and,
1529
01:18:52.365 --> 01:18:53.625
and maybe confirm back to you.
1530
01:18:54.945 --> 01:18:56.995
Okay, thanks. So we'll wait till the next deadline
1531
01:18:56.995 --> 01:18:58.955
to have an update on that, in that case.
1532
01:19:01.285 --> 01:19:03.185
Um, and then finally, on protective provisions,
1533
01:19:03.285 --> 01:19:06.865
the conservators of the river cam, um,
1534
01:19:07.055 --> 01:19:08.665
some protective provisions were
1535
01:19:08.905 --> 01:19:10.665
provided from the conservators
1536
01:19:11.485 --> 01:19:14.785
and from what I've seen, the applicant appears to be open
1537
01:19:14.785 --> 01:19:19.105
to the inclusion of most of those suggestions with maybe
1538
01:19:20.995 --> 01:19:22.855
the exception of some
1539
01:19:22.855 --> 01:19:24.575
of the articles we refer to earlier on.
1540
01:19:25.155 --> 01:19:28.255
Um, but include, but about the costs
1541
01:19:28.275 --> 01:19:30.015
and expenses, you seem to be fairly open
1542
01:19:30.015 --> 01:19:33.215
to including those in the next DCO, is that correct?
1543
01:19:34.315 --> 01:19:37.175
Uh, certainly Sarah, I think there's, I think there's a,
1544
01:19:37.295 --> 01:19:39.895
a way through to resolving this as, as we indicated earlier,
1545
01:19:40.195 --> 01:19:42.295
um, amended protected provisions were, uh,
1546
01:19:44.475 --> 01:19:46.695
we we're included in in mid-February,
1547
01:19:46.695 --> 01:19:49.215
and we responded some further questions, uh, earlier,
1548
01:19:49.215 --> 01:19:52.095
earlier this week, and we got a meeting in, in,
1549
01:19:52.155 --> 01:19:53.935
in the process of being arranged in order
1550
01:19:53.995 --> 01:19:56.375
to hopefully resolve those outstanding issues.
1551
01:19:56.395 --> 01:19:57.255
The, the applicant

```
1552
01:19:57.255 --> 01:19:58.815
remains positive that they will be resolved.
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01:19:59.525 --> 01:20:00.735
Okay. So maybe it's worth,
1554
01:20:00.805 --> 01:20:04.015
it's worth leaving this till the next deadline if you have a
1555
01:20:04.015 --> 01:20:06.015
meeting, um, in place
1556
01:20:06.625 --> 01:20:08.405
where you can discuss these matters further
1557
01:20:08.585 --> 01:20:10.445
and hopefully come to some kind of agreement.
1558
01:20:11.665 --> 01:20:12.685
Um, unless there's anything
1559
01:20:12.685 --> 01:20:14.725
that the conservators just wish to add at this point,
1560
01:20:17.175 --> 01:20:18.175
Um, good afternoon.
1561
01:20:18.255 --> 01:20:21.955
Um, Han Cleary for the Conservancy, um, I think that's a,
1562
01:20:22.315 --> 01:20:24.755
a summary of the appropriate summary of the situation.
1563
01:20:24.805 --> 01:20:28.315
We've got very fluid ongoing discussions at the moment
1564
01:20:28.575 --> 01:20:32.395
and it would be really inappropriate for us to, to, to say
1565
01:20:32.395 --> 01:20:33.995
```

what, what the details are.
1566
01:20:34.695 --> 01:20:37.795
We would hope that we would be able to reach a, a conclusion
1567
01:20:37.855 --> 01:20:40.195
to this, um, for the next meeting.
1568
01:20:41.405 --> 01:20:45.495
Okay. Thank you for that. Um, and then one other point.
1569
01:20:45.795 --> 01:20:48.855
So can the applicant please confirm it'll update the DCR at
1570
01:20:48.855 --> 01:20:50.335
the final deadline to ensure that
1571
01:20:51.585 --> 01:20:54.895
protective provision paragraph numbers run consecutively
1572
01:20:55.355 --> 01:20:57.935
as per the guidance at the moment?
1573
01:20:57.995 --> 01:21:01.945
You have, have them starting at number one again,
1574
01:21:02.045 --> 01:21:04.865
but the guidance says to run consecutively.
1575
01:21:09.295 --> 01:21:10.395
Yes, we can do that, Sir. Okay.
1576
01:21:10.395 --> 01:21:12.875
And just in that case, bear in mind that some
1577
01:21:13.255 --> 01:21:15.435
of the protected provisions at the moment refer to
1578
01:21:16.575 --> 01:21:20.415
say paragraph 10 C within that, so that would need

```
1579
01:21:20.415 --> 01:21:24.155
to be changed to probably, I dunno, whatever it is, 90 C
1580
01:21:24.335 --> 01:21:26.875
or so, if you could just do a run through
1581
01:21:26.875 --> 01:21:28.715
and a check of that as well, please. Uh,
1582
01:21:28.795 --> 01:21:30.075
A absolutely, sir, that's understood.
1583
01:21:30.075 --> 01:21:32.755
Ob obviously these, the reason why it's done like it is at
1584
01:21:32.755 --> 01:21:34.835
the moment is because these are all negotiated separately
1585
01:21:34.855 --> 01:21:37.275
and, and we'll consolidate it all in the final version.
1586
01:21:37.385 --> 01:21:42.215
Okay, thank you. And then, um,
1587
01:21:42.415 --> 01:21:44.895
a quick update on the contents, licenses and agreements.
1588
01:21:45.115 --> 01:21:46.495
The two section one oh sixes,
1589
01:21:47.965 --> 01:21:49.905
can you just gimme a brief update on where they're at?
1590
01:21:50.205 --> 01:21:52.105
Uh, it's now one section 1 0 6, sir.
1591
01:21:52.325 --> 01:21:57.105
Um, uh, which will, uh, deal with, uh, uh, a, a number
1592
01:21:57.105 --> 01:22:01.355
```

of measures if I can just, uh, uh, uh, turn those up.
1593
01:22:01.815 --> 01:22:05.955
Um, I think there is, uh, uh, a, a contribution towards, um,
1594
01:22:06.255 --> 01:22:09.595
uh, potential traffic regulation order in the event of,
1595
01:22:09.775 --> 01:22:10.795
uh, nuisance parking.
1596
01:22:12.255 --> 01:22:16.795
Um, there is, um, uh, a payment in relation to,
1597
01:22:17.295 --> 01:22:21.275
uh, safety and, and of equestrian users.
1598
01:22:22.615 --> 01:22:26.675
Um, there's, uh, proposed contributions towards
1599
01:22:27.405 --> 01:22:30.395
addressing recreational pressor on the Triple SI.
1600
01:22:30.815 --> 01:22:33.635
Um, I think that might come up in the next, uh, sorry,
1601
01:22:33.735 --> 01:22:34.915
in the agenda item four.
1602
01:22:34.975 --> 01:22:38.875
So potentially any detail around that I suggest we pick up,
1603
01:22:38.975 --> 01:22:40.435
uh, uh, pick up at that point if you,
1604
01:22:40.435 --> 01:22:41.475
if, if you need to, sir.
1605
01:22:43.185 --> 01:22:44.965
So which is the one that's been, are you going

1606
01:22:44.965 --> 01:22:47.125
to withdraw one of them or how, how would that work?
1607
01:22:47.185 --> 01:22:50.085
Uh, it, well, I I I think simply we won't complete one
1608
01:22:50.085 --> 01:22:51.365
of them, sir, and they won't be submitted.
1609
01:22:51.485 --> 01:22:54.045
I mean, it, it was the one that was effectively relating
1610
01:22:54.105 --> 01:22:56.405
to the bridal away being permissive.
1611
01:22:56.405 --> 01:23:00.085
And of course that's now not, uh, that's now not the case,
1612
01:23:00.865 --> 01:23:02.565
um, because it will be a public right of way.
1613
01:23:05.455 --> 01:23:06.755
One was for parking, one was
1614
01:23:06.755 --> 01:23:08.755
for antisocial behavior, wasn't it?
1615
01:23:09.295 --> 01:23:12.435
Uh, in, in, in Indeed, indeed Soso,
1616
01:23:12.435 --> 01:23:15.515
but that was, that was linked to Bri away being
1617
01:23:16.195 --> 01:23:17.275
a permissive bridal away.
1618
01:23:17.745 --> 01:23:21.675
It's not something that, um, uh, is, it needs to be dealt
1619
01:23:21.675 --> 01:23:22.715
with now that it's a public.
1620
01:23:23.545 --> 01:23:26.275
Okay. Could we, will you explain all of this in the,
1621
01:23:26.275 --> 01:23:27.515
your next submissions in that case?
1622
01:23:27.735 --> 01:23:31.035
Uh, yes, we can do so. And with the, the equestrian,
1623
01:23:31.575 --> 01:23:34.595
was that to do with sign, uh, contribution of signage?
1624
01:23:38.705 --> 01:23:42.125
It was also an equestrian signage contribution in one
1625
01:23:42.125 --> 01:23:44.085
of the proposed section one $X$ six agreement?
1626
01:23:44.665 --> 01:23:47.965
Yes. Is that still in there? Yes, it is.
1627
01:23:48.345 --> 01:23:52.245
And will, this is the point we've got down for the, um,
1628
01:23:52.645 --> 01:23:57.125
I think for the community item on the agenda, um, some
1629
01:23:57.125 --> 01:23:59.805
of the application documentation hasn't quite caught up
1630
01:24:00.515 --> 01:24:02.445
with, um, the bridal way.
1631
01:24:02.605 --> 01:24:06.405
I think some of it still describes it as being, um,
1632
01:24:06.405 --> 01:24:10.205
permissive or, you know, there's some doubt in there

1633
01:24:10.305 --> 01:24:13.365
and I wonder whether it's worth looking back over the
1634
01:24:13.605 --> 01:24:15.285
documentation to make sure that it's,
1635
01:24:15.715 --> 01:24:17.005
it's a hundred percent clear
1636
01:24:18.955 --> 01:24:22.005
that it will be, uh, PROW.
1637
01:24:22.175 --> 01:24:23.175
Thank you.
1638
01:24:24.715 --> 01:24:27.645
Okay, thank you. And then there were some permits
1639
01:24:27.645 --> 01:24:32.325
that were expected to have been duly made in December 23,
1640
01:24:32.785 --> 01:24:34.765
for example, industrial emissions
1641
01:24:34.985 --> 01:24:37.445
and medium combustion permit.
1642
01:24:38.105 --> 01:24:42.675
Um, also, I think you said it ish three,
1643
01:24:43.465 --> 01:24:45.235
that there be a water abstraction license
1644
01:24:45.375 --> 01:24:48.555
and water stream pouting license submitted by Deadline five,
1645
01:24:49.255 --> 01:24:50.275
but I don't think we saw that.
1646
01:24:50.455 --> 01:24:52.795

Oh, wait, sorry, I don't, we don't know if that happened.
1647
01:24:58.535 --> 01:24:59.745
Certainly. So I'll, I'll,
1648
01:24:59.745 --> 01:25:01.825
I'll give you some headline points and then Sure.
1649
01:25:01.925 --> 01:25:05.465
Taylor can, uh, uh, answer in terms of, uh, the detail.
1650
01:25:05.885 --> 01:25:10.545
Um, the IID, the IED permit, um, is
1651
01:25:11.425 --> 01:25:15.825
enhanced pre-app, um, understand no, uh, specific issues
1652
01:25:16.245 --> 01:25:20.185
of have been raised, uh, in relation, uh, to those.
1653
01:25:20.185 --> 01:25:22.305
And it's going through, uh, it's going through the process
1654
01:25:22.845 --> 01:25:24.345
in terms of the various sort
1655
01:25:24.345 --> 01:25:26.505
of construction related permits.
1656
01:25:26.805 --> 01:25:29.585
Uh, again, those, uh, submissions have been made on an,
1657
01:25:29.585 --> 01:25:31.905
on an early basis on a sort of best estimate basis.
1658
01:25:32.165 --> 01:25:36.145
And, um, uh, again, we're no issues have,
1659
01:25:36.145 --> 01:25:38.745
have been raised in respect of, in respect of those

1660
01:25:43.465 --> 01:25:46.655
Water discharge permit is that one well,
1661
01:25:46.875 --> 01:25:48.855
So good morning, um, Catherine TE for the applicant
1662
01:25:49.275 --> 01:25:51.335
that's now been submitted to the Environment Agency.
1663
01:25:51.525 --> 01:25:54.695
Okay, thank you. And can I just ask, um,
1664
01:25:54.715 --> 01:25:57.345
the Environment Agency, Mr.
1665
01:25:57.445 --> 01:26:00.665
Ben, if you have aware of any, um,
1666
01:26:02.035 --> 01:26:04.865
major concerns at, at this point in time with regard to any
1667
01:26:04.865 --> 01:26:07.955
of the licenses or consents being applied for?
1668
01:26:08.685 --> 01:26:10.795
Hello sir Neville Ben Environment Agency?
1669
01:26:10.975 --> 01:26:13.795
Um, the applicants have set out what, um,
1670
01:26:13.795 --> 01:26:15.835
the applica the applications that have been made.
1671
01:26:16.295 --> 01:26:17.995
Um, as far as I'm aware there haven't been duly made,
1672
01:26:17.995 --> 01:26:19.955
so there's not been any updates I can provide to you,
1673
01:26:20.015 --> 01:26:22.355
but as far as I know, they're just going through the system.
1674
01:26:24.395 --> 01:26:25.725
Okay. So you're not aware of any
1675
01:26:26.785 --> 01:26:29.605
sub substantive substantive impediments at the moment?
1676
01:26:30.065 --> 01:26:32.005
No, sir. No. Okay. Thank you.
1677
01:26:37.595 --> 01:26:39.885
Yeah. Can you update the consents registered
1678
01:26:39.885 --> 01:26:41.445
by the next deadline as well, please, in that case
1679
01:26:41.445 --> 01:26:43.765
with all the updated details? Uh,
1680
01:26:43.765 --> 01:26:44.765
Will do sir.
1681
01:26:48.025 --> 01:26:51.165
Uh, section 135 of planning Act 2008
1682
01:26:51.165 --> 01:26:55.555
and crown consent, um, are we going
1683
01:26:55.555 --> 01:26:56.795
to get something soon
1684
01:26:56.975 --> 01:26:59.515
and what are the implications if they're not forthcoming
1685
01:26:59.575 --> 01:27:00.875
by the close of the examination?
1686
01:27:01.465 --> 01:27:03.395
Well, we certainly anticipate that, uh,

```
1687
01:27:03.535 --> 01:27:04.555
you will get something soon.
1688
01:27:04.615 --> 01:27:08.115
So, uh, we, we are, we are chasing hard, uh, to, to,
1689
01:27:08.135 --> 01:27:10.275
to get those in terms of the Secretary of State defense.
1690
01:27:10.415 --> 01:27:12.835
We, we are in contact with them
1691
01:27:12.835 --> 01:27:14.035
or in contact with them over,
1692
01:27:14.145 --> 01:27:15.555
over the course of the last week.
1693
01:27:16.055 --> 01:27:17.075
Um, and and
1694
01:27:17.075 --> 01:27:19.235
likewise in respect to the Secretary of State for transport,
1695
01:27:19.235 --> 01:27:24.145
we understand that's, um, uh, that, that sort of is, is
1696
01:27:24.145 --> 01:27:25.385
with the Secretary of State at that level.
1697
01:27:25.385 --> 01:27:27.625
There has been some personnel change in the relevant lawyer,
1698
01:27:27.805 --> 01:27:28.865
uh, within the department,
1699
01:27:28.885 --> 01:27:30.985
but we are, are chasing hard to get those, uh,
1700
01:27:43.175 --> 01:27:44.175
```

Okay. Thank you. Before I move
1701
01:27:44.175 --> 01:27:45.345
on, does anybody have any comments
1702
01:27:45.445 --> 01:27:46.545
on agenda item two?
1703
01:27:48.945 --> 01:27:51.655
Okay, so no hands up and nothing online.
1704
01:27:52.515 --> 01:27:55.985
In that case I'll move on to agenda item three,
1705
01:28:00.675 --> 01:28:04.845
which is agricultural land and soils.
1706
01:28:05.265 --> 01:28:07.685
Um, just bear with me a second. I need to check something.
1707
01:28:43.975 --> 01:28:47.545
Okay, so the first point was clarification around effects on
1708
01:28:48.655 --> 01:28:52.605
farm holding GEO 40, which is Pop hole farm.
1709
01:28:54.615 --> 01:28:57.715
So yes, so just, I'll just, I've got a question first
1710
01:28:57.735 --> 01:28:59.555
and then you can respond.
1711
01:28:59.655 --> 01:29:02.965
So the applicant updated ES chapter six
1712
01:29:04.395 --> 01:29:07.255
at Deadline five and the agricultural impact assessment
1713
01:29:08.555 --> 01:29:13.055
in response to written questions 2.30 .3 and 2.30 .4

```
1714
01:29:13.755 --> 01:29:16.375
and also to accurately reflect the submitted land plans.
1715
01:29:17.155 --> 01:29:21.985
Um, you identify an ES chapter six at paragraph 4.2
1716
01:29:21.985 --> 01:29:26.105
point 12, that there would be a low impact on Geo 40
1717
01:29:27.305 --> 01:29:29.155
from permanent acquisition of land
1718
01:29:29.785 --> 01:29:32.075
with 3.8% affected by this.
1719
01:29:33.175 --> 01:29:36.315
You also report to paragraph 4.2 0.49
1720
01:29:37.455 --> 01:29:39.435
and 4.2 0.62,
1721
01:29:40.065 --> 01:29:43.635
that temporary acquisition would have a low impact with 17%
1722
01:29:43.635 --> 01:29:46.675
of land affected, albeit
1723
01:29:46.675 --> 01:29:48.115
that this would result in a significant
1724
01:29:48.115 --> 01:29:49.555
effect due to disruption.
1725
01:29:52.325 --> 01:29:55.185
In ES chapter six tables 5.51
1726
01:29:55.185 --> 01:29:57.945
and five two, you report that the effect
1727
01:29:57.945 --> 01:29:59.225
```

of permanent acquisition

1728
01:29:59.225 --> 01:30:01.785
of land from Geo 40 would be moderate adverse
1729
01:30:01.785 --> 01:30:05.805
and significant, Which seems
1730
01:30:05.905 --> 01:30:09.245
to not be the same as what you were saying in the paragraph

1731
01:30:10.115 --> 01:30:11.205
with a low impact.
1732
01:30:12.465 --> 01:30:14.405
Um, and there was no mention in these tables
1733
01:30:15.275 $\rightarrow$ 01:30:16.285
regarding the effects
1734
01:30:16.285 --> 01:30:18.525
of temporary position possession on Geo 40.

1735
01:30:19.585 --> 01:30:21.405
So I was hoping you could clarify the reasons
1736
01:30:21.505 --> 01:30:23.205
for the apparent inconsistency.
1737
01:30:26.165 - -> 01:30:27.755
Thank you very much sir.
1738
01:30:28.175 --> 01:30:32.755
Um, we may have to return to you on the
1739
01:30:33.315 --> 01:30:35.355
specifics of the tables, um,
1740
01:30:35.975 --> 01:30:40.795
but we do have online, I hope we've still got Dr.

```
1741
01:30:40.925 --> 01:30:45.555
Emily Mar who can talk to the substance of this,
1742
01:30:46.215 --> 01:30:50.435
uh, which I hope you might find helpful and, uh, uh,
1743
01:30:50.495 --> 01:30:55.475
and will then, um, it enable the, um, uh,
1744
01:30:55.655 --> 01:30:58.395
the examining authority to, to be, um,
1745
01:30:58.625 --> 01:30:59.755
well informed on this.
1746
01:31:00.175 --> 01:31:02.075
Dr. Ma, are you still with us somewhere?
1747
01:31:02.865 --> 01:31:04.915
Good afternoon. Um, Emily Ma,
1748
01:31:04.925 --> 01:31:07.075
still scientist on behalf of the applicant.
1749
01:31:08.255 --> 01:31:12.595
Um, so I would need to refer to the table you mentioned.
1750
01:31:12.895 --> 01:31:14.475
Um, but the, you mentioned
1751
01:31:15.135 --> 01:31:18.395
an overall moderate significant effect, um,
1752
01:31:18.525 --> 01:31:21.195
which would apply to the landholding, um,
1753
01:31:21.285 --> 01:31:22.555
based on disruption.
1754
01:31:23.215 --> 01:31:27.515
```

```
Um, as um, according to the assessment, the level
1755
01:31:27.535 --> 01:31:32.315
of disruption necessitates, um, a change to the nature
1756
01:31:32.375 --> 01:31:36.115
of scale of the, um, landholding land use or enterprise.
1757
01:31:36.935 --> 01:31:40.435
Um, and this is the largest overall effect for
1758
01:31:40.435 --> 01:31:41.955
that farm holding, which leads
1759
01:31:41.955 --> 01:31:43.595
to a moderate significant effect.
1760
01:31:44.375 --> 01:31:48.475
Um, I would need
1761
01:31:48.475 --> 01:31:51.795
to double check the table to see whether, um,
1762
01:31:53.645 --> 01:31:57.945
the, a moderate significant effect has been reported
1763
01:31:57.975 --> 01:32:00.125
regarding permanent land use.
1764
01:32:01.145 --> 01:32:05.405
Um, because that would be a negligible impact, a low impact,
1765
01:32:05.865 --> 01:32:08.445
um, and is not
1766
01:32:08.445 --> 01:32:10.165
therefore the determining factor
1767
01:32:10.945 --> 01:32:13.245
for the overall significance of effects.
```

1768
01:32:13.665 --> 01:32:18.365
Um, in fact, it's not permanent land use
1769
01:32:18.365 - 01:32:20.925
that is causing the overall significant
1770
01:32:20.925 $\rightarrow$ 01:32:22.485
effects, it's the disruption.
1771
01:32:23.515 --> 01:32:26.925
Okay, thank you. So in ES chapter six, tables five one
1772
01:32:26.925 --> 01:32:29.845
and five two, where you report that the effect
1773
01:32:29.845 $\rightarrow$ 01:32:33.435
of permanent acquisition of land will be moderate adverse
1774
01:32:33.435 --> 01:32:36.395
and significant, is that, does that need to be changed then
1775
01:32:36.415 --> 01:32:39.035
to reflect, uh, paragraphs
1776
01:32:41.945 --> 01:32:43.395
4.2, point 12,

1777
01:32:45.815 --> 01:32:47.235
Um, Of the ES in that case?
1778
01:32:47.515 --> 01:32:49.355
'cause I dunno which ones do I report
1779
01:32:49.355 --> 01:32:50.555
that it's significant adverse
1780
01:32:50.615 --> 01:32:52.475
or do $I$ report that it's not significant adverse?
1781
01:32:52.475 --> 01:32:55.075

```
Depending on where I'm looking in the ES chapter,
1782
01:32:56.175 --> 01:33:01.135
Uh, the permanent acquisition of land
1783
01:33:02.265 --> 01:33:05.135
would overall have a significant
1784
01:33:05.725 --> 01:33:09.775
adverse effect based on disruption to the farm holding,
1785
01:33:10.555 --> 01:33:13.455
not based on the area of land acquired.
1786
01:33:14.475 --> 01:33:18.725
Um, so I don't believe anything needs
1787
01:33:18.725 --> 01:33:23.645
to be changed other than maybe wording to clarify that
1788
01:33:24.185 --> 01:33:28.645
the significance is based on the disruption caused
1789
01:33:28.705 --> 01:33:29.845
by permanent acquisition.
1790
01:33:30.765 --> 01:33:33.615
Okay. Maybe it would be easier if I set out an action
1791
01:33:33.615 --> 01:33:35.815
point and just reiterated the points.
1792
01:33:35.875 --> 01:33:37.935
Yes. And maybe you can just check that it's that
1793
01:33:37.935 --> 01:33:39.015
what I'm reading is co
1794
01:33:39.525 --> 01:33:41.375
that the table is consistent with Yes.
```

```
1795
01:33:41.795 --> 01:33:43.055
The paragraph numbers that I've read.
1796
01:33:43.155 --> 01:33:44.415
I'm sure we're very happy to deal
1797
01:33:44.415 --> 01:33:45.735
with it like that, sir. Thank
1798
01:33:45.735 --> 01:33:46.735
You. Okay, thank you. Yes,
1799
01:33:46.735 --> 01:33:47.535
Ms. Coston.
1800
01:33:50.045 --> 01:33:52.345
And would it be possible for there to be clarification
1801
01:33:52.925 --> 01:33:54.745
now we're talking about the, as a result
1802
01:33:54.745 --> 01:33:56.425
of the permanent acquisition of the land
1803
01:33:56.845 --> 01:34:01.385
and having a significant disruption to the, uh, land use it,
1804
01:34:01.535 --> 01:34:03.425
what exactly will be that,
1805
01:34:04.665 --> 01:34:06.315
what it will mean for the farmers?
1806
01:34:06.665 --> 01:34:09.915
What does that mean in reality, that disruption for, for,
1807
01:34:10.455 --> 01:34:11.555
for forever?
1808
01:34:12.295 --> 01:34:14.395
```

Yes. And the permanent land,
1809
01:34:14.415 --> 01:34:18.795
the permanent acquisition we're talking about is 021 B
1810
01:34:19.455 --> 01:34:23.365
and the two parcels of land where the,
1811
01:34:23.465 --> 01:34:27.285
the shafts would be covered over effectively. Yeah,
1812
01:34:27.625 --> 01:34:28.685
That's, that's because
1813
01:34:28.685 --> 01:34:30.205
Everything else, the permanent acquisition
1814
01:34:30.205 --> 01:34:32.165
elsewhere would be Yes. Below ground level.
1815
01:34:32.545 --> 01:34:34.245
Yes, that's right. Okay.
1816
01:34:34.405 --> 01:34:36.005
I think it's probably best if I do an action point
1817
01:34:36.025 --> 01:34:37.485
and just settle all of that. So
1818
01:34:37.485 --> 01:34:39.565
We'll, we'll deal with all of that Yeah.
1819
01:34:39.665 --> 01:34:41.925
In a written replies, your action point.
1820
01:34:42.665 --> 01:34:45.405
Um, and certainly we, we can do that. So yes.
1821
01:34:45.595 --> 01:34:46.605
Okay. Thank you. Thank you.

1822
01:34:50.855 --> 01:34:53.795
Um, and then just in ES chapter six, table five one
1823
01:34:53.795 --> 01:34:56.725
and five two, sorry.
1824
01:34:57.005 --> 01:34:59.865
No, that wasn't it. In ES chapter six, table two 12,
1825
01:35:01.045 --> 01:35:03.625
it was updated at deadline five to include the back filling
1826
01:35:04.285 --> 01:35:06.225
and reinstatement of shaft four.
1827
01:35:08.745 --> 01:35:10.765
Should this also make the same provision
1828
01:35:10.765 --> 01:35:12.445
for shaft number five as well?
1829
01:35:18.335 --> 01:35:20.595
Why, why only shaft four not shaft five when
1830
01:35:20.595 --> 01:35:22.075
they're on the same land? Yes.
1831
01:35:22.455 --> 01:35:25.275
So I'm instructed that, uh, you are right about that
1832
01:35:25.495 --> 01:35:26.675
and the answer is yes.
1833
01:35:28.295 --> 01:35:30.205
So we will need
1834
01:35:30.265 --> 01:35:33.725
to amend that.
1835
01:35:34.075 --> 01:35:35.075

Yeah.
1836
01:35:35.595 --> 01:35:36.965
This may be just being missed out.
1837
01:35:41.015 --> 01:35:42.715
Yes. So if we confirm
1838
01:35:42.715 --> 01:35:44.715
that at the next deadline in writing.
1839
01:35:45.635 --> 01:35:46.805
Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you.
1840
01:35:47.785 --> 01:35:50.085
And then moving on the,
1841
01:35:51.695 --> 01:35:52.995
we haven't got natural England here,
1842
01:35:52.995 --> 01:35:54.515
so I had a question from Natural England.
1843
01:35:54.855 --> 01:35:55.075
Um,
1844
01:36:03.625 --> 01:36:04.995
just, oh,
1845
01:36:04.995 --> 01:36:05.995
Sorry. We are moving to
1846
01:36:05.995 --> 01:36:09.475
item four biodiversity now. No, we're
1847
01:36:09.475 --> 01:36:10.475
Not. We're moving to consideration
1848
01:36:10.475 --> 01:36:11.235
of updates

```
1849
01:36:11.355 --> 01:36:13.355
to the outline soil management plan. Right.
1850
01:36:13.525 --> 01:36:15.915
Thank you. Um,
1851
01:36:16.055 --> 01:36:17.075
and on that matter,
1852
01:36:17.875 --> 01:36:20.275
I know Natural England has some concerns over the management
1853
01:36:20.275 --> 01:36:22.835
of peat soils and some other things,
1854
01:36:23.055 --> 01:36:26.275
and I did have some questions to ask them
1855
01:36:27.295 --> 01:36:28.515
if they were here, but they're not.
1856
01:36:28.735 --> 01:36:30.355
But have you seen the submission
1857
01:36:30.355 --> 01:36:32.955
that we received this morning from Natural England,
1858
01:36:34.365 --> 01:36:35.985
Um, Catherine Taylor for the applicant?
1859
01:36:36.045 --> 01:36:37.065
Yes, we have seen it.
1860
01:36:37.645 --> 01:36:40.785
Um, and we, um, have looked through it.
1861
01:36:41.565 --> 01:36:43.225
Um, the majority of the points they
1862
01:36:43.225 --> 01:36:44.545
```

make probably be accommodated.
1863
01:36:44.645 --> 01:36:46.825
We just had one point that we wanted to clarify with them,
1864
01:36:46.825 --> 01:36:49.625
which was a disagreement about the reference
1865
01:36:49.645 --> 01:36:51.425
to the guidance that they refer to.
1866
01:36:52.175 --> 01:36:54.395
Um, we're actively talking to them about that as to whether
1867
01:36:54.395 --> 01:36:55.955
that's just an error
1868
01:36:55.955 --> 01:36:57.195
because we don't think it relates
1869
01:36:57.195 --> 01:36:58.275
to this particular project.
1870
01:36:58.685 --> 01:36:59.995
Which guidance is that? Um,
1871
01:36:59.995 --> 01:37:03.395
that's the guidance relating to the agricultural use, um,
1872
01:37:03.415 --> 01:37:05.755
an application of mineral extraction, um,
1873
01:37:06.175 --> 01:37:07.755
and landfill raising sites.
1874
01:37:09.195 --> 01:37:12.495
So we, we, we sought clarification on that yesterday.
1875
01:37:12.915 --> 01:37:15.255
Um, and hopefully they'll come back to us quite quickly.

```
1876
01:37:17.255 --> 01:37:18.745
Okay. So in terms of land, um,
1877
01:37:19.125 --> 01:37:20.985
the outline soil measurement plan, you are,
1878
01:37:21.685 --> 01:37:24.305
you think you can accommodate what Natural England is saying
1879
01:37:24.325 --> 01:37:25.785
and your in discussions with them
1880
01:37:26.205 --> 01:37:29.785
and hopefully by the next deadline you'll be in a position
1881
01:37:29.805 --> 01:37:32.545
to well submit a updated version.
1882
01:37:33.285 --> 01:37:33.705
So yes.
1883
01:37:38.695 --> 01:37:39.425
Okay. Thank you.
1884
01:37:45.425 --> 01:37:46.445
Um, okay. In that case,
1885
01:37:46.445 --> 01:37:49.045
they were all the questions I had with regard to
1886
01:37:49.045 --> 01:37:50.125
that. Um, thank
1887
01:37:50.125 --> 01:37:51.125
You very much. So
1888
01:37:51.125 --> 01:37:55.605
can, can, um, Dr. Ma, um, go now? Yes.
1889
01:37:55.665 --> 01:37:57.965
```

```
She was just, thank you very much. One item. Thank you.
1890
01:38:01.375 --> 01:38:04.955
So before I move on to take, um, a break for lunch,
1891
01:38:04.985 --> 01:38:06.675
does anybody else have any comments they wish
1892
01:38:06.675 --> 01:38:08.235
to make on agenda item three?
1893
01:38:15.185 --> 01:38:20.145
Okay, in that case, uh, oh, I've got Ms. Sharp again.
1894
01:38:21.725 --> 01:38:22.745
Thanks. R sharp.
1895
01:38:22.845 --> 01:38:25.825
Um, Bidwell on behalf of Common Keys, um, it was just to us
1896
01:38:25.825 --> 01:38:28.705
that in that specific reply, um, around, um,
1897
01:38:28.705 --> 01:38:32.225
Popler Hall Farm, that that specifically, um, addresses
1898
01:38:32.225 --> 01:38:36.695
that, the point around, um, the, the, the effect of,
1899
01:38:36.795 --> 01:38:38.895
of the freehold acquisition of, of the shafts
1900
01:38:38.895 --> 01:38:39.975
after their reinstated.
1901
01:38:40.315 --> 01:38:42.855
Um, but I'd, I'd refer to our, our comments
1902
01:38:42.855 --> 01:38:44.655
that we raised at the last, at the last round.
```

1903
01:38:48.095 --> 01:38:49.355
I'm sorry, could you just repeat that?
1904
01:38:49.355 --> 01:38:53.225
I didn't, I didn't get the whole, um, thing that you said.
1905
01:38:53.225 --> 01:38:56.225
Sorry. Um, it was, it was just to ask that the specific,
1906
01:38:56.565 --> 01:38:59.985
um, clarification that angling water are to provide that,
1907
01:38:59.985 --> 01:39:03.705
that, um, contains clarification, um, specifically
1908
01:39:03.705 --> 01:39:06.585
around the disturbance caused by the freehold acquisition
1909
01:39:06.585 --> 01:39:08.465
of, of the reinstated shaft areas.
1910
01:39:09.345 --> 01:39:11.465
I understand it. So the same point as what Ms.
1911
01:39:11.515 --> 01:39:12.665
Cosen was making. Yeah,
1912
01:39:12.665 --> 01:39:13.665
Exactly.
1913
01:39:13.825 --> 01:39:17.745
I think yes. And we'll, uh, as we've said, we will confirm
1914
01:39:17.745 --> 01:39:19.905
that at the next reinstate. Great.
1915
01:39:19.905 --> 01:39:20.945
Okay. Thank you very much.
1916
01:39:22.035 --> 01:39:25.495

Um, in that case, we're banging on time at the moment.
1917
01:39:25.605 --> 01:39:28.615
It's 1259 and, um, I think is everyone happy
1918
01:39:28.675 --> 01:39:30.095
for 45 minutes for lunch?
1919
01:39:31.365 --> 01:39:32.895
Okay. Unless anyone says
1920
01:39:32.895 --> 01:39:37.415
otherwise, then we will adjourn until, um,
1921
01:39:37.475 --> 01:39:39.655
one 40, uh, yes, 1 45. So,
1922
01:39:39.675 --> 01:39:43.055
So could I just before you adjourn, uh, we're going
1923
01:39:43.055 --> 01:39:46.735
to run, we hope with items four to eight today,
1924
01:39:47.715 --> 01:39:50.735
and then pick up again in the morning with item nine.
1925
01:39:50.835 --> 01:39:52.415
That's the idea. Yes. Thank you very much.
1926
01:39:53.165 --> 01:39:56.175
Okay. So the hearing is adjourned till 1 45. Thank you.

