WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by VIMEO

0
00:00:03.515 --> 00:00:05.455
Um, I'm going to hand over to Mr.

1
00:00:05.765 --> 00:00:09.465
Reky to do the, um, agenda next, agenda item

2
00:00:09.605 --> 00:00:11.985
and the ones following that. So thank you.

3
00:00:12.955 --> 00:00:15.625
Thank you. We will now turn to, uh,

4
00:00:15.655 --> 00:00:17.825
item four on the agenda, which is biodiversity.

5
00:00:18.575 --> 00:00:21.425
Firstly, we'll be looking at potential recreational pressure

6
00:00:21.425 --> 00:00:23.265
on stoke and triples.

7
00:00:23.305 --> 00:00:27.585
I, um, the applicant mentioned earlier in the hearing

8
00:00:27.585 --> 00:00:30.065
that a section 1 0 6 agreement, uh, would capture

9
00:00:30.665 --> 00:00:33.585
contribution to mitigate residential effects on the triples.

10
00:00:33.625 --> 00:00:36.585
I could the applicant outline what that will cover, please.

11
00:00:39.335 --> 00:00:43.155
Yes, madam. Uh, there's been some very productive, um,

12
00:00:43.165 --> 00:00:45.555
discussion with Natural England, um,

13
00:00:45.605 --> 00:00:47.275
since we were asked all together.

14
00:00:47.975 --> 00:00:49.435
Um, and Mr.

15
00:00:49.655 --> 00:00:53.355
Bowles can capture that and answer your question as he does.

16
00:00:53.375 --> 00:00:54.375
So thank you.

17
00:00:56.635 --> 00:00:58.765
Good afternoon, jumbles for the applicant.

18
00:01:01.215 --> 00:01:04.635
If I May 1st, uh, just briefly refer

19
00:01:04.635 --> 00:01:07.955
to the Natural England letter that was received last night,

20
00:01:07.955 --> 00:01:12.355
this morning, which, um, in essence brings, um,

21
00:01:12.495 --> 00:01:14.995
the XA up to date in relation to the conversations

22
00:01:14.995 --> 00:01:16.835
that have been taking place with Natural England,

23
00:01:16.855 --> 00:01:19.995
for which there's been some considerable progress in

24
00:01:20.275 --> 00:01:21.595
relation to this particular point.

25
00:01:22.655 --> 00:01:26.795
Um, as, uh, as,

26
00:01:26.895 --> 00:01:31.035

as the XA is aware, we have, um, set out, uh,

27
00:01:31.175 --> 00:01:33.795
in various responses, including in the planning statement,

28
00:01:33.795 --> 00:01:36.395
what we consider to be the benefits of the provision

29
00:01:36.395 --> 00:01:37.395
of the new bridal way.

30
00:01:38.295 --> 00:01:41.795
Um, both in, uh, both in terms of, um,

31
00:01:41.905 --> 00:01:44.075
meeting policy expectations,

32
00:01:44.255 --> 00:01:47.595
but also in, in, in respect of communi community

33
00:01:48.345 --> 00:01:50.915
impacts in relation to the environmental, uh,

34
00:01:50.915 --> 00:01:52.955
statement and the bene.

35
00:01:52.955 --> 00:01:56.275
Those benefits have been supported both by the, the, um,

36
00:01:56.635 --> 00:01:59.195
district council, south C District council, uh,

37
00:01:59.615 --> 00:02:04.035
and, uh, the county council in their responses, um,

38
00:02:04.575 --> 00:02:09.395
to both, um, the rep one deadline, sorry, rep one

39
00:02:10.035 --> 00:02:12.715
representations and rep two rep, uh, representations.

40
00:02:14.865 --> 00:02:18.565
Um, there is also, as I say, policy support in relation to,

41
00:02:19.345 --> 00:02:23.925
um, the, um, increase in access to the countryside

42
00:02:23.925 --> 00:02:26.605
that the bradway, uh, provision would achieve.

43
00:02:27.305 --> 00:02:31.685
And that, um, specifically is referred

44
00:02:31.705 --> 00:02:35.645
to in the adopted south chemistry local plan policy NH six,

45
00:02:36.015 --> 00:02:37.605
which relates to green infrastructure

46
00:02:37.985 --> 00:02:41.405
and also in the emerging local plan, um, uh,

47
00:02:41.405 --> 00:02:44.885
policy BGGI, which is the green infrastructure policy.

48
00:02:47.265 --> 00:02:50.475
What lies behind the policy is a number

49
00:02:50.535 --> 00:02:52.515
of other strategy documents.

50
00:02:52.535 --> 00:02:53.875
I'm sorry, it's a long-winded argument,

51
00:02:53.875 --> 00:02:55.315
but I'm, uh, sorry statement,

52
00:02:55.315 --> 00:02:57.075
but I'm coming to the point just

53
00:02:57.075 --> 00:02:58.795

around the update on the position.

54
00:02:59.665 --> 00:03:04.325
Uh, what lies behind that are a, a sequence of, um,

55
00:03:04.735 --> 00:03:07.525
strategies and documents that have been produced,

56
00:03:07.525 --> 00:03:09.285
which effectively are the evidence base

57
00:03:09.305 --> 00:03:13.285
for the greater Cambridge local plan, um, process, uh,

58
00:03:13.585 --> 00:03:16.125
and just, just listing them, it's the green infrastructure,

59
00:03:16.745 --> 00:03:19.885
uh, the Cambridge Green Infrastructure strategy of 2011,

60
00:03:20.425 --> 00:03:24.645
the Cambridge Nature Networks, uh, report of 2021,

61
00:03:25.265 --> 00:03:28.445
the Cambridge rights of way improvement plan update, um,

62
00:03:28.465 --> 00:03:30.445
of 2016, um,

63
00:03:30.745 --> 00:03:34.735
and the green infrastructure opportunity mapping all

64
00:03:34.735 --> 00:03:39.095
of those documents, um, express a desire

65
00:03:39.115 --> 00:03:41.935
to see an increase in access to the countryside

66
00:03:42.035 --> 00:03:43.735
and improvements to green infrastructure,

67
00:03:43.865 --> 00:03:47.095
which under its definition includes the provision

68
00:03:47.315 --> 00:03:50.095
of bridal waves and public access routes.

69
00:03:50.795 --> 00:03:54.055
Um, but recognizes that that may have, um,

70
00:03:54.455 --> 00:03:57.935
negative impacts in terms of increased recreational pressure

71
00:03:58.555 --> 00:04:00.175
on, um, nature assets.

72
00:04:01.465 --> 00:04:04.165
So there is a recognition in those documents about the fact

73
00:04:04.165 --> 00:04:06.525
that that needs to be addressed

74
00:04:07.225 --> 00:04:11.605
and all of those documents recognized that management, um,

75
00:04:11.985 --> 00:04:15.365
is the process by which that can be appropriately mitigated.

76
00:04:16.265 --> 00:04:18.165
The difficulty is, at this point in time,

77
00:04:18.165 --> 00:04:21.845
there is no specific policies either requiring there's no,

78
00:04:21.985 --> 00:04:25.525
uh, community infrastructure levy, uh, charging schedule,

79
00:04:25.575 --> 00:04:27.325
which relates to this, um,

80
00:04:27.665 --> 00:04:29.925

nor any other, if you like, mechanism in place.

81
00:04:31.105 --> 00:04:35.275
The, um, sorry, it's worth, it's also worth saying

82
00:04:35.275 --> 00:04:39.715
that both, uh, the adopted, um, area action plan

83
00:04:39.855 --> 00:04:42.275
for Cambridge East, which is the airport site

84
00:04:43.725 --> 00:04:48.505
and the Reg 19 version of the N-E-C-A-A-P

85
00:04:50.295 --> 00:04:53.625
also, um, require effectively improved access

86
00:04:53.765 --> 00:04:56.865
and, uh, contributions to green infrastructure, uh,

87
00:04:56.995 --> 00:04:58.665
sorry, contribution is the wrong word.

88
00:04:59.125 --> 00:05:01.665
Um, delivery, if you like, of improvements

89
00:05:01.665 --> 00:05:04.025
to green infrastructure as part of those schemes.

90
00:05:05.245 --> 00:05:09.545
Uh, we have said in our submissions that, um,

91
00:05:09.685 --> 00:05:13.065
we consider that the provision of the bridal way itself

92
00:05:13.805 --> 00:05:17.105
is unlikely to, to give rise to, um,

93
00:05:17.535 --> 00:05:21.105
significant likely effects of, uh, on the SSSI

94
00:05:21.105 --> 00:05:25.025
and specifically the, uh, SSSI, um,

95
00:05:25.295 --> 00:05:27.945
because we're physically separate from it.

96
00:05:28.605 --> 00:05:33.345
Um, and because, um, we consider that,

97
00:05:33.565 --> 00:05:38.145
um, the normal management, if you like, of, um, how access

98
00:05:38.165 --> 00:05:42.665
to the countryside is addressed, would it, would, um, ensure

99
00:05:42.665 --> 00:05:44.705
that there wouldn't be those significant effects.

100
00:05:44.895 --> 00:05:46.825
However, we accept the fact

101
00:05:46.935 --> 00:05:50.065
that in creating the bridal way we make a connection

102
00:05:50.725 --> 00:05:53.265
and that there will be other developments that come forward.

103
00:05:54.015 --> 00:05:56.265
I've referred to two already in, uh, NEC

104
00:05:56.285 --> 00:06:00.205
and um, uh, the airport site, which, um,

105
00:06:00.725 --> 00:06:04.685
ultimately may well result in, uh, increased use of

106
00:06:04.685 --> 00:06:06.245
that footpath network and

107
00:06:06.245 --> 00:06:07.765

therefore pressure on the SSS side.

108
00:06:10.075 --> 00:06:11.175
So the position we've reached

109
00:06:11.325 --> 00:06:14.655
with Natural England is we agree that that, uh, needs

110
00:06:14.655 --> 00:06:17.615
to be managed through the establishment of a, um,

111
00:06:18.115 --> 00:06:19.415
the mind recreation group.

112
00:06:19.865 --> 00:06:23.055
We've had some initial, uh, meetings in relation to that,

113
00:06:23.795 --> 00:06:27.455
and it is agreed between us that, uh,

114
00:06:27.685 --> 00:06:31.855
that group needs to be formalized so that it has terms

115
00:06:31.855 --> 00:06:33.775
of reference and agreed membership

116
00:06:34.435 --> 00:06:37.175
to develop a management mitigation strategy

117
00:06:37.955 --> 00:06:41.575
for the future management of effectively all

118
00:06:41.575 --> 00:06:43.655
of those accesses into the countryside

119
00:06:43.655 --> 00:06:45.415
that improved green infrastructure network.

120
00:06:46.595 --> 00:06:48.655
Uh, and we accept that, um,

121
00:06:48.875 --> 00:06:52.415
as a party who'll be contributing to that potential issue,

122
00:06:53.155 --> 00:06:55.495
we should be contributing financially

123
00:06:55.495 --> 00:06:56.935
to the establishment of that group.

124
00:06:57.715 --> 00:06:59.655
Um, we believe that, um,

125
00:07:00.495 --> 00:07:02.815
a contribution is appropriate in the context of

126
00:07:02.815 --> 00:07:05.415
that policy context I've just provided to you.

127
00:07:06.035 --> 00:07:10.625
Um, and we believe that that sum can be used for

128
00:07:11.405 --> 00:07:13.865
the, as I say, the formalization of the group.

129
00:07:14.565 --> 00:07:18.665
Um, its terms of reference, um, its,

130
00:07:19.005 --> 00:07:21.745
its early work including the establishment

131
00:07:21.745 --> 00:07:24.425
of things like a baseline, um, which is referred

132
00:07:24.425 --> 00:07:26.625
to in Natural England's letter, um,

133
00:07:26.925 --> 00:07:29.505
and indeed, um,

134
00:07:29.735 --> 00:07:33.025

potentially early measures in the interim,

135
00:07:33.915 --> 00:07:38.885
which may be suitably put in place, um, uh,

136
00:07:39.185 --> 00:07:42.045
by the trust, um, to

137
00:07:42.555 --> 00:07:45.685
effectively immense start the process of education

138
00:07:45.995 --> 00:07:50.365
that might also be signage, um, just to, to, um, to, to, um,

139
00:07:51.955 --> 00:07:54.695
try and develop good, um, behaviors.

140
00:07:55.715 --> 00:08:00.455
And so we, uh, intend in the, um, next deadline,

141
00:08:00.655 --> 00:08:03.975
deadline six to be submitting an updated section 1 0 6

142
00:08:03.975 --> 00:08:06.295
agreement, we, which will include

143
00:08:07.055 --> 00:08:08.855
a contribution to those measures.

144
00:08:09.675 --> 00:08:13.965
Um, we are still in discussion about what the level of

145
00:08:13.965 --> 00:08:15.405
that contribution should be

146
00:08:15.865 --> 00:08:19.445
and as you'll, I hope appreciate it's quite difficult at

147
00:08:19.445 --> 00:08:21.285
this point in time because there's no fix

148
00:08:22.185 --> 00:08:26.845
on a charging schedule to work out what a reasonable

149
00:08:27.365 --> 00:08:31.765
contribution is in respect of that particular, uh, aspect.

150
00:08:32.385 --> 00:08:34.765
And so we are continuing

151
00:08:34.765 --> 00:08:36.645
that discussion at this point in time

152
00:08:36.745 --> 00:08:39.245
and wanting to widen that discussion with some

153
00:08:39.245 --> 00:08:41.205
of the other parties who would be members of that group.

154
00:08:42.085 --> 00:08:43.985
Do you feel confident that that will be resolved

155
00:08:43.985 --> 00:08:45.385
before the close of the examination?

156
00:08:45.685 --> 00:08:46.225
Yes, we do.

157
00:08:53.925 --> 00:08:55.245
Thank you. Um,

158
00:08:56.185 --> 00:08:58.575
Madam, if I may, um, Andrew Pryor for the applicant,

159
00:08:58.805 --> 00:09:00.215
this necessitates a small change

160
00:09:00.215 --> 00:09:03.055
to the environmental statement to cumulative impacts chapter

161
00:09:03.395 --> 00:09:04.975

to acknowledge those, uh,

162
00:09:04.975 --> 00:09:07.535
potential impacts which natural England have requested,

163
00:09:07.875 --> 00:09:10.375
and that would be a change to two of the lines in table

164
00:09:11.325 --> 00:09:14.935
four two and table four stop three in chapter 22,

165
00:09:15.275 --> 00:09:18.535
and we'll deliver those amendments for the next deadline.

166
00:09:20.105 --> 00:09:21.355
That was actually my next question.

167
00:09:21.415 --> 00:09:24.835
So the, um, natural had asked for some change to the us

168
00:09:24.895 --> 00:09:27.275
so you essentially say that you are yeah,

169
00:09:27.295 --> 00:09:29.515
go intending on making the changes that they have requested?

170
00:09:30.375 --> 00:09:31.395
That's correct. Okay.

171
00:09:31.455 --> 00:09:35.005
That's fine. Thank you. Uh, Mr. Gilda.

172
00:09:36.845 --> 00:09:39.835
Thank you, ma'am. Um, can I just ask through you,

173
00:09:39.845 --> 00:09:41.235
ma'am, Mr.

174
00:09:41.295 --> 00:09:45.095
Bowles, whether there will be actual cash sums mentioned in

175
00:09:45.095 --> 00:09:48.215
the section 1 0 6 agreement and a agenda

176
00:09:48.315 --> 00:09:50.815
or whatever you want to call it, a a list matters

177
00:09:50.915 --> 00:09:52.375
to which you might contribute?

178
00:09:52.605 --> 00:09:54.015
Will it go as far as that

179
00:09:57.055 --> 00:10:01.515
The intention is to, um, specify a contribution?

180
00:10:01.935 --> 00:10:04.075
So a figure will be included

181
00:10:04.775 --> 00:10:08.875
and the intention will be to indicate the, um,

182
00:10:09.745 --> 00:10:12.675
type, type of measures that that money,

183
00:10:12.905 --> 00:10:14.715
that contribution could be used for

184
00:10:15.425 --> 00:10:16.955
without being prescriptive.

185
00:10:17.655 --> 00:10:19.435
And I, and the reason I say that is

186
00:10:19.435 --> 00:10:23.955
because we believe that the, um, what needs to be done

187
00:10:24.485 --> 00:10:27.155
needs to be agreed within that, uh,

188
00:10:27.515 --> 00:10:28.755

combined recreation group.

189
00:10:29.375 --> 00:10:32.195
And so we need to ensure that we're giving the flexibility

190
00:10:32.255 --> 00:10:35.315
to that group, which is independent of this DCO, um,

191
00:10:35.385 --> 00:10:38.955
application, the ability to decide how

192
00:10:38.955 --> 00:10:41.315
that money is best spent in relation to those measures,

193
00:10:41.985 --> 00:10:43.595
Including but not limited to.

194
00:10:43.695 --> 00:10:45.035
Yes. Yeah, I mean, I would,

195
00:10:45.355 --> 00:10:47.035
I would agree in entirely with you Mr.

196
00:10:47.185 --> 00:10:49.995
Boes, on, on that aspect of it.

197
00:10:50.375 --> 00:10:53.395
And does that, and that will include funding a baseline

198
00:10:53.395 --> 00:10:54.755
survey if necessary at,

199
00:10:55.195 --> 00:10:57.795
because it seems a, it's a very difficult situation,

200
00:10:57.925 --> 00:10:59.435
which I know that National England

201
00:10:59.575 --> 00:11:02.755
and County Council have made representations

202
00:11:02.755 --> 00:11:06.995
and indeed theen trust that in the absence of a baseline

203
00:11:07.655 --> 00:11:09.715
you can have a lot of debate about w whether

204
00:11:09.735 --> 00:11:12.675
or not there are effects that need to be dealt

205
00:11:12.675 --> 00:11:14.355
with further down the line.

206
00:11:14.735 --> 00:11:16.795
So will that contribution contribute

207
00:11:16.815 --> 00:11:18.115
to doing a baseline survey?

208
00:11:22.165 --> 00:11:25.635
Madam, are you happy, uh, having this, um,

209
00:11:26.155 --> 00:11:28.555
dialogue directly between the, the parties?

210
00:11:29.055 --> 00:11:30.755
Uh, uh, I'm not objecting.

211
00:11:30.865 --> 00:11:33.235
It's just obviously we've, we are drifting

212
00:11:33.865 --> 00:11:36.915
into an inquiry mode here rather than a hearing mode.

213
00:11:37.135 --> 00:11:39.155
Yes, I acknowledge that. I think all questions do need

214
00:11:39.155 --> 00:11:40.515
to come through the A XA, but, sorry,

215
00:11:40.685 --> 00:11:41.685

Sorry, maam. I please respond,

216
00:11:41.685 --> 00:11:44.195
Prefaced it by asking through you.

217
00:11:46.345 --> 00:11:50.895
There is a, um, a need, uh, which we agree, um,

218
00:11:51.715 --> 00:11:55.445
to, um, set a baseline and

219
00:11:55.445 --> 00:11:56.725
therefore to collect data for that.

220
00:11:56.745 --> 00:11:59.405
Now, there's quite a lot of data that is already available.

221
00:11:59.625 --> 00:12:01.445
It published in different sources which

222
00:12:01.445 --> 00:12:02.525
needs to be brought together.

223
00:12:03.425 --> 00:12:08.035
Um, there is also, um, a considerable number

224
00:12:08.095 --> 00:12:11.195
of other developers who are bringing forward development

225
00:12:11.195 --> 00:12:14.315
and have requirements on them who

226
00:12:15.345 --> 00:12:18.315
will have different requirements in terms of

227
00:12:18.315 --> 00:12:19.435
where that baseline is.

228
00:12:20.055 --> 00:12:21.955
So there is no scope

229
00:12:22.055 --> 00:12:24.435
for a baseline exercise at this point in time.

230
00:12:24.675 --> 00:12:26.835
I think that's a one of the early stage discussions

231
00:12:26.835 --> 00:12:28.395
that needs to, needs to take place

232
00:12:29.935 --> 00:12:34.175
and then it can be scoped

233
00:12:34.895 --> 00:12:35.975
properly, scoped and costed.

234
00:12:36.275 --> 00:12:41.015
Um, I say that the contribution is, is, uh, that is being

235
00:12:41.295 --> 00:12:45.695
provided would be a contribution including, um, potentially

236
00:12:45.695 --> 00:12:48.535
to those that early stage, um, exercise,

237
00:12:49.915 --> 00:12:52.455
but actually how the apportionment,

238
00:12:52.455 --> 00:12:55.775
if the overall cost goes, is a matter that you know,

239
00:12:55.775 --> 00:12:57.415
is gonna have to be worked out in,

240
00:12:57.515 --> 00:12:59.335
in some detail over period of time.

241
00:12:59.475 --> 00:13:02.975
So the contribution that's being made reflects, uh,

242
00:13:03.045 --> 00:13:04.935

from our perspective, uh,

243
00:13:05.255 --> 00:13:08.135
a contribution towards the establishment

244
00:13:08.195 --> 00:13:10.335
and administration of that group.

245
00:13:11.075 --> 00:13:13.535
Um, but also, um,

246
00:13:13.875 --> 00:13:16.095
for other things which might include the,

247
00:13:16.155 --> 00:13:17.415
the baseline work as well.

248
00:13:20.895 --> 00:13:24.085
Thank you. Yeah, thank you ma'am for indulging that,

249
00:13:24.085 --> 00:13:26.125
that that conversation, I mean, it's, it's helpful

250
00:13:26.225 --> 00:13:29.765
and obviously the section 1 0 6 will only appear at,

251
00:13:29.905 --> 00:13:31.125
at the next deadline.

252
00:13:31.265 --> 00:13:34.245
And if we can wrap this up in, in the hearing,

253
00:13:34.255 --> 00:13:36.845
which I think we have done, um, that's very helpful,

254
00:13:38.665 --> 00:13:39.665
Ms. Cotton.

255
00:13:40.715 --> 00:13:42.295
Yes. Just a quick question about this

256
00:13:42.575 --> 00:13:44.615
'cause it was listed as you, uh, as one of the

257
00:13:45.135 --> 00:13:48.455
benefits purported benefits of the whole whole plan,

258
00:13:48.975 --> 00:13:52.375
proposed plan that you increase recreational access,

259
00:13:52.615 --> 00:13:55.655
AKA increase recreational pressure, is that now going

260
00:13:55.655 --> 00:13:57.415
to be taken off the list because it's now deemed

261
00:13:57.715 --> 00:14:00.095
as a negative, not a benefit, um,

262
00:14:00.095 --> 00:14:03.415
because you're gonna have to mitigate, uh, against that.

263
00:14:03.475 --> 00:14:05.735
So is it coming off that original list of benefits?

264
00:14:05.795 --> 00:14:07.415
And forgive me for not check, going back

265
00:14:07.415 --> 00:14:08.775
and checking on the documentation.

266
00:14:09.775 --> 00:14:11.575
I mean, the applicant said that the ES will be updated

267
00:14:11.715 --> 00:14:14.415
to reflect, uh, the cumulative impacts of the development,

268
00:14:14.955 --> 00:14:18.295
um, whether they consider it necessary to amend the benefits

269
00:14:18.295 --> 00:14:20.295

or not, but that's, that's a question for them really.

270
00:14:20.635 --> 00:14:22.615
Um, would you like to respond to that point?

271
00:14:22.995 --> 00:14:25.415
Uh, thank you, ma'am. Uh, the, the,

272
00:14:25.435 --> 00:14:27.735
the answer is it will depend on, on the,

273
00:14:27.965 --> 00:14:30.535
what comes outta the update of the cumulative, uh,

274
00:14:31.075 --> 00:14:32.215
um, FX chapter.

275
00:14:32.595 --> 00:14:34.655
And, uh, I will then need to consider whether

276
00:14:34.915 --> 00:14:36.255
or not I need to review

277
00:14:36.255 --> 00:14:37.695
what I've said in the planning statement,

278
00:14:39.365 --> 00:14:40.615
Just thinking about it as well.

279
00:14:40.685 --> 00:14:42.375
It's the two different issues as well.

280
00:14:42.915 --> 00:14:47.655
Um, recreational impacts versus the, the,

281
00:14:47.655 --> 00:14:50.175
the overall pressure on the triples I, so the, the benefits

282
00:14:50.195 --> 00:14:55.135
of community impacts versus the, the, the,

283
00:14:55.155 --> 00:14:57.495
uh, impacts on the triple side from a recreational

284
00:14:57.555 --> 00:14:58.655
PO pressure point of view.

285
00:14:58.915 --> 00:15:00.415
But the applicant has said

286
00:15:00.415 --> 00:15:01.655
that they will look at that in the whole

287
00:15:04.765 --> 00:15:06.535
Charles Jones ton parish council.

288
00:15:06.955 --> 00:15:10.415
Um, as a matter of fact, uh, two of the, two of the trustees

289
00:15:10.435 --> 00:15:13.095
of quite are nominated by our parish council,

290
00:15:13.475 --> 00:15:15.415
and I believe other, other parish councils

291
00:15:15.485 --> 00:15:16.735
also nominate trustees.

292
00:15:17.175 --> 00:15:18.695
I just wanted to ask a question to,

293
00:15:18.695 --> 00:15:20.855
which I probably should know the answer, but don't.

294
00:15:21.205 --> 00:15:24.055
When, when Mr. Wells mentioned a working group, um,

295
00:15:24.325 --> 00:15:27.135
arranging all this, are the trustees actually part of that

296
00:15:27.875 --> 00:15:30.615

and will they be thoroughly on board with what's going on?

297
00:15:31.055 --> 00:15:33.055
I understand from previous discussions that they,

298
00:15:33.055 --> 00:15:34.175
they would be, and I can see the

299
00:15:34.175 --> 00:15:35.415
applicant is nodding there as well.

300
00:15:37.355 --> 00:15:39.815
Um, can I ask, uh, to district council

301
00:15:40.115 --> 00:15:43.015
and the county council for their views on, on the updates

302
00:15:43.015 --> 00:15:44.255
that the applicant's just outlined

303
00:15:44.255 --> 00:15:45.695
regarding the section 1 0 6 agreement

304
00:15:46.035 --> 00:15:48.135
and whether that would then satisfy their concerns

305
00:15:48.335 --> 00:15:50.775
regarding the recreational impacts on the triples?

306
00:15:50.815 --> 00:15:51.815
I,

307
00:15:52.235 --> 00:15:55.655
Um, county council, Deborah Ahmad, I think will was,

308
00:15:55.715 --> 00:15:57.255
is the best one to, to lead on that.

309
00:15:57.315 --> 00:16:00.175
And I, we also have Mr. Weaver. Thank you.

310
00:16:02.935 --> 00:16:04.555
Uh, good afternoon. It's Deborah Ahmad,

311
00:16:04.555 --> 00:16:05.915
Cambridge County Council.

312
00:16:06.935 --> 00:16:08.435
Yes, we were, we are in agreement.

313
00:16:08.655 --> 00:16:12.355
If, um, the applicant goes forward as they've explained,

314
00:16:12.665 --> 00:16:16.115
then that would satisfy our, um, requirements,

315
00:16:16.155 --> 00:16:18.795
particularly the setting up of the advisory group

316
00:16:19.055 --> 00:16:22.235
and also, uh, the delivery of a baseline survey,

317
00:16:22.975 --> 00:16:24.155
um, data.

318
00:16:24.645 --> 00:16:28.675
We've been part of the discussions along with natural for

319
00:16:28.675 --> 00:16:29.675
that advisory group.

320
00:16:30.295 --> 00:16:33.555
So yes, we, we believe that's the most appropriate way

321
00:16:33.555 --> 00:16:34.715
to resolve our issues

322
00:16:35.215 --> 00:16:37.995
and we'll be looking to, um, resolve it

323
00:16:37.995 --> 00:16:41.275

through our section 1 0 6 by the end of the examination.

324
00:16:44.495 --> 00:16:47.065
Thank you Mr. Weaver.

325
00:16:48.175 --> 00:16:50.195
Um, yes, Mr. Miro. Um, well, uh, Ms.

326
00:16:50.265 --> 00:16:52.555
Ahed has just said, um, yet we've been fully involved in

327
00:16:52.555 --> 00:16:55.115
the, in discussions regarding, uh, the section 1 0 6

328
00:16:55.115 --> 00:16:58.875
and potential contributions to, um, uh, uh, to,

329
00:16:58.895 --> 00:16:59.915
um, these studies.

330
00:16:59.975 --> 00:17:01.995
So yeah, absolutely in, in, uh, in agreement.

331
00:17:04.415 --> 00:17:07.875
Thank you. Yes, Mr. Both.

332
00:17:10.305 --> 00:17:12.775
Ma'am, I wonder if I can just quickly come back on,

333
00:17:12.835 --> 00:17:13.895
um, uh, Ms.

334
00:17:14.045 --> 00:17:15.055
Ahmed's, uh, comment.

335
00:17:15.335 --> 00:17:18.815
I just wanted to ensure that everyone, it was clear

336
00:17:18.815 --> 00:17:23.575
that there is a separation between the lump advisory group

337
00:17:24.275 --> 00:17:26.695
and the combined recreational group.

338
00:17:27.715 --> 00:17:29.975
The financial contribution will go

339
00:17:30.215 --> 00:17:32.215
to the combined recreational group,

340
00:17:32.215 --> 00:17:34.855
which is looking at the wider, uh, impact

341
00:17:35.595 --> 00:17:38.975
the advisory group, uh, the L advisory group

342
00:17:39.155 --> 00:17:40.895
and its function and role

343
00:17:40.955 --> 00:17:43.895
and responsibilities is enshrined in the LM itself

344
00:17:44.355 --> 00:17:45.975
and the requirements in the DCO.

345
00:17:46.925 --> 00:17:47.955
Understood. Thank you.

346
00:17:58.765 --> 00:17:59.925
I had quite a few questions on this,

347
00:17:59.945 --> 00:18:01.085
but that's answered quite a few,

348
00:18:01.085 --> 00:18:02.485
so I'm just gonna whiz through this.

349
00:18:02.815 --> 00:18:04.325
Lemme just bear me a moment.

350
00:18:07.955 --> 00:18:11.575

That's fine. Um, I'll move on to the, um, significance

351
00:18:11.595 --> 00:18:12.655
of effects in that case.

352
00:18:13.115 --> 00:18:17.615
Um, paragraph 5.1, point 11

353
00:18:17.875 --> 00:18:22.255
of ES chapter eight, rep 5 28 presents a number

354
00:18:22.255 --> 00:18:26.015
of significant beneficial effects to ecological receptors

355
00:18:26.715 --> 00:18:28.335
as a result of the proposed development.

356
00:18:29.105 --> 00:18:30.215
Could the applicant clarify

357
00:18:30.505 --> 00:18:32.975
where in ES chapter eight these significant effects

358
00:18:33.155 --> 00:18:34.335
are assessed or reported?

359
00:18:43.305 --> 00:18:46.235
Alex Wilson McDonald on behalf of the applicant?

360
00:18:46.695 --> 00:18:48.075
Um, I'll just have a look through if

361
00:18:48.075 --> 00:18:49.715
that's okay, and come back to you.

362
00:18:51.665 --> 00:18:53.285
Uh, sorry, are you doing that now or,

363
00:18:53.285 --> 00:18:56.325
or would you like to come back at another time? If it's

364
00:18:56.325 --> 00:18:58.005
Okay, I come back a later time if that's okay.

365
00:18:59.635 --> 00:19:00.885
Okay. Uh,

366
00:19:01.425 --> 00:19:04.085
Are you happy to take that in writing at the next stage

367
00:19:04.185 --> 00:19:07.445
or would you like Ms. Wilson to look through it now

368
00:19:07.505 --> 00:19:08.725
and, um, it would

369
00:19:08.725 --> 00:19:09.725
Be helpful to look through now?

370
00:19:09.805 --> 00:19:12.445
I mean, yes, the question really was whether that was sort

371
00:19:12.445 --> 00:19:15.365
of, um, the right wording to put together,

372
00:19:15.555 --> 00:19:16.685
whether they were sort

373
00:19:16.685 --> 00:19:19.365
of substantial benefits rather than significant in the sense

374
00:19:19.365 --> 00:19:20.885
of the ES assessment.

375
00:19:21.025 --> 00:19:24.925
So it's just understanding are they significant effects

376
00:19:25.625 --> 00:19:26.725
or not, um,

377
00:19:27.465 --> 00:19:30.805

but perhaps take that away as an action point, um, to

378
00:19:30.805 --> 00:19:31.805
Answer that question. I think,

379
00:19:31.805 --> 00:19:34.325
um, with that terminology,

380
00:19:34.465 --> 00:19:35.765
one always has to be so careful.

381
00:19:36.165 --> 00:19:38.165
I think it's better if we respond in writing on that.

382
00:19:38.265 --> 00:19:39.265
That's fine.

383
00:19:41.905 --> 00:19:43.765
Um, moving on to mitigation

384
00:19:43.785 --> 00:19:46.045
and management of protective species and habitats.

385
00:19:48.665 --> 00:19:52.005
Uh, seeing as natural England, um, hasn't attended today,

386
00:19:52.005 --> 00:19:54.885
could the applicant clarify if Natural England has confirmed

387
00:19:54.885 --> 00:19:58.045
whether the outline water quality monitoring plan rep

388
00:19:58.075 --> 00:20:00.285
5 83 is acceptable?

389
00:20:11.285 --> 00:20:13.305
Yes, madam. Um, they have,

390
00:20:13.565 --> 00:20:16.425
and I, I don't know whether you've had a chance

391
00:20:16.425 --> 00:20:18.305
to read the letter from Natural England

392
00:20:18.305 --> 00:20:19.585
that came in this morning.

393
00:20:20.605 --> 00:20:25.415
Um, on page four of 11, um,

394
00:20:25.715 --> 00:20:29.055
it says this natural England has reviewed the submitted

395
00:20:29.055 --> 00:20:33.535
outline, water quality management mon monitoring plan

396
00:20:34.115 --> 00:20:35.655
rep 5 0 8 3,

397
00:20:36.195 --> 00:20:38.775
and can confirm that all our issues relating

398
00:20:38.775 --> 00:20:40.095
to water have been resolved.

399
00:20:40.635 --> 00:20:43.055
If there are concerns during post-construction,

400
00:20:43.065 --> 00:20:45.575
we'll comment and request further monitoring at that time

401
00:20:46.115 --> 00:20:47.965
as invited by by the applicant.

402
00:20:48.895 --> 00:20:50.685
Thank you for pointing that out. Appreciate that.

403
00:20:52.035 --> 00:20:54.975
Um, claim with Chair County council expressed concern

404
00:20:55.275 --> 00:20:57.655

and a rep 5 1 1 7,

405
00:20:58.525 --> 00:21:00.735
that the outline out form management

406
00:21:00.735 --> 00:21:05.255
and monitoring plan to rep four 60 fails to provide

407
00:21:05.985 --> 00:21:08.315
details of creation management

408
00:21:08.495 --> 00:21:10.835
and monitoring of other neutral grassland

409
00:21:11.055 --> 00:21:13.035
to be created within work number 32

410
00:21:13.095 --> 00:21:16.355
and 39 Cambridge County Council.

411
00:21:16.405 --> 00:21:18.195
State that there are, there is no mechanism

412
00:21:18.215 --> 00:21:20.155
to secure detailed design management

413
00:21:20.215 --> 00:21:21.835
or monitoring for this habitat

414
00:21:22.775 --> 00:21:24.755
and that the scheme would result in the change

415
00:21:24.975 --> 00:21:27.595
of this habitat from, um, ral

416
00:21:27.615 --> 00:21:30.115
or femoral to other neutral grassland.

417
00:21:30.575 --> 00:21:31.995
Please could the applicant provide

418
00:21:31.995 --> 00:21:33.115
a response to these comments,

419
00:21:37.915 --> 00:21:39.885
Alex Wilson on behalf of the applicant?

420
00:21:40.065 --> 00:21:42.685
Um, we've providing updated wording, um,

421
00:21:42.865 --> 00:21:45.605
to reflect those comments that deadline six.

422
00:21:46.825 --> 00:21:47.825
So

423
00:21:51.265 --> 00:21:54.305
That'd be the, the actual, um, outline, um,

424
00:21:54.975 --> 00:21:57.345
what the outline out for management

425
00:21:57.345 --> 00:21:59.185
and monitoring plan to be updated, is that correct?

426
00:22:00.625 --> 00:22:03.475
Apologies. Um, it, we had a, a meeting recently

427
00:22:03.575 --> 00:22:06.755
to discuss, um, this and several other issues.

428
00:22:07.415 --> 00:22:11.395
Um, and so yeah, it would be in the updated, um,

429
00:22:12.385 --> 00:22:16.235
outline management plan, um, along with the others. Okay,

430
00:22:16.285 --> 00:22:17.285
Thank you. And

431
00:22:17.285 --> 00:22:18.195

the, sorry, and the code

432
00:22:18.195 --> 00:22:19.675
of construction practice? Yeah,

433
00:22:20.365 --> 00:22:24.555
Madam, we believe that, uh, the councils are happy

434
00:22:24.585 --> 00:22:26.675
with the principle of this.

435
00:22:27.295 --> 00:22:30.515
Uh, I think there may be still a small amount of,

436
00:22:30.615 --> 00:22:34.395
of detail about the fee to be agreed, uh,

437
00:22:34.415 --> 00:22:37.235
but the principle as I understand it, is agreed.

438
00:22:39.075 --> 00:22:42.135
Yes, madam, I I can confirm, um, precisely

439
00:22:42.135 --> 00:22:43.495
that there was a meeting last week

440
00:22:43.495 --> 00:22:45.415
and so considerable progress has been made.

441
00:22:45.425 --> 00:22:46.775
We're just not quite there yet.

442
00:22:46.775 --> 00:22:48.255
But, but in terms of principle

443
00:22:48.275 --> 00:22:49.975
and going in the right direction,

444
00:22:50.035 --> 00:22:52.295
we are certainly there nearly, nearly there.

445
00:22:52.545 --> 00:22:52.975
Thank you.

446
00:23:00.555 --> 00:23:02.815
Um, the submitted statement of common ground

447
00:23:02.815 --> 00:23:04.335
between Cambridge County Council

448
00:23:04.355 --> 00:23:08.015
and the applicant rep 5 95 suggests

449
00:23:08.015 --> 00:23:10.095
that Cambridge County Council does not consider

450
00:23:10.095 --> 00:23:12.375
that the construction environmental management plan

451
00:23:12.555 --> 00:23:15.535
and other supporting documents adequately capture

452
00:23:16.055 --> 00:23:17.575
relevant ecological receptors.

453
00:23:18.555 --> 00:23:20.055
It is suggested that a meeting has

454
00:23:20.055 --> 00:23:21.095
been held to discuss this.

455
00:23:21.555 --> 00:23:22.775
Um, could the council

456
00:23:23.035 --> 00:23:24.935
and applicant provide an update on this matter?

457
00:23:26.465 --> 00:23:29.415
Madam, my understanding is, is the same as the last answer

458
00:23:32.505 --> 00:23:35.555

Matters have progressed since

459
00:23:35.615 --> 00:23:36.835
The Indeed yes.

460
00:23:37.065 --> 00:23:41.705
Last deadline, Madam. I'm, I'm just, oh, Mr.

461
00:23:42.535 --> 00:23:45.025
Deborah. Oh, sorry, Ms. Ahmad?

462
00:23:46.325 --> 00:23:49.175
Yeah. Uh, Ms. Deborah Ahmad, chemistry County Council.

463
00:23:49.635 --> 00:23:51.775
Yes. Um, the matters have been progressed,

464
00:23:51.955 --> 00:23:54.655
so we are in discussions with the applicant with regards

465
00:23:54.675 --> 00:23:56.295
to the next submissions,

466
00:23:56.315 --> 00:23:58.775
and hopefully that will resolve outstanding issues

467
00:23:59.885 --> 00:24:02.015
with new iterations of those documents.

468
00:24:08.005 --> 00:24:12.915
Thank you. Just, just for penry, the, the, um,

469
00:24:12.935 --> 00:24:14.995
the statement of common ground is obviously still a draft.

470
00:24:15.745 --> 00:24:17.195
It's not the final ones there, uh,

471
00:24:17.535 --> 00:24:19.675
but, um, clearly a, a final

472
00:24:19.895 --> 00:24:21.315
and a formal statement

473
00:24:21.315 --> 00:24:22.755
of common ground will be signed eventually.

474
00:24:23.845 --> 00:24:24.405
Thank you.

475
00:24:29.895 --> 00:24:32.065
This may be a similar answer to that one,

476
00:24:32.085 --> 00:24:35.185
but, um, could the examining authority be

477
00:24:35.345 --> 00:24:37.105
provided with an update on the meeting referred

478
00:24:37.105 --> 00:24:41.185
to within the applicant's response to EQ 2.5 point 10

479
00:24:41.455 --> 00:24:43.665
regarding management measures for protected species?

480
00:24:43.925 --> 00:24:45.105
Has that progressed,

481
00:24:46.865 --> 00:24:47.865
Madam? I understand it's

482
00:24:47.865 --> 00:24:49.175
the same answer. Okay.

483
00:24:57.825 --> 00:25:01.045
And, um, similar question regarding the scope

484
00:25:01.145 --> 00:25:03.165
of the, um, the lrp.

485
00:25:03.785 --> 00:25:07.645

Has that been progressed as well? Okay.

486
00:25:10.155 --> 00:25:12.935
Um, is there any reasons that the council believes that any

487
00:25:12.935 --> 00:25:14.775
of the matters that I've just outlined, uh,

488
00:25:14.775 --> 00:25:17.255
can't be addressed before the end of the examination?

489
00:25:17.875 --> 00:25:19.135
No, Madam Considerable,

490
00:25:19.415 --> 00:25:20.815
considerable progress has been made and,

491
00:25:20.835 --> 00:25:22.815
and, uh, we're feeling very positive.

492
00:25:23.865 --> 00:25:26.055
Thank you. Um,

493
00:25:26.125 --> 00:25:29.015
safe Honey Hill group's deadline five submission, uh,

494
00:25:29.075 --> 00:25:33.655
rep 5 1 3 5 states that neither the landscape ecological

495
00:25:33.835 --> 00:25:37.335
and recreational management plan should rep 5 62

496
00:25:38.075 --> 00:25:42.055
or requirement 11 of the draft ECO rep 5 0 0 3

497
00:25:42.815 --> 00:25:44.295
adequately secures the creation

498
00:25:44.755 --> 00:25:46.455
or membership and advisory group.

499
00:25:47.075 --> 00:25:48.895
Please can the applicant provide a response to this?

500
00:25:49.215 --> 00:25:50.895
Although, having said that on reflection,

501
00:25:50.895 --> 00:25:52.135
I think we've probably answered that already.

502
00:25:52.545 --> 00:25:56.385
Sorry. Um, right. I'll move on for that one.

503
00:25:56.645 --> 00:25:58.815
Um, yes, Mr. Gilda?

504
00:25:59.805 --> 00:26:02.515
Madam, sorry, I, I'm just stopping you

505
00:26:02.515 --> 00:26:03.955
before you, you move on.

506
00:26:04.355 --> 00:26:06.755
I think our point was about the advisory group that's going

507
00:26:06.755 --> 00:26:10.715
to be set up that manages the delivery of the onsite

508
00:26:11.395 --> 00:26:13.355
landscaping, which is not the combined group

509
00:26:13.375 --> 00:26:15.435
that's been discussed earlier this afternoon.

510
00:26:15.435 --> 00:26:16.635
Apologies. Yeah. Okay.

511
00:26:25.935 --> 00:26:28.055
I suppose Mr. Gild, in what way do you feel that the,

512
00:26:28.275 --> 00:26:30.575

the LRP doesn't secure the advisory group?

513
00:26:30.775 --> 00:26:31.975
'cause it is referenced within there?

514
00:26:34.895 --> 00:26:37.055
I th I think from memory, ma madam,

515
00:26:37.095 --> 00:26:38.735
I haven't got the text exactly in front of me.

516
00:26:38.755 --> 00:26:41.775
It just refers to the creation of a, an advisory group,

517
00:26:41.775 --> 00:26:42.935
which will then morph

518
00:26:43.105 --> 00:26:46.445
or merge into a technical management group.

519
00:26:47.085 --> 00:26:49.645
I mean, neither of those organization, no, neither

520
00:26:49.645 --> 00:26:52.365
of those headings are, are defined as to

521
00:26:52.425 --> 00:26:56.085
who they will call upon to, to be, be members of that group.

522
00:26:56.545 --> 00:26:59.605
Um, nor is there anything other than a reference to

523
00:26:59.605 --> 00:27:02.925
that advisory group as a single line in the loan.

524
00:27:03.125 --> 00:27:06.405
I mean, there's no, no commitment made by the applicant

525
00:27:06.785 --> 00:27:09.845
to definitely invite certain bodies to participate in that

526
00:27:09.945 --> 00:27:12.485
or, or anything further than that simple statement.

527
00:27:12.705 --> 00:27:15.165
So we were just really looking for clarification. Madam,

528
00:27:17.555 --> 00:27:19.415
Is there any scope to be more specific

529
00:27:19.515 --> 00:27:20.615
or give additional details?

530
00:27:23.095 --> 00:27:25.155
Uh, yes ma'am. I think there, there, there is.

531
00:27:25.215 --> 00:27:27.955
Um, we, we need to distinguish carefully between those

532
00:27:27.955 --> 00:27:30.195
who are discharging the requirements, the statutory bodies

533
00:27:30.215 --> 00:27:32.115
and the advisors on the advisory group.

534
00:27:32.415 --> 00:27:33.595
But we can list those out

535
00:27:33.595 --> 00:27:35.835
and I imagine they would include the parish councils

536
00:27:35.835 --> 00:27:36.995
and the trustees and others

537
00:27:37.675 --> 00:27:39.155
directly relevant to that, to that site.

538
00:27:39.175 --> 00:27:41.595
So as an advisory group here, we can,

539
00:27:41.615 --> 00:27:44.195

we can certainly set out a scope of the people

540
00:27:44.215 --> 00:27:46.035
who would advise distinct from those

541
00:27:46.035 --> 00:27:47.315
who are discharging the requirements.

542
00:27:47.675 --> 00:27:49.835
I think that would be helpful. I think, you know, Mr.

543
00:27:49.965 --> 00:27:52.955
Gilda raised the, um, concern such as how

544
00:27:52.955 --> 00:27:54.035
that would then move forward.

545
00:27:54.215 --> 00:27:57.275
So any, any additional information regarding

546
00:27:57.415 --> 00:27:59.515
how the advisory group would work moving forward,

547
00:27:59.555 --> 00:28:00.555
I think would be useful.

548
00:28:00.615 --> 00:28:02.315
So any additional information on top of

549
00:28:02.315 --> 00:28:05.075
that you could offer would be helpful pertaining to,

550
00:28:05.335 --> 00:28:06.595
to safe any hill groups?

551
00:28:07.135 --> 00:28:08.675
Yes, I, I, I think so, mom, um,

552
00:28:08.835 --> 00:28:11.595
I think we could set out in the learn just to sort

553
00:28:11.595 --> 00:28:15.075
of framework for detailed approval of that,

554
00:28:15.095 --> 00:28:16.515
of the operation of that group as well,

555
00:28:19.375 --> 00:28:21.485
Madam, we'll submit that at the next stage.

556
00:28:21.935 --> 00:28:22.935
Thank you. Thank You.

557
00:28:34.015 --> 00:28:37.745
Have matters, um, regarding the reinstatement, securing

558
00:28:37.745 --> 00:28:39.105
and reinstatement of habitats

559
00:28:39.365 --> 00:28:42.225
and arable flora raised in Cambridge County Council's

560
00:28:42.425 --> 00:28:45.065
response to ex Q2 0.5 0.23.

561
00:28:45.475 --> 00:28:49.225
She's rep 5 1 1 8. Um, be resolved.

562
00:28:51.415 --> 00:28:54.915
Uh, madam, same answer again, uh, as I believe

563
00:28:56.565 --> 00:28:57.795
going to to check with Ms.

564
00:28:57.845 --> 00:28:58.845
Ahmad.

565
00:29:03.525 --> 00:29:05.185
Ms. Ahmad. Okay. She county council?

566
00:29:05.365 --> 00:29:07.745

Yes, that was part of the discussions that have been held,

567
00:29:08.245 --> 00:29:09.785
um, with the applicant.

568
00:29:09.785 --> 00:29:12.585
We went through all the outstanding issues including those,

569
00:29:12.965 --> 00:29:16.145
and they will be resolved within updates of the documents,

570
00:29:16.395 --> 00:29:18.585
which were mentioned earlier by, um, Alex.

571
00:29:18.925 --> 00:29:20.945
So it'll be the code of construction practice,

572
00:29:21.405 --> 00:29:22.825
partee, et cetera.

573
00:29:24.555 --> 00:29:28.505
Thank you. And, um, mitigation measures regarding

574
00:29:29.025 --> 00:29:30.385
invasive non native species

575
00:29:32.365 --> 00:29:34.505
of matters progressed on seeing a nod there as well.

576
00:29:34.875 --> 00:29:38.265
Again, I expect updates at the next deadline regarding that.

577
00:29:39.085 --> 00:29:39.665
Yes, madam,

578
00:29:51.325 --> 00:29:54.225
Uh, south ca, which a district council stated at rec

579
00:29:54.255 --> 00:29:58.025
5 1 2 2, that there is a single retained tree

580
00:29:58.025 --> 00:29:59.585
that has bats potential

581
00:29:59.925 --> 00:30:01.225
and that the current plan show

582
00:30:01.225 --> 00:30:05.385
that a pathway will lie adjacent to the tree, um, that the,

583
00:30:05.405 --> 00:30:08.065
um, lamp has stated that this pathway will be altered.

584
00:30:08.995 --> 00:30:11.665
Could the applicant provide a response to that, please?

585
00:30:15.025 --> 00:30:17.475
Alex Wilson for the applicant, um, I'd need

586
00:30:17.475 --> 00:30:19.955
to have a look at the location of the tree.

587
00:30:20.535 --> 00:30:23.505
Um, sorry, uh,

588
00:30:24.495 --> 00:30:25.495
Sorry. Sophie Stevenson

589
00:30:25.495 --> 00:30:26.405
for the applicant.

590
00:30:26.665 --> 00:30:29.965
Um, yet at the moment, the learn shows the indicative route

591
00:30:29.985 --> 00:30:33.325
of the pathway, however, there are some receptors such

592
00:30:33.325 --> 00:30:35.285
as going through the gaps in the hedgerows

593
00:30:35.285 --> 00:30:36.885

and also the avoidance of that.

594
00:30:36.945 --> 00:30:39.405
So the plan is that the detailed learn

595
00:30:39.405 --> 00:30:41.325
that will be submitted and approved will have

596
00:30:41.325 --> 00:30:45.845
that final alignment in it, which avoids that tree, sorry,

597
00:30:45.865 --> 00:30:48.325
and has a, I say, an adequate offset from it.

598
00:31:07.495 --> 00:31:10.195
Thank you. Um,

599
00:31:12.365 --> 00:31:15.195
south Care District Council made a number of recommendations

600
00:31:15.255 --> 00:31:16.555
for updates to the code

601
00:31:16.555 --> 00:31:19.235
of construction practice Part A in its response

602
00:31:19.235 --> 00:31:23.355
to EQ 2.5 0.28 regarding bat mitigation measures.

603
00:31:23.975 --> 00:31:25.275
Um, does the applicant intend

604
00:31:25.275 --> 00:31:26.515
to make the suggested amendment?

605
00:31:26.775 --> 00:31:27.995
And if not, why not?

606
00:31:32.595 --> 00:31:35.525
This, this is another, sorry, Alex, for the applicant.

607
00:31:35.745 --> 00:31:37.885
Um, this is again, something that we've covered

608
00:31:38.145 --> 00:31:40.085
and we will make additions to that.

609
00:31:44.165 --> 00:31:47.995
Sorry. Um, yes. Um, we will make those updates as required.

610
00:31:51.855 --> 00:31:52.285
Thank you.

611
00:32:00.305 --> 00:32:02.565
Uh, Cambridge County Council's response to the deadline

612
00:32:02.565 --> 00:32:05.725
for submissions suggest that it is satisfies with matters

613
00:32:05.725 --> 00:32:08.165
regarding hedges, ancient woodland and trees.

614
00:32:09.105 --> 00:32:13.105
Um, perhaps the applicant in Cobo County Council could

615
00:32:13.105 --> 00:32:14.625
confirm whether the statement of ground,

616
00:32:14.845 --> 00:32:16.145
um, needs to be updated.

617
00:32:16.525 --> 00:32:18.985
Um, is it still suggests that they are, that that matters

618
00:32:18.985 --> 00:32:20.065
regarding hedges.

619
00:32:20.165 --> 00:32:21.945
Um, the water beach pipeline, a i a

620
00:32:21.945 --> 00:32:23.865

and the veteran trees are still outstanding matters.

621
00:32:26.085 --> 00:32:28.825
Yes, madam. Um, same position as before

622
00:32:29.245 --> 00:32:32.545
and the SOCG does need to be updated.

623
00:32:36.525 --> 00:32:38.255
Will that be updated for the next deadline?

624
00:32:38.315 --> 00:32:39.805
Is that the intention or? Yes.

625
00:33:00.155 --> 00:33:03.775
Is it still Cambridge County Council's view that, um,

626
00:33:05.575 --> 00:33:10.355
the, um, that adequate assurance regarding, uh,

627
00:33:10.355 --> 00:33:11.395
the provision of river units

628
00:33:11.455 --> 00:33:13.755
for biodiversity net gain still hasn't been provided.

629
00:33:17.365 --> 00:33:20.185
So Ahmad will help with that. Madam? Yes.

630
00:33:20.325 --> 00:33:21.465
Um, madam, just

631
00:33:21.465 --> 00:33:25.135
before we turn to that, could we make sure, um,

632
00:33:25.285 --> 00:33:27.855
that Ms. Cook is online?

633
00:33:28.635 --> 00:33:32.915
Um, we may need to bring her in. I can't see her name.

634
00:33:39.025 --> 00:33:40.885
Hi all Tan Cook for the applicant. I am president.

635
00:33:40.885 --> 00:33:44.755
Oh, well done. Thank you. Thank you very much.

636
00:33:46.875 --> 00:33:49.375
Uh, yeah, Deborah Ahmed, chemistry County Council.

637
00:33:50.275 --> 00:33:53.095
Uh, yes. So at the moment, the applicant hasn't been able to

638
00:33:53.615 --> 00:33:57.815
identify, uh, river units, uh, for offsite mitigation,

639
00:33:57.815 --> 00:34:00.055
and one of the issues has been that, um,

640
00:34:00.305 --> 00:34:01.735
there are potential options,

641
00:34:02.075 --> 00:34:04.815
but that they're not available until

642
00:34:04.975 --> 00:34:06.815
after a planning application has been approved.

643
00:34:06.955 --> 00:34:09.855
So we are aware that there are some issues with,

644
00:34:10.565 --> 00:34:14.375
with being able to obtain offsite, uh, offsetting, uh,

645
00:34:14.375 --> 00:34:15.495
river units at the moment.

646
00:34:16.515 --> 00:34:19.135
So the proposal set out in the be, uh,

647
00:34:19.135 --> 00:34:23.215

but of the net gain report, we think is adequate, um,

648
00:34:23.595 --> 00:34:27.895
in terms of the proposal to how to source the, um,

649
00:34:28.685 --> 00:34:30.015
offsite credits.

650
00:34:30.435 --> 00:34:33.295
But we've been looking through the, our section 1 0 6

651
00:34:33.875 --> 00:34:35.895
to confirm that that will be delivered.

652
00:34:36.195 --> 00:34:38.815
So as part of the section 1 0 6 to include, um,

653
00:34:39.135 --> 00:34:41.775
a requirement that, uh, the, um,

654
00:34:41.895 --> 00:34:44.855
applicant provide the information for offsetting,

655
00:34:49.395 --> 00:34:50.945
Sorry, so just so I understand it,

656
00:34:51.485 --> 00:34:54.305
you are seeking the section 1 0 6, which is to be submitted

657
00:34:54.305 --> 00:34:58.675
as part of the, the DCO application to include

658
00:34:59.555 --> 00:35:03.555
reference to offsite by diversity net gain for river units?

659
00:35:04.015 --> 00:35:06.185
Yes, that's fine. Okay.

660
00:35:06.285 --> 00:35:08.465
And can I just get the applicant's position on that please,

661
00:35:09.285 --> 00:35:10.285
Ma madam?

662
00:35:10.325 --> 00:35:11.705
No, that isn't correct,

663
00:35:11.725 --> 00:35:14.185
and we don't intend to include it in the section 1 0 6.

664
00:35:14.185 --> 00:35:17.545
Because the DCO requirement provides all the certainty

665
00:35:17.545 --> 00:35:18.865
that the decision making

666
00:35:18.865 --> 00:35:21.385
and the stakeholders need, that those units have

667
00:35:21.385 --> 00:35:25.345
to be secured prior to the, the delivery of the project,

668
00:35:25.805 --> 00:35:28.665
the securing of those units could be, um, obtained

669
00:35:28.665 --> 00:35:31.185
through a variety of manners in including, uh,

670
00:35:31.365 --> 00:35:34.665
within catchment by ourselves, within catchment, by others

671
00:35:35.455 --> 00:35:37.605
outside of catchment, secured

672
00:35:37.605 --> 00:35:40.005
through another developer's section 1 0 6

673
00:35:40.265 --> 00:35:42.565
or indeed on the open market through the purchase

674
00:35:42.565 --> 00:35:43.685

of biodiversity credits.

675
00:35:44.105 --> 00:35:46.725
So, uh, the applicant's position is, is

676
00:35:46.725 --> 00:35:50.045
that the requirement provides the, um, certainty

677
00:35:50.045 --> 00:35:51.045
that those can be secured,

678
00:35:51.045 --> 00:35:53.895
and I think in our previous, excuse me,

679
00:35:53.955 --> 00:35:58.175
our previous submission, we indicated potential sources, uh,

680
00:35:58.315 --> 00:35:59.615
to do that of those units.

681
00:36:00.355 --> 00:36:02.495
Uh, someone's sir is just talking behind me.

682
00:36:02.495 --> 00:36:05.815
Apologies, um, uh, to do that, uh, we

683
00:36:06.095 --> 00:36:08.455
provided a, a, a list of potential sources

684
00:36:09.195 --> 00:36:11.855
of those credits in the last, uh, event so

685
00:36:11.855 --> 00:36:15.495
that you can be certain that those, uh, could be forthcoming

686
00:36:16.535 --> 00:36:19.035
so that you have both comfort on deliverability

687
00:36:19.055 --> 00:36:21.755
of those credits, but a mechanism also for securing them.

688
00:36:23.925 --> 00:36:26.605
I don't think we were provided with examples of the,

689
00:36:26.665 --> 00:36:29.125
the type of credits that you would be able to,

690
00:36:29.465 --> 00:36:30.685
you could potentially look at using.

691
00:36:30.745 --> 00:36:35.245
And, uh, miss Ahmed's concerns that, um, ones that she,

692
00:36:35.245 --> 00:36:38.125
that she or or yourselves had identified couldn't be

693
00:36:38.485 --> 00:36:39.885
utilized until a planning application had

694
00:36:39.885 --> 00:36:41.045
come forward or been approved.

695
00:36:41.225 --> 00:36:43.445
So I suppose it's, it's understanding

696
00:36:43.755 --> 00:36:45.645
that the river units will be able

697
00:36:45.645 --> 00:36:47.685
to be purchased further along down the line

698
00:36:47.705 --> 00:36:48.965
and what assurances you can give

699
00:36:49.585 --> 00:36:51.125
to both the examining authority

700
00:36:51.225 --> 00:36:52.885
and the council in that regard.

701
00:36:53.845 --> 00:36:56.555

Thank you, madam. I think, um, I think I would disagree

702
00:36:56.555 --> 00:36:58.675
with that characterization that those units can't be

703
00:36:58.795 --> 00:37:00.835
acquired, given that we now have, um,

704
00:37:01.635 --> 00:37:03.315
enabling legislation out there for parties

705
00:37:03.495 --> 00:37:06.475
to secure those units through conservation covenants or

706
00:37:06.475 --> 00:37:08.275
otherwise, and there is a general open

707
00:37:08.275 --> 00:37:09.315
market for those units.

708
00:37:09.935 --> 00:37:13.005
Um, we will perhaps come back to you

709
00:37:13.435 --> 00:37:15.445
with more details on those providers

710
00:37:15.675 --> 00:37:19.235
that we listed in our last, um, our,

711
00:37:19.255 --> 00:37:20.515
our, our last submission.

712
00:37:21.035 --> 00:37:22.835
I, I, I would sort of put some caution here

713
00:37:22.835 --> 00:37:24.995
that this is a commercial transaction, the acquisition

714
00:37:24.995 --> 00:37:27.075
of these units, and we don't really want to be bound down

715
00:37:27.075 --> 00:37:29.675
to too much detail on who we're talking to

716
00:37:30.135 --> 00:37:32.435
and the commercial negotiations to obtain those,

717
00:37:32.855 --> 00:37:36.075
but we are confident that those units will be forthcoming

718
00:37:36.215 --> 00:37:38.955
and the local authorities protection is of course,

719
00:37:39.015 --> 00:37:41.475
the wording of the requirement that we, um,

720
00:37:41.895 --> 00:37:43.875
can indeed secure those units.

721
00:37:47.355 --> 00:37:50.575
And what the, the council obviously is seeking, um,

722
00:37:51.175 --> 00:37:54.015
a section 1 0 6 agreement to address the point, what,

723
00:37:54.485 --> 00:37:56.335
what would the council seek

724
00:37:56.335 --> 00:37:58.055
to include in a section 1 0 6 agreement

725
00:37:58.055 --> 00:37:59.855
that isn't already covered by the,

726
00:38:00.605 --> 00:38:02.265
the draft DCO in its wording,

727
00:38:05.405 --> 00:38:07.225
Uh, Debra Alman Chemistry account Council?

728
00:38:07.245 --> 00:38:10.105

We would, we would seek the information that, um,

729
00:38:10.735 --> 00:38:13.025
offsite BNG credits would be secured

730
00:38:13.025 --> 00:38:15.425
and that that information would be submitted

731
00:38:15.425 --> 00:38:16.865
to the local planning authority.

732
00:38:19.405 --> 00:38:23.005
Is that not what's already covered by requirement 25?

733
00:38:26.375 --> 00:38:31.205
Um, I, uh, I might need to pass this on to my colleagues,

734
00:38:31.465 --> 00:38:35.875
uh, David Crawford, but I requirement 25.

735
00:38:35.895 --> 00:38:40.115
If with the biodiversity net gain, it is more appropriate

736
00:38:40.135 --> 00:38:42.875
to, um, secure it through section 1 0 6.

737
00:38:42.875 --> 00:38:45.515
So we would have more confidence if we could deliver it

738
00:38:45.515 --> 00:38:46.995
through that way, just

739
00:38:46.995 --> 00:38:48.835
because we don't have that level of detail at the moment

740
00:38:49.175 --> 00:38:52.155
for, uh, the off delivery of the offsite scheme.

741
00:39:01.055 --> 00:39:04.145
Adam, this does come as a little surprise to us.

742
00:39:04.645 --> 00:39:08.425
Um, I realize it's sometimes difficult when people are, um,

743
00:39:08.855 --> 00:39:09.865
dialing in remotely.

744
00:39:10.745 --> 00:39:12.825
I wonder whether, uh, this is something

745
00:39:12.825 --> 00:39:15.425
where we could maybe have a discussion at the break this

746
00:39:15.425 --> 00:39:16.785
afternoon between the lawyers.

747
00:39:18.645 --> 00:39:23.545
It certainly, our position is exactly as, um, Mr.

748
00:39:23.715 --> 00:39:28.265
Pryor has said that, that the requirement gives, uh,

749
00:39:28.815 --> 00:39:32.345
full and proper, uh, security and confidence about this.

750
00:39:33.235 --> 00:39:36.905
Thank you. Um, Ms. Cook, I can see that you've got, um,

751
00:39:36.935 --> 00:39:38.105
your virtual hand raise.

752
00:39:38.485 --> 00:39:39.545
Did you want to add something?

753
00:39:40.745 --> 00:39:42.365
Uh, yes for the applicant?

754
00:39:42.505 --> 00:39:43.805
Uh, not much to add,

755
00:39:43.825 --> 00:39:45.605

but I did just want to clarify

756
00:39:45.795 --> 00:39:50.445
that we are still exploring offsite river unit solutions

757
00:39:50.665 --> 00:39:53.205
and we're currently exploring habitat banks

758
00:39:53.205 --> 00:39:54.285
with private companies.

759
00:39:54.595 --> 00:39:57.845
They've confirmed that they do have high distinctiveness

760
00:39:57.845 --> 00:40:01.045
river units available, uh, none on the river cam

761
00:40:01.145 --> 00:40:04.445
or within the cam lower operational catchment at the moment.

762
00:40:04.665 --> 00:40:07.325
So, uh, there are still ongoing discussions about

763
00:40:07.375 --> 00:40:08.805
where we might source those.

764
00:40:09.265 --> 00:40:11.405
Um, that's, that's simply just what I wanted to add.

765
00:40:11.625 --> 00:40:16.405
Um, um, like, uh, Andrew Pryor has also said that

766
00:40:17.155 --> 00:40:21.055
the requirement 25 does state that

767
00:40:22.055 --> 00:40:24.075
the offsite river units will be

768
00:40:24.835 --> 00:40:27.915
provided in detail as part of that updated report, which

769
00:40:28.455 --> 00:40:31.395
as worded in requirement 25, will be submitted

770
00:40:31.395 --> 00:40:33.955
to an approved by the relevant local planning

771
00:40:33.955 --> 00:40:35.395
authority at the same time.

772
00:40:37.215 --> 00:40:39.355
Thank you. Yes,

773
00:40:47.585 --> 00:40:50.455
Susan Buckingham of friends of the cam, I'd just like

774
00:40:50.455 --> 00:40:54.775
to express some concerns, um, through the chair

775
00:40:55.705 --> 00:41:00.415
about river units, um, and BioD biodiversity net gain

776
00:41:00.605 --> 00:41:04.095
because as everyone in this room will know, the CAM is

777
00:41:04.735 --> 00:41:08.415
a highly distinctive river through its chalk, chalk,

778
00:41:08.485 --> 00:41:09.775
aquifer origins,

779
00:41:10.595 --> 00:41:13.495
and particularly if a solution can't be found

780
00:41:13.495 --> 00:41:14.735
with within the cam

781
00:41:14.835 --> 00:41:18.775
or the CAM catchment actually having biodiversity net gain

782
00:41:19.205 --> 00:41:23.175

from damage done to a sensitive rare river

783
00:41:24.035 --> 00:41:28.335
in situ, how is that, how can that be compensated elsewhere?

784
00:41:29.255 --> 00:41:30.255
Thank you.

785
00:41:31.355 --> 00:41:35.195
Okay, thank you. Did the applicant want to, to respond?

786
00:41:35.515 --> 00:41:38.555
I, I, I think, I think very briefly, ma, um, the,

787
00:41:38.615 --> 00:41:41.395
the BNG process, which obviously is, is mandated

788
00:41:41.415 --> 00:41:43.555
by government for, for non DCO development,

789
00:41:43.555 --> 00:41:45.235
but we have adopted for our own development,

790
00:41:45.915 --> 00:41:47.995
recognizes the distinctiveness of those river units

791
00:41:48.655 --> 00:41:50.435
and provides a hierarchy

792
00:41:50.435 --> 00:41:53.555
of preference within catchment moving to outta catchment.

793
00:41:54.055 --> 00:41:56.075
We will endeavor to follow that hierarchy,

794
00:41:56.535 --> 00:42:01.075
but that mechanism also allows us to deliver those, um,

795
00:42:01.635 --> 00:42:03.995
biodiversity net gain benefits outta catchment.

796
00:42:04.055 --> 00:42:06.395
But biodiversity net gain by its definition is net

797
00:42:06.695 --> 00:42:09.355
and can be over quite a wide geographical error.

798
00:42:09.575 --> 00:42:11.675
Our preference remains to secure those

799
00:42:11.675 --> 00:42:12.795
units within catchment.

800
00:42:13.535 --> 00:42:17.395
Should less weight be given to the benefits, um, if it's

801
00:42:17.395 --> 00:42:19.075
outside of the cam catchment area?

802
00:42:20.935 --> 00:42:23.355
Um, no, I, I, I don't think

803
00:42:23.355 --> 00:42:26.115
that the planning policy guidance suggests that it says

804
00:42:26.115 --> 00:42:30.735
that these units are as acceptable wherever they are,

805
00:42:31.415 --> 00:42:33.255
wherever they are, um, acquired.

806
00:42:33.795 --> 00:42:35.935
Uh, I don't, I don't think government intended

807
00:42:36.775 --> 00:42:38.815
decision makers to come to a, a,

808
00:42:39.335 --> 00:42:41.495
a view on the desirability of those units.

809
00:42:41.715 --> 00:42:44.535

As long as those units are provided in a, in a relevant way,

810
00:42:46.995 --> 00:42:49.055
Why would they have a, a hierarchy in that case?

811
00:42:51.135 --> 00:42:52.795
Um, it's a hierarchy of preference,

812
00:42:52.795 --> 00:42:54.995
but it costs the developer more to acquire outside

813
00:42:54.995 --> 00:42:56.555
of catchment than it does within catchment.

814
00:42:56.815 --> 00:42:59.275
So it's a, it's a commercial decision as well

815
00:42:59.275 --> 00:43:02.115
as an ecological decision while ensuring all, always

816
00:43:02.185 --> 00:43:04.435
that those ecological benefits are, are secured.

817
00:43:06.765 --> 00:43:09.745
So with the hierarchy, I mean it cost the developer more,

818
00:43:09.745 --> 00:43:11.265
but the overall net gain

819
00:43:11.525 --> 00:43:12.745
is the same. Is that what It's the same.

820
00:43:12.745 --> 00:43:14.625
Okay. Yeah, yeah, absolutely.

821
00:43:14.645 --> 00:43:17.505
The, the, um, the way the unit's are defined,

822
00:43:17.505 --> 00:43:19.785
and we may bring in Ms. Cook on this, is, is

823
00:43:19.785 --> 00:43:21.745
that those units are comparable

824
00:43:21.925 --> 00:43:24.285
in wherever they are obtained.

825
00:43:24.345 --> 00:43:25.405
He hence the net gain.

826
00:43:27.085 --> 00:43:30.305
If I might come in Alex Wilson for the applicant, um,

827
00:43:30.305 --> 00:43:34.945
there's also multipliers applied, um, for, uh, units

828
00:43:35.295 --> 00:43:38.105
outside of the catchment, um, outside of the areas,

829
00:43:38.605 --> 00:43:39.745
uh, the further away you go.

830
00:43:39.745 --> 00:43:40.745
So you, there is more

831
00:43:41.145 --> 00:43:42.385
provided that we take into

832
00:43:42.505 --> 00:43:43.625
consideration, there's multipliers.

833
00:43:44.485 --> 00:43:46.105
Can you explain what you mean by multipliers?

834
00:43:46.365 --> 00:43:49.785
Um, so if you have a, a set area, if it's within, um,

835
00:43:50.445 --> 00:43:53.825
the ski mortar limits, um, that would be, say

836
00:43:54.345 --> 00:43:55.665

a certain small area.

837
00:43:56.045 --> 00:43:57.905
If it's outside immediately adjacent

838
00:43:58.045 --> 00:44:01.665
or within the, the county, it, you need to do a bit more bit

839
00:44:01.665 --> 00:44:05.985
of a bigger area and further away again more, um, so that,

840
00:44:05.985 --> 00:44:08.625
that there is that multiplying effect of, of area

841
00:44:09.255 --> 00:44:12.185
that doesn't de negate the, the quality or the type,

842
00:44:12.565 --> 00:44:14.465
but it means that the, the, there is a,

843
00:44:14.585 --> 00:44:15.625
a larger area required.

844
00:44:17.745 --> 00:44:19.405
So is there more biodiversity net gain

845
00:44:19.405 --> 00:44:20.565
if you are further away then

846
00:44:22.445 --> 00:44:23.905
Mom, that No, there's exactly the same

847
00:44:23.905 --> 00:44:25.065
amount of biodiversity net gain.

848
00:44:25.065 --> 00:44:29.825
It's just, um, deemed to be, uh, the same by,

849
00:44:29.825 --> 00:44:31.300
even though it's a greater area, it's

850
00:44:31.300 --> 00:44:32.165
A greater area. So the me

851
00:44:32.825 --> 00:44:35.165
The metric points you always, regardless of

852
00:44:35.165 --> 00:44:36.525
where you secure it, you're delivering

853
00:44:36.525 --> 00:44:37.565
the same amount of net gain.

854
00:44:37.715 --> 00:44:38.405
Okay. Thank you.

855
00:44:46.435 --> 00:44:47.615
Tan cook for the applicant.

856
00:44:47.715 --> 00:44:50.455
Can I just add that any details

857
00:44:50.755 --> 00:44:53.255
for the offsite river units will be

858
00:44:53.735 --> 00:44:56.135
provided within the updated report as well

859
00:44:56.255 --> 00:45:00.055
as the updated biodiversity metric calculation tool?

860
00:45:00.755 --> 00:45:04.235
So information on things like the spatial risk category,

861
00:45:04.235 --> 00:45:07.155
which Alex Wilson for the applicant mentioned, um,

862
00:45:07.225 --> 00:45:10.035
that will be provided in, in detail within that.

863
00:45:10.175 --> 00:45:12.915

So, and, and similar to, uh, as Andrew Pryor

864
00:45:12.915 --> 00:45:15.315
for the applicant has also said, you know, we are striving

865
00:45:15.615 --> 00:45:19.195
to secure that biodiversity net gain within the water body.

866
00:45:19.575 --> 00:45:21.675
If that's not possible, then we will look outside

867
00:45:21.675 --> 00:45:24.155
that water body and then outside the catchment.

868
00:45:24.535 --> 00:45:27.555
And then there are spatial risk categories which are set

869
00:45:28.015 --> 00:45:31.435
by the DEFRA biodiversity metric, which we have to follow.

870
00:45:32.015 --> 00:45:34.955
So it, it's all covered within the metric calculation tool.

871
00:45:37.045 --> 00:45:38.175
Okay, thank you Ms. Cook.

872
00:45:41.555 --> 00:45:44.055
Uh, earlier on in the hearing, the applicant confirmed

873
00:45:44.055 --> 00:45:47.175
that it would provide examples of where requirement 20, um,

874
00:45:47.175 --> 00:45:50.735
requirement 25 of the draft DCO had been used other, uh,

875
00:45:51.025 --> 00:45:52.055
using other made orders.

876
00:45:52.155 --> 00:45:53.615
Did you want to do that now or is that something

877
00:45:53.615 --> 00:45:55.615
that you're looking to follow up with as an action point?

878
00:46:01.775 --> 00:46:03.845
Ma'am, we, we would like to take that away

879
00:46:03.845 --> 00:46:05.005
as an action point please.

880
00:46:10.415 --> 00:46:14.595
Uh, so moving on to, um, Lowen driveway Grasslands

881
00:46:14.595 --> 00:46:18.075
and hedges, um, county Wildlife site, um,

882
00:46:18.655 --> 00:46:22.475
the county council identified within rep 5 1 1 8, uh,

883
00:46:22.615 --> 00:46:25.875
inconsistencies in proposed mitigation measures

884
00:46:25.875 --> 00:46:29.475
between ES chapter eight measures set out in the applicant's

885
00:46:29.595 --> 00:46:32.315
response to ISH three, action point 67,

886
00:46:32.855 --> 00:46:36.235
and those within the lighting design strategy, uh,

887
00:46:36.235 --> 00:46:37.555
the county council recommends

888
00:46:37.555 --> 00:46:39.355
that the lighting design strategy is updated

889
00:46:39.495 --> 00:46:41.675
to ensure consistency and accuracy.

890
00:46:42.215 --> 00:46:44.355

Um, is the applicant intending on making these

891
00:46:44.355 --> 00:46:45.395
recommended changes?

892
00:46:45.935 --> 00:46:47.115
Yes, Madam stage

893
00:46:47.215 --> 00:46:48.215
Six.

894
00:46:58.645 --> 00:47:01.185
Uh, those were the questions that I had on biodiversity.

895
00:47:01.685 --> 00:47:02.745
Um, before we move on,

896
00:47:02.745 --> 00:47:05.265
does anybody else have any comments on agenda item four?

897
00:47:11.025 --> 00:47:14.815
No, can't see any hands raised. Thank you.

898
00:47:16.115 --> 00:47:19.815
Um, I'll now move on to agenda item five, which is carbon.

899
00:47:23.685 --> 00:47:28.665
So starting with, um, south cre, uh, local plan policy cc,

900
00:47:29.505 --> 00:47:33.955
uh, three South Cambridge District Council's response

901
00:47:33.955 --> 00:47:38.555
to E XQ 2.6 0.7 rep 5 1 2 2

902
00:47:39.195 --> 00:47:41.835
suggests that the applicant will need to provide

903
00:47:43.845 --> 00:47:46.435
clear carbon modeling to demonstrate the impact

904
00:47:46.655 --> 00:47:47.915
of the various low

905
00:47:48.415 --> 00:47:50.115
and zero carbon technologies

906
00:47:50.295 --> 00:47:53.235
to be included in the scheme in the absence of solar

907
00:47:53.535 --> 00:47:55.355
to comply with policy CC three.

908
00:47:57.005 --> 00:48:01.705
The applicant also provided a response a ESQ 2.6 0.7

909
00:48:02.885 --> 00:48:05.145
to demonstrate compliance with policy CC three.

910
00:48:06.805 --> 00:48:09.945
Can, uh, south Cambridge District Council confirm if there

911
00:48:10.045 --> 00:48:11.905
is, if this addresses their concerns?

912
00:48:13.465 --> 00:48:15.885
And if so, does the proposed development comply

913
00:48:15.885 --> 00:48:17.245
with the AFO mentioned policy?

914
00:48:21.655 --> 00:48:24.675
Um, thank you, uh, Chen Tara for South Cambridge chair.

915
00:48:25.135 --> 00:48:28.355
Um, we are in discussions with the applicant on this point.

916
00:48:28.855 --> 00:48:33.435
Um, I think we broadly agree on how that should be conveyed,

917
00:48:33.535 --> 00:48:36.915

but it is something that I think we will be able to, um,

918
00:48:37.585 --> 00:48:39.475
have submitted at deadline six.

919
00:48:48.455 --> 00:48:53.445
Okay, thank you. And just again, for your note,

920
00:48:53.705 --> 00:48:56.445
it should be, uh, we would be reflected in the statement

921
00:48:56.445 --> 00:48:57.965
of common ground, so that's where we would look for it.

922
00:48:58.685 --> 00:48:59.685
I

923
00:49:12.635 --> 00:49:14.435
Wonder if I can add a comment on that point.

924
00:49:15.015 --> 00:49:17.675
Um, my understanding is

925
00:49:17.675 --> 00:49:19.675
that the reason this question arises is

926
00:49:19.675 --> 00:49:22.315
because of the, uh, options

927
00:49:22.785 --> 00:49:26.235
that are incorporated into the proposals in relation to how,

928
00:49:26.975 --> 00:49:30.985
um, we will source our energy requirement

929
00:49:31.005 --> 00:49:32.225
for the, for the scheme.

930
00:49:33.125 --> 00:49:37.345
Um, Lily, uh,

931
00:49:37.365 --> 00:49:41.385
we have assessed the implications of the CHP route

932
00:49:41.525 --> 00:49:44.105
and our ability to comply with the policy

933
00:49:44.245 --> 00:49:49.185
and achieve the 10%, um, reduction in carbon emissions,

934
00:49:49.185 --> 00:49:50.825
which is what the policy requires.

935
00:49:51.875 --> 00:49:54.055
The question arises just in relation to

936
00:49:55.275 --> 00:49:58.175
the alternative option, which is the gas to grid option

937
00:49:58.395 --> 00:50:01.015
and the, um, uh,

938
00:50:02.435 --> 00:50:07.335
the associated, um, uh, support to that,

939
00:50:07.555 --> 00:50:10.055
um, from solar, um, power.

940
00:50:10.875 --> 00:50:15.205
Um, the, uh, our, our, our position on that and,

941
00:50:15.305 --> 00:50:19.645
and as we responded in our rep 5 1 1 1,

942
00:50:20.505 --> 00:50:24.165
um, in answer to the same question, um, was

943
00:50:24.165 --> 00:50:26.605
that we will achieve, um,

944
00:50:27.585 --> 00:50:30.645

the policy requirement under both scenarios,

945
00:50:30.985 --> 00:50:34.605
but there is a spectrum of scenarios between the two, um,

946
00:50:35.025 --> 00:50:39.605
and, uh, that for example, um, ranges from,

947
00:50:40.985 --> 00:50:45.285
uh, US using, um, by methane,

948
00:50:45.985 --> 00:50:50.565
um, from the, um, activities on the site,

949
00:50:51.505 --> 00:50:53.165
um, back into the grid.

950
00:50:53.185 --> 00:50:55.885
But we can use an element of that bio methane also

951
00:50:55.885 --> 00:50:57.245
to run our boilers on site.

952
00:50:57.305 --> 00:50:59.125
So there's a, if you like, a range

953
00:50:59.125 --> 00:51:00.805
of different ways in which we can achieve

954
00:51:02.065 --> 00:51:05.005
the requirement under the policy.

955
00:51:06.025 --> 00:51:09.925
The suggestion made by the council in their response

956
00:51:10.025 --> 00:51:13.365
to the question was, it would, it might be helpful for us to

957
00:51:14.325 --> 00:51:18.245
demonstrate that under the alternative scenarios,

958
00:51:18.245 --> 00:51:20.005
if you like, through a technical note.

959
00:51:20.625 --> 00:51:23.045
And we are willing and happy to provide

960
00:51:23.045 --> 00:51:25.165
that technical note at deadline

961
00:51:25.305 --> 00:51:27.045
six, if that would be helpful.

962
00:51:28.505 --> 00:51:29.245
Yes, please.

963
00:51:46.375 --> 00:51:48.065
It's probably worth having that agreed

964
00:51:48.065 --> 00:51:49.825
with the council first, I think

965
00:51:49.825 --> 00:51:52.625
before submitting it to us, if that's that's okay.

966
00:51:53.645 --> 00:51:56.225
Yes, maam. In fact, we had a conversation over lunch about

967
00:51:56.225 --> 00:52:00.345
that very matter, and so that that is in, in discussion.

968
00:52:00.475 --> 00:52:00.945
Thank you.

969
00:52:08.515 --> 00:52:09.725
Does Cambridge, uh, sorry.

970
00:52:09.725 --> 00:52:12.285
Does South Cambridge District Council consider that matters

971
00:52:12.315 --> 00:52:16.685

regarding carbon are resolved apart from the matter

972
00:52:16.685 --> 00:52:17.885
that we've just mentioned?

973
00:52:21.305 --> 00:52:25.245
Uh, Chen Taring for South Cambridge can confirm that yes,

974
00:52:25.705 --> 00:52:26.925
uh, all matters relating

975
00:52:26.925 --> 00:52:28.685
to carbon have been resolved from our end.

976
00:52:33.705 --> 00:52:34.175
Thank you.

977
00:52:44.505 --> 00:52:49.205
Um, can, um, Cambridge City Council confirm whether matters

978
00:52:49.205 --> 00:52:51.165
regarding carbon are resolved?

979
00:52:51.305 --> 00:52:52.965
Is the statement of common ground suggested

980
00:52:52.965 --> 00:52:54.085
that there were some outstanding matters,

981
00:52:54.105 --> 00:52:56.805
but it may be that, that things have moved on since then.

982
00:52:57.815 --> 00:52:59.125
Madam, my understanding is

983
00:52:59.125 --> 00:53:02.325
that the city council is in the same position as the, uh,

984
00:53:02.345 --> 00:53:04.965
uh, as, as the district council, so that yes,

985
00:53:05.145 --> 00:53:07.125
things have progressed well.

986
00:53:08.585 --> 00:53:09.055
Thank you.

987
00:53:24.605 --> 00:53:27.125
And the, the same, uh, question to the county council.

988
00:53:34.635 --> 00:53:37.375
Ms. Wilkinson would be able to deal with that directly.

989
00:53:45.015 --> 00:53:46.015
We believe she's there.

990
00:53:47.215 --> 00:53:49.235
Yes. Apologies, having trouble with my,

991
00:53:49.415 --> 00:53:50.475
my, uh, microphone button.

992
00:53:50.855 --> 00:53:54.195
Uh, Sarah Wilkinson for Cambridge County Council, um,

993
00:53:54.795 --> 00:53:58.515
I think the vast majority of carbon matters are resolved

994
00:53:59.055 --> 00:54:02.915
or, um, at least they, they will be by the end of this, uh,

995
00:54:03.065 --> 00:54:05.315
item and with one

996
00:54:05.315 --> 00:54:08.595
or two small updates by the next deadline.

997
00:54:14.555 --> 00:54:15.025
Thank you.

998
00:54:23.715 --> 00:54:26.055

So can we take it that, um,

999
00:54:26.275 --> 00:54:29.175
and this is directed to Ms. Wilkinson in terms

1000
00:54:29.175 --> 00:54:31.095
of carbon offsetting and carbon counting.

1001
00:54:31.355 --> 00:54:33.095
Do you, do your concerns still

1002
00:54:33.195 --> 00:54:36.795
remain in regards to that?

1003
00:54:36.895 --> 00:54:40.675
Uh, yes. I do still have an outstanding, um, concern,

1004
00:54:40.775 --> 00:54:43.755
but I don't think there's anything further that can be done.

1005
00:54:43.975 --> 00:54:46.475
And, um, I have discussed this with the applicant.

1006
00:54:47.095 --> 00:54:49.475
Uh, I think for carbon offsetting,

1007
00:54:49.615 --> 00:54:54.395
the essential challenge remains that, um, for one

1008
00:54:54.395 --> 00:54:56.195
of the two options under consideration,

1009
00:54:56.195 --> 00:54:57.635
which is the CHP option,

1010
00:54:58.135 --> 00:55:01.955
the proposal does rely on carbon offsetting yet, um,

1011
00:55:02.535 --> 00:55:06.755
it is extremely difficult to find, um, credible

1012
00:55:07.135 --> 00:55:10.955
and verified offsets that, that the market is not quite

1013
00:55:10.955 --> 00:55:12.315
where we'd want it to be for that.

1014
00:55:12.615 --> 00:55:17.035
So that that challenge, um, will remain the situation

1015
00:55:17.035 --> 00:55:18.275
for the foreseeable future.

1016
00:55:18.415 --> 00:55:21.795
And I, I believe that the applicant, uh, agree that that is,

1017
00:55:22.675 --> 00:55:24.765
that is the, the current position

1018
00:55:24.985 --> 00:55:28.125
and there's, uh, nothing further, um,

1019
00:55:28.385 --> 00:55:30.685
we could perhaps expect at the current time except

1020
00:55:30.685 --> 00:55:32.245
to recognize that that is a challenge.

1021
00:55:35.175 --> 00:55:35.985
Okay, thank you.

1022
00:55:42.055 --> 00:55:43.055
Yeah.

1023
00:55:44.665 --> 00:55:48.445
Um, we, we recognize the characterization

1024
00:55:48.445 --> 00:55:52.125
of the carbon credit market as being problematic there.

1025
00:55:52.545 --> 00:55:56.805

Um, however, there are a number of audit schemes that allow,

1026
00:55:57.065 --> 00:55:59.645
uh, purchases to buy high quality carbon credits.

1027
00:56:00.225 --> 00:56:04.205
And we are intending to propose some form of wording within

1028
00:56:04.275 --> 00:56:07.085
that operational carbon management plan to provide

1029
00:56:07.665 --> 00:56:10.365
the local authorities with some comfort that

1030
00:56:10.905 --> 00:56:14.085
the credits we would procure if we needed to would be

1031
00:56:14.085 --> 00:56:15.285
of a, a required quality.

1032
00:56:15.285 --> 00:56:16.325
There are the three

1033
00:56:16.325 --> 00:56:20.725
or four, um, audit schemes that, including ones recognized

1034
00:56:20.725 --> 00:56:24.285
by the UN framework on climate change that, um, that are,

1035
00:56:24.345 --> 00:56:25.925
are, are viable and good quality.

1036
00:56:26.465 --> 00:56:28.725
Uh, we just want to work through those internally

1037
00:56:28.725 --> 00:56:30.125
before sharing those with the council.

1038
00:56:30.225 --> 00:56:33.765
But we think that, um, if our non-preferred option,

1039
00:56:33.765 --> 00:56:36.045
the CHP option were to go ahead, we could

1040
00:56:36.045 --> 00:56:38.805
nonetheless, uh, offset to a good quality standard.

1041
00:56:39.225 --> 00:56:43.145
So that's part of the discussion to be had, uh, with Ms.

1042
00:56:43.205 --> 00:56:44.825
Uh, Wilkinson and others in due course

1043
00:56:48.195 --> 00:56:50.675
Is if there's any risk there

1044
00:56:50.675 --> 00:56:52.675
with the offsetting in the future is,

1045
00:56:52.735 --> 00:56:53.955
is there any likelihood

1046
00:56:53.955 --> 00:56:55.395
that the sig there a significant

1047
00:56:55.395 --> 00:56:56.675
effect would therefore remain?

1048
00:56:57.755 --> 00:56:59.435
I don't believe there would be a residual risk

1049
00:56:59.435 --> 00:57:01.835
with a high quality, um, offsets.

1050
00:57:01.835 --> 00:57:03.595
So you, you have a lot, a number

1051
00:57:03.595 --> 00:57:07.115
of large responsible corporate purchasers out there who,

1052
00:57:07.335 --> 00:57:10.795

who have developed audit schemes for high quality credits.

1053
00:57:10.795 --> 00:57:12.795
And, and that's been recognized

1054
00:57:12.795 --> 00:57:14.915
by the UK Committee on Climate Change,

1055
00:57:14.915 --> 00:57:19.075
which acknowledges the role which, um, carbon offsets have

1056
00:57:19.075 --> 00:57:21.515
to play going forward to deliver net zero.

1057
00:57:22.015 --> 00:57:24.835
So we, we, we are confident we just need to get some wording

1058
00:57:24.855 --> 00:57:27.515
to, to allow our council counterparts

1059
00:57:27.515 --> 00:57:28.835
to be comfortable with that.

1060
00:57:45.805 --> 00:57:47.985
May we also just come back on one, one comment

1061
00:57:48.015 --> 00:57:51.665
that has crept into the discussion possibly, um,

1062
00:57:52.245 --> 00:57:54.905
by error going forward about the funding stream

1063
00:57:55.085 --> 00:57:56.585
for those carbon credits.

1064
00:57:57.205 --> 00:58:00.785
Um, there was a representation made by the applicant

1065
00:58:00.785 --> 00:58:02.305
that the funding stream

1066
00:58:02.365 --> 00:58:06.785
for those credits would be dependent upon off what approval

1067
00:58:06.845 --> 00:58:10.385
of funding that, um, statement was in error

1068
00:58:10.385 --> 00:58:12.945
because actually those, uh, credits would need

1069
00:58:13.265 --> 00:58:14.705
to be acquired prior

1070
00:58:14.725 --> 00:58:16.465
to whatever getting involved in this project.

1071
00:58:16.655 --> 00:58:20.025
It's delivery through, um, through Cambridge relocation

1072
00:58:20.045 --> 00:58:21.785
and through the, through the Homes England funding.

1073
00:58:22.125 --> 00:58:24.945
So we will, um, put in a clarification note just

1074
00:58:24.945 --> 00:58:27.185
to say the funding for these is secured

1075
00:58:27.485 --> 00:58:31.425
and, um, that that will be, uh, just to, just to withdraw

1076
00:58:31.425 --> 00:58:34.225
that pre previous comment, which was, um, misleading.

1077
00:58:34.955 --> 00:58:36.405
Okay. Thank you for that clarification.

1078
00:58:36.785 --> 00:58:39.645
Um, does the counter council, does

1079
00:58:39.645 --> 00:58:42.645

that give the counter council any further reassurance in,

1080
00:58:42.665 --> 00:58:44.645
in terms of the comments regarding funding

1081
00:58:44.945 --> 00:58:48.685
and the, um, the audit schemes, uh,

1082
00:58:48.685 --> 00:58:50.045
which the applicants refer to

1083
00:58:51.895 --> 00:58:53.495
Sarah Wilkinson for the county council?

1084
00:58:53.955 --> 00:58:57.735
Um, partially I think, um, the, the funding issue, um,

1085
00:58:59.825 --> 00:59:01.645
sounds like it's no longer a concern.

1086
00:59:02.145 --> 00:59:07.005
Um, the, in terms of the quality of, uh, offsetting, uh,

1087
00:59:07.035 --> 00:59:10.005
credits, uh, schemes available, I would need

1088
00:59:10.005 --> 00:59:12.645
to see the details of those, uh, from the applicant

1089
00:59:12.645 --> 00:59:14.405
before I could answer that one.

1090
00:59:16.495 --> 00:59:18.035
See the applicant is nodding. I think if

1091
00:59:18.035 --> 00:59:21.610
that information can be shared, sounds like

1092
00:59:21.610 --> 00:59:23.715
that would go some way towards reassuring the council.

1093
00:59:29.825 --> 00:59:33.205
Yes, madam, we will do that as soon as possible

1094
00:59:33.665 --> 00:59:37.445
and hopefully, um, between us we'll all have, um,

1095
00:59:37.715 --> 00:59:39.645
further progress by stage six.

1096
00:59:40.135 --> 00:59:44.655
Thank you. Um, I did have a question on the regulator

1097
00:59:45.195 --> 00:59:47.055
and um, expenditure.

1098
00:59:47.055 --> 00:59:48.215
So that's answer that one. Thank you.

1099
00:59:48.995 --> 00:59:53.605
Um, moving on to gas to grid, um,

1100
00:59:55.905 --> 00:59:58.725
uh, appendix two of the statement of common ground

1101
00:59:58.725 --> 01:00:02.845
with Cadent gas rep 5 89 provides a report from Caden

1102
01:00:02.845 --> 01:00:06.245
regarding the potential to inject by methane gas produced

1103
01:00:06.345 --> 01:00:09.485
by the pro proposed development into the main gas network.

1104
01:00:10.505 --> 01:00:14.205
The report refers to a figure of 650 standard cubic meters

1105
01:00:14.205 --> 01:00:15.205
of gas per hour

1106
01:00:15.465 --> 01:00:17.285

of bio methane being injected into the

1107
01:00:17.285 --> 01:00:18.445
grid on a continual basis.

1108
01:00:19.415 --> 01:00:21.685
Could the applicant confirm if this is a reasonable

1109
01:00:21.685 --> 01:00:23.205
assumption in terms of the amount

1110
01:00:23.205 --> 01:00:26.005
of gas which the proposed development could produce,

1111
01:00:26.585 --> 01:00:28.685
or is there a possibility that there, um,

1112
01:00:28.685 --> 01:00:30.005
could be more gas produced

1113
01:00:30.025 --> 01:00:32.565
by the proposed wastewater treatment plant

1114
01:00:32.825 --> 01:00:34.205
and if so, what would happen?

1115
01:00:44.975 --> 01:00:46.325
James Eaton for the applicant?

1116
01:00:46.985 --> 01:00:48.365
Um, from what McDonald's, sorry.

1117
01:00:49.145 --> 01:00:53.685
Um, I would, I'm less familiar with those numbers, uh,

1118
01:00:53.685 --> 01:00:56.565
but my colleague pri de parlo online is more familiar,

1119
01:00:56.705 --> 01:00:59.005
so I think he will step in and answer that question.

1120
01:01:03.805 --> 01:01:05.465
Yep. Again, I'd have to check the exact

1121
01:01:05.465 --> 01:01:06.545
numbers myself as well.

1122
01:01:06.805 --> 01:01:09.945
Um, but yes, the, the plant has been sized to take

1123
01:01:10.735 --> 01:01:14.985
into account um, what is likely to be able

1124
01:01:15.065 --> 01:01:16.265
to be injected to the grid

1125
01:01:16.485 --> 01:01:19.025
and alongside the backup would be if the

1126
01:01:19.535 --> 01:01:21.185
grid application wasn't, oh, sorry.

1127
01:01:21.285 --> 01:01:25.505
If there was a time where we were producing too much gas

1128
01:01:25.575 --> 01:01:27.545
that could be injected into grid, there would need

1129
01:01:27.545 --> 01:01:29.385
to be storage and flaring.

1130
01:01:29.645 --> 01:01:32.905
Um, and the idea is that it's been sized to make sure

1131
01:01:32.905 --> 01:01:34.025
that everything

1132
01:01:34.025 --> 01:01:35.945
that's produced can be injected into the grid.

1133
01:01:41.945 --> 01:01:45.905

So, so do you want me to get just to say that, so in terms

1134
01:01:45.925 --> 01:01:50.705
of the, the, the statement from Caden gave us confidence

1135
01:01:50.705 --> 01:01:52.385
that we're sizing the plant at the right size,

1136
01:01:52.385 --> 01:01:55.425
that we're not gonna face substantial periods of time un

1137
01:01:55.605 --> 01:01:57.185
unless there's a maintenance issue

1138
01:01:57.245 --> 01:02:00.305
or a, you know, unexpected grid capacity issue.

1139
01:02:00.405 --> 01:02:03.065
So a short period of storage or flaring be required. Yeah.

1140
01:02:04.605 --> 01:02:05.735
Okay. Thank you. Yep.

1141
01:02:09.475 --> 01:02:11.935
And moving on to uh, net zero operation

1142
01:02:11.935 --> 01:02:15.375
of the proposed development in response

1143
01:02:15.375 --> 01:02:19.095
to EXQ 2.6 point 13, uh,

1144
01:02:19.185 --> 01:02:20.605
rep 5 1 1 1.

1145
01:02:21.265 --> 01:02:23.605
The applicant states that they are only committing

1146
01:02:23.625 --> 01:02:26.645
so operational carbon neutrality of the plant equipment

1147
01:02:26.645 --> 01:02:27.765
for the proposed development

1148
01:02:28.345 --> 01:02:30.405
and not for associated transport movements.

1149
01:02:30.875 --> 01:02:34.645
However, the outline carbon management plan rep 4 64 states

1150
01:02:34.745 --> 01:02:37.765
at paragraph one point, uh, 12.

1151
01:02:38.355 --> 01:02:41.565
This outline operational carbon management plan provides an

1152
01:02:41.565 --> 01:02:43.445
outline of how the applicant intends

1153
01:02:43.445 --> 01:02:46.485
to achieve operational net zero emissions over the lifetime

1154
01:02:46.485 --> 01:02:47.565
of the proposed development.

1155
01:02:48.135 --> 01:02:50.445
There is no reference to this appliance to plant only.

1156
01:02:51.315 --> 01:02:54.205
Furthermore, residual transport emissions are covered within

1157
01:02:54.425 --> 01:02:58.805
tables 3.1, 3.2, um, and 4.1

1158
01:02:58.905 --> 01:03:00.845
and paragraphs 3.37

1159
01:03:01.625 --> 01:03:04.765
and 3.47 of the outlying carbon management plan.

1160
01:03:06.735 --> 01:03:10.905

The draft DCO requirement 21 2 states

1161
01:03:10.935 --> 01:03:14.665
that the detailed carbon management plan submitted

1162
01:03:14.725 --> 01:03:16.185
for approval must accord

1163
01:03:16.215 --> 01:03:17.945
with the measure set out in the outline

1164
01:03:17.945 --> 01:03:19.025
carbon management plan.

1165
01:03:19.645 --> 01:03:21.385
And must detail how the operation

1166
01:03:21.385 --> 01:03:24.105
of the authorized development achieves carbon net zero.

1167
01:03:25.325 --> 01:03:28.145
My question is, how would these documents secure net zero

1168
01:03:28.145 --> 01:03:31.105
carbon operation of plant equipment only rather than net

1169
01:03:31.105 --> 01:03:33.105
zero carbon operation of the whole

1170
01:03:33.105 --> 01:03:34.345
of the proposed development?

1171
01:03:40.115 --> 01:03:42.085
This is pri parler for the applicant.

1172
01:03:42.785 --> 01:03:45.265
Um, so a couple of points

1173
01:03:45.285 --> 01:03:47.865
of clarification being the transport value

1174
01:03:48.425 --> 01:03:51.065
provided within the tables are for, um,

1175
01:03:51.415 --> 01:03:53.625
treated sludge leaving the site for disposal.

1176
01:03:54.445 --> 01:03:59.225
Um, with that being produced on site, the other question was

1177
01:03:59.225 --> 01:04:03.595
around, um, the sludge movements being part of,

1178
01:04:04.495 --> 01:04:06.835
um, the operation of the site, I guess.

1179
01:04:07.335 --> 01:04:10.115
Um, the applicant has a net zero strategy

1180
01:04:10.175 --> 01:04:11.675
for its overall operation of works.

1181
01:04:12.255 --> 01:04:16.635
Um, the idea was that by incorporating the transport of

1182
01:04:16.635 --> 01:04:18.995
that, that is already being part of their

1183
01:04:20.005 --> 01:04:22.435
wider sector level net zero commitment.

1184
01:04:23.215 --> 01:04:27.115
The movement of those isn't calculated on a site

1185
01:04:27.135 --> 01:04:29.035
by site level, if that kind of makes sense.

1186
01:04:29.095 --> 01:04:30.795
So whilst there is a general number

1187
01:04:30.895 --> 01:04:34.995

of imports coming into the site, they are managed in a way

1188
01:04:34.995 --> 01:04:37.235
to keep those, those transport journeys as low

1189
01:04:37.235 --> 01:04:39.675
as possible across their asset portfolio.

1190
01:04:39.815 --> 01:04:42.595
So their sludge treatment center portfolio, um,

1191
01:04:42.695 --> 01:04:46.275
to reduce costs to make sure sludge is not staying within,

1192
01:04:46.415 --> 01:04:48.475
um, interim storage, uh,

1193
01:04:48.505 --> 01:04:50.355
interim treatment sites for as long as possible.

1194
01:04:50.415 --> 01:04:52.675
So the idea being

1195
01:04:53.775 --> 01:04:55.875
the operational carbon management plan covers

1196
01:04:56.025 --> 01:05:00.075
what will be happening on the site whilst the applicant has

1197
01:05:00.075 --> 01:05:02.915
already got a net zero strategy to, um,

1198
01:05:03.225 --> 01:05:05.795
decarbonize its transport movements already over time.

1199
01:05:09.895 --> 01:05:13.455
Okay. I think we are looking at carbon

1200
01:05:14.165 --> 01:05:18.495
generally though, and in terms of the terms what secured

1201
01:05:18.495 --> 01:05:21.095
through or what would be secured through this draft DCO

1202
01:05:21.095 --> 01:05:23.455
and the carbon management plan, um,

1203
01:05:24.735 --> 01:05:26.795
and look at that, that also feeds into the whole life

1204
01:05:26.795 --> 01:05:29.075
assessment, uh, whole life carbon assessment as well.

1205
01:05:30.335 --> 01:05:33.665
Um, and like I said that your previous response was saying

1206
01:05:33.665 --> 01:05:36.705
that this is foc, the operational carbon management plan is

1207
01:05:36.945 --> 01:05:38.265
focusing only on plant equipment.

1208
01:05:38.325 --> 01:05:42.785
Are, are you, you know, recognizing there are corporate, um,

1209
01:05:43.385 --> 01:05:44.385
responsibilities, et cetera,

1210
01:05:44.385 --> 01:05:46.705
but in terms of what's controlled through the,

1211
01:05:46.765 --> 01:05:50.825
the draft ECO, is it focusing on planned only

1212
01:05:52.465 --> 01:05:54.445
or the whole operation of the proposed development?

1213
01:05:54.445 --> 01:05:55.525
Because there seems to be,

1214
01:05:55.745 --> 01:05:57.845

to me a different stance which has been now taken

1215
01:05:58.425 --> 01:06:01.165
in response to the last questions that we asked on this,

1216
01:06:01.705 --> 01:06:03.865
But um, yeah,

1217
01:06:04.215 --> 01:06:08.365
Yeah, I think I, I I,

1218
01:06:08.825 --> 01:06:10.085
I'm gonna say I would like take that away

1219
01:06:10.205 --> 01:06:12.125
'cause I think the wording of plant equipment may be

1220
01:06:12.125 --> 01:06:13.925
misleading there.

1221
01:06:14.185 --> 01:06:17.405
Um, I think the only bit that we've ever said that we want

1222
01:06:17.405 --> 01:06:20.125
to um, manage outside

1223
01:06:20.125 --> 01:06:22.405
of the operational carbon management plan is the sludge

1224
01:06:22.405 --> 01:06:24.965
import transports, but everything else operating within the

1225
01:06:24.965 --> 01:06:26.485
site is covered under the

1226
01:06:26.485 --> 01:06:27.805
operational carbon management plan.

1227
01:06:29.325 --> 01:06:30.865
That's how I had understood it today.

1228
01:06:30.925 --> 01:06:33.985
But as I said, EXQ 2.6 point 13 states

1229
01:06:33.985 --> 01:06:36.465
that they are only committing, you are only committing

1230
01:06:36.465 --> 01:06:38.745
to operational carbon neutrality of the plant equipment.

1231
01:06:39.405 --> 01:06:41.385
So that felt like a change in stance.

1232
01:06:42.185 --> 01:06:43.505
I don't think there is an actual state.

1233
01:06:43.645 --> 01:06:44.985
So yeah, I think we can confirm

1234
01:06:44.985 --> 01:06:46.265
that there isn't a change in stance,

1235
01:06:46.285 --> 01:06:49.425
but probably, uh, an update to wording to clarify that,

1236
01:06:49.455 --> 01:06:51.585
that we are still talking about operational net zero

1237
01:06:52.165 --> 01:06:55.025
of the scheme at the site. Yeah.

1238
01:06:55.935 --> 01:06:58.585
Okay. Thank you. Yeah, if you could take that away please.

1239
01:06:58.655 --> 01:07:02.555
Yeah. So

1240
01:07:03.825 --> 01:07:07.755
for example, with any future expansion plans for example

1241
01:07:08.275 --> 01:07:10.475

required to meet population increase over the lifetime

1242
01:07:10.475 --> 01:07:12.275
of the development, could

1243
01:07:12.475 --> 01:07:14.795
that increase traffic movements to and from the site?

1244
01:07:15.015 --> 01:07:16.675
So deliveries case

1245
01:07:16.695 --> 01:07:17.155
Get cold,

1246
01:07:22.135 --> 01:07:23.285
Could everybody make sure

1247
01:07:23.285 --> 01:07:25.365
that microphones are turned off online please.

1248
01:07:29.125 --> 01:07:30.595
Thank you. Um,

1249
01:07:31.135 --> 01:07:33.835
so traffic movements include sludge deliveries,

1250
01:07:33.905 --> 01:07:35.515
Cape transportations from the site.

1251
01:07:35.895 --> 01:07:39.075
Um, uh, are you saying that

1252
01:07:39.585 --> 01:07:41.995
they would not be managed from a carbon

1253
01:07:42.175 --> 01:07:43.835
or monitored from a carbon perspective

1254
01:07:46.705 --> 01:07:47.795
through the DCO?

1255
01:07:51.175 --> 01:07:54.055
Um, say pri for the applicant?

1256
01:07:54.675 --> 01:07:57.295
The sizing of the ledge treatment center at the moment

1257
01:07:57.295 --> 01:08:01.055
already re limits the amount of imports

1258
01:08:01.055 --> 01:08:02.815
that the site could feasibly take already.

1259
01:08:02.915 --> 01:08:05.375
So there's not a risk at the current sizing

1260
01:08:06.795 --> 01:08:08.215
for an increase of transport movements.

1261
01:08:08.215 --> 01:08:10.015
There wouldn't be the possibility of the sludge treatment

1262
01:08:10.015 --> 01:08:11.215
center to treat that anyway.

1263
01:08:11.235 --> 01:08:14.695
So there would need to be, um, expansion at the site,

1264
01:08:14.695 --> 01:08:17.125
which would need to go through its own process already

1265
01:08:17.145 --> 01:08:20.045
and traffic movements then assessed at that point already.

1266
01:08:25.075 --> 01:08:25.545
Thank you.

1267
01:08:34.985 --> 01:08:37.485
Can you confirm, can the applicant confirm are there any

1268
01:08:37.485 --> 01:08:40.405

other elements or processes which would generate carbon

1269
01:08:40.685 --> 01:08:43.485
emissions associated with the proposed development, um,

1270
01:08:43.485 --> 01:08:46.365
which are not captured by the carbon management plan?

1271
01:08:58.455 --> 01:09:00.165
Sorry, pri the parlor for the applicant.

1272
01:09:00.165 --> 01:09:02.405
Sorry, just having a a think there just to make sure.

1273
01:09:03.065 --> 01:09:06.165
So we've mentioned the imports of the sludge, um,

1274
01:09:06.785 --> 01:09:08.605
sludge imports, which would be managed through

1275
01:09:09.185 --> 01:09:11.045
the already the operational net zero strategy.

1276
01:09:12.505 --> 01:09:14.155
Nothing else as of yet,

1277
01:09:14.155 --> 01:09:16.435
other than what's already stated in the operational carbon

1278
01:09:16.435 --> 01:09:17.435
management plan.

1279
01:09:17.705 --> 01:09:21.725
Yeah, no, nothing that has

1280
01:09:21.725 --> 01:09:22.885
been explicitly excluded.

1281
01:09:23.155 --> 01:09:24.155
Yeah.

1282
01:09:25.045 --> 01:09:29.005
Thank you. Um,

1283
01:09:29.385 --> 01:09:31.685
may may just seek a point of clarification here

1284
01:09:31.765 --> 01:09:33.245
'cause I don't think we'd like to mislead you,

1285
01:09:33.245 --> 01:09:36.605
but my understanding is that vehicle movements are not part

1286
01:09:36.725 --> 01:09:39.915
of the operational carbon management plan.

1287
01:09:40.865 --> 01:09:42.205
Yes, that's that. Thank you.

1288
01:09:46.035 --> 01:09:48.975
Now the point of clarification is the building

1289
01:09:49.075 --> 01:09:52.735
of the plant included in, um, counting the carbon

1290
01:09:54.375 --> 01:09:55.395
in terms of the concrete

1291
01:09:55.415 --> 01:09:59.085
and the, the physical construction of the plant.

1292
01:10:02.705 --> 01:10:06.205
Uh, mom, uh, the, uh, the building,

1293
01:10:06.425 --> 01:10:07.765
the construction carbon is,

1294
01:10:07.865 --> 01:10:09.325
is accounted for in a different way.

1295
01:10:09.465 --> 01:10:10.965

The project would not be net zero

1296
01:10:10.985 --> 01:10:12.125
for its construction impact.

1297
01:10:12.145 --> 01:10:15.285
So it is, it's only operation operationally net zero.

1298
01:10:15.475 --> 01:10:19.325
However, the design code commits the

1299
01:10:19.915 --> 01:10:23.605
applicant to very ambitious carbon reduction, uh,

1300
01:10:23.995 --> 01:10:26.325
targets within the construction period.

1301
01:10:28.675 --> 01:10:30.705
Madam, you'll recall that Mr.

1302
01:10:30.965 --> 01:10:34.025
Dexter dealt with that, uh, last time around

1303
01:10:35.915 --> 01:10:36.915
Ms. Cotton.

1304
01:10:39.135 --> 01:10:41.145
Yeah, I would just firstly like to underline,

1305
01:10:41.145 --> 01:10:44.065
I think we're all recognize that, uh, uh, none

1306
01:10:44.065 --> 01:10:47.185
of this is overall good for the planet at all

1307
01:10:47.605 --> 01:10:49.945
and, uh, that it is completely unnecessary

1308
01:10:50.045 --> 01:10:51.705
to be building the sewage plant when

1309
01:10:51.705 --> 01:10:52.785
there is no operational need.

1310
01:10:52.785 --> 01:10:54.065
So the overall good thing

1311
01:10:54.065 --> 01:10:55.665
for the planet would not to be relocating it.

1312
01:10:56.045 --> 01:10:59.345
And I just, uh, wanted to, uh, uh, dig down given

1313
01:10:59.345 --> 01:11:01.105
that we're looking at carbon figures so much.

1314
01:11:01.245 --> 01:11:03.585
And it was interesting this explanation of how the,

1315
01:11:03.765 --> 01:11:05.825
the carbon associated with transport was going

1316
01:11:05.825 --> 01:11:07.265
to be taken care of under the sort

1317
01:11:07.265 --> 01:11:09.825
of water sector's commitment to get to net zero by 2030.

1318
01:11:10.255 --> 01:11:13.065
Does that mean, uh, in order that, uh,

1319
01:11:13.225 --> 01:11:15.645
all this fantastic operational net zero

1320
01:11:15.645 --> 01:11:17.245
that you're talking about for this sewage plant

1321
01:11:17.915 --> 01:11:22.565
will be excluded from, uh, Anglia waters, uh, promise

1322
01:11:22.745 --> 01:11:24.285

to reach those, those levels

1323
01:11:24.285 --> 01:11:26.605
because of course they should not be taking credit for it

1324
01:11:26.605 --> 01:11:27.965
because it's being paid for the taxpayer.

1325
01:11:28.345 --> 01:11:32.205
And that by building a sewage plant with, with, uh,

1326
01:11:32.355 --> 01:11:36.125
operational net zero, net zero, uh, uh, credibility,

1327
01:11:36.275 --> 01:11:38.405
that it doesn't mean that that will infect mean

1328
01:11:38.405 --> 01:11:42.085
that they'll have to do less, uh, to meet their promise, uh,

1329
01:11:42.185 --> 01:11:45.605
for other aspects of their, um, uh, work in the area.

1330
01:11:45.605 --> 01:11:47.205
Do you see what I mean? Because if you're able to say

1331
01:11:47.845 --> 01:11:49.925
transport's covered by our, our, our pledge

1332
01:11:50.085 --> 01:11:52.205
that we've made recently for 2030

1333
01:11:52.355 --> 01:11:54.645
therefore, in fact, this sewage plant paid for

1334
01:11:54.645 --> 01:11:56.285
by the taxpayer should not be included.

1335
01:11:56.305 --> 01:11:58.525
And they should continue to, uh, meet that promise

1336
01:11:58.555 --> 01:12:00.845
that they will finance themselves, um,

1337
01:12:00.905 --> 01:12:03.365
but also not forgetting that very first important point

1338
01:12:03.475 --> 01:12:05.645
that it shouldn't be relocated in the first place.

1339
01:12:05.645 --> 01:12:08.525
Sorry to make that point again and again.

1340
01:12:10.915 --> 01:12:12.495
The applicant provided response, please.

1341
01:12:16.745 --> 01:12:19.185
I, I don't think we've got much further to add there.

1342
01:12:19.185 --> 01:12:21.265
The plant will be operationally net zero.

1343
01:12:21.605 --> 01:12:24.105
The water industry's committed to be operationally net zero.

1344
01:12:24.645 --> 01:12:27.725
It is consistent with those 2030 targets

1345
01:12:27.725 --> 01:12:29.125
and the 2050 net zero target.

1346
01:12:29.425 --> 01:12:31.445
Uh, I think we've, we've made

1347
01:12:31.445 --> 01:12:32.885
that clear throughout the examination,

1348
01:12:32.945 --> 01:12:34.605
so nothing further to add there, really.

1349
01:12:40.145 --> 01:12:42.685

Thanks. Um, a point of clarification

1350
01:12:42.685 --> 01:12:44.605
regarding this significant of effect,

1351
01:12:44.605 --> 01:12:46.565
significant sub effects, um,

1352
01:12:46.705 --> 01:12:50.325
if I can table four point 11 vs.

1353
01:12:50.325 --> 01:12:54.445
Chapter 10, which rep 5 32 confirms

1354
01:12:54.445 --> 01:12:58.085
that the effect from net carbon, uh, emissions

1355
01:12:58.085 --> 01:12:59.125
for the whole life assessment

1356
01:12:59.425 --> 01:13:01.445
for the CHP option would be moderate

1357
01:13:01.515 --> 01:13:03.365
adverse, which is significant.

1358
01:13:04.605 --> 01:13:08.625
The summary of effects table five dash one states that

1359
01:13:08.625 --> 01:13:11.025
for the CHP option for the whole life carbon assessment,

1360
01:13:11.125 --> 01:13:13.825
the original residual effect would be moderate adverse,

1361
01:13:13.965 --> 01:13:16.425
but does not refer to the effect being significant.

1362
01:13:17.055 --> 01:13:20.545
Does table five dash one of ES chapter 10 need updating

1363
01:13:20.645 --> 01:13:22.185
to be specific in that regard?

1364
01:13:24.845 --> 01:13:26.505
Yes. De parler for the applicant, yes.

1365
01:13:26.615 --> 01:13:29.715
That is a, a minor update that we can make.

1366
01:13:29.795 --> 01:13:30.915
I think it should be referred to a

1367
01:13:30.915 --> 01:13:32.115
significant, they should be aligned.

1368
01:13:33.645 --> 01:13:37.535
Thank you. Again, a point

1369
01:13:37.535 --> 01:13:38.735
of clarification if I may.

1370
01:13:39.035 --> 01:13:42.545
Um, can the applicant clarify what it means

1371
01:13:42.605 --> 01:13:47.025
by the statement at the end of paragraph 4.4 0.9

1372
01:13:47.885 --> 01:13:51.465
of ES chapter 10, rep 5 32, that

1373
01:13:52.175 --> 01:13:54.985
this does not take into account the highest standard

1374
01:13:55.005 --> 01:13:58.305
of effluent treated provided by the proposed development.

1375
01:13:59.495 --> 01:14:01.425
This statement is in relation to the,

1376
01:14:02.085 --> 01:14:04.065

to a discussion on net carbon emissions

1377
01:14:04.065 --> 01:14:05.145
for the preferred option.

1378
01:14:05.735 --> 01:14:08.825
Does it suggest that certain processes are excluded from

1379
01:14:08.825 --> 01:14:10.865
assessment from for the preferred option, for example,

1380
01:14:13.345 --> 01:14:15.045
Um, par for the applicant?

1381
01:14:15.425 --> 01:14:18.405
No, I think that's, well, it's not saying it taking,

1382
01:14:18.555 --> 01:14:20.285
it's not taking into account the fact

1383
01:14:20.285 --> 01:14:24.725
that whilst the tons CO2 E per megaliter figures are per

1384
01:14:24.725 --> 01:14:27.125
megaliter, there's not another metric

1385
01:14:27.145 --> 01:14:30.605
or functional unit definition in there that also explains

1386
01:14:30.605 --> 01:14:34.965
that whilst there might be, um, a higher carbon impact,

1387
01:14:35.465 --> 01:14:38.885
that's also achieving a higher unit quality for

1388
01:14:38.885 --> 01:14:40.005
that for each megaliter.

1389
01:14:40.985 --> 01:14:43.325
So it's not about excluding anything from that assessment,

1390
01:14:43.395 --> 01:14:44.645
it's just about saying it's not, um,

1391
01:14:45.155 --> 01:14:47.165
also providing a description for the fact

1392
01:14:47.165 --> 01:14:49.525
that the proposed development provides a

1393
01:14:49.525 --> 01:14:51.005
higher affluent quality standard.

1394
01:14:59.005 --> 01:14:59.475
Thank you.

1395
01:15:04.045 --> 01:15:05.785
Um, so the design code, Ms.

1396
01:15:05.785 --> 01:15:07.545
Hutton, um, touched on this earlier,

1397
01:15:07.605 --> 01:15:11.025
but, uh, ture district council's response to, um,

1398
01:15:11.325 --> 01:15:16.025
ex Q2 0.6 0.6 should wrap 5 1 2, 2 states

1399
01:15:16.025 --> 01:15:18.705
that the use of the word should within the design within

1400
01:15:18.845 --> 01:15:21.265
design code, uh, PR one

1401
01:15:22.175 --> 01:15:25.025
does not satisfactorily secure brim excellent rating

1402
01:15:25.045 --> 01:15:26.745
for the gateway building and workshop.

1403
01:15:27.965 --> 01:15:31.185

Um, could the word should be amended to shall

1404
01:15:35.105 --> 01:15:36.105
Yes, madam.

1405
01:15:36.885 --> 01:15:38.305
Um, thank you.

1406
01:15:38.705 --> 01:15:40.745
I think so I run a quick search

1407
01:15:40.745 --> 01:15:45.545
and should, um, is used 127 times in that document.

1408
01:15:46.805 --> 01:15:48.865
Um, so I think if you're amending one,

1409
01:15:48.865 --> 01:15:51.385
then the rest should all be amended to thank you.

1410
01:15:58.995 --> 01:16:00.935
Uh, carbon, um, sequestration.

1411
01:16:01.155 --> 01:16:05.605
So at um, ISH three,

1412
01:16:05.745 --> 01:16:06.885
the applicant confirmed

1413
01:16:06.885 --> 01:16:09.405
that carbon sequestration from land use would not be

1414
01:16:09.405 --> 01:16:12.445
monitored beyond the management period set out within the

1415
01:16:12.445 --> 01:16:14.965
landscape ecological and recreational management plan.

1416
01:16:15.225 --> 01:16:18.925
Rep 5 62, um, which is a 30 year period.

1417
01:16:19.555 --> 01:16:23.415
This is confirmed by paragraph 5.2 0.1

1418
01:16:24.865 --> 01:16:27.245
of the outlined, uh, carbon management plan.

1419
01:16:27.885 --> 01:16:31.295
However, the outlined carbon management plan also refers the

1420
01:16:31.365 --> 01:16:33.615
sequestration benefits over the lifetime

1421
01:16:33.635 --> 01:16:34.815
of the proposed development.

1422
01:16:35.195 --> 01:16:39.015
And paragraph 4.9, there appears to be a slight conflict

1423
01:16:39.015 --> 01:16:41.215
with the 30 year monitoring period in that regard.

1424
01:16:42.845 --> 01:16:44.255
Furthermore, if the monitoring

1425
01:16:44.255 --> 01:16:46.415
of carbon sequestration from grassland

1426
01:16:46.415 --> 01:16:47.975
and deciduous woodland ceases

1427
01:16:47.975 --> 01:16:51.975
after 30 years, how could the conclusions within ES chapter

1428
01:16:52.155 --> 01:16:55.415
10, uh, regarding the sequestration of the, um,

1429
01:16:55.445 --> 01:16:59.575
just over 3000, um, tons of, uh, carbon dioxide equivalent

1430
01:16:59.705 --> 01:17:02.015

until 2019 be relied upon?

1431
01:17:02.315 --> 01:17:04.255
And does this affect the findings of the yes

1432
01:17:12.695 --> 01:17:13.695
For the applicant? Um, and

1433
01:17:13.695 --> 01:17:14.575
I do wanna go away

1434
01:17:14.675 --> 01:17:18.735
and check this, that it hasn't strayed from the assessment,

1435
01:17:18.835 --> 01:17:21.815
but the, I the assessment has always said

1436
01:17:21.815 --> 01:17:23.495
that once the monitoring ends,

1437
01:17:23.495 --> 01:17:25.655
the sequestration benefits are no longer claimed.

1438
01:17:26.155 --> 01:17:27.975
So I just wanna make sure that the numbers reflect that

1439
01:17:27.975 --> 01:17:29.415
and they still do, but that is

1440
01:17:29.415 --> 01:17:32.175
what the assessment should show.

1441
01:17:33.435 --> 01:17:36.835
Yeah, so, so that's fine. Yeah. Yeah.

1442
01:17:36.975 --> 01:17:39.395
Whilst it says the whole life the carbon sequestration

1443
01:17:39.635 --> 01:17:41.835
benefits should stop after 30 years of the

1444
01:17:41.955 --> 01:17:44.235
Monetary, yeah, I think it's about aligning all

1445
01:17:44.235 --> 01:17:46.555
of the documentation so that they tie up with one another.

1446
01:17:47.055 --> 01:17:49.035
Um, but, and ensuring that the fines

1447
01:17:49.275 --> 01:17:51.825
of the year are obviously therefore reliable.

1448
01:17:52.285 --> 01:17:54.265
But if I can ask that you, you check that

1449
01:17:54.265 --> 01:17:55.265
for the next deadline, please.

1450
01:17:55.735 --> 01:17:56.025
Okay.

1451
01:18:01.965 --> 01:18:06.145
So if, if the, um, carbon SU sequestration, um,

1452
01:18:06.695 --> 01:18:08.145
from land use is not monitored

1453
01:18:08.145 --> 01:18:10.345
after 30 years, presumably the proposed development would

1454
01:18:10.345 --> 01:18:13.385
have to compensate for the loss of any benefit derived from

1455
01:18:13.545 --> 01:18:15.785
that in the overall net counting anyway,

1456
01:18:20.895 --> 01:18:21.895
Yes. The carbon,

1457
01:18:21.895 --> 01:18:22.455

yeah.

1458
01:18:28.525 --> 01:18:31.145
Uh, those were the questions that I had on carbon.

1459
01:18:31.525 --> 01:18:34.185
Before we move on, does anybody have else have any comments

1460
01:18:34.285 --> 01:18:35.465
on agenda item five?

1461
01:18:36.735 --> 01:18:37.755
Yes, Mr. Gilda?

1462
01:18:42.025 --> 01:18:44.365
Um, I was just gonna raise one.

1463
01:18:44.525 --> 01:18:48.445
I I think all our points have been surprisingly well dealt

1464
01:18:48.445 --> 01:18:50.525
with in the discussion so far.

1465
01:18:51.105 --> 01:18:55.245
Bar one. Um, in our representation on the draft design code,

1466
01:18:55.615 --> 01:18:58.965
we've made quite extensive comments on particularly the

1467
01:18:59.765 --> 01:19:02.565
procedures and mechanisms for ensuring

1468
01:19:02.685 --> 01:19:06.725
that the construction capital carbon, um, targets are met.

1469
01:19:06.725 --> 01:19:09.525
And indeed we've suggested, unlike Mr.

1470
01:19:09.625 --> 01:19:12.005
Pro, that they could be made slightly more stringent than,

1471
01:19:12.155 --> 01:19:15.415
than was, um, set out in that document going

1472
01:19:15.415 --> 01:19:17.295
beyond the 55%.

1473
01:19:17.755 --> 01:19:20.495
Um, we've not of course had a response, um,

1474
01:19:20.685 --> 01:19:21.975
from the applicant to that.

1475
01:19:21.995 --> 01:19:25.415
We believe that there are useful changes that should be made

1476
01:19:25.415 --> 01:19:29.815
to the drafting of the, the design code if that's the place

1477
01:19:29.815 --> 01:19:33.855
that the applicant wants to keep that material, um, in order

1478
01:19:33.855 --> 01:19:36.175
to be absolutely clear how that commitment's going

1479
01:19:36.175 --> 01:19:37.535
to be delivered and monitored.

1480
01:19:38.175 --> 01:19:41.715
Um, it may be something that the applicant intends

1481
01:19:41.715 --> 01:19:43.155
to address at at D six

1482
01:19:43.155 --> 01:19:45.555
and it would be helpful to know if that is, is the case.

1483
01:19:51.985 --> 01:19:54.525
Um, ma'am, we, we will respond

1484
01:19:54.545 --> 01:19:58.085

to Safe Honey Hill's comments at, at D six, uh, with, with,

1485
01:19:58.085 --> 01:20:00.165
with a commentary on their proposals.

1486
01:20:21.955 --> 01:20:25.105
I don't see any further hands in the room or virtually.

1487
01:20:25.745 --> 01:20:27.505
I think, um, before we move on

1488
01:20:27.505 --> 01:20:29.145
to the next agenda item, we'll take a short break.

1489
01:20:32.395 --> 01:20:36.095
Um, so we'll uh, come back at uh, 25 past three.

1490
01:20:36.875 --> 01:20:38.295
The hearing's adjourned. I.