



## The Planning Inspectorate

### Application by Anglian Water Services Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Cambridge Waste Water Treatment Plant Relocation project (Ref WW010003)

#### Action points arising from Issue Specific Hearing (ISH) 4 on 13 And 14 March 2024

All information to be provided by Deadline 6 – Tuesday 2 April 2024

Action No.	Directed to	Action
<b>Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO)</b>		
1.	Applicant	Provide justification as to how the proposed Waterbeach Pipeline South element (Schedule 1, Work No. 36) falls within the description of the Proposed Development as set out within the five bullet points listed within the Secretary of State (SoS) s35 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA2008) direction (noting that the application documents do not appear to specify that it would have any connections to the proposed Waste Water Treatment Plant).
2.	Applicant	Set out the implications for the dDCO and other application documents were the Waterbeach Pipeline South element to be removed from the dDCO.
3.	Applicant	Update Schedule 1, Work No.3 to refer only to visitor parking rather than public / visitor parking.
4.	Applicant	Confirm whether the proposed office worker parking provision aligns with the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 Policy TI/3 and Figure 11 (in terms of number of spaces per sqm and with reference to information provided in response to ExQ2.1.8 and ExQ2.20.4).
5.	Applicant	Provide details of the floorspace / facilities (including floorspace in square metres (sqm)) which are currently envisaged to be located in the Gateway Building in the event that the Examining Authority (ExA) and / or the SoS considers that the office floorspace and Discovery Centre do not constitute associated development for the purposes of PA2008. How much floorspace could be created if an internal floor was installed in the proposed Workshop Building (e.g. above the messing facilities and adjacent rooms).

<b>6.</b>	Applicant	Provide a detailed note on why the Applicant considers the Gateway Building, parking to the front of it and the workshop building to fall within the definition of 'associated development' under PA2008, noting that some of the functions they would provide do not appear to be necessary for the direct day-to-day operation of the proposed Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP).
<b>7.</b>	Applicant	Indicate any necessary consequential changes to the dDCO and other application documents should the ExA and / or SoS consider that any elements, such as the Gateway Building (Work No.19) and parking to the front of it (Work No.3) do not constitute associated development for the purposes of PA2008.
<b>8.</b>	Applicant	Noting the Green Belt location, and potential to reduce harm to openness, clarify whether there is scope to reduce the height of the proposed Gateway Building to 5 metres so as not to extend above the height of the proposed earth bund. Also, provide your view as to the implications were the ExA to recommend Schedule 14, Part 18 (buildings and other facilities) be amended in this regard.
<b>9.</b>	Applicant	Ensure consistency between the term 'Flare Stack' in Schedule 14, Part 15 and the term 'waste gas burner' in Schedule 1, Work No.8(o).
<b>10.</b>	Applicant	Review Schedule 14 (all Parts) and include references to the relevant Schedule 1 Work Nos in the interests of clarity.
<b>11.</b>	Applicant	Change 'pink' to 'orange' in Schedule 16 and add a further hedgerow to this schedule as necessary, including an explanation as to whether this is an important hedgerow.
<b>12.</b>	Applicant	Add the Conservators of the River Cam as a consultee to Schedule 2, Requirement (R) 10 (outfall).
<b>13.</b>	Applicant / Cambridgeshire County Council (CCoC)	Liaise regarding the framework archaeological investigation mitigation strategy to address's CCoC's concerns regarding flexibility.
<b>14.</b>	Applicant	Provide examples of any other DCOs which have had requirements relating to offsite Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) provision which would or may necessitate a s106 agreement (noting the Planning Practice Guidance requirements relating to such a requirement).
<b>15.</b>	Applicant	Review the Design Code [REP5-109] in respect of the proposed earth bund (LAN.02) and provide further clarity on parameters, considering whether factors such as Above Ordnance Datum heights, specification of the width of the flat top area (6m minimum),

		cross referencing to the Landscape, Ecological and Recreational Management Plan (LERMP) (which shows a section at Figure 3.4) and amending the term 'should' to 'shall' (which also goes for all other parts of the Design Code), should be incorporated.
16.	Applicant	Noting that it remains unclear as to how the proposed WWTP would be fully funded, provide a view on whether a requirement necessitating the demonstration to the SoS of the securing of adequate funding prior to the exercising of compulsory acquisition (CA) / temporary possession (TP) powers might be appropriate.
17.	National Highways	Provide tracked changes of preferred protective provisions.
18.	Applicant	Update paragraph numbering and any cross-referencing to paragraph numbers in Schedule 15 (protective provisions) (by the final deadline if not able to by Deadline 6) so that the paragraph numbering is consecutive as per the relevant guidance.
19.	Applicant	Check application documents to ensure that it is clear that the proposed new bridleway would be a public right of way (PRoW) rather than a permissive route (noting also the relevant action point in the community section).
20.	Applicant	Update the Consents and Other Permits Register [REP1-047] to reflect current positions.
<b>Agricultural land and soils</b>		
21.	Applicant	Clarify whether effects from temporary and permanent CA / TP rights sought in respect of G040 (Poplar Hall Farm) as reported in ES Chapter 6 paras 4.2.12, 4.2.49 and 4.2.62 [REP5-024] are accurately reflected in Table 5-1 and Table 5-2 of the ES chapter (or vice versa), and make any amendments as necessary.
22.	Applicant	Provide clarification around matters relating to disturbance to G040 (Poplar Hall Farm) as requested by IPs Elizabeth Cotton and Gonville and Caius College at ISH4.
23.	Applicant	Make provision for reinstating land at Shaft 5 to agricultural use as is the case for Shaft 4 in ES Chapter 6 Table 2-12 (pages 22 and 23).
24.	Applicant	Update the outline Soil Management Plan [REP5-060] as per Natural England's recommendations [AS-188]
<b>Biodiversity</b>		
25.	Applicant	Address whether 'significant' benefits referenced in para 5.1.11 of ES Chapter 8 [REP5-028] means 'significant' in EIA terms.
26.	Applicant	Update the outline outfall management and monitoring plan [REP4-060], Construction Environmental Management Plan [AS-057], LERMP [REP5-062], Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) Parts A and B [REP5-050 and REP5-052], and Lighting Design

		Strategy [REP5-054] as appropriate to ensure that they align and respond to the concerns raised by CCoC and South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) regarding: other neutral grassland; capturing relevant ecological receptors; management measures for protected species; scope of the LERMP; securing the reinstatement of habitats and arable flora; mitigation measures regarding invasive non-native species; and, bat mitigation measures. Update the Statements of Common Ground (SoCG) to reflect discussions which have taken place to resolve these matters and the updated agreed positions on all matters regarding biodiversity.
27.	Applicant	Update and provide further details on the 'Advisory Group' referenced in the LERMP [REP5-062] (e.g. in respect of members, governance etc..).
28.	Applicant	Provide other examples similar to R25(2)(b) used in other made DCOs regarding offsite BNG and the use of a requirement to secure offsite mitigation.
<b>Carbon</b>		
29.	South Cambridgeshire County Council (SCDC)	Confirm whether you consider the Proposed Development complies with South Cambridgeshire Local Plan Policy CC/3.
30.	Applicant	Provide a technical note on carbon in agreement with SCDC on compliance with Policy CC/3.
31.	Applicant / CCoC	Share information regarding carbon offsetting with CCoC and provide an update.
32.	Applicant	Provide clarification that the net zero operation of the Proposed Development as a whole through the outline Carbon Management Plan [REP4-064] does not just solely focus on plant equipment (as suggested in your response to ExQ2.6.13 [REP5-111]).
33.	Applicant	Update ES Chapter 10 [REP5-032] to provide consistency between Table 4.11 and Table 5-1 regarding the Combined Heat and Power option and residual effects.
34.	Applicant	Amend references within the Design Code [REP5-109] from 'should' to 'shall' to provide greater certainty.
35.	Applicant	Check consistency between the LERMP [REP5-062], the outline Carbon Management Plan [REP4-064] and ES Chapter 10 [REP5-032] references to '30 years' and 'lifetime'.
36.	Applicant	Respond to Save Honey Hill Group's (SHHG) on representations regarding the Design Code [REP5-109] and carbon matters.
<b>Water resources</b>		
37.	CCoC, Cambridge City Council (CCC), SCDC	Provide a policy position on how water efficiency relating to housing might be controlled in future scenarios.

38.	Applicant	Respond to National Trust concerns regarding the outline water quality monitoring plan [REP5-083] and report back. Add National Trust to Table 5.1 as a consultee.
39.	Applicant	Clarify how the maximum number of credits for WAT 01 of BREEAM regarding water efficiency would be secured.
40.	CCC	Confirm whether you consider the Proposed Development would secure full credits for category Wat 01 of BREEAM in accordance with the requirements of Cambridge Local Plan Policy 28.
41.	Applicant	Update the CoCP Part B [REP5-052] to include Red House Close in relation to mitigation measures regarding septic tanks.
<b>Noise and vibration</b>		
42.	Applicant	Update SoCG with CCoC to reflect the agreed position on emergency generators being scoped out of the noise assessment.
43.	Applicant	Update SoCG with SCDC to reflect the agreed position on noise and vibration effects from temporary odour controls / scrubbers.
<b>Transport</b>		
44.	Applicant	To review documents (ES Chapter 19 [REP5-046], Transport Assessment (TA) and supporting information such as traffic flow information), correct mistakes and ensure consistency. Note all points raised in ISH4 and thoroughly check documents for any other issues.
45.	Applicant	Amend the Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [REP5-077] for Station Road and Clayhithe Road to limit hours to between 0900 and 1500 for construction vehicles over 3.5 tonnes.
46.	Applicant, CCoC	SoCG to be updated to reflect agreed position on mitigation documents / add updated schedule.
47.	National Highways	Clarify your position regarding your response to ExQ1.20.82 [REP4-096].
48.	Applicant	Provide updated response regarding road damage payments (this could be included in the SoCG with CCoC).
49.	Applicant	Provide an explanation as to why network technicians, and associated facilities provided for them, need to be based at the proposed WWTP. Why must they use facilities at the Proposed WWTP as opposed to elsewhere, and why are they essential to the operation of the proposed WWTP?

50.	Applicant	Provide a note to relating to the shoulder peaks during the operational phase and respond to Action Point 25 from ISH3 [EV-007v]. Please provide all supporting information / data and provide clear cross-references to the data. Please ensure that this is consistent with the updated TA / ES Chapter 19.
51.	Applicant	SoCG with National Highways and CCoC to be updated following their review of any revisions to transport documents.
<b>Major accidents and disasters</b>		
52.	Applicant	Update Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AIL) routing drawing [REP5-077] (and any others which show AIL routing) to show distinction between AIL routes based on weight only and those based on weight and the size of the vehicle.
53.	Applicant	Update timing restrictions of AIL in the CTMP to restrict AIL movements at weekends between the hours of 1100 and 1500.
54.	Applicant	Update SoCG with the Emergency Services to provide an audit trail on agreed matters and confirm whether Waterbeach routes have been reviewed in response to the concern raised by Waterbeach Parish Council.
55.	Applicant	Ensure that (and update if necessary) the CTMP sufficiently provides for the management of Poplar Hall Farm access for residents, including where there are issues on the strategic road network and consequential tailbacks.
<b>Community</b>		
56.	SCDC	Confirm that the Milton Traveller Site referenced in your Local Impact Report [REP5-120] is not a receptor that needs to be assessed.
57.	Applicant	Provide a drawing which identifies which routes would be PRoW, permissive routes or which would have no status. Also include the recently-confirmed equestrian crossing across the A14 overbridge.
58.	Applicant	Consider whether the drawings in the LERMP and other documents which illustrate recreational routes ought to be updated to remove the potential for confusion as to their use (e.g. where it refers to cyclist only use but pedestrians would also use those routes).
59.	Applicant	Update Table 5-1 to confirm that the LERMP [REP5-062] manages permissive paths for a minimum period of 30 years and add clarification to the LERMP that the Water Industry Act Code of Practice could apply to permissive paths beyond the 30 year period.

<b>60.</b>	Applicant	In respect of PRow 85/8 and 85/6 provide a brief explanation as to why the effects have been quantified differently in the Community and Transport chapters of the ES and how these should be weighed in the balance to avoid double-counting of effects.
<b>Health</b>		
<b>61.</b>	Applicant	Ensure consistency of naming of mitigation plans / documents within all submitted documents (e.g. some mitigation plans in ES Chapter 12: Health [REP5-034] do not appear to accord with the names of submitted documents).
<b>Other matters</b>		
<b>62.</b>	Applicant	Review the outline decommissioning plan [REP4-044] for reference to the decommissioning of the Waterbeach pipeline south and update as necessary.