

From: [christine.barrand](mailto:christine.barrand@pins.gsi.gov.uk)
To: NorthamptonGateway@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Response to Public meeting 14th March 2019 "re Northampton Gateway
Date: 18 March 2019 19:36:35

Dear Sirs

I wish to put in writing my further objections to the this scene and specifically traffic mitigation.

The proposal for a left hand only access at Courtenhall Road does not stand up to scrutiny. What stress testing has been undertaken to cover peak times and the impact of accident on the M1 forcing more traffic into the A508 which, at peak periods is already full.

The proposal will see more traffic using the Lock Lane, a narrow country road, and more villages, including my own, Stoke Bruerne, suffering from 'rat run' traffic. The road through our village is narrow with a sharp bend and is not designed to take the additional traffic that the new development and proposed road access changes Roxhill is proposing will generate, even with the proposed Road relief road. How does the developer propose to stop HGVs using these roads? Our police force is already too stretched to enforce existing traffic violations. The only way I can see to prevent this is for ANPR cameras, funded by Roxhill, to be sited at village road access points with automatic fines sent out to vehicles which flaunt local access restrictions.

From the examination it would also appear that Network Rail can only guarantee a MAXIMUM of 4 freight trains a day and even then, there could be some impact on passenger traffic. This would mean the bulk of traffic movement will be by road increasing particulate pollution. The proposal therefore fails to meet the objectives of a SRFI and should be refused.

Yours faithfully

Christine Barrand

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
