

Immingham RORO – NELC Internal EIA Scoping responses

Both marine and terrestrial archaeology have been identified and have been ‘scoped in’ in this assessment.

For Clarity;

The information in the heritage assessment/EIA needs to provide sufficient evidence to understand the impact of the proposal on the significance of any heritage assets and their settings, sufficient to meet the requirements of paragraph 194 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

The National Planning Policy Framework states that 'Where a site on which development is proposed includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation' (para 194).

We would expect the EIA to contain a full archaeological evaluation report which explores in the first place non-intrusive evaluation of the site, and, if this suggests that further information is required we would expect intrusive evaluation in the form of trial trenching to further inform the heritage impact statement as to presence/absence/ location, depth, survival and significance of any remains. This should inform a suitable mitigation strategy for the impact.

In addition to the underground remains we would expect a report on the potential impact on the historic landscape. North East Lincolnshire has had Historic Landscape Characterisation undertaken and this should be consulted.

Regarding setting issues, potential impacts on the settings and significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets which would experience visual change should be evidenced using accurate visual representations. Viewpoints, including views of, from, and across heritage asset receptors as well as general intervisibility, all have historic context and need to be assessed properly to determine the contribution of the setting of the heritage asset and the potential impact upon it by development or proposed mitigation measures.

The NPPF states that 'Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset (from its alteration or destruction or from development within its setting), should require clear and convincing justification. ' (para200) and also ' the effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application.' (para 203)

The Environmental Impact Assessment should contain sufficient information to enable an informed planning decision to be made.

██████

████████████████

██████████████

Development Management Services

Places & Communities North – NEL

████████████████████████████████████████

████████████████████

████████████████████

I can confirm that I'm happy with it. My interest will lie in the HRA, but protected species and habitats outside of the qualifying features of the Humber Estuary designation have been dealt with here.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I have looked at the document and from a landscape prospective I have no concerns about it. Given the location of the docks and the proposal the impact on the landscape character are very low on the priority list.

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I can confirm I am content with the scoping.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Good Morning [REDACTED]

Having reviewed the AQ section of the scoping request, everything we'd expect to be covered within the proposed Air Quality Assessment is included.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

