



National Infrastructure  
Planning  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Bristol, BS1 6PN

Customer  
Services: 0303 444 5000  
Email: [GatwickAirport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:GatwickAirport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

---

The Applicant, All Interested Parties and  
Statutory Parties

Your Ref:

Our Ref: TR020005

Date: 8 April 2024

---

Dear Sir/ Madam

**Planning Act 2008 (PA2008) - Section 89(3); and the Infrastructure Planning  
(Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 – Rules 9 and 17**

**Application by Gatwick Airport Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent  
for the Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project**

**Procedural Decision and Request for further information and written comments**

Following the receipt of information at Deadline 2 (26 March 2024) the Examining Authority (ExA) has made the following Procedural Decision under Section 89(3) of the PA2008:

**Updated documents**

When documents are updated at deadlines, as is standard in Examinations, the ExA requests that two copies are provided – a ‘clean’ copy and a ‘track changed’ version, showing alterations from the previous iteration. This helps assist the ExA and Interested Parties (IP) keep track of changes from deadline to deadline.

We are also writing under Rule 17 of the Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 to request further information. These questions are linked to the above Procedural Decision and are set out in **Annex A**. They are addressed to the Applicant, the Civil Aviation Authority, East Sussex County Council, Kent County Council, and Tandridge District Council. In addition, we have also asked a separate question of the Applicant relating to Traffic and Transport.

Responses should be submitted by **Deadline 3 (19 April 2024)**. Any IP wishing to respond to such responses can do so by Deadline 4 (15 May 2024).

Yours faithfully

*Kevin Gleeson*

**Lead Member of the Examining Authority**

This communication does not constitute legal advice.  
Please view our [Privacy Notice](#) before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate.

R17a	Question to:	Question:
R17a.1	The Applicant	<p><b>Design and Access Statement (Deadline 2 submission)</b></p> <p>Please provide tracked versions of the Design and Access Statement Volumes 1 - 5 Version 2 from Version 1: [REP2-032 to REP2-036].</p>
R17a.2	Civil Aviation Authority, East Sussex County Council, Kent County Council, Tandridge District Council	<p><b>Updated Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statements (PADSS)</b></p> <p>Please provide tracked versions of your respective PADSSs submitted at Deadline 2: [REP2-039], [REP2-043], [REP2-048], and [REP2-066].</p>
R17a.3	The Applicant	<p><b>Car Parking Strategy (CPS) and Indicative Construction Sequencing (ICS)</b></p> <p>Following the submission of the CPS [REP1-051] and the ICS [REP2-016], the ExA would like to better understand the timing of the car parking provision in the context of the programmed construction at the airport.</p> <p>Provide a table setting out the comparison between the future baseline and Proposed Development on airport passenger/ visitor parking at the start of each year from the indicative start of 2024 through to the year after completion of all new and replacement parking (shown as year ending 2035 in the ICS, or later year if there are changes planned for parking numbers after that date). Clearly identify each year in new rows and the following in columns for each year:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Predicted public transport mode share – future baseline (based on the Airport Surface Access Strategy (ASAS) targets);</li> <li>2. Parking provision on site – future baseline;</li> <li>3. Predicted peak parking accumulation – future baseline;</li> <li>4. Predicted annual passenger levels – future baseline (mppa);</li> <li>5. Predicted public transport mode share – Proposed Development (based on Surface Access Commitments [APP-090] (SAC) targets);</li> <li>6. Parking provision on site – Proposed Development;</li> </ol>

R17a	Question to:	Question:
		<p>7. Predicted peak parking accumulation – Proposed Development;</p> <p>8. Predicted annual passenger levels – Proposed Development (mppa); and</p> <p>9. Provide notes in the table to provide explanation of the changes each year.</p> <p>Provide a similar table setting out the comparison between the future baseline and Proposed Development on staff parking at the start of each year from the indicative start of 2024 through to the year after completion of all new and replacement staff parking (no indicative date clear from ICS when staff parking changes will be completed). Clearly identify each year in new rows and the following in columns for each year:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Predicted car driver mode share – future baseline (based on ASAS targets);</li> <li>2. Parking provision on site – future baseline;</li> <li>3. Predicted staff numbers – future baseline;</li> <li>4. Predicted car driver mode share – Proposed Development (based on SAC targets);</li> <li>5. Parking provision on site – Proposed Development;</li> <li>6. Predicted staff numbers – Proposed Development; and</li> <li>7. Provide note in the table to provide explanation of the changes each year.</li> </ol> <p>Additionally, to support the data provided in the tables, detail how all data that has been derived and/or signpost the origin of the data provided in any submitted document in the Examination Library.</p>