

From: [REDACTED]
To: grant.shapps@dft.gov.uk; [Manston Airport](#); caroline.lucas.mp@parliament.uk; info@andymcdonaldmp.org
Subject: Manston site
Date: 30 January 2020 15:12:46

Objection to RSP application for 24hr Cargo Hub

Thanet District Council declared a climate emergency last year as well Theresa Mays governmental climate target of net-zero [greenhouse gas emissions](#) by 2050

This application would cause immense damage to regeneration and heritage in this area and add to the greenhouse gas emissions.

There is nothing in this application to evidence a national need for a 24hr cargo hub.

This will create a risk to the reputation of the Department for Transport and particularly to the Government. Ramsgate has already had the debacle of the Seabourne ferry fiasco.

The excessive pollution from aircraft movements is well documented in submissions to the planning inspectorate.

This application includes dismantling craft which is an added high risk given that these craft will not by nature of being at the end of their use, will not be highly maintained and will be making their last flight over a densely populated area.

The flight landing trajectory is directly over a densely populated area and just metres from roof tops, a number of which are historic buildings of national interest.

Those involved in this application have no proven expertise in running an airport and have no aircraft of their own. So reminiscent of the Seabourne debacle.

There was never an honest and transparent consultation with many local or affected residents. Many residents appear to have been left off the posting list.

The applicant has calculated the impact and damage to the environment and residents at a level which is insulting to those affected.

The applicant has produced noise contours that are so incredibly inaccurate that it's laughable to believe that so few properties and premises under the flight path would be affected or would attract compensation to mitigate the excessive noise and nuisance levels. The level proposed would be life changing and damaging to physical and mental health.

The applicant refers to 'average' noise pollution bypassing the actual noise nuisance in the moment, repeatedly occurring, numerous times per hour during the day AND night.

The applicant skirmishes around the issue of banning all night flights and only limiting ATMs for scheduled flights, stating that only late flights would arrive during the night. This is the door that allows the applicant virtually limitless night flights causing misery to thousands of residents under and in close proximity to the flight path over a densely populated area.

The applicant has failed through out the timescales set out by the inspectorate to produce honest and visible accounts, in The United Kingdom, with which to support this venture.

I would urge the Secretary of State to reject this Application as It's simply not required.