

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Manston site RSP application for cargo hub
Date: 28 January 2020 20:20:14

This application, if successful, would be contrary to local and national policy on climate change bearing mind that Thanet District Council declared a climate emergency last year.

This application would cause immense damage to regeneration and heritage in this area.

There is no national need evidenced in any of the applicants submission therefore this, if allowed, would create a risk to the reputation of the Department for Transport and particularly to the Government.

The damage to public health caused by the excessive pollution from aircraft movements is well documented by previous submissions to the planning inspectorate. The flight path as the aircraft lower to a landing trajectory is directly over a densely populated area and just metres from roof tops, a number of which are historic buildings of proven national interest. Unlike this application which is not in the National interest.

This application is headed by a person known to have been involved at a high level in previous air service failures on the same Manston site.

No one associated with this application has a history of success or competence with running an airport as proposed

There has never been an honest and transparent consultation with local or affected residents. For key meetings residents who would be most affected, appear to have been left off the posting list.

The applicant has glossed over the impact and damage to the environment.

The applicant has produced noise contours that are so incredibly inaccurate that it's laughable to believe that so few properties and premises under the flight path would be affected or would attract compensation to mitigate the excessive noise and nuisance levels. The level proposed would be life changing and damaging to physical and mental health.

The applicant refers to 'average' noise pollution bypassing the actual noise nuisance 'in the moment', 'repeatedly occurring', 'numerous times per hour' during the day AND night.

The applicant avoids the issue of banning all night flights and refers to ATMs for scheduled flights, stating that only late flights would arrive during the night. This is the door that allows the applicant virtually limitless night flights causing misery to thousands of residents under and in close proximity to the flight path over a densely populated area.

There applicant has failed through out the timescales set out by the inspectorate to produce honest and visible accounts, in The United Kingdom, with which to support this venture.

I would urge the Secretary of State to reject this Application as It's simply not required.

Yours faithfully

**Laurie Hudson
Martin Hudson
Jodie Hudson
Nicole Hudson
Luke Hudson**