Dear PINS

I write express my concerns over the recent publication of your Fourth questions and answers from the applicant;

Ns. 4.10 The Applicant Quota Count Night Time

"The Applicant has considered the night time quota count of 3028 that it is proposing in the light of night time flights now only consisting of late-arriving flights plus, emergency and humanitarian flights and departing flights between 0600 and 0700. It is unlikely that there would be more than five passenger flights departing during that hour, and unlikely that any aircraft with a quota count of greater than 1 would be used. The applicant is therefore willing to reduce the quota count to 2000 (365*5 being 1825), but this would be on the basis that late-arriving, emergency and humanitarian flights would be excluded from that total. If they are to be included as at present, then the Applicant would wish to keep the original figure of 3028."

I am extremely disturbed that the applicant is bargaining with the ExA on the quota count for night flights. This is an extreme change from original claims by RSP, Craig McKinlay and Roger Gale (our two MPs) that there would be no night flights whatsoever. What this new response to your question 4.10 suggests is that night flights, wrapped up in the guise of "late-arriving, emergency and humanitarian flights" are extremely valuable to them. So much so that they are trading passenger flights between the hours of 6 and 7am. I personally am against any return of commercial aviation to Manston. it will ruin the lives, heath and wellbeing, environment and prosperity of Ramsgate and the surrounding area.

General Response

This entire application is based on speculation. There is no transparency in terms of the funding available beyond the DCO process; claims to address significant issues around the environment, historical sites, jobs, funding, the real impact of noise and pollution have been dealt with by blanket claims and headline sound bites with no serious attempt to answer with integrity.

I would also like to say that 7 days to turnaround a response as interested parties to 182 pages of questions and answers is unreasonable.

I appreciate your thoroughness in this examination and I sincerely hope the outcome rules against the applicants proposal.