

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport: Richard Price](#)
Subject: 4th written questions. Deadline 9
Date: 26 June 2019 20:01:56

20013777

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to you once again, with great concern. I have read through these questions, when I have been able, but there is so little time to read, digest, research and respond. I will concentrate on responding to the most concerning for me.

I see on page 99, NS4.10 RSP are, in the guise of 'being reasonable' asking for what is, in my opinion, limitless night flights.

HEMA flights are not subject to a QC so I understand, so they are surely irrelevant?

In our past experience, night flights came in 'late' regularly. VERY regularly.

Incidentally, why is night time here 11pm to 6am, not 11pm to 7am? the accepted night time hours.

So, as long as they are Scheduled, chartered, programmed, planned, or however they are referred to, as long as they are recorded as being DUE in before 11pm, they can arrive late, on top of the planes with a QC? This is a very alarming prospect.

If the ExA do agree to this, in my opinion, Tony Freudmann will have achieved one of the things he has long been seeking, limitless night flights at Manston.

I struggle to understand why, when throughout this process, RSP have insisted, repeatedly, they 'don't want, or need night flights' (Indeed, it is the Mantra of SMAA to any concerns of Ramsgate residents regarding night flights.) IF this is true, including HEMA flights being exempt, why are they (RSP) applying for ANY?

To ensure we will not be subjected to this horrific prospect, please do not grant ANY night time QC's /Movements/ flights in the DCO. If they were truthful about not needing or wanting night flights, this should not be a problem for them to have it secured in the DCO. I seem to recall the whole premise of their application was that DAY TIME flights for freight were needed.

This new information, slipped in at the 11th hour, was not in the information provided by RSP, to residents nor the ExA , so that cannot possibly represent a worst case scenario. This is what is required for the DCO process, or so I understand, so how can this possibly meet that criteria and be acceptable. It also remains my view RSP have not proved a case for an NSIP. I urge you therefore to reject it.

Barbara Warner