

DEADLINE 7 SUBMISSION – ANNEX 2

STONE HILL PARK LTD'S COMMENTS ON THE APPLICANT'S REVISED DRAFT DCO AND THE EXA'S INITIAL DRAFT DCO

PINS APPLICATION REFERENCE: TR020002

- 1.1 SHP has reviewed both the Applicant's third revised dDCO [REP6-019] published on 9 May 2019 and the ExA's initial dDCO published on 10 May 2019;
- 1.2 SHP would note that has submitted extensive comments regarding required changes to the dDCO across the following submissions;
- 1.2.1 SHP's Written Summary of Oral Submission put at ISH1 [REP1-023]. In summary, this covers SHP's comments on the requirement for the dDCO to only include those works that can rightfully form part of the application, the NSIP development and associated development works to categorised appropriately, the inclusion of works that do not satisfy either criteria and the failure to explain and justify the works that comprise NSIP development and associated development. This document also made reference to the inappropriate nature of the provisions of Article 18;
- 1.2.2 SHP's Cover letter to its DL4 Submission [REP4-064]. In section 3, SHP set out its initial comments on the Applicant's First Revised dDCO, in particular, Articles 9 and 18 and Schedules 1 and 2;
- 1.2.3 Written Summary of SHP's Oral Submissions put at the Compulsory Acquisition Hearing Held on 20 March 2019 [REP5-031]. In section 6, SHP set out in detail the changes that would be required to the compulsory acquisition articles of the Revised Draft Development Consent Order [REP3-193];
- 1.2.4 SHP responses to the Examining Authority's Second Written Questions [REP6-053], specifically its responses on questions CA.2.30, DCO.2.25, DCO.2.33, and DCO.2.49.
- 1.3 Other than the Applicant's proposed change to the time limit from "5 years" to "one year", SHP's comments have not been reflected in either of the revised draft documents. SHP does note that the ExA has addressed further questions to the Applicant on certain matters.
- 1.4 SHP consider the evidence that has been added to throughout the examination only serves to provide further justification for the required changes proposed by SHP in its previous submissions.

SHP would also note that in Annex 1 of its Deadline 7 submission (SHP's comments on the Applicant's answers to second written questions), it has provided a number of comments that are directly relevant to the dDCO and further support the submissions made by SHP.

In particular, SHP would refer the ExA to comments on the following questions;

- 1.4.1 Ec.2.2: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 18;
- 1.4.2 CA.2.18: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Requirement 19 and Schedule 1;
- 1.4.3 CA.2.28: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 9;
- 1.4.4 CA.2.29: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 26;
- 1.4.5 CA.2.31: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 18;
- 1.4.6 DCO.2.10: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Schedule 1;
- 1.4.7 DCO.2.17: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 9;
- 1.4.8 DCO.2.25: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 18;
- 1.4.9 DCO.2.33: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Schedule 1;
- 1.4.10 DCO.2.34: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Requirement 19 and Schedule 1;
- 1.4.11 DCO.2.44: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Requirement 19 and Schedule 2;
- 1.4.12 DCO.2.46: additional requirement;
- 1.4.13 DCO.2.49: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 19;
- 1.4.14 F.2.1-F.2.26 inclusive: relevant to SHP's proposed amendments to Article 9.