

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [richard@infrastructureplanninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:richard@infrastructureplanninginspectorate.gov.uk)  
Cc: [manstonairport@pimms.gov.uk](mailto:manstonairport@pimms.gov.uk)  
Subject: Manston DCO  
Date: 17 April 2019 19:10:17  
Attachments: [IMG\\_0852.PNG](#)

Richard, I write with respect to the email sent to you by Bdb on behalf of RSP which I reproduce below.

The letter suggests that investors were revealed during a CPO application with Thanet District Council which is not the case and was one of the many reasons that the CPO failed as you would have heard in various representations from members of the public and also TDC Councillors. Investor names were redacted in all the communication with TdC and were never revealed to either them or the general public. On that basis I believe RSP are misrepresenting the situation and you should ignore their request. As for investors being in competition to fund this project, the market for this type and size of funding is not large and investors regularly compete with each other in full knowledge of who the other parties are, indeed most companies I have raised funding for are keen to ensure there is transparency and competition between known investors in order to improve the terms of the funding achieved.

I note that RSP are also yet to provide the names of the investors supporting the land acquisition and noise mitigation costs despite being told when the examination was agreed to take place that this information would be required at a very early stage. We are now at stage 5 with delay after delay and I support recent comments from SHP that it is not really possible to effectively assess, respond and defend against this DCO Application given the lack of full disclosure of the project plans and the means by which they will be funded and delivered.

Adem Mehmet

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

