



**Baldwins Wynyard Park House, Wynyard Avenue, Wynyard, TS22 5TB**

---

Manston Airport Case Team  
The Planning Inspectorate  
Room 3/8 Eagle Wing  
Temple Quay House  
2 The Square  
Bristol, BS1 6PN

Date 29 March 2019

For the attention of Mr Kelvin MacDonald

Dear Mr MacDonald,

**Proposed Manston Airport Development Consent Order (Application ref: TR020002)**

Please find the submission of Stone Hill Park Limited ("SHP") for Deadline 5 enclosed.

The submission comprises this letter and various enclosures, which are outlined below;

**1. Written summaries of Oral Submissions**


- 1.1. Written summary of SHP's oral submissions put at the Compulsory Acquisition hearing held on 20 March 2019 (Annex 1 with accompanying appendices).
- 1.2. Written summary of SHP's oral submissions put at the Need and Operations hearing held on 21 March 2019 (Annex 2 with accompanying appendices).
- 1.3. The documents enclosed under paragraphs 1.1 and 1.2 include an appendix recording SHP's fundamental concerns regarding the hearings, in particular the lack of basic information and evidence placed before the hearings by the Applicant and the lack of sufficient time afforded to SHP to question the Applicant's case in a manner that allowed the Applicant's case to be properly and fairly tested, whilst giving SHP a fair chance to put its case in response to the proposed compulsory acquisition of its land and the DCO generally.
- 1.4. Written summary of SHP's submissions put at the Noise hearing held on 22 March 2019 is attached as Annex 4 – the note has been prepared by York Aviation, who represented SHP at the Noise hearing.

**2. Comments on any further information requested by the Examining Authority and received to Deadline 4.**

2.1. SHP's comments on the Applicant's Comments on the Written Representations (in respect of SHP's Written Representations only) are submitted as Annex 3 together with supporting appendices;

2.2. With regard to the Applicant's Compulsory Acquisition Status Report, SHP would respectfully refer the Examining Authority to previous comments in SHP's response to written question CA.1.17, which was submitted at Deadline 3 (Document reference still to be issued). SHP's comments highlight the misleading and incomplete nature of the information submitted by the Applicant. SHP would also note that there were a number of other discrepancies flagged at the Compulsory Acquisition hearing, which the Applicant provided a commitment to remedy.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box redacting the signature of the representative.

For and on behalf of  
Stone Hill Park Ltd