

Samara Jones-Hall

Local Ramsgate resident and business owner

Issue Specific hearing 3 on Noise

Written Submission of Oral Submission on 22 March 2019

I would like to make one comment for Nick Hilton of Wood

In the Applicant's responses to written questions Nick Hilton of Wood CV states that following a second round of PEIR consultation in late 2017 the ES was completed¹ and is completely silent on what his involvement was during and after the Stage 3 consultation² and if Stage 3 at all fed into the ES.

Stage 3 dealt with 2017 Environmental Impact Assessment regulations, and consultation specifically on proposals to mitigate aircraft noise.

████████ yesterday talked at great length about how Amazon, Alibaba and an Amazon Fulfilment centre were Applicant's main focus.

This was not the basis of the ES let alone worse case.

We have heard repeatedly of and hard evidence has been provided by a number of Interested Parties of historic noise data, historic runway preference and

¹ On Page 21 of **REP3-195** Applicant states Nick Hilton oversaw the authorship of the ES.

In RiverOak Strategic Partners Deadline 3 Submission Appendices to Answers to First Written Questions Appendix G.1.10 Nick Hilton CV January 2019 **REP3-187**.

Nick Hilton, Technical Director EIA Lead/ Project Director who "*oversaw the authorship of the ES*" states in his submitted January 2019 CV within the Project Highlights Section that: "***Following a second round of PEIR consultation in late 2017 the ES was completed in 2018 with the DCO application being submitted shortly afterwards***".

² The Stage 3 Consultation (12 January-16 February 2018) with Statutory Consultees was to amongst many other things comply with the 2017 Environmental Impact Assessment regulations, consults specifically on proposals to mitigate aircraft noise and to target properties under the proposed flightpath swathes

historic data of the type of freight planes none of which have been used for the Environmental Statement.

This is even more outstanding given that [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] have all at one time or other worked at Manston. We note that [REDACTED] repeatedly referred to our plan is what is there in the future and did not declare his past relationship.

We also heard from the Applicant that in Phase 1 instead of 100 million pounds of works it is now 186 million. We do not know why there is this increase. We do know that the ES has not been updated to reflect this nearly 90% increase in construction works in Phase 1.

A worse case scenario environmental statement on noise, vibrations and dust has not been undertaken. It is not possible for the Applicant to quantify the amount of compensation required or the environmental impact.