

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: Re: Manston Airport (TR020002)
Date: 29 March 2019 22:04:49
Attachments: [REDACTED]

Further to the open floor meeting of 18th March I would like to reaffirm the question I would like the inspectorate to ask RSP as follows:-

I request the panel question RSP why they employed Dr Sally Dixon as their sole 'expert'.

Dr Dixon has previously worked with Mr Tony Freudmann and has a history of providing flawed analysis on the prospects of Manston, as such Dr Dixon does not represent a truly independent opinion.

[REDACTED] has submitted a personal response to this panel (RR-0496) unequivocally declaring her support for the proposal, this seems extremely unusual behaviour for an "independent" witness and calls into further question her evidence, reports and analysis that have been submitted to the examining authority

Dr Dixon's expertise seems to rest on her PhD thesis, she has little or no actual practical experience in the field and in fact would appear not to be an expert as would be understood in the real world. Dr Dixon's work appears to focus heavily on the ways in which airport development can be advocated and influence exerted through the management of stakeholder opinion. This is extremely troubling as her work appears designed to subvert the open, transparent and evidence-based approach to full stakeholder involvement in decision-making. I would expect the panel to ask RSP why they have chosen to appoint someone with this particular focus in their work, particularly given the context of the relative deprivation of Thanet, the urgent need for regeneration in the area given the fact that there is already a credible alternative plan in the making by the lawful owners.

I also mentioned at the meeting that I have had in the past the council noise monitor on my roof and that the readings from this meter registered at 100db+. This is significantly louder than the noise RSP is saying should be expected. Obviously these are real world readings rather than theoretical readings that have been made up by RSP. I attach the relevant

correspondence from the council confirming these readings. I urge you to challenge RSP regarding the level of noise residents will be subjected to, day and night.

Many thanks

Best regards

Martin O'Hara

On Wed, 6 Mar 2019 at 13:56, Manston Airport
<ManstonAirport@planninginspectorate.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Sir/ Madam

Planning Act 2008 and The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 – Rule 8(3)

Application by RiverOak Strategic Partners Ltd for an Order Granting Development Consent for the upgrade and reopening of Manston Airport

Notice of variation of timetable

Your reference: 20014440

Please be advised that the Examination Timetable has been varied to account for technical difficulties administering some of the submissions received to Deadline 3. For more information please visit the link below:

[https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR020002/TR020002-003507-TR020002%20Rule%208\(3\)%20timetable%20variation.pdf](https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR020002/TR020002-003507-TR020002%20Rule%208(3)%20timetable%20variation.pdf)

If this link does not open automatically, please cut and paste it into your browser.

You can also view the notification on the project page of the National Infrastructure Planning website:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/south-east/manston-airport/?ipcsection=docs>

Please contact us if you have any question relating to this notification.

Yours faithfully

Manston Airport Case Team

National Infrastructure Planning

The Planning Inspectorate

Temple Quay House

Temple Quay

Bristol

BS1 6PN

Web: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> (National Infrastructure Planning)

Web: www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate (The Planning Inspectorate)

Twitter: [@PINSgov](https://twitter.com/PINSgov)

This communication does not constitute legal advice.
Please view our [Privacy Notice](#) before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate.



Fwd: Noise Monitor

1 message

Martin O'Hara [REDACTED]

24 March 2019 at 15:25

To: [REDACTED]

Hi

Not sure if you have these already but readings taken from the TDC noise monitor when it was on the roof of 30 Elms Avenue. 100db at 03:30 and 100.5 at 04:30. Neither attracted a fine.

Cheers

Martin

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Penny Button" <Penny.Button@thanet.gov.uk>
Date: 23 December 2011 at 15:54:50 GMT
To: "Martin" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Noise Monitor

Dear Mr O'Hara

I have now had an opportunity to download the event on the 21st at 04.30

The readings taken for the 21 second event at 04.31 on 21/12/11 are as follows -
Lmax (dB) 94
SEL (dB) 100.5
Leq (dB) 87.2

Wishing you and your family a Merry Christmas

Penny

Martin [REDACTED] 21/12/2011 04:37 >>>

Hi Penny

Thanks, do we know where the plane was coming from and when it was supposed to arrive.

A plane has just come across now, 4:32. Can you provide the levels on this one as well,

Cheers

Martin

On 19 Dec 2011, at 12:45, "Penny Button" <Penny.Button@thanet.gov.uk> wrote:

Good Morning Mr O'Hara

I have now got all the info for you.

The plane was a delayed MD 11 World Airways flight with a QC rating of 2 so therefore is not subject to a fine under the S106 agreement.

The readings taken for the 24 second event at 03.29 on 09/12/11 are as follows -

Lmax (dB) 92.6

SEL (dB) 100

Leq (dB) 86.0

Please be aware that these are the event figures only and care should be taken in what context these are used.

Have a lovely festive break.

Penny

Penny Button

Environmental Health Manager

Thanet District Council

www.thanet.gov.uk

Direct Dial: 01843 577425

Fax: 01843 221996

"Martin O'Hara" [REDACTED]
14/12/2011 07:42 >>>

Hi Penny

I trust you are well.

Any joy on the attached?

Cheers

Martin

Begin forwarded message:

From: Martin [REDACTED]
Date: 9 December 2011 03:41:31 GMT
To: Penny Button <Penny.Button@thanet.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Noise Monitor

Hi Penny

A plane went across at 3:30. Can you let me know what the sound level was.

Can you also let me know what fine will be imposed as well.

Thanks

Martin

On 28 Nov 2011, at 11:16, "Penny Button" <Penny.Button@thanet.gov.uk> wrote:

Good Morning Mr O'Hara

I can confirm that it all seems to be in working order and I have been able to communicate with it. I will see what sort of readings I pick up this week just to double check its all ok but all seems good.

Thank you for your assistance.

Penny

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the addressee and may also be privileged or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete it from your system and do not copy, disclose or otherwise act upon any part of this email or its attachments. Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifies and with authority, states them to be the views of Thanet District Council. All communications sent to or from Thanet District council may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the addressee and may also be privileged or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately,

delete it from your system and do not copy, disclose or otherwise act upon any part of this email or its attachments. Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifies and with authority, states them to be the views of Thanet District Council. All communications sent to or from Thanet District council may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the addressee and may also be privileged or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete it from your system and do not copy, disclose or otherwise act upon any part of this email or its attachments. Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender, except where the sender specifies and with authority, states them to be the views of Thanet District Council. All communications sent to or from Thanet District council may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.



Noise Monitor

3 messages

Martin O'Hara [REDACTED]

2 March 2012 at 12:31

To: Penny.Button@thanet.gov.uk

Hi Penny

A monster plane has just come over at about 200ft @12:23.

Can you let me know what the noise level was on it.

Can you let me know what happens to this information? I ask as looking at the airports submission I do not even fall into a noise contour yet we know the level of noise we experience as we have a noise monitor. Does the information go anywhere? I'm not quite sure how the council can make a decision on night flights without the actual information rather than the airports rather misleading assumptions.

Cheers

Best regards

Martin

Martin O'Hara [REDACTED]

2 March 2012 at 13:11

To: cllr-clive.hart@thanet.gov.uk

Cc: annette.firmin@thanet.gov.uk

Bcc: Phil Rose <nonightflights@gmail.com>

I'm struggling to understand how it is that we are at the end of a night time flying consultation and yet the council has not published any actual noise data from flights that come across Ramsgate.

After years of complaining a council noise monitor was put on the roof of my house several months ago. I have been provided with readings from this noise monitor and the results are quite shocking, yet not unexpected, as I have been complaining about this for years. From the readings it is clear that the airport has submitted false information to TDC in respect of their application for night flights, yet this has not been challenged or contested. The council has in its possession very accurate noise readings, as set out below, why is it not using this information?

I would like confirmation that all councillors will be provided these noise readings so that they are aware of the level of noise that residents will be exposed, how else are they supposed to make a decision? If they are going to make a decision that will impact peoples health they should do it based on facts not fiction.

I would like confirmation that the information will be published on the councils web site so that members of public are aware of the level of noise rather that they are exposed to. I would also like to know what assessment the environmental health officers have made and the results of any impact analysis.

Many thanks

Best regards

Martin O'Har

Good Morning Mr O'Hara

> I have now got all the info for you.

> The plane was a delayed MD 11 World Airways flight with a QC rating of 2 so therefore is not subject to a fine under the S106 agreement.

>

> The readings taken for the 24 second event at 03.29 on 09/12/11 are as follows -

> Lmax (dB) 92.6

3/29/2019

Gmail - Noise Monitor

> SEL (dB) 100
> Leq (dB) 86.0

Dear Mr O'Hara

I have now had an opportunity to download the event on the 21st at 04.30

The readings taken for the 21 second event at 04.31 on 21/12/11 are as follows -

Lmax (dB) 94
SEL (dB) 100.5
Leq (dB) 87.2

[Quoted text hidden]

Martin O'Hara [REDACTED]
To: Martin O'Hara [REDACTED]

26 May 2015 at 18:14

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

[Quoted text hidden]