



The Planning Inspectorate

Application by London Luton Airport Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for the London Luton Airport Expansion

Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 7 (ISH7) on traffic and transport matters, including surface access, held on Tuesday 28 November 2023

Action	Description	Action by	When
1	Post hearing note to include a one page summary setting out the details/ experience of Applicant's team who were participating in the Hearing.	Applicant	Deadline (D) 6
2	Submit final report summarising the outcome of the accounting for Covid-19 in transport modelling.	Applicant	15 December 2023
3	Review how the Ivinghoe junction is modelled to determine whether what is shown on the trip distribution plan is representative.	Applicant	D6
4	Provide an update on progress made in relation to agreeing the transport model with the relevant Highways Authorities including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • details of meetings held; • what was discussed; • what are the outstanding issues; and • a programme for resolution. 	Applicant	D7
5	The Applicant's response to written question TT.1.4 [REP4-069] mainly focussed on Luton. Provide detail of the discussions with highway authorities other than Luton Borough Council and signpost to where this is documented in the Application.	Applicant	D6
6	Explain how the locations of potential rural traffic calming [AS-023] were determined and why these works are not included in the proposed off-site highways works. Signpost to where this is documented in the application.	Applicant	D6
7	Provide details of how often the Applicant has met with bus operators and the outcomes of this engagement in relation to future provision.	Applicant	D6
8	Provide details of the Council's bus service enhancement partnership.	Luton Borough Council (LBC)	D6
9	Provide further details of any bus services that they think may be missing	Joint Host Authorities	D6

Action	Description	Action by	When
	from the bus and coach study [REP5-058] and why they should be included.		
10	Demonstrate how the Sustainable Transport Fund (STF) [REP5-056] is of sufficient size to fund an appropriate amount the mitigation listed in the Framework Travel Plan (FTP). Include detail as to when the fund would be available.	Applicant	D7
11	Submit the new plan that was shared at the Hearing which showed cycling and walking routes in and around the airport superimposed over the cycling catchment areas [REP4-084] and to update to include missing existing cycle routes.	Applicant	D6
12	Provide detail regarding improvements/new cycle routes in the vicinity of the airport as discussed in the hearing and mentioned in the Road Safety Audits [REP5-005]. Include the locations of the routes and the programme for implementation.	LBC	D6
13	Provide detail of the locations where the recommendations in local transport note 1/20 could not be achieved and detail the reasons why.	Applicant	D7
14	Explain how the needs of non-motorised users has been considered. Include how those people who currently use the shared pedestrian/ cycle route along Wigmore Lane will not be discouraged from walking and cycling as a result of the proposed highway changes in that area.	Applicant	D7
15	Provide the mode share targets (passengers and staff) that would be required to reduce the number of vehicles travelling to the airport for each of the Phases and provide detail to explain if these targets would be achievable.	Applicant	D7
16	Hold a meeting with National Highways to discuss the FTP and resolve outstanding disagreement regarding the proposed off-site highways works to M1 Junction 10.	Applicant	Within the next 3 weeks
17	Confirm when Travel Plan for the 19 MPPA Planning Consent is to be submitted to LBC and if it is submitted before the close of the Examination then submit a copy into the Examination.	LBC	D6/ Before the close of the Examination

Action	Description	Action by	When
18	Detail potential options to mitigate the fly parking issue in the Luton area including exploration of whether a Controlled Parking Zone could be progressed/ would be viable including exploration of how these measures could be funded without any cost to residents.	Applicant/ LBC	D7
19	Confirm what was the actual number of passengers for the January to August time period given in [REP4-069] If this was below the equivalent 18mppa explain why the baseline number of parking spaces of 10,550 would be sufficient for 18mppa.	Applicant	D6
20	Detail the number of spaces that the Transport Assessment (TA) assumed would be provided by third party car parks and explain how this was determined. Signpost where this information can be found in the application.	Applicant	D6
21	Confirm if there is any suitable space that would be available for any future new off-site parking.	Central Bedfordshire Council	D6
22	The livestream was offline for a short period, people watching the livestream are asked to watch the recording to catch up the section when the livestream wasn't available.	All Interested Parties	D6
23	Submit a copy of the Department for Transport policy paper: strategic road network and the delivery of sustainable development (2023).	North Herts DC	D6
24	Provide an update on the ongoing discussions regarding the proposed off site highway works to the three junctions in Hitchin.	Applicant and relevant Highways Authorities	On going
25	Provide detail of the review undertaken of the proposed highways works to Crawley Green Road/ Wigmore Lane and Eaton Green Road. Include explanation as to why the Council is satisfied that works of this scale would be required to mitigate airport related traffic.	LBC	D6
26	Provide detail to explain why the scale of the works is required to Crawley Green Road/ Wigmore Lane and Eaton Green Road to mitigate airport related traffic.	Applicant	D6

Action	Description	Action by	When
27	Explain whether or not Local Plan Policy LLP6 applies to the current application and the reasons why.	LBC	D6
28	Provide comments on outline TRIMMA.	All Interested Parties (IPs)	D6
29	Review comments made by IPs on the outline TRIMMA and resubmit outline TRIMMA.	Applicant	D7
30	North Herts to explain its concerns regarding on-going monitoring of off-site car parking and Applicant to provide a response.	North Herts DC/ Applicant	D6/ D7
31	Mr Smith to look at [REP5-057] and then submit any outstanding concerns in writing and Applicant to respond.	Mr Smith/ Applicant	D6/ D7
32	Check whether the monitoring approach detailed in the outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-130] is the same as that used for Project Curium. If different provide detail of the differences.	LBC	D6
33	Insert pre-construction condition survey requirements to outline CTMP.	Applicant	D6
34	Relevant Highway Authorities to provide a list of the roads that they consider should not be used for construction vehicles.	Relevant Highway Authorities	D6
35	The Construction Workers Travel Plan (CWTP) [APP-131] states the assumption that 60% of construction workers will arrive to site by car. Explain how the figure of 60% was calculated.	Applicant	D7
36	In relation to AP36 - Check whether a similar figure of 60% for construction workers was used for Project Curium.	LBC	D6
37	Provide further detail regarding capacity of the proposed on site construction worker parking and explain the contingency plan to accommodate parking if more than 60% of construction workers drove to site.	Applicant	D7
38	Confirm whether there were any traffic and transport related issues experienced during the Project Curium construction works.	Relevant Highway Authorities	D6
39	Remaining questions to be asked as written questions (see separate table below).	Applicant	D7

No	Question to	Question
1	Applicant	In paragraph 6.1.2 of the outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-130] there is mention of site targets but no detail as to what they could be. Could the Applicant supply some information on site targets and could this be added to the outline CTMP?
2	Applicant	When monitoring against targets it is usual to have trigger points. Can the Applicant explain if the use of trigger points has been considered. If yes - what would they be and what would be the proposed action or mitigation if the triggers were reached. Could this be added to the outline construction management plan? If no – why not?
3	Applicant	Paragraph 3.1.3 b of the outline Construction Workers Travel Plan (CWTP) [APP-131] states <i>‘the introduction of measures to reduce single occupancy car journeys by staff working on construction site(s) through the encouragement of car-sharing, use of public transport, cycling and walking to work wherever reasonably practicable.’</i> What measures are you proposing to encourage construction workers to travel by alternative means and how and where would this be secured?
4	Applicant	Paragraph 6.1.2 of the outline CWTP states <i>‘a Measure to reduce the need to travel – consideration could be given to the use of local hotels and B&B by workers.’</i> How has the Applicant determined if there would be enough suitably low cost accommodation near the airport, which would be attractive to construction workers, to make this a realistic option? Has consideration been given to the potential use of caravan sites by construction workers? If so please provide further detail and if not, why not?
5	Applicant	Paragraph 7.1.4 of the outline CWTP states <i>‘If the monitoring finds that targets are not being met, this will result in the implementation of additional measures to help to facilitate the CWTP staying on course to meet its overall objectives.’</i> Can the Applicant detail what these additional measures could comprise? Could a list of these measures be added to the outline CWTP?
6	Applicant	When monitoring against targets it is usual to have trigger points. Can the Applicant explain if the use of trigger points has been considered. If yes - what could they be and what would be the proposed action or mitigation be if these triggers were reached. Could this be added to the outline CWTP? If no, why not?