

## Application by London Luton Airport Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for the London Luton Airport Expansion

Action Points arising from the Issue Specific Hearing on noise, vibration and related matters (ISH3) held on Wednesday 27 September 2023

| Action | Description  | Action by                | When              |
|--------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1      | Provide a quantitative assessment of night-time construction noise impacts based on the proposed night time works.   | Applicant                | Deadline (D)<br>4 |
| 2      | Identify where in the Environmental<br>Statement (ES) an assessment of the<br>static conveyer belt can be found and<br>if it isn't included provide an<br>assessment.  | Applicant                | D3                |
| 3      | Provide details (sections/ plans/<br>locations/ acoustic specification) for<br>the acoustic screen in relation to the<br>Airport Access Road (AAR) and<br>where/ how it would be secured.  | Applicant                | D3                |
| 4      | Provide details of the acoustic screen<br>for the AAR that were provided as<br>part of the New Century Park/ Green<br>Horizons Park planning application.  | Luton Borough<br>Council | D3                |
| 5      | Table 4.4 of Appendix 16.1 of the ES<br>[AS-096] in relation to Monitoring<br>Location (ML)2 (p21) and ML15(p48)<br>and monitoring datasheets in AS-120<br>both appear to be within 3.5m of<br>reflective surfaces. Should a 3dB<br>façade correction have been applied<br>and if it had how would this affect<br>the results of the construction noise<br>assessment? | Applicant                | D4                |
| 6      | The Code of Construction Practice<br>(CoCP) [APP-049] Table 14.2<br>identifies additional temporary<br>vibration thresholds for receptors<br>that are above the significant<br>observed adverse effect level<br>(SOAEL). Confirm whether the<br>councils agreed to the relaxed<br>thresholds above SOAEL.  | Applicant                | D3                |
| 7      | Provide an explanation of the receptor response to vibration levels  | Applicant                | D3                |

| Action | Description   | Action by     | When |
|--------|---|---------------|------|
|        | $\leq$ 3mm/s and $\leq$ 5mm/s similar to that                     |               |      |
|        | presented in CoCP [APP-049] Table                                 |               |      |
|        | 14.1 for lower values.  |               |      |
| 8      | Provide the reference/ location in the                            | Applicant     | D3   |
| -      | CoCP [APP-049] where it states 'no                                |               |      |
|        | vibration equipment and quiet                                     |               |      |
|        | equipment'  |               |      |
| 9      | Confirm whether any discussions                                   | Luton Borough | D3   |
|        | have been held with the Applicant on                              | Council       |      |
|        | the subject of piling.  |               |      |
| 10     | Consider whether a restriction on                                 | Applicant     | D4   |
|        | piling would be needed and if so how                              |               |      |
|        | and where would this be secured.                                  |               |      |
| 11     | Applicant to provide an equivalent                                | Applicant     | D4   |
|        | table to that provided in Table 16.25                             |               |      |
|        | [REP1-003] for LAeq8hr night-time                                 |               |      |
|        | traffic noise, where monitoring data                              |               |      |
|        | allows?   |               |      |
| 12     | Provide a copy of the modelling                                   | Applicant     | D3   |
|        | report provided to the councils which                             |               |      |
|        | addresses the level of variance                                   |               |      |
|        | between modelled/monitored data                                   |               |      |
|        | and includes additional monitoring information.                   |               |      |
| 13     |   | Applicant     | D3   |
| 13     | Check whether the survey data collected at ML26, ML28, ML29, ML41 | Applicant     | 03   |
|        | and ML43 requires application of any                              |               |      |
|        | façade corrections due to monitoring                              |               |      |
|        | setup and confirm details of set up                               |               |      |
|        | where not provided.   |               |      |
| 14     | Confirm what road upgrade   | Applicant     | D3   |
| ± 1    | carriageway width assumptions have                                | Applicant     |      |
|        | been used in the noise model or                                   |               |      |
|        | signpost to where this information is                             |               |      |
|        | included in the ES.   |               |      |
| 15     | In relation to the AAR explain                                    | Applicant     | D3   |
|        | whether noise impacts would be                                    |               |      |
|        | worse/ better if the road was                                     |               |      |
|        | dropped 2 meters due to reduced                                   |               |      |
|        | distance to receptors to the north as                             |               |      |
|        | would be allowed by the proposed                                  |               |      |
|        | limits of deviation.  |               |      |
| 16     | Having selected TRL method 3 and                                  | Applicant     | D4   |
|        | given the airport context, explain                                |               |      |
|        | how you determined that movements                                 |               |      |
|        | on the local road network were not                                |               |      |
|        | `atypical'.   |               |      |
| 17     | Applicant to provide clarity regarding                            | Applicant     | D4   |
|        | how noise mitigation for properties                               |               |      |
|        | on Stony Lane would be secured.                                   |               |      |

| Action | Description                            | Action by     | When          |
|--------|--|---------------|---------------|
| 18     | Discuss whether the maximum 5dB        | Applicant and | On going      |
|        | above background noise levels for      | Luton Borough | on going      |
|        | fixed plant is appropriate.            | Council       |               |
| 19     | Confirm what, if any, functions        | CAA           | D3            |
|        | performed by Independent               | 0, 0 (        | 20            |
|        | Commission on Civil Aviation Noise     |               |               |
|        | are now being carried out by the Civil |               |               |
|        | Aviation Authority.                    |               |               |
| 20     | Applicant to provide commentary on     | Applicant     | D4            |
| 20     | undertaking an annual validation of    | , appricance  | 5.            |
|        | the noise model against noise          |               |               |
|        | monitoring.                            |               |               |
| 21     | Provide information regarding airline  | Applicant     | D3            |
| ~ 1    | orders and annual reports to           | / ppileane    | 23            |
|        | demonstrate certainty in relation to   |               |               |
|        | the assumptions on fleet               |               |               |
|        | replacement/ modernisation.            |               |               |
| 22     | Provide examples of the potential      | Applicant     | D3            |
| 22     | measures that could be used to         | / ppileane    | 23            |
|        | deliver the outcomes secured by the    |               |               |
|        | Green Controlled Growth (GCG)          |               |               |
|        | 'mitigation toolbox'.                  |               |               |
| 23     | Provide more detailed information to   | Applicant     | D4            |
|        | demonstrate what facilities were       |               |               |
|        | considered in relation to non-         |               |               |
|        | residential receptors, how the         |               |               |
|        | screening criteria was applied and     |               |               |
|        | the information used to inform         |               |               |
|        | conclusions of significance?           |               |               |
| 24     | To model noise contours without the    | Applicant     | D5            |
|        | two large hangers on the northern      |               |               |
|        | boundary of the airport in Phase 2b.   |               |               |
| 25     | Confirmed that the tailpiece included  | Applicant     | Next draft of |
|        | within Requirement 27 (night quota     |               | the DCO       |
|        | cap) would be deleted and this would   |               |               |
|        | be included in the next version of the |               |               |
|        | draft DCO.                             |               |               |
| 26     | Provide a note regarding the           | Applicant     | D4            |
|        | accelerated noise insulation delivery  |               |               |
|        | programme and the practicalities of    |               |               |
|        | market supply.                         |               |               |
| 27     | Provide a copy of the section 106      | Luton Borough | D3            |
|        | agreement for the 2015 planning        | Council       |               |
|        | permission 15/00950/VARCON.            |               |               |
| 28     | Confirm whether there is any           | Applicant     | D4            |
|        | mechanism to remove a slot once it     |               |               |
|        | has been allocated, has accrued        |               |               |

| Action | Description  | Action by              | When     |
|--------|--|------------------------|----------|
|        | grandparent rights and is operating in accordance with the slot rules <sup>1</sup> .   |                        |          |
| 29     | Provide the evidence that informed<br>the response that there had been no<br>change to flightpaths, including a<br>decrease in altitude, over Luton<br>provided by the Applicant in<br>response to a query raised at the<br>OFH. | Applicant              | D3       |
| 30     | Explain how the outline ground noise<br>management plan would be secured<br>through a requirement in the draft<br>DCO and whether the plan would<br>include a complaints procedure.  | Applicant              | D4       |
| 31     | Consider the request made by the<br>local councils regarding the short<br>time frames in relation to a number<br>of deadlines and the possibility of<br>inserting additional deadlines to ease<br>pressure on resourcing.        | Examining<br>Authority | On going |
| 32     | All Interested Parties are invited to respond in writing to what was discussed at the Hearing.   | Interested<br>Parties  | D3       |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applicant is welcome to combine the answer or re-provide the answer to a similar action point that appears on the list of action points arising from ISH1