

TRANSCRIPT_M60-M62- M66_PM_SESSION2_110924

Wed, Sep 11, 2024 12:06PM • 17:08

00:05

Okay, can I just check that we've now continued the meeting online? Yes, okay, so thank you for that. So we've had a bit of an issue with displaying the principal issues. And as for those who are online who may not have been aware, there's some members of the public in the room have just been provided with a hard copy. Is the stream not live yet? Okay, so I've just been informed that we're struggling to share. So what I would do for the benefit of those people who are online, I will just read out what the what the issues were very quickly. So we have a number of issues that we are will be considering is what we call overarching, integral components of the IAP, which is the need for and the benefits of the proposed development, the consideration of alternatives, habitats, regulation, assessment process, the achievement of good design, the achievement of sustainable development, and the effects of linkages and overlap between issues. And in terms of the issues that we have identified at this stage will be issues on air quality, biodiversity, climate, geology and soils, Green Belt, Historic Environment, landscape and visual, noise and vibration, population and human health, road drainage and the water environment and traffic, transport and access. I've had a member of the public in which to raise. Do you want to just come to the table and try one of the microphones and introduce yourself as well? Please?

01:53

Hello. My name is Pam Thomas. I'm a resident close to the motorway. The only consideration that I would put forward is actually meeting the objectives of the plan. And I don't know whether that is it's an overall in the topics that you've listed.

02:18

Okay, do you mean the council's local development plan is what you mean by

02:24

what I mean is, is that, in simple layman terms, if we've got a funnel and we're making it bigger at the top to allow more traffic and ease at that stage, how are we then going to control what impact that has further down the line?

02:44

Yeah, thank you very much. That will be covered under traffic, transport and access.

02:51

Okay, I think at this moment in proceedings, we just going to

03:05

offices. Okay? So I think we've, I think we've solved the issues as best we can. Is there anyone online who would like to make any comments on the IAP? And what we can do is if, if anybody does have any concerns, we can come back to it at Item six when we discuss any other matters, I think it's just been displayed now online. I'll just check with with my colleagues, if that's the case. Yeah, this seems to be the case. Thank you very much. Okay, so I think that brings the end the proceedings on IRP. So thank you for your contributions on that. I will now hand over to Ms Holmes, who will talk about the draft timetable for the examination, hearings and site inspections.

03:49

Thank you. Hopefully we can now show the Annex D, which is the draft examination timetable. This is in the rule six letter, and it would be useful if you have that in front of you for this item, but we are going to try and show it on the screen. Oh, that's brilliant. Yeah, great. So this timetable covers the whole of the examination period, and this is six months. The date of the Completion is the 11th of March, 2025, the draft timetable includes dates for future hearings, site inspections and deadlines for submission of written documents. It also includes dates on which we propose to issue documents, such as our written questions and the proposed schedule of changes to the draft development consent order. The timetable is just provisional. At the moment, we'll be listening to everything you say today and we'll take into account all the written submissions that have been made before finalizing the timetable. We intend to issue a final timetable as soon as possible after this meeting. So we've proposed eight deadlines. I don't intend to go through them all now, but if you do intend to contribute to the examination, it would be a good idea to familiarize yourself. These details, I'll just pull up some key points before inviting comments from the floor. So deadline, one is currently timetabled for the 24th of September. At this deadline, we want to receive full written representations from all interested parties. We'll also expect the initial statements of common ground and local impact reports. If anybody wants to make comments on these submissions, then this is at deadline two, which is on the 15th of October. According to the draft timetable deadline one is also the time to let us know if you wish to be heard at any future hearings. So we've provisionally scheduled two sets of hearings, one for the week at the end of November and one for a week at the end of January next year. The details of these will be decided after we've considered all of your submissions, but they will certainly include sessions on the draft development consent order and compulsory acquisition matters. We will also run issue specific hearings during these weeks. These focus on particular topics such as noise or air quality. The details of these will be published as soon as possible. We can also hold another open floor hearing later in the examination. If anybody requests one. If you do want to attend any hearings, then I strongly recommend that you read the rule six letter very carefully so you understand the reasons behind them and the procedures around each so moving on to site inspections. We've already undertaken unaccompanied site inspections in July this year and earlier this week. Notes of the July inspections can be found on the project page of the national infrastructure website, and we will publish details of what we have seen this week as soon as possible. These visits have given us a good sense of any proposals and the potential impacts in the wider area. We may also need to do additional site inspections, and some of these may need to be accompanied, if they're on private land, or if someone wants to draw our attention to something in particular, the applicant has confirmed that they don't want to make any further suggestions of locations to visit at this point, and similarly, neither does bury Council, and we haven't received any suggested locations from any other parties. So on this basis, we're currently satisfied that we do not need to undertake an

accompanied site inspection, which was bundled into the timetable in the last week of November. You will also see provisions being made in the timetable for the examiner authority to issue two sets of written questions. One set is currently timetabled for the second half of October, and the second set in mid December, we can also issue requests for information at any point during the examination through our rule 17 letter. So I'll now turn to the comments that we've received so far about the jaff timetable. So starting with the applicant, you submitted written comments on the timetable and examination Library Reference. PD, one, double 01, thank you for your comments that were clear. Is there anything else you wanted to say about the timetable or add to your submissions here? Thank you Tony Weston for the applicant and No, those are our only comments. Okay, thank you very much. Moving on to Bowie Council submission, so that's examination Library Reference. PD, one, w9 Bowie Council stated that they have no concerns regarding the draft examination timetable, but noted that it overlapped around school and Christmas holidays. Was there anything else that you'd like to say about the timetable here? Now?

08:09

Thank you. Madam, good morning. Piers Riley Smith, on behalf of the council, madam, talking with my team in relation to it, we just had one comment in relation to items six to nine, which are deadlines one to three. And before I say this, we entirely appreciate the six month deadline, and also, in particular, perhaps the need not to imperil that first 25th of November, week commencing dates for those hearings. So we don't want to impel those at all. But talking with my team, there was a concern in relation to the first three deadlines to explain why and then and then to put forward the suggestion the council is having to talk to various consultees about their position in relation to it. And we're also aware for deadline one, we're also going to get back written comments on the supplementary agenda points. In that context, it was wondered whether it would be possible to push back items six to nine, deadlines one to three, and also the publication of the examining authority's first written questions by a week to each so that would turn deadline one to the first of October, deadline two to the 22nd of October, the publication of first written questions to appreciate. Of course, they're dependent partly on deadlines One and Two to the 29th of October, and then deadline three to the 12 of November. We don't believe that should imperil then the first hearings on the 24th of November. We again, entirely appreciate the need for expediency in there, but that would be much appreciated, because I think gathering together all the people we need to in the room has just taken a bit longer than we were envisaged. I. Thanks

10:00

for explaining that. And if you could supply that as opposed to in submission, so we've got the detail of of everything that you're like, we will, we will take on both those comments and consider what we can what we can do. Would anyone else like to raise anything in relation to the draft examination timetable in the room or online? I

10:25

Okay, before I finish, I'd just like to highlight the importance of ensuring that information is always submitted within the set deadlines. The time for submission is always 2359 so one minute to midnight. It's essential that these deadlines are met to make for a fair and efficient examination, we'll only accept a late submission in exceptional circumstances, because late submissions restrict the ability of other parties to respond. And because of this, it's worth noting that if you do submit something late, there's

always a chance it may not be accepted. It's also worth noting that we won't be able to accept any submission after the completion of the examination on the 11th of March next year. So is there anything else that anyone would like to raise about the timetable before we move on? I don't see any hands online. Okay, thank you. I'll now hand over to Mr. Robinson to deal with agenda item five.

11:18

I'd now ask that you turn to annex g of the rule six letter where you will note that the examining authority has made several procedural decisions on the following matters, which were visuals for the hearings, request for statements of common ground accompanied site inspections with suggested locations from parties. Deadline for the submission of written representations and local impact reports, a notification by statutory parties or certain local authorities of their wish to be considered as an interested party. Annex G provides further detail behind these requests, and I do not propose to repeat those. Now I understand that no one has provided any comments on this item at procedural deadline so I will take this opportunity to ask if any party wishes to raise any comments on the procedural deadlines. I'll start with the applicant first. Tony

12:13

Westen for the applicant, and no we have no comments. Thank you. Okay,

12:17

thank you very much. And bury Council please. Council, okay, thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to raise any comments on those procedural decisions that are listed? I don't see any hands up. One additional matter that we would like to raise is, since the rule six letter was issued, we have received two additional submissions that we have accepted into the examination. And those are submissions by Scott Brady, which reference examination library references as 014 and Theresa Dolan, which is reference as 015 these additional submissions which have been published on the project page, on the national infrastructure website, will be formally accepted in the rule eight letter, which we will publish after this meeting at some time next week. Any responses to the submission should be submitted at deadline one. But in the interim, does anyone have any procedural questions regarding the acceptance of these submissions? I don't see any. Hands up. Okay, so thank you. I'll just move on to agenda item six. I just want to double check whether there was any individuals who have arrived since the meeting started, who I didn't call out beforehand, who had requested to speak but haven't done so yet. Yes, would you just like to come forward to the table? Just introduce yourself, who you represent and any points that you wish to raise. If you just turn your microphone on, please, so everyone can hear

14:03

you. Thank you. Hi. My name is Michael peak. I live right next door to the welterweight. I've mainly come to this meeting today because I'm really concerned of the extension that they're going to be doing again, the work that's going to be carried out. I remember the last one when it was extended. Last time, it was an absolute nightmare. Former I just, I just need reassurances. It's not going to go through the same again. My house by breaks. I've got cracks in me concrete. I'll do the wrong thing. Sorry.

14:35

No, no, it's absolutely fine. And what we have this afternoon, we have an open floor hearing for people to come along to to provide any evidence, and we'd really like to hear from individuals. No, it's absolutely fine. I appreciate this is new to some people, so that's fine. I think what would be best is if you can please come along to the open floor hearing, which starts at two o'clock today, if you want any more details of how. To register and attend. Please speak to our case team at that and you and we'll be providing everyone with an opportunity to raise those comments. Then at the minute, we're just sort of speaking about the procedural decisions. So if there's any procedural matters you wish to raise, okay, that? No, no, that's absolutely fine. But please, if you want to come to the open floor hearing section this afternoon. Make those points. Please do so, but speak to our case team to get some more details. No problem. Okay, all right. No worries. Absolutely fine. Okay. Is there anybody else who wishes to raise any matters relating to the procedure? No, I don't see any hands up or online, so I will now hand over to Miss Holmes to close the meeting.

15:45

Okay, thank you very much for attending and contributing to this meeting. We're very much looking forward to commencing the examination of this application. May I remind you that both the notes and digital recordings of the proceedings today will be made available as soon as practicable on the project page at the National Infrastructure website, and likewise, we will aim to issue our rule eight letter with the confirmed timetable as soon as possible after the closest meeting. I also take an opportunity to remind everyone that there's an open floor here in schedule for two o'clock this afternoon, and like this morning's premier meeting, it's a blended event. So for those of you proposing to attend virtually, the joining conference is at 130 and for those who are proposing to attend in person, the event will be held in this room, and it will be the room will be accessible from 130 the event will also be available to live stream, and the link can be found the project web page. If anyone is proposing to come to this open floor hearing then from those of you in the room, you can talk to the case team, and they can deal with any queries that you may have. Alternatively, if you're attending virtually, then please email the case team. And also details of how to attend are on the Projects page of the planning website. So the time is now 1040 and this premier meeting for the M 60 M, 60 2m. 66 sinister Island Interchange project is now closed i.