

**From:** [Tiina Mail](#)  
**To:** [A417 Missing Link at Air Balloon](#)  
**Subject:** Concerning A417  
**Date:** 03 September 2021 00:07:39

---

I oppose Option 30 because of the many negative impacts on the local environment and the homeowners of Cowley, while I believe Option 12 would be the best possible solution.

Here are my reasons as follows.

1. Property devaluation - Constructing a dual carriageway this close to Cowley will most certainly increase vehicular noise levels substantially, regardless of noise suppressing surfaces. Far more noise is generated by engines of busses, HGV's, loud performance cars and motorcycles. There will also be an increase in emissions pollution levels created by having this road come much closer to Cowley. That is not good for animals or people. Needless to say, these factors will impact property values negatively in Cowley.

2. Environmental impact - Beyond the points already made, cutting a huge pathway through greenbelt is catastrophic to wildlife habitats that presently exist in that area. Building this road would be environmentally insensitive, especially today when so many natural habitats are being threatened and collapsing due to human interference.

3. Chaos on Cowley village roads will occur for many years to come due to re-directed traffic and construction equipment having to use our narrow roads to pass through.

4. Option 12 - By using the current footprint of the A417, the negative impact of the project on the environment and ecology would be much less, not to mention lessening the many years of stress put upon the residents of Cowley.

Kindly,

Tiina Tuli

