

## HEARING ACTION POINTS

### Application by Highways England for an Order Granting Development Consent for the A428 Black Cat to Caxton Gibbet Improvements

Actions arising from the Issue Specific Hearing 5 held on  
Wednesday 1 December 2021

Action		Party	Deadline
1.	Update to be provided on discussions regarding potential road space reallocation related design changes referred to by Cambridgeshire County Council (CCC) and Bedford Borough Council (BBC) in Agenda Item 3.	<b>Applicant CCC BBC</b>	<b>D7</b>
2.	Further modelling at M11 Junction 13 and Eltisley Junction to be undertaken and flow checks to be provided for Potton Road and Toseland Road for CCC. Update/ outcome to be submitted to Examination.	<b>Applicant CCC</b>	<b>D7</b>
3.	Biggleswade North traffic flows to be provided to Central Bedfordshire Council (CBC) for any comment.	<b>Applicant CBC</b>	<b>D6</b>
4.	Positions of Local Highway Authorities (LHAs) and Applicant on how the Network Management Duty should be considered, at the wider network level or the more granular detail of individual junctions, and providing relevant policy justification for any view.	<b>Applicant LHAs</b>	<b>D6</b>
5.	Detailed information on the Monitor and Manage process to be provided, in general and specifically in relation to the Proposed Development, including roles and responsibilities; funding for any necessary mitigation; how it would be secured and policy justification for respective positions.	<b>Applicant LHAs</b>	<b>D6</b>
6.	Update on discussions regarding Toseland Road, Potton Road and B1046 including	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>

	date for submission (within the Examination). A side-by-side comparison of the requested arrangement of CCC compared to the current proposal of the Applicant to be provided to the examination.		
7.	Protective Provisions from East West Rail Company (EWR) and justification for them. Applicant's response, including any counter proposal and justification.	<b>EWR Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
8.	Camcycle representation made at Hearing to be provided to the Examination to include any points made in addition to those previously submitted.	<b>Camcycle</b>	<b>D6</b>
9.	Signposting to where in the application the various proposed signalised crossings are described. If considered to be ancillary works, full justification as to why this is the case, such as reference to other made Development Consent Orders and why a change request is not considered necessary.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
10.	Item 6b appendices error to be corrected	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
11.	Recent examples of how construction Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGV) movements have been included or estimated in other linear road Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
12.	CCC to confirm current status and details of proposal to restrict HGV traffic through Hilton.  Applicant to consider whether construction HGV traffic should be subject to route restriction through Hilton.	<b>CCC</b>  <b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
13.	Item 6d - Clarification and justification of how monitoring of construction impacts	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>

	will be undertaken. Details to be incorporated into the Monitor and Manage note (Point 5), albeit to be kept clearly distinct. Further details regarding contractual monitoring associated with construction HGV routing to be provided. Explanation as to how any baseline for monitoring purposes would be established.		
14.	<p>Explanation as to how and why Local Transport Note 1-20 should be embedded in the design principles document and the design of specific infrastructure associated with the Proposed Development. Possibly through a marked-up copy of the design principles document.</p> <p>Submission of Local Transport Note 1-20 to the Examination Library.</p>	<p><b>Camcycle</b></p> <p><b>CCC</b></p>	<b>D6</b>
15.	What specific changes would be needed to particular parts of the Design Principles document, so as to affect the design of specific components of the Proposed Development. Marked up changes requested.	<b>Interested Parties (IPs)</b>	<b>D6</b>
16.	Comparison information with other Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project linear road schemes, such as for the A14, in terms of consultation undertaken at detailed design stage.	<b>Applicant IPs</b>	<b>D6</b>
17.	Joint position statement from CBC and the Applicant on the discussions regarding noise and mitigation measures, which also highlights 'Agreed' and 'Not Agreed' matters.	<b>Applicant CBC</b>	<b>D6</b>
18.	Meeting between CBC and Applicant to discuss potential air quality mitigation measures for Sandy and a note summarising to be submitted to examination.	<b>Applicant CBC</b>	<b>D6</b>

19.	Explanation as to which traffic model was used as the source for the air quality modelling assessment and rationale for that model's use instead of any other existing traffic model.	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>D6</b>
20.	A428 Non-Motorised User linear route (pre)feasibility report to include level of land take and whether deliverable within existing built highway.	<b>CCC</b>	<b>D6</b>