

My ref: A428 PM 020821  
Your ref:

Date: 2<sup>nd</sup> August

Contact: Chris Poultney



**Place and Economy  
Transport, Strategy and Funding**

The Planning Inspectorate  
National Infrastructure Planning  
Temple Quay House  
Temple Quay  
Bristol  
BS1 6PN

**Box SH1310  
Shire Hall  
Cambridge  
CB3 0AP**

### **Electronic submission only**

#### **A428 Black Cat to Caxton Gibbet Improvement Scheme**

#### **Response to Rule 6 Letter dated 9 July 2021 and issue of First Written Questions on 21 July 2021**

I write on behalf of Cambridgeshire County Council, South Cambridgeshire District Council, Huntingdon District Council (**the Councils**) in response to the Rule 6 letter (**the letter**) dated 9 July 2021 in respect of the above Scheme and the issue of the Examining Authority's First Written Questions (**FWQs**) on 21 July 2021. We have discussed the content of this letter with Bedford Borough Council and understand that they agree with the content and will be submitting a letter in similar terms.

#### **Attendance at Preliminary Meeting**

The Councils wish to participate in Part 1 of the Preliminary Meeting on 10 August 2021 and Part 2 of the Preliminary Meeting on 18 August 2021.

The Councils would like to speak on the following agenda items:

1. The virtual approach to Examination and number of hearings.
2. In the absence of specific coverage in the Rule 6 Letter Annex C of Minerals and Waste, to confirm that borrow pits and other associated matters will be given sufficient emphasis in the 'Land Use' section.
3. Draft Examination timetable: The Councils are of the view that the Examination timetable is overly compressed at Deadline 1 which puts at risk fair and effective participation in the DCO process. This is further compounded by a lack of information provided by the Applicant. Further information is provided under the "Draft Examination timetable" heading below.

#### **Participation in ISH1 and OFH1**

The Councils wish to participate in ISH1 on 18 August 2021 and OFH1 on 19 August 2021. The Councils will also wish to participate in any ISH or OFH held on 24 August 2021.

The issues which the Councils anticipate speaking to at ISH1 are:

1. Confirming its support in principle for the A428 scheme.
2. Comments on key areas of the Application including environmental effects, traffic numbers and impacts/provisions for NMUs.
3. Providing comments on the draft DCO and required amendments to protect the Councils' interests and those of the local communities in which they operate.

The Councils note that a very ambitious range of issues is listed in the agenda for ISH1 and doubt whether it will be possible to cover that range of issues in sufficient detail in the time provided. It would be helpful if the Examining Authority would give an indication of how the issues covered in ISH1 will be covered in more detail in future ISHs.

## **Nomination of sites for Accompanied Site Inspection**

We suggest that the Examining Authority visit the following sites and locations as part of the ASI:

- The Councils would like to draw the Examining Authorities attention to the standard of the existing A428 proposed to be de-trunked, and review a number of the proposed traffic diversion routes to the north and south of the area. Specifically:
  - The A428 between St Neots and Caxton Gibbet
  - St Neots
  - Great North Road near Wyboston
  - Yelling
  - Toseland
  - Abbotsley
  - Great Gransden

Our intention is to attend the ASI, which we note may be on 21, 22, 23, 24 or 28 September 2021.

### **Draft Examination timetable**

The Councils wrote to the Planning Inspectorate on 6 July 2021 providing comments on the Rule 9 and Rule 17 letter.

The contents of that letter are not repeated here, however broadly the Councils advised that they required an extension to the deadline for submission of the Local Impact Report(s) to allow sufficient time to understand the impacts of the scheme on the Councils' administrative areas.

The Councils envisaged being best placed to prepare Local Impact Reports once the Applicant had the opportunity to respond to the Relevant Representations, many of which were made by local people.

The Councils have not received a response to that request and instead the draft Examination timetable further compresses the timescale for the Councils to formulate its position on important topics. The Local Impact Report(s) now fall due on the same day as the Councils' Written Representation(s) and responses to FWQs, many of which are directed to the Councils. This is in addition to provision of Statements of Common Ground and comments on Relevant Representations plus participation in ISH1 and OFH1 in the same period.

The Councils' position is that requiring this volume of information to be submitted less than two weeks after the start of the Examination, combined with the resource requirements of participating in ISH1 and OFH1, risks the fair and effective participation by the Councils in the DCO process. The Councils note that the approach taken is not in accordance with the usual approach set out under the rules and guidance whereby the Written Representations are required not earlier than 21 days after the issue of the Rule 8 Letter, which has not yet been issued.

The Councils note that the draft Examination timetable for the A47 North Tuddenham to Easton scheme was published on 6 July 2021 and contains a more balanced timetable, better enabling interested parties to manage resource and time constraints. The Rule 6 letter for the A47 scheme indicates that Written Representations will be due at Deadline 1 which is almost 3 weeks after the second part of the Preliminary Meeting. Local Impact Reports and responses to FWQs are then due at Deadline 2 which is 4.5 weeks after the second part of the Preliminary Meeting. No ISH hearing is scheduled before these deadlines.

The Councils also wish to reiterate that whilst they continue to seek to engage with the Applicant to further understand and resolve the issues between them, this is proving difficult and much of the technical discussion which the Councils require for their responses remains outstanding. Any additional time in the Examination timetable would therefore facilitate better informed submissions by the Councils; in particular, a more sequential approach would allow the Councils documentation to take into account the formal responses of the Applicant.

