

1. Cultural Heritage			Historic England response
1.6.1	The Applicant BDC NCC HE	ES Chapter 6: Cultural Heritage (APP-044), paragraph 6.5.6, notes that a final archaeological trenching report is to be made available at a later date. Will this be made available during the course of the examination, and if not, what are the implications for this?	The archaeological trial trenching does not have any implications for designated heritage assets. Consequently, HE considers this a question which should be answered by The Applicant and NCC.
1.6.4	BDC NCC HE	ES Chapter 6: Cultural Heritage [APP-044], section 6.7, identifies key designated and non-designated heritage assets which may experience significant effects. Is BDC, NCC and Historic England (HE) in agreement with this list and the overall assessment of effects on these?	HE agrees with list of key designated and non-designated heritage assets which may experience significant affects as included in Section 6.7 of the ES. We agree with the assessment methodology and the conclusions regarding the effects on the designated heritage assets. (As noted in our s56 response, HE's advice on designated heritage assets relates only to Grade I listed buildings as there are no scheduled monuments, grade II* listed structures, registered parks and gardens, registered battlefields or conservation areas within the defined study area. HE will defer to BDC for advice on grade II listed buildings).
1.6.7	The Applicant HE NCC	ES Chapter 6: Cultural Heritage (APP-044), paragraph 6.9.20, states that a written scheme of investigation would be agreed with HE, NCCES and BDC. Should HE and NCCES be specified as consultees, in addition to the relevant planning authority, within Requirement 9 (Archaeological remains) of the dDCO [APP-016]?	Yes, we consider that Requirement 9 of the dDCO should specify the HE and NCC as consultees on the approval of the Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation.
1.6.8	The Applicant BDC NCC HE	Should Requirement 9 (Archaeological remains) of the dDCO [APP-016] make provision for the publication and archiving of any findings following archaeological investigations carried out in accordance with the Written Scheme of Investigation?	The requirement for publication and archiving should be set out in the Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation and secured through its approval and implementation under Requirement 9, sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) of the dDCO. It may be beneficial to have a further sub-paragraph of Requirement 9 specifying the provision for publication and archiving to allow for a staged discharge of the Requirement.

			However, as this primarily relates to NCC we will defer to their views on this matter.
1.6.20	i) HE	HE's RR [RR-009] refers to a change in the setting of the Grade I listed Church of St Andrew in North Burlingham as a result of the Proposed Development. Please clarify: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Whether this change, in HE's view, would be a positive or negative one and the reasons why; and b) If negative, the level of harm to the significance of the designated heritage asset. 	The Proposed Development would result in a change to the setting of the Grade I listed 'Church of St Andrew' at North Burlingham (List Entry Number 1051522) through the introduction of new infrastructure into the wider landscape context of this designated heritage asset. The Proposed Development would also result in the line of the A47 road being moved to the south placing it further away from the Church of St Andrew. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Due to the movement of the A47 away from the Church of St Andrew, and with additional mitigation planting in place as proposed, HE considers that the overall impact on this designated heritage asset to be positive (slightly beneficial). b) N/A
7.	Cumulative effects		
1.7.1	BDC NCC EA HE NE	Are the parties satisfied with the Applicant's cumulative effects assessment and the shortlist of projects considered?	HE is satisfied with the Cumulative Impacts Assessment and the other projects which it has considered.
8.	Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO)		
1.8.46	The Applicant NCC HE	R9: Should this requirement make provision for the reporting and publishing of data?	As noted in response to Question 1.6.8, HE considers that this could be beneficial, but we defer to NCC's view on this matter.
1.8.47	The Applicant NCC HE	R9: Should NCC and HE also be consulted on the written scheme of investigation?	Yes, as noted in our response to Question 1.6.7
1.8.57	BDC NCC EA HE	R18: Do the parties consider 10 business days sufficient time to respond to consultation on the discharge of requirements?	No. HE requests a minimum 21 (non-working) day for consultation on the discharge of requirements.

	NE		
--	----	--	--