

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A47 Blofield to North Burlingham](#)  
**Subject:** TR010040 – A47 Blofield to North Burlingham: Preliminary Meeting  
**Date:** 25 May 2021 16:38:40

---

Good afternoon,

I would like to request to speak at the preliminary examination meeting on Tuesday 8th June for the application by Highways England for the A47 Blofield to North Burlingham project.

[REDACTED] are Affected Persons and Interested Parties for this application as we own and have right over land as detailed in the dDCO Book of Reference (2/3). We do not have record of receiving a copy of the Examining Authority's Rule 6 letter so it would be appreciated if our email address could be added to the distribution of such correspondence please. (Neighbouring reference for the letter: 20027791)

I wish to make comments on item 4 of the agenda, specifically Annex C section titles "compulsory acquisition and/or temporary possession", "population and human health", and "transportation and traffic".

We're seeking clarification on points previously raised to Highways England, including concerns regarding pedestrian safety for residents [REDACTED] where no footpath access has been included in the proposed plans, and concern on the dead-end created as a result of closing the A47 access to [REDACTED] (plots 1/5, 1/7, etc.).

As the proposed plan removes the current access to Blofield across the A47, the replacement access to the village should include a footpath to the new bridge (along what will be the 'old A47') from the corner of [REDACTED] to ensure safe access for residents - particularly given the increased vehicular traffic that this route will incur.

With the A47 access being closed, a 'dead-end' will be created at the west of [REDACTED] which will be an easy target for fly-tipping or potentially travellers setting up there. Although Highways England have stated it won't be a dead end as it will lead to the [REDACTED], there will of course be weeks at a time where they won't be accessing the field, particularly as they have access from the other side. Thus, without any form of gated access from this point, it is cause for concern for both [REDACTED]. As this land is referenced as 'permanent acquisition' on the dDCO documents, it would then be the responsibility of Highways England or the Council to resolve these issues should they occur.

My comments will be brief but I feel it is best to raise them early to give sufficient time to address the concerns and hopefully remove the need to request any Compulsory Acquisition Hearing or Issue Specific Hearing at a later date.

Kind regards,  
John Randlesome