

# A47 DUALLING – NORTH TUDDENHAM TO EASTON

Scheme no. TR010038

A.C. MEYNELL - supplemental statement

IP reference 2002/8353



ACM 22

8 February 2022

Infrastructure Planning – Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning  
(Examination Procedure) Rules 2010  
Regulation 10

The A47 North Tuddenham to Easton  
Development Consent Order 202[x]

---

**A.C. MEYNELL – Supplemental statement**

---

Application reference: TR 010038

Interested Party reference: 2002/8353

Document reference: ACM 22

Date: 8 February 2022

## **SUPPLEMENTAL STATEMENT OF ANTHONY CHARLES MEYNELL**

1. This statement is made to correct my understanding set out in my Statement at REP1-045 (my "Statement") that the first meeting I had with the Applicant's Project Manager James Powis before the making of the DCO Application was on 27 January 2020.
2. In my Statement I said that I recalled only having two meetings with the Applicant's Mr Powis before the DCO application, on 27 January 2020 and 14 December 2020 (see paragraphs 34 and 35, and then later paragraphs 203-211 and 217 where I describe them as the only two meetings).
3. During the DCO process I have recalled that a month before the 27 January 2020 meeting, on the evening of the 16 December 2019 I attended a presentation by Mr Powis and his team at Honingham Village Hall and that Mr Powis then visited me at Berry Hall the following morning, the 17 December.
4. The 16 December presentation, not the 27 January 2020 meeting, was the first I saw of the proposals for the currently proposed junction at Wood Lane. It was at that presentation that I felt what I described at paragraph 209 of my Statement.
5. At the Honingham presentation on 16 December 2019 I made a statement in the terms which are set out in the minutes lodged at Honingham Parish Council's website (linked to Annex B of the SoCG which has been agreed on my behalf with the Applicant today). I believe those minutes are correct.
6. At the meeting the following day, 17 December 2019, the events occurred which I described at paragraphs 34 and 208-210 and 217(1) of my Statement as occurring on 27 January. At this meeting I had no-one with me.
7. The following meeting on 27 January 2020, at which I was joined by Jane Kenny of Savills repeated much of what was said at the December meeting but also covered the other items which are listed in the notes of it made by Ms Kenny which are in REP1-053, page 1.
8. The meeting later in 2020, on 14 December, is correctly described in my Statement (at paras 35, 215 and 217(3))
9. I have also been shown a minute (in the SoCG, at Annex A) prepared by the Applicant of a meeting Mr Powis had had with me two years earlier, on 10 October 2017, shortly after the Preferred Route Announcement in August 2017. Having seen that minute I do recall that the meeting happened and that the minute is correct. The plan I was shown then differed from the PRA announcement plan in showing a small roundabout at Wood Lane. The publicly announced plan had shown a box where a junction was planned at Sandy Lane, a km to the west. This small roundabout was planned to be on the location of the existing Wood Lane / Berry's Lane junction. I did not think about it again because nothing happened for two years after that, and my reaction at seeing the very large junction and associated side roads for the first time in December 2019 was as I have described in my Statement.

**Anthony Meynell** 8 February 2022