

# A303 Sparkford to Ilchester Dualling Scheme TR010036

## 9.2 Environmental Statement Table of Errata

Planning Act 2008

May 2019

Volume 9.0



Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**A303 Sparkford to Ilchester Dualling  
Scheme**

Development Consent Order 201(X)

---

**Environmental Statement Table of Errata**

---

<b>Regulation Number:</b>	
<b>Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference</b>	TR010036
<b>Application Document Reference</b>	9.2
<b>Author:</b>	A303 Sparkford to Ilchester Dualling Scheme Project Team, Highways England

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Status of Version</b>
Rev A	January 2019	Submission for Deadline 2
Rev B	May 2019	Submission for Deadline 6

## CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.2 Environmental Statement Table of Errata .....	4
Appendix A: PM <sub>10</sub> local air quality assessment results at human receptors .....	33
Appendix B Figure 1.1 - Noise survey positions (western section of scheme extents) .....	34
Appendix C: Figure 2.13 – Signed cycle route.....	35

## **1. Introduction**

- 1.1.1 This document was originally submitted to support the Applicant's response to the Examining Authority's First Written Questions.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4, 1.1.6, 1.1.7, 1.1.10, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.3.5, 1.4.10, 1.4.11, 1.5.2, 1.5.5, 1.5.11, 1.6.1 and 1.6.2 (as detailed within the Applicant's Responses to the Examining Authority's First Written Questions, REP2-004).
- 1.1.2 As the Development Consent Order (DCO) Examination progresses, additional updates to this document have been added as required.

## **1.2 Environmental Statement Table of Errata**

- 1.2.1 This Environmental Statement Table of Errata has been produced to detail any amendments (including updates) to the Environmental Statement DCO Document Reference Volumes 6.1 to 6.3) for the A303 Sparking to Ilchester Dualling Scheme (hereafter referred to as 'the scheme'), which was submitted as part of the DCO application in July 2018.
- 1.2.2 This document provides further clarity and amendments to points raised during the Examination process for the scheme. This document also details any errors or omissions within the ES which have been identified through the Examination and provides corrections as appropriate. All changes are outlined in Table 1.1 below. A strikethrough has been used for text which is now removed from the appropriate chapter and section of ES chapters, whilst text in **red** is new and altered text.

**Table 1.1 Environmental Statement Errata**

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
1.1.1	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	23	In Appendix 6.1 to the ES (APP-067) paragraph 4.6.7 there is reference to “a new underbridge joining Traits Lane on the south side of the A303 with a road named Camel Hill on the north side”. This underbridge does not appear to be part of the proposals set out in the dDCO. Could this reference be explained?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.1.1. Incorrectly referred to underbridge at Traits Lane and noted an incorrect distance between Eyewell House and the scheme on page 23.	Paragraph 4.6.7 of Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067) is amended to:  <i>"Eyewell House, the outbuildings attached to the north of the house and the boundary wall and gateway to the east, have been grouped (MM1) for the purposes of this assessment. All of these structures are grade II listed and lie between 5 30-40m from the scheme at the location of Traits Lane <del>Link: a new underbridge joining Traits Lane on the south side of the A303 with a road named Camel Hill on the north side.</del> There is also a construction compound proposed approximately 35m north of the asset."</i>
1.1.2	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	71	In Appendix 6.1 to the ES (APP-067) Table 7.2 (page 71) for the reference for St Michael's Hill in the column headed "Significance of effect", there are comments about "If remains ...". What is this referring to?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.1.2. Included text in the significance of effect column in error on page 71.	The significance of effect column under the reference to St Michael's Hill in Table 7.2 (page 71) of Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067) is amended to:  <i>"Neutral evaluation, if remains are present and it is not possible to retain them in situ, an appropriate archaeological level of recording will be undertaken in accordance with an agreed WSI."</i>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
1.1.3	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	122	Appendix 6.1 of the ES (APP-067) page 98 under MM49 gives the name of the heritage asset as “DELISTED”, and then makes reference to “An early 19th century cottage and show with matching 20th century additions that has been removed from the Listed.” On page 122 what is understood to be this building is referred to as “Robinson’s Antiques, High Street, Queen Camel”. Can the identification on page 98 please be confirmed and corrected?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.1.3. Included the wrong description of MM49 on page 122.	The description for MM no. MM49 of appendix A.1 of Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067) is amended to:  <i>"An early 19th century cottage and show with matching 20th century additions that has been removed from the Listed. Robinson's Antiques, High Street, Queen Camel."</i>
1.1.4	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.2 - Statement of Significance (APP-068)	14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25.	In Appendix 6.2, Hazlegrove House Registered Park and Garden Statement of Significance of the ES (APP-068) some of the cross referencing has gone awry – figures in the sections are said to be N.X when shown as N+1.X, for example see paragraph 5.5.4 first line with Figure	Amended in response to ExA question 1.1.4. Cross referencing errors in Chapter 5, with the majority of figures 4.X rather than 5.X. Errors referring to these figures have also been amended.	The Environmental Statement Appendix 6.2 Statement of Significance (APP-068) is amended to:  Figure captions are amended to:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Page 14: “<i>Figure 45.1: A portion of the 1573 Queen Camel map showing Hazlegrove House, the surrounding buildings and enclosures</i>”</li> <li>Page 15: “<i>Figure 45.2: An annotated portion of the 1573 Queen Camel Map</i>”</li> </ul>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
			5.7 below. Could this please be checked and re-issued as necessary.		<p><i>showing Hazlegrove, with surrounding enclosures and southern extent of the map</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 17 “<i>Figure 45.3a: A annotated portion of the 1795 Queen Camel Enclosure Map showing Hazlegrove House and formal gardens</i>”</li> <li>• Page 18: “<i>Figure 45.3b: A portion of the 1795 Queen Camel Enclosure Map showing Hazlegrove House and the surrounding land divisions and enclosures</i>”</li> <li>• Page 19 “<i>Figure 45.4: A portion of the 1795 Queen Camel Enclosure Map showing the fields to the south of the estate where the new driveway enters the park</i>”</li> <li>• Page 20: “<i>Figure 45.5: A drawing showing the aerial survey transcription for the southern portion of the RPG. The transcription shows the former driveway, as well as bank features and levelled ridge and furrow in the location of the former Farges enclosures, now incorporated into one large arable field</i>”</li> <li>• Page 21 “<i>Figure 45.6: A portion of the 1827 Enclosure Map of Queen Camel</i>”</li> <li>• Page 23 “<i>Figure 45.8: A photograph of a diagram of the Hazlegrove Gateway (Triumphal Arch Gateway) from 1875,</i></li> </ul>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>which was installed as a new entrance to the estate</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Page 24 <i>“Figure 45.9: A portion of the 1888 first edition OS map showing the northern portion of the RPG including Hazlegrove House and the extended formal gardens”</i></li> <li>• Page 25 <i>“Figure 45.10: A portion of the 1888 first edition OS map showing the southern half of the park”</i></li> </ul> <p>The figure references are amended to (pages in brackets):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5.2.2 (14) <i>“...The HER also notes that the village may have remained into the early post-medieval period, as it is believed to be shown on the 1573 map (Figure 45.1)...”</i></li> <li>• 5.3.2 (14) <i>“The 1573 plan shows the “demeasnes of East Camel inclosed” and the land forming the estate belonging to Hazlegrove House (Figure 45.2)...”</i></li> <li>• 5.4.3 (17) <i>“...The estate remained largely unchanged during this period of ownership, with the 1795 Queen Camel Enclosure Map (Figure 45.3a and 45.3b) showing the bastion, kennels and orchard constructed</i></li> </ul>



ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p>during Carew Hervey Mildmay's ownership of Hazlegrove."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5.4.4 (18) "Compared to the 1573 map, the 1795 Enclosure Map shows additional fields or enclosures to the south of Coage's Park (Figure 45.4)."</li> <li>• 5.4.6 (19) "The field boundaries for the 3 Farges enclosures and alignment of the new driveway on the 1795 map, match features identified in the aerial survey transcription (Figure 45.5) undertaken as part of this scheme (a full aerial survey transcription for the scheme area can be found in appendix A).."</li> <li>• 5.5.4 (22) "An 1848 Plan of Queen Camel (Figure 45.7) shows the Hazlegrove House and the surrounding enclosures, although in less detail than the earlier 1827 map... This driveway extension was also identified in the aerial survey transcription (Figure 45.5:)."</li> <li>• 5.5.6 (22) "...A new grand entrance to the park was installed on the southeast in the form of the grade II* listed Triumphal Arch Gateway (Figure 45.8).."</li> <li>• 5.7.1 (23) "...The formal gardens have been extended and bordered with an</li> </ul>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>avenue of trees, whilst former tree avenues have been mirrored to form a wider avenue of trees extending from the formal gardens, which would have framed the main façade of the house on the approach (Figure 45.9)."</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5.7.2 (24) <i>"The main driveway remained unaltered at this time, with the entrance through the Triumphal Arch Gateway in the southeast corner of the park (Figure 45.10)..."</i></li> <li>• 5.7.3 (24) <i>"Figure 45.10 also illustrates that the former Rawlins's Close and Farges enclosures in the southwest corner of the park formed 1 large enclosure by 1888..."</i></li> </ul>
1.1.6	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage (APP-043)	5	Paragraphs 6.4.4 to 6.4.6 of Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage of the ES (APP-043) refer to various documents setting out the heritage assets that have been scoped in and out of assessment. For example, in paragraph 6.4.5 is stated "This agreed list has informed the assessment and can be found in Appendix B of Appendix 6.2 Cultural Heritage DBA". However,	Amended in response to ExA question 1.1.6. Referred to the wrong appendix.	<p>Paragraph 6.4.5 of Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage of the ES (APP-043) is amended to:</p> <p><i>"This agreed list has informed the assessment and can be found in Appendix B of Appendix 6.2 Cultural Heritage DBA."</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
			Appendix 6.2 is the "Hazlegrove House Registered Park and Garden Statement of Significance". The Applicant is therefore asked to clarify these references and re-issue/set out in a table of errata as appropriate.		
1.1.7	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage (APP-043)	14	Paragraph 6.7.16 of Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage of the ES (APP-043) refers to Ilchester to being 7 km to the north east, when it is to the west-southwest.	Amended in response to ExA question 1.1.7. Incorrectly identified the location of Ilchester in relation to the scheme.	Paragraph 6.7.16 of Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage (APP-043) is amended to:  <i>"Camel Hill Scheduled Monument is a Romano-British roadside settlement (MM43) that formed alongside the Roman road between Andover and Ilchester, approximately 10 metres north of the red line boundary, although the southeastern corner meets the red line boundary. Roadside settlements, known as Mansios, had a key role in connecting Roman towns and cities, by providing overnight accommodation and facilities for resting and changing horses or pack animals<sup>17</sup>. This roadside settlement lay on the Roman road between Andover and Ilchester (Roman Lendinae). The main Roman settlement in the area was at Ilchester, 7</i>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>kilometres to the <del>north-east</del> <b>west-southwest</b>. The roadside settlement appears to be oriented toward Lamyatt Beacon, itself the site of a scheduled Roman Shrine, suggesting it may have been a Mansio for people visiting this site. Consequently, views from the asset to the <del>north-east</del> <b>west-southwest</b> towards the Beacon contribute to its heritage value."</i>
1.1.10	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage (APP-043)	4	Indirect effects are defined by HA208/07 as being "impacts arising from the scheme where the connection between the scheme and the impact is complicated, unpredictable or remote." It is noted that indirect effects have not been identified or assessed within the ES Cultural Heritage chapter (APP-043).	Included additional text in response to ExA question 1.1.10 to clarify what the methodology for Cultural Heritage is based upon.	Paragraph 6.4.2 of Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage (APP-043) is amended to:  <i>"The methodology was presented within Chapter 7 of the <b>Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Scoping Report (Document Reference: HE551507-MMSJV-EGN-000-RP-LP-0014)</b> issued to the Planning Inspectorate in November 2017. <b>The methodology has been completed in accordance with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges assessment methodology indirect effects as detailed in HA 208/07, which have been assessed as part of the overall assessment within this chapter.</b> The Scoping Opinion is contained within Appendix 4.1, Volume 6.3. A schedule of responses detailing how each of the Scoping Opinion comments has been</i>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>considered as part of this chapter is contained within Appendix 4.2, Volume 6.3. No amendments to the methodology as presented within the EIA Scoping Report have been necessary. However, in addition to assets within the 1 kilometre study area as identified in the EIA Scoping Report, certain known heritage assets in the wider landscape have been included in this assessment as agreed with Historic England."</i>
1.2.1	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality (APP-042)	30	In paragraph 5.8.2 of Chapter 5 Air Quality of the ES (APP-042), first bullet, there is footnote 49. However, the text of this footnote is missing. Could it please be included?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.2.1. Footnote 49 was missing.	Footnote 49 missing from bullet 1 of paragraph 5.8.2 of Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality (APP-042) is amended to:  <i>"Building Research Establishment (2003). The 'Control of Dust from Construction and Demolition Activities'"</i>
1.2.2	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 5 Air Quality (APP-042)	34	In paragraph 5.10.14 of Chapter 5 Air Quality of the ES (APP-042) there is reference to the PM10 results being in Appendix 5.1, Volume 6.2. However, we do not appear to have been provided with this document. Can it please be so provided?	The table of full PM <sub>10</sub> results has been added as requested by ExA question 1.2.2.	The table of full PM <sub>10</sub> results is found in appendix A of this document.

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
1.3.5	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 8.7 Reptile Technical Report (APP-080)	16	a) Paragraph 3.4.2 of the Appendix 8.7 Reptile Technical Report (APP-080) indicates that due to a lack of suitable places to put felt tiles for the reptile survey, the population may have been slightly underestimated. Can the Applicant confirm by how much they consider this under estimate to be?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.3.5.	Paragraph 3.4.2 of Environmental Statement Appendix 8.7 Reptile Technical Report (APP-080) is amended to:  <i>“Areas C18 and C19 did not achieve <b>the recommended</b> a tile density of 10 tiles per hectare due to the lack of suitable areas to place tiles, which could have resulted in the population in this area being underestimated. <del>This could mean that the population could be slightly underestimated.</del> However, as no reptiles were recorded in this area and the number of survey visits completed were considerably greater than the survey effort required to determine absence, it is considered that the results on the survey were robust and the population has not been underestimated.”</i>
1.4.10	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.1 Baseline Noise Survey Results (APP-090)	1	The Short Term and Long Term noise survey locations are not numbered on Figure 1.1 in Appendix 11.1 Baseline Noise Survey Results (APP-090). For clarity, can the Applicant provide a plan showing clearly labelled noise monitoring locations?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.4.10. Typographical error in Figure 1.1.	Figure 1.1 of Environmental Statement Appendix 11.1 Baseline Noise Survey Results (APP-090) is amended and can be found in appendix B of this document.

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
1.4.11	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Noise and Vibration (APP-044)	22 - 23	The operational study area depicted in Figure 11.1 in Chapter 11 of the ES (APP-048) is inconsistent with the operational study area described in Chapter 11 section 11.6. Can this be rectified please?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.4.11. Referred to the wrong appendix figures in paragraphs 11.6.1 and 11.6.2.	<p>Paragraphs 11.6.1 and 11.6.2 of Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Noise and Vibration (APP-048) are amended to:</p> <p><i>"The assessment area for temporary noise and vibration impacts during construction is 300 metres from construction activity (seen in Figure 11.42 Construction Noise Study Area, Volume 6.2) due to the nature of the noise sources, the typical distances from source to receptor, and professional experience for the likely area of potential adverse effects from similar highways construction assessments."</i></p> <p><i>"HD213/11 provides the methodology for assessment of road projects within the UK. The methodology, which has been applied for the purposes of this assessment, requires that the study area is identified as an area within 1 kilometre of the physical works associated with the scheme. Within this study area, road traffic noise calculations are performed at any sensitive receptor within 600 metres of a road where there is a possibility of a change of 1dB LA10,18hr upon scheme opening, or 3dB LA10,18hr in the long</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>term. The operational noise calculation area is shown in Figure 11.21 Operational Noise Study Area, Volume 6.2."</i>
1.5.2	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 3 Landscape (APP-044)	24	Chapter 7 of the ES (APP-044) paragraph 7.10.8 in the ES (Vol 6.1) does not conclude correctly. What should this say?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.5.2. Incomplete paragraph for 7.10.8.	Paragraph 7.10.8 of Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Landscape (APP-044) is amended to:  <i>"The Queen Camel High sensitivity LCA is removed from direct impacts associated with the scheme, indirect effects would be contained within LCA3 and LCA 6 in the most part. It is expected that there would be No Change in the magnitude of impact which would result in a Neutral effect upon LCA 5 Queen Camel."</i>
1.5.5	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 3 Landscape (APP-044)	19	a) Chapter 7 of the ES (APP-044) explains that the landscape assessment has assessed residential receptors in small groups rather than individually and paragraph 7.7.30 states that the visual assessment has been undertaken by only assessing high sensitivity receptors. Are these approaches justified in all circumstances?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.5.5. Amended to correctly note that lower sensitivity receptors have been assessed.	Paragraph 7.7.30 of Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Landscape (APP-044) is amended to:  <i>"The visual sensitivity of each receptor would influence the overall impact associated with the scheme as defined in Table 7.4. The sensitivity of visual receptors is detailed in Appendix 7.4 Visual Baseline and Impact Schedules, Appendix 6.3. In this assessment, <del>only highly sensitive receptors</del> the most sensitive receptors within any given group have been assessed as these represent those most likely to experience significant</i>



ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>effects. As there are a large number of high sensitivity receptors represented across the study area it is not necessary to assess the lower sensitivity receptors which would produce a lower significance of effect for the magnitude of impact."</i>
1.5.11	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	71	a) In the Appendix 6.1 of the ES, Cultural Heritage Desk Based Assessment (APP-067) on page 71 as regards the significance of effect is it is stated: "Neutral evaluation, if remains are present and it is not possible to retain them in situ, an appropriate archaeological level of recording will be undertaken in accordance with an agreed WSI". Given the distance to St Michael's Hill could the second part of this statement please be justified?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.5.11. Included text in the significance of effect column in error on page 71.	The significance of effect column under the reference to St Michael's Hill in Table 7.2 (page 71) of Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage is amended to:  <i>"Neutral evaluation, if remains are present and it is not possible to retain them in situ, an appropriate archaeological level of recording will be undertaken in accordance with an agreed WSI."</i>
1.6.1	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 3 Assessment of Alternatives	11	a) In undertaking the secondary sift of alternatives in the Assessment of Alternatives (APP-040), the results of which are set out in Table	Amended in response to ExA question 1.6.1. People and Communities column of Table 3.1 incorrectly described properties that would	The text within the People and Communities column of Table 3.1 of Chapter 3 Assessment of Alternatives (App-040) referring to Option A2 is amended to:

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
	(APP-040)		<p>3.1 it is stated, for People and Communities ES Chapter 11 (APP-049) (page 11), that one dwelling, in West Camel, would need to be demolished for Option A2 (which became the application scheme). There is no other reference to this, nor is it assessed in the third sift. Chapter 12 of the ES Vol 6.1 (APP-049) paragraph 12.8.1 fourth bullet indicates no residential properties would be demolished. Can this discrepancy please be explained?</p>	<p>need demolishing for Option A2. Text added after paragraph 3.5.11 to clarify why the property no longer needed demolishing.</p>	<p><i>"A derelict barn to the north of the existing A303 and a residential property, at West Camel would have to be demolished to accommodate construction of the proposed route, with the potential for significant adverse effects. Direct effects upon amenity due to construction activities for NMUs and MTs as well as operational adverse effects due to the removal of most of the throughflow traffic from the existing A303. Temporary and permanent agricultural land acquisition would be required, therefore there is the potential for significant effects. Direct effects are anticipated upon non-motorised users, motorised traveller views, driver stress and severance, however these are not considered to be significant."</i></p> <p>A paragraph is added after paragraph 3.5.11 to say:</p> <p><i>"Following the sift from 4 options (A2, E4, F1 and B4) to 2 options, the designs for Option A2 (which became Option 1) and Option F1 (which became Option 2) were developed further, considering the results of the initial environmental assessment, to reduce the scale of works in the rural</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>area."</i>
1.6.2	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 3 Assessment of Alternatives (APP-040)	15	a) In the Assessment of Alternatives (APP-040) Table 3.2 under Material Assets in the column discussing Option 2 is reference to Option 1. Is this a typographic error?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.6.1. Material Assets column of Table 3.2 for Option 2 incorrectly referred to Option 1.	The text within the Material Assets column of Table 3.2 of Chapter 3 Assessment of Alternatives (APP-040) referring to Option 2 is amended to:  <i>"It is anticipated that the quantity of materials required for Option 2 would be significant, specifically the quantities of materials required for pavement construction, as well as steel and concrete. Mitigation measures would ensure that the re-use / recycling of materials is made a priority, however a potential for Significant Adverse effects on material resources is still anticipated. There is anticipated to be a large quantity of green waste generated during site clearance, however all green material and excavated / cut material will be re-used on-site. Therefore, quantities of waste arisings are not expected to result in significant effects, and an on-balance Not Significant Adverse effect is anticipated. The carbon assessment identified the total carbon emissions for Option 2 as 19,193 tCO<sub>2</sub>e and the total embodied carbon emissions of the materials as</i>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>16,943 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. This larger quantity when compared with Option 1 can be attributed to the greater length."</i>
1.6.2	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 3 Assessment of Alternatives (APP-040)	17	b) Similarly, under Road Drainage and the Water Environment, in the column discussing Option 1 is reference to Option 2. Again, is this a typographic error?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.6.1. Road Drainage and Water Environment column of Table 3.2 for Option 1 incorrectly referred to Option 2.	The text within the Road Drainage and Water Environment column of Table 3.2 of Chapter 3 Assessment of Alternatives (APP-040) for Option 1 is amended to:  <i>"Following the use of standard mitigation measures no significant effects are anticipated on the water environment for Option 2<sup>1</sup>. Mitigation measures will be contained within the CEMP for Land Adjacent to Hazlegrove Park, the historic landfill site adjacent to the north-east of Option 1, to avoid mobilisation of contaminated soil or contaminated runoff in the nearby drainage ditches. The overall on balance significance of effects on the water environment as a result of Option 1 would be Neutral during both construction and operation."</i>
1.6.27	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 The Scheme (APP-039)	30	Please provide a key to accompany Figure 2.13 as it is currently not clear exactly what the Figure is showing?	Amended in response to ExA question 1.6.1. A key has been added to Figure 2.13 and the drawing updated with the design submitted for the DCO.	Figure 2.13 is amended and can be found in appendix C of this document.

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	67	N/A	<p>Since the submission of the Environmental Statement it has become apparent that the use of the West Camel Methodist Chapel (MM273) has ceased. The West Camel Methodist Chapel and Bakery of West Camel were assessed as a group. The loss of the original use of the Methodist Chapel has affected its value, therefore the assessment of value for both the Bakery of West Camel and West Camel Methodist Chapel require updating.</p>	<p>The following combined assessment in Table 7.1 for the Bakery and West Camel Methodist Church should be removed:</p> <p><del>A parade of buildings, including the Bakery of West Camel and Methodist Church. The assets lie adjacent and are immediately accessible from the A303, and therefore are considered as having a roadside setting. The historic use as a roadside bakery, and therefore its roadside setting, contributes to the value of the asset. However, views from the south of the properties overlook the wider rural and agricultural landscape that also contribute to the setting and value of the assets.</del></p> <p>In Table 7.1 the Assessment of Value for the West Camel Methodist Church is amended to:</p> <p><i>“The chapel was purpose built in 1908 to replace a chapel over a carpenter’s in West Camel. The location was probably chosen to take advantage of spreading the message of methodism to travelers along the then London Road. As such its roadside setting is integral to the value of the asset. It was in use until 2016 where</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>its position on the busy A303 was considered too dangerous and isolated for worshippers. Worship moved to All Saints Church in West Camel. The loss of its original use has somewhat impacted the ability to understand its historic function. Although its architectural style points to its historic use as a chapel”.</i></p> <p>The Assessment of Value for the Bakery at West Camel should read:</p> <p><i>“The bakery was built in the early part of the 20th century as part of a group with the Methodist Chapel. Its location on the then London Road, now the A303, was to take advantage of travelers using the route. The roadside location on a major route is an important part of the character and heritage value of the bakery. It has become a landmark for travelers along the A303 with its bay window and the two loaves on the table acting as a place marker outside the bakery”.</i></p>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage	67	N/A	Since the submission of the Environmental Statement it has become apparent that the use of the West Camel Methodist Chapel	<p>In Table 7.2 the Assessment of construction impacts for the West Camel Methodist Church is amended to:</p> <p><i>Temporary -The works required for the realignment and widening of the A303 and</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
	(APP-067)			<p>(MM273) has ceased. The West Camel Methodist Chapel and Bakery of West Camel were assessed as a group. The loss of the original use of the Methodist Chapel has affected its value. Therefore, the assessment of construction impacts for both the Bakery of West Camel and West Camel Methodist Chapel require updating.</p> <p>The magnitude of impact and significance of effect remain unchanged for both assets for temporary and permanent construction impacts.</p>	<p><i>construction of the Howell Hill Links (West and East) would result in a considerable increase in construction noise which would have a negative impact on the setting of the assets. The works may also disrupt accessibility to the assets from the A303, which would also have a negative impact on the current roadside setting of the assets.</i></p> <p><i>Permanent – The loss of the setting along a primary route will impact the ability to understand part of the original purpose of the asset to attract travelers. The architecture of the asset still allows it to be understood as a chapel, and it will sit along the original route of the turnpike/London Road which it is associated with.</i></p> <p>The assessment of construction impacts for the Bakery of West Camel is amended to:</p> <p><i>Temporary -The works required for the realignment and widening of the A303 and construction of the Howell Hill Links (West and East) would result in a considerable increase in construction noise which would have a negative impact on the setting of the assets. The works may also</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>disrupt accessibility to the assets from the A303, which would also have a negative impact on the current roadside setting of the assets.</i></p> <p><i>Permanent - The realignment of the A303 slightly to the north, would result in the asset no longer being accessible directly from the A303. This is considered a permanent alteration and negative impact to the setting of the asset on a primary route. It will also lose its placemaking character through its loss of prominent position on a major route.</i></p> <p><i>The building however is likely to be retained and value attached to its appearance architectural interest retained. It will sit along the original route of the turnpike/London Road which it is associated with.</i></p>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	79	N/A	<p>Since the submission of the Environmental Statement it has become apparent that the use of the West Camel Methodist Chapel (MM273) has ceased. The West Camel Methodist Chapel and Bakery of West Camel were assessed as a</p>	<p>The assessment of operational impact for the West Camel Methodist Church should read:</p> <p><i>The loss of passing traffic will impact the ability to understand the part of the original purpose of the chapel, the attract travellers to worship. However, the roadside setting with fast traffic movements has led to the chapel becoming unviable as a place of worship</i></p>



ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
				<p>group. The loss of the original use of the Methodist Chapel has affected its value. Therefore, the assessment of operational impacts for both the Bakery of West Camel and West Camel Methodist Chapel require updating.</p>	<p><i>due to its isolated position and dangerous access. This has the potential to put off potential reuse. As an indirect effect the scheme location of the asset on a local road has the potential to positively impact the viability of the asset placing it in a less dangerous and more attractive position. The architecture of the asset still allows it to be understood as a chapel. The magnitude of impact should read; minor beneficial. The significance of effect should read; slight beneficial</i></p> <p><i>The assessment of operational impact for the Bakery of West Camel should read; The loss of passing traffic will impact the ability to understand the original purpose of the bakery, to serve travellers along the then London Road. There is also the potential for an indirect negative impact through impact on viability and loss of historic use as a result of reduced visibility and accessibility for passing trade from main A303.</i></p> <p><i>The magnitude of impact and significance of effect remains unchanged.</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.2 Statement of Significance (APP-068)	1	N/A	The area of parkland has been incorrectly described in paragraph 1.1.4 as the south-eastern corner.	Paragraph 1.1.4 should read:  <i>The south-western corner of the RPG has lost much of its parkland character and evidential value through its current use as arable farmland making it of lower significance than much of the rest of the park.</i>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.2 Statement of Significance (APP-068)	1	N/A	Historic England have requested an alternative wording to the conclusion of significance in paragraph 1.1.4	Paragraph 1.1.4 should now read:  <i>'The area which retains the most original designed layout and features within the RPG, providing both historic and evidential value, are the formal gardens around the school, although they have been altered to accommodate school use. Most of the park retains its parkland character, and veteran trees.....'</i>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	41	N/A	The reference to a construction compound in paragraph 6.2.7 is incorrect.	Paragraph 6.2.7 should read:  <i>The layout of the soil storage area at Hazlegrove would be designed in such a way to minimise the impact on views south west from the house and across the park. This would include the location of areas and functions of the compound. This would include the location of areas and functions of the compound and screening by way of suitable fencing or</i>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<i>timber hoardings.</i>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	55 and 56	N/A	The reference to a construction compound in Hazlegrove House Group (GR05) in table 7.2 is incorrect.	The paragraph 2 in the assessment of temporary construction impacts should read:  <i>The change in character of the area from arable farmland to soil storage area would considerably impact the setting of the house and important views from the house south west across the park. The works would be visible and prominent in the landscape especially in views from main façade of the house. Although the group is approximately 600m from the works the topography and open landscape means these views are still prominent. Views from the current A303 of the house would also be interrupted by the presence of construction. This would negatively impact the ability to understand the historic relationship between the Hazlegrove House Group and its associated park, the RPG.</i>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	60	N/A	The reference to a construction compound in Hazlegrove House RPG Group (GR11) in table 7.2 is incorrect.	The assessment of temporary construction impacts should read:  <i>Temporary – For the construction of the new A303 route 20.25 hectares would be removed from the south-western corner of the RPG to be used as a soil storage</i>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>area. Although the area removed during construction is of lower value than other parts of the RPG its loss is still a significant area, approximately 26% of the RPG. This would negatively impact the ability to understand the extent and value of the RPG.</i></p> <p><i>The change in character of the area from arable farmland to soil storage area would considerably physically impact the RPG and also the setting of the remaining RPG, and the Hazlegrove House group which is an important component of the RPG. The construction works, and compound would be visible and prominent in the landscape especially in views from the parkland to the north and main façade of the house. During construction the worksite would be lit increasing the prominence of the worksite in views during the hours of darkness. Views from the current A303 of the house would also be interrupted by the presence of the soil storage area and associated plant movement. This would take place in an area currently visually still and peaceful. This would negatively impact the character and ability to understand the extent and value of the RPG.</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>An increase in the volume and type of noise could be expected during construction. This would negatively impact the heritage value of the RPG, eroding the relatively tranquil rural setting.</i></p> <p><i>The layout of the soil storage area would be designed to reduce the impact on views through. However, the cumulative effect of the negative impact on important views, character of the park, loss of parkland, and noise would result in a significant impact to the ability to understand the extent and value of the RPG and its wider rural setting.</i></p>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	22	N/A	The reference to a construction compound in paragraph 6.9.13 is incorrect.	<p>Paragraph 6.9.13 should read:</p> <p><i>The layout of the soil storage area at Hazlegrove would be designed in such a way to minimise the impact on views south west from the house and across the park. This would include the location of areas and functions of the compound and screening by way of suitable fencing or timber hoardings.</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	23	N/A	The reference to a construction compound in Hazlegrove House Group (GR05) in table 6.4 is incorrect.	<p>Paragraph 2 in the assessment of temporary construction impacts should read:</p> <p><i>The change in character of the area from arable farmland to soil storage area would considerably impact the setting of the house and important views from the house south west across the park. The works would be visible and prominent in the landscape especially in views from main façade of the house. Although the group is approximately 600m from the works the topography and open landscape means these views are still prominent. Views from the current A303 of the house would also be interrupted by the presence of construction. This would negatively impact the ability to understand the historic relationship between the Hazlegrove House Group and its associated park, the RPG.</i></p>
N/A	Volume 6.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 6.1 - Cultural Heritage (APP-067)	25	N/A	The reference to a construction compound in Hazlegrove House RPG Group (GR11) in table 6.4 is incorrect.	<p>The assessment of temporary construction impacts should read:</p> <p><i>Temporary – For the construction of the new A303 route 20.25 hectares would be removed from the south-western corner of the RPG to be used as a soil storage area. Although the area removed during</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>construction is of lower value than other parts of the RPG its loss is still a significant area, approximately 26% of the RPG. This would negatively impact the ability to understand the extent and value of the RPG.</i></p> <p><i>The change in character of the area from arable farmland to soil storage area would considerably physically impact the RPG and also the setting of the remaining RPG, and the Hazlegrove House group which is an important component of the RPG. The construction works, and compound would be visible and prominent in the landscape especially in views from the parkland to the north and main façade of the house. During construction the worksite would be lit increasing the prominence of the worksite in views during the hours of darkness. Views from the current A303 of the house would also be interrupted by the presence of the soil storage area and associated plant movement. This would take place in an area currently visually still and peaceful. This would negatively impact the character and ability to understand the extent and value of the RPG.</i></p>

ExA Question no.	Document number	Page no	ExA Written Question	Reason for amendment to the Environmental Statement	Amendment to the Environmental Statement
					<p><i>An increase in the volume and type of noise could be expected during construction. This would negatively impact the heritage value of the RPG, eroding the relatively tranquil rural setting.</i></p> <p><i>The layout of the soil storage area would be designed to reduce the impact on views through. However, the cumulative effect of the negative impact on important views, character of the park, loss of parkland, and noise would result in a significant impact to the ability to understand the extent and value of the RPG and its wider rural setting.</i></p>
N/A	Volume 6.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Cultural Heritage (APP-043)	23	N/A	The number of listed buildings within the Hazlegrove House Group is incorrect.	The number of buildings should be amended to:  <i>2 Grade II listed buildings.</i>



## Appendix A: PM<sub>10</sub> local air quality assessment results at human receptors

**Table 1.2 Local Air Quality Assessment Results at Human Receptors for PM<sub>10</sub> (µg m<sup>-3</sup>) in Base, Do-Minimum (DM) and Do-Something (DS) Scenario**

Receptor ID	X	Y	Z	Base Year (2016)		Background	Opening Year (2023)		Impact
				Background	Total		DM Total	DS Total	
1	357084	125028	1.5	12.7	13.9	12.3	13.5	12.7	-0.9
2	357668	125298	1.5	12.7	14.1	12.3	13.7	12.9	-0.8
3	357827	125398	1.5	12.7	13.5	12.3	13.1	13.0	-0.1
4	358964	125601	1.5	13.1	13.6	12.7	13.2	13.4	0.2
5	367652	127050	1.5	13.4	14.9	13.0	14.5	14.6	0.1
6	348490	119361	1.5	13.3	14.7	12.9	14.3	14.3	0.1
7	346609	117711	1.5	12.5	13.1	12.1	12.7	12.7	0.0
8	357118	125247	1.5	12.7	12.9	12.3	12.5	12.7	0.2
9	359776	125218	1.5	14.1	14.5	13.7	14.1	14.1	-0.1
10	344674	116536	1.5	12.9	14.5	12.5	14.1	14.2	0.0
11	349737	120428	1.5	11.8	12.5	11.4	12.1	12.1	0.0
12	352201	123901	1.5	12.8	13.2	12.4	12.8	12.8	0.0
13	354626	125098	1.5	13.7	13.9	13.3	13.5	13.5	0.0
14	360585	126532	1.5	13.6	14.1	13.2	13.7	13.7	0.0
15	371546	127966	1.5	11.8	12.6	11.4	12.2	12.3	0.0
16	381795	132848	1.5	13.0	13.7	12.6	13.3	13.3	0.0
17	378036	130635	1.5	11.8	12.5	11.4	12.1	12.1	0.0
18	359584	124914	1.5	15.0	15.5	14.6	15.1	15.0	-0.1
19	360039	125934	1.5	13.2	13.6	12.8	13.2	13.2	0.0
20	359975	125782	1.5	14.1	14.4	13.7	13.9	13.9	-0.1
21	381795	132848	0	13.0	13.8	12.6	13.4	13.4	0.0

**Appendix B Figure 1.1 - Noise survey positions (western section of scheme extents)**



Source: Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2014

### Appendix C: Figure 2.13 – Signed cycle route

