



## Application by National Highways for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Lower Thames Crossing

### Issue Specific Hearing 7 (ISH7) Draft Development Consent Order

**Date:** 11 September 2023

**Venue:** MS Teams

#### Action Points

No	Party	Action	Deadline
1	Local Authorities	<b>Swansea Bay Judgment</b> Can the local authorities provide a copy of the Swansea Bay case judgment in the Court of Appeal and cite the relevant parts it seeks to rely upon in any submissions in respect of the definition of 'begin' in the dDCO.	D4
	Applicant	To review the submissions and provide a response.	D5
2	Port of London Authority	<b>Harbour Revision Order</b> Submit a copy of the Harbour Revision Order (draft) that has been submitted to the Marine Management Organisation.	D4
3	Applicant and Local Highway Authorities	<b>Commutated sums</b> Provide examples from made DCOs where commuted sums have been paid to Local Highway Authorities in respect of the maintenance of new structures.	D4
4	Local Highway Authorities	<b>Article 10 of the dDCO</b> Provide submissions in respect of the wording of Article 10 of the dDCO and to what extent this could/should include the verges/landscaping. Provide clarity on ownership responsibilities between National Highways and the LHA.	D4
5	Port of Tilbury, DP World, Thurrock Council and Applicant	<b>Orsett Cock Roundabout</b> Without prejudice, Provision of draft wording for an additional DCO requirement relating specifically to the modelling, monitoring and if necessary, mitigation of the Orsett Cock roundabout.	D4

No	Party	Action	Deadline
6	Applicant and relevant local authorities.	<p><b>Workshop (Orsett Cock)</b> Undertake a workshop and then present a joint paper in respect of the traffic modelling for this junction. The focus should be on narrowing areas of disagreement specifically to reconcile identified differences between the LTAM and VISSIM modelling while recognising that there will always be a degree divergence between different models. Local Highway Authorities should not insist on an unreasonable degree of convergence which goes beyond that normally achieved in respect of other large road schemes.</p>	D5
7	Applicant and KCC	<p><b>Workshop (Bluebell Hill)</b> Undertake a workshop with KCC in respect of Bluebell Hill and then present a joint paper on the outcomes including any agreed matters and a present a pathway to mitigating any unacceptable effects at Bluebell Hill including bridging the current 15% funding gap in respect of the Large Local Major improvement scheme.</p>	D5
8	Applicant and Port of Tilbury	<p><b>Compulsory Acquisition (Asda Roundabout)</b> The Port of Tilbury proposed mitigation works at the Asda Roundabout on the A1089. It was suggested in the hearing that these may necessitate the acquisition of land / rights beyond the extent of the current highway. If that is the case, please identify the land required and the procedural implications of drawing it into the proposed development.</p> <p>Please discuss the proposed mitigations and form a statement identifying what if any measures are agreed, whether any are agreed to require additional land or rights and if so, the steps to be taken to acquire them.</p>	D4  D5
9	Applicant and Port of London Authority	<p><b>Protective Provisions (Port of London Authority)</b> Provide an update in respect of the outcome of the protective provisions discussion regarding tunnelling matters.</p>	D5