

A1 Birtley to Coal House

Scheme Number: TR010031

Statement of Common Ground with Sunderland City Council

Rule 8(1)(e) Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure Rules) 2010 February 2020



Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure Rules) 2010

The A1 Birtley to Coal House

Development Consent Order 20[xx]

STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND WITH SUNDERLAND CITY COUNCIL

APFP Number:	
Planning Inspectorate Scheme	TR010031
Reference	
Application Document Reference	TR010031/7.5B
Author:	A1 Birtley to Coal House Project Team, Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
Rev 0	25 February 2020	Draft



STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared and agreed by (1) Highways England Company Limited and (2) Sunderland City Council.

Signed.....

[NAME]

Project Manager on behalf of Highways England

Date: [DATE]

Signed.....

[Mark Jackson]

[Assistant Director of Infrastructure, Planning and Transportation]

on behalf of [Sunderland City Council]

Date: [DATE]



CONTENTS

INTRO	DUCTION1
1.1	Purpose of this Document1
1.2	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground1
1.3	Terminology1
RECOF	RD OF ENGAGEMENT
Table 2	2.1 - Record of Engagement
ISSUE	S6
Table 3	3.1 Issues related to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)
Table 3	8.2 Issues related to the Environmental Statement (ES)
Table 3	3.3: Issues related to Scheme Design11
Table 3	8.4 Issues related to the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)12
Table 3	3.5 Case for the Scheme Sunderland Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 3	8.6 Case for the Scheme Local Transport Plan Error! Bookmark not defined
APPEN	IDICESError! Bookmark not defined



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of this Document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (this "SoCG") relates to an application made by Highways England (the "Applicant") to the Secretary of State via the Planning Inspectorate (the "Inspectorate") under the Planning Act 2008 (the "2008 Act") for a Development Consent Order (DCO). If made, the DCO would grant consent for the A1 Birtley to Coal House (the "Scheme"). A detailed description of the Scheme can be found **Chapter 2** of the Environmental Statement (ES) **[APP-023]**.
- 1.1.2 This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the Application documents. All documents are available on the Inspectorate website. https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/north-east/a1-birtley-to-coal-house-improvement-scheme/
- 1.1.3 The SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority where agreement has been reached between the parties to it, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and so focus on specific issues that may need to be addressed during the examination.

1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) Highways England as the Applicant and (2) Sunderland City Council.
- 1.2.2 Highways England became the Government-owned Strategic Highways Company on 1 April 2015. It is the highway authority in England for the strategic road network and has the necessary powers and duties to operate, manage, maintain and enhance the network. Regulatory powers remain with the Secretary of State. The legislation establishing Highways England made provision for all legal rights and obligations of the Highways Agency, including in respect of the Application, to be conferred upon or assumed by Highways England.
- 1.2.3 Sunderland City Council is the Local Authority for the Scheme falling within Category B of section 43(2) of the 2008 Act. The Scheme falls outside of the Council's administrative area but is adjacent to the Order limits.

1.3 Terminology

1.3.1 In the tables in the Issues chapter of this SoCG, "Not Agreed" indicates a final position, and "Under discussion" where these points will be the subject of ongoing discussion wherever possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. "Agreed" indicates where the issue has been resolved.



1.3.2 It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in the Issues chapter of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to Sunderland City Council, and therefore have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters can be read as agreed, only to the extent that they are either not of material interest or relevance to Sunderland City Council.



2. RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT

2.1.1 A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between Highways England and Sunderland City Council in relation to the Application is outlined in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 - Record of Engagement

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
05/09/2017	Meeting Sunderland were invited to the meeting but did not wish to attend.	Population and Human Health - Discussion on walkers, cyclists and horse-riders (WCH) and planned and aspirational routes, connections, facilities, infrastructure, etc. that the Local Authorities have previously identified to improve pedestrian, cycle and equestrian routes within the area.
		At the meeting it was agreed that Gateshead Council would check if the proposed emergency access route at Craithe was still going ahead.
		WSP agreed to provide the usage surveys when completed to Gateshead Council.
		Sunderland City Council advises that the nearest Public Right of Way (PRoW) located in Sunderland's boundary (Washington Footpath 59) will not be directly influenced by the Scheme.
05/03/2018 09/03/2018	Emails to Paul Muir (Transport Engineer) and Email from Kevin Johnson (Landscape Architect)	Landscape and Visual - Submission of details and agreement for viewpoints and receptors for the visual assessment
27/04/2018	Email with Graham Carr	Noise and Vibration – sought confirmation of:
	(Highway Asset Manager)	 Available information on known local sources of noise and vibration across the area, including those known to give rise to complaint;
		 Any specific noise or vibration related local planning policies;
		 National noise and vibration policies that are considered particularly relevant to the local area;
		 Any known local receptors, that could be particularly sensitive to noise and vibration (e.g.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010031



Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
		dwellings, medical facilities, research centres); and
		Sources of historic noise or vibration complaints.
		 No concerns raised by Sunderland.
		 In response to a query from Sunderland City Council,-Highways England can confirm that Springwell Village is not within the scope as it is outside the construction study area (ES Fig 11.1) and just outside the operational study area (ES Fig 11.2) for noise and vibration.
02/10/2019	Letter sent to Head of Highways	Section 56 Notification of accepted application
08/11/2019	Sunderland City Council – Written Representations	Relevant Representation submitted advising that Sunderland City Council is supportive of the Scheme. However, Sunderland City Council wishes to reserve its position should any specific matters arise which impact on Sunderland City Council's road network. These matters are likely to relate to Scheme delivery with regards to temporary traffic management and any necessary diversion routes on the local road network during the construction phase.
		To be discussed further with Sunderland City Council at the meeting on the 19/02/2020.
29/01/2020	Email to Paul Muir and Gateshead Council officers	Notifying of publication of Rule 8 letter by PINS
19/02/2020	Workshop Meeting at Gateshead Civic Centre	No further comments on draft DCO following review by SCC Highways and Legal teams.
		Sunderland to provide feedback on Transport Assessment Report including review of A1231/B1288 Mill House roundabout.
		Sunderland requested review of Construction Traffic Management Plan including construction worker trips.
		Sunderland discussed benefits of communications plan for notifying residents and businesses during construction phase. Recommended Traffic

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010031



Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed and key outcomes
		Management Working Group be established with key stakeholders invited to attend.

2.1.2 It is agreed that this is an accurate record of the key meetings and consultation undertaken between (1) **The Applicant** and (2) **Sunderland City Council** in relation to the issues addressed in this SoCG.



3.1 ISSUES

3.1.1 This section sets out the 'issues' which are agreed, not agreed or are under discussion between Sunderland City Council and Highways England.

Table 3.1 Issues related to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)

Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
All	Written Representations Response by Sunderland City Council 4/2/2020	No further comments from Sunderland City Council.	The Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-013] has been drafted to a satisfactory standard.	Agreed
Articles 1-46		Satisfied with the inclusion of Clause 6 in respect of Planning Permission. Satisfied with the inclusion of Clause 11 – Power to alter layout etc of streets, subject to adherence with sub-paragraph (3), which requires the relevant street authority's consent and note the 6-week response period. Satisfied with the inclusion of Clause 12 'Street Works' in order to comply with the provisions of the NRSWA 1991.	The provisions stated in Articles 1- 46 of the DCO [APP-013] are acceptable.	Agreed



Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
		In terms of Clause 13 'Construction and maintenance of new, altered or diverted streets', there is not expected to be a Side Agreement between Sunderland City Council and the applicant in relation to the inspection and agreement of the works on the Local Road Network, because it does not appear at this stage that Sunderland City Council's roads are affected. Satisfied with the inclusion of Clause 15 relating to the Temporary stopping up and restriction to the use of streets, subject to prior agreement with the relevant local		
		highway authorities, although this is unlikely to impact on Sunderland's road network. Satisfied with the inclusion of Clause		
		18 'Modification of TROs' although this is unlikely to impact on Sunderland's road network.No further comments from		
		Sunderland City Council.		



Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
Requirements 1- 19	Written Representations Response by Sunderland City Council 4/2/2020	Schedule 2 – Requirement 10 - Traffic Management. Satisfied with the inclusion subject to agreement of extent of consultation with Sunderland City Council for residents and businesses within Sunderland (Springwell Village and Washington).	The provisions stated in Requirements 1- 19 of Schedule 2 of the DCO [APP-013] are acceptable.	Under Discussion

Table 3.2 Issues related to the Environmental Statement (ES)

Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
Environmental Statement Appendix 7.3 Transport Assessment Report [APP- 173]	Chapters 4 - 15	Sunderland City Council intends to provide more detailed comments by Deadline 2 (ExQ1 issued on 28th January 2020). These are specifically in relation to: Environmental Impact Assessment (cumulative effects) These matters are likely to relate to Scheme delivery with regards to temporary traffic management and any necessary diversion routes on the local	ES Chapter 4 -15 [APP-024 - 036] summarises the assessment methodology for impacts of the Proposed Scheme during construction and operation and where necessary details the proposed mitigation. The following topics have been covered as part of the ES: • Environmental Assessment Methodology • Air Quality and Emissions • Cultural Heritage	Under Discussion



Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
		road network during the construction phase.	Landscape and Visual	
			Biodiversity	
			Geology and Soils	
			Material Resources	
			Noise and Vibration	
			Population and Human Health	
			 Road Drainage and Water Environment 	
			Climate	
			 Cumulative and Combined Assessment 	

Table 3.3 Issues related to the Traffic and Transport Assessment

Section	Sub- section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
Appendix 7.3 Transport Assessment Report [APP- 173]	All	Sunderland City Council intends to provide more detailed comments by Deadline 2 (ExQ1 issued on 28th January 2020). These are specifically in relation to:	A Transport Assessment Report [APP-173] has been undertaken as part of the Proposed Scheme, the study area encompasses the Scheme Footprint and includes the assessment area over the A1	Under Discussion

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010031



Section	Sub- section	Sunderland City Council Position	Highways England Position	Status
		Transport Assessment report regarding traffic and transportation impacts within local authority area. These matters are likely to relate to Scheme delivery with regards to temporary traffic management and any necessary diversion routes on the local road network during the construction phase.	 between junction 65 (Birtley) and junction 67 (Coal House). The Transport Assessment examined: The baseline data Current Network Performance Future Network performance Road Safety Walking Cycling and Horse Riding (WCH) Traffic Economics Cost Estimates 	



Table 3.4: Issues related to Scheme Design

Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Response	Highways England Position	Status
Structures Engineering Drawings and Sections [APP- 011]	All	There are some text errors within the submission drawings relating to the naming of local authority areas of Gateshead, Sunderland and Durham which should be corrected. These include reference to 'Sunderland Borough', which should be corrected to 'Sunderland City Council.'	 The Proposed Scheme has been detailed in depth in numerous drawings and Sections provided as part of the submission, including the proposed design of: The Viaduct and Embankment Options Ramp at North Dene Footbridge Lighting of the underpass Public Rights of Way diversions. Plans are being updated to correct errors identified. 	Under Discussion



Table 3.5 Issues related to the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)

Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Response	Highways England Position	Status
Outline CEMP [APP- 174]	All	Sunderland City Council intends to provide more detailed comments by Deadline 2 (ExQ1 issued on 28th January 2020). These are specifically in relation to: Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP). These matters are likely to relate to scheme delivery with regards to temporary traffic management and any necessary diversion routes on the local road network during the construction phase. At a meeting with Highways England on 19 February 2020, Sunderland expressed a desire to be involved in further discussions construction traffic routes and temporary highway diversions during the construction period.	The Outline CEMP provides details of environmental roles and responsibilities, details of consents and permissions, collection and submission of environmental data, environmental maintenance and monitoring requirements including procedures for monitoring and reviewing compliance with the CEMP.	Under discussion.
Chapter 3: Record of Environmental Actions and	Table 3-1	Sunderland City Council intend to provide more detailed comments by Deadline 2 (ExQ1 issued on 28th	The commitments included within the ES are captured in Table 3-1 to address the potential	Under discussion.

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR010031

Application Document Ref: TR010031/7.5B



Section	Sub-section	Sunderland City Council Response	Highways England Position	Status
Commitments – Included within Outline CEMP [APP- 174]		January 2020). These are specifically in relation to: Record of Environmental Actions and Commitments (REAC) These matters are likely to relate to scheme delivery with regards to temporary traffic management and any necessary diversion routes on the local road network during the construction phase.	environmental effects of the Scheme. The REAC will be updated as the Scheme progresses and will be finalised at the end of construction, on completion of the Scheme. This will be the main vehicle for communicating essential environmental information to the Client and the body who will be responsible for the future maintenance and operation of the asset. Table 3-1 identifies commitments in the REAC which impose requirements on the design, construction and operation of the Scheme.	

