

From:
To: transportinfrastructure@dtf.gov.uk
Cc: [M25 Junction 10; ni.mail.distribution@notifications.service.gov.uk](mailto:M25_Junction_10_ni.mail.distribution@notifications.service.gov.uk)
Subject: MACATEER - NEW FARM - M25 Junction 10 / A3 Wisley Interchange Improvement Plan
Date: 18 November 2020 14:52:35

Andrew and Robert Macateer –

M25 Junction 10/A3 Wisley Interchange Improvement Plan

On behalf of the landowners above, we write in response to the two communications from the SoS Inspectorate, dated 5th November 2020 (copied below) and 16th November 2020 (copied below that), in the same order:

5th November 2020

Item 7: Comment on Deadline 11 and 12 - Compulsory Acquisition Hearings – Written Submissions:

The Secretary of State notes that submissions were submitted at Deadline 12 on which there was no time remaining within the extended Examination timetable for the Examining Authority to receive responses on these. Furthermore, the Secretary of State notes that there were submissions made at Deadline 11 for which there was also limited time available within the extended Examination timetable for responses to be received. The Secretary of State therefore invites Interested Parties to comment on the submissions received at Deadline 12 and Deadline 11.

Our comment is that we object to the compulsory acquisition of the land including 7/11a and 7/12 to provide an access road, **if** it is to be of an inadequate width to accommodate the number of identified users and in our opinion the level of potential use is such that it is required to be two carriages along its length from the main highway.

Comments under Deadlines 11 and 12 have been made that the road does not need to be as wide as has been shown on the plans and that a section of that access road can be reduced to 3m with passing bays, with presumably the remainder (from the HE comments below) being 4.8m wide with passing bays. If the access road is to be constructed, it must be of a sufficient width to prevent both accident and congestion and that cannot be less than a complete carriageway in each direction.

Highways England has, in the responses to Deadline 11 and 12 stated (with our emphases):

https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR010030/TR010030-001149-TR010030_Volume_9_145_Applicants%20comments%20on%20Girlguiding%20Greater%20London%20West%20Deadline%2011%20submission.pdf

2.2 No amended plan for the original route

*2.2.1 As set out in Applicant's note for Action Point 2 (Session 2 Part 1 Heyswood Camp Site) [REP11-010] in response to point 2a, **the access road to the campsite car park needs to be 4.8m wide. This is to accommodate the levels of traffic using the access road to the campsite and Court Close Farm. GGLW's Post-hearing submissions including written summaries of oral case and comments on D2 submissions [REP3-061] indicated just under 10,000 vehicles visited the campsite in 2019. The carriageway also needs to accommodate large vehicles such as coaches and refuse vehicles passing in opposite directions from time to time.***

2.2.2 As there will be much less traffic using the access road between the campsite car park and Court Close Farm, Highways England can confirm that it is content to construct a 3 metre wide access road with passing places, between the campsite car park and Court Close Farm. This is subject to agreement with the owner of Court Close Farm. In the event that a 3 metre wide road is constructed, Highways England would therefore have more flexibility in reducing the land-take within this land parcel in respect of the land needed for the private access road, where this is practicable.

2.2.3 However as described under Highways England's response to Action point 2b in Action Point 2 (Session 2 Part 1 Heyswood Camp Site) [REP11-010], it should be noted that the working for the gas pipeline will encompass the majority of the area within the DCO boundary of plot 7/1.

The spur serving only Court Close Farm can certainly be narrower if the level of use is low enough and that is not a matter for us, but the entire access road from the main road going East needs to be two carriageways and therefore even the proposed width of 4.8m with passing bays is insufficient to cater for all the users identified.

From: M25 Junction 10 <M25Junction10@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Sent: 05 November 2020 10:44

To:

Subject: M25 Junction 10 / A3 Wisley Interchange Project – TR010030

Dear Sir/Madam,

M25 Junction 10 / A3 Wisley Interchange Project – TR010030

Your reference: M25J10-AP026

The Secretary of State has issued a consultation letter requesting information from the Applicant, Surrey County Council, Elmbridge Borough Council, Girlguiding Greater London West and other affected and interested parties by 19 November 2020. Please find below a link to the letter.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/TR010030/TR010030-001227-Consultation%20Letter%20from%20the%20Secretary%20of%20State%20%E2%80%93%204%20November%2020.pdf>

If this link does not open automatically, please cut and paste it into your browser. Alternatively, it can also be found in the 'documents' tab on the Project Page of our website:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/south-east/m25-junction-10a3-wisley-interchange-improvement/?ipcsection=overview>

Yours faithfully

M25 Junction 10 / A3 Wisley Interchange Project Team

National Infrastructure Planning

The Planning Inspectorate

Email: M25junction10@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Telephone: 0303 444 5000

Web: infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk (National Infrastructure Planning)

16th November 2020:

The request for responses below lists: *Applicant, Southern Gas Networks PLC and the owners of plots 2/9, 2/10 and 2/14* as the relevant parties. It is not clear which plot numbers in that list relate to our clients ownership and therefore why it is relevant. We phoned the DoT author of the consultation letter and instead of simply directing us to a plan which would assist, we were required to write in formally “in the interests of transparency” simply to identify why we had been included in that request, given the list above. There are no transparency issues, but especially given the deadline for responses of 23rd November, to refuse to assist in this way is prejudicial. We **request therefore an urgent reply** to identify whether our clients’ land is affected by this and whether the request therefore extends to them.

Richard Anstis

From: NI Mail Distribution <ni.mail.distribution@notifications.service.gov.uk>

Sent: 16 November 2020 16:15

To:

Subject: Updates for M25 junction 10/A3 Wisley interchange improvement

The logo for the Planning Inspectorate, featuring the text "Planning Inspectorate" above a small blue square icon containing a white question mark.

TR010030: M25 junction 10/A3 Wisley interchange improvement Updates

Hi richard anstis

The Secretary of State has issued a [consultation letter](#) (PDF, 126KB) requesting comments from the Applicant, Southern Gas Networks PLC and the owners of plots 2/9, 2/10 and 2/14 by Monday 23 November 2020.

See [M25 junction 10/A3 Wisley interchange improvement](#) for more information.

You are receiving this email because you are subscribed to project updates.

You can [unsubscribe](#) from these updates anytime by clicking unsubscribe or copy and paste the link below into your browser.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/email-unsubscription.php/?email=richard@nstis.com&project=TR010030&code=e774e08d05b7f210889d73a023eedd59>

Please note we are now using GOV.UK Notify as our mail service so these updates are sent from a different mail address. There are no other changes to these notifications and you will continue to receive updates as before.

Note: Please do not reply to this message as it was sent from a notification-only address that

doesn't accept incoming messages.