

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: M25 Section 10 / A3 Wisley Interchange Project - TR010030  
**Date:** 10 July 2020 23:41:09

---

Dear Sirs,

Thank you for inviting my comments on the issue of the replacement land ratios.

I don't grasp all the technicalities involved, the calculations and so forth.

Nevertheless it clearly cannot be a good thing to reduce the historic ratios of replacement land.

Those ratios have been devised and put in place for a reason: to safeguard the environment, wildlife and biodiversity, and ultimately, the wellbeing of the nation.

We must do more for wildlife, not less. We already have lost so much, and we are in danger of losing yet more.

This project is already threatening so much damage if it does go ahead. The original plan has been adapted to satisfy requirements of some of the interested parties. Some of those adaptations are more detrimental to wildlife than the original proposal was. It is not right to now whittle away even further at the originally proposed mitigation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours faithfully,

Rogers Cook

[REDACTED]