



<b>Transboundary screening for the purposes of Regulation 32 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (the 2017 EIA Regulations)</b>	
<b>Project name:</b>	M25 Junction 28 Improvement
<b>Address/Location:</b>	Junction 28 of the M25, between Romford and Brentwood, Essex
<b>Planning Inspectorate Ref:</b>	TR010029

<b>FIRST TRANSBOUNDARY SCREENING</b>	
<b>Document(s) used for transboundary Screening:</b>	M25 Junction 28 Improvement Environmental Impact Scoping (November 2017) ('the Scoping Report')
<p>The Planning Inspectorate (the Inspectorate) on behalf of the Secretary of State has considered the Proposed Development which comprises realignments of the M25 and A12 carriageways at and to the south of Junction 28, and a new dedicated link road for anti-clockwise M25 traffic joining the A12, and is the subject of this transboundary screening decision. The Inspectorate has taken into account information contained in the Applicant's Scoping Report titled the '<i>M25 Junction 28 Environmental Impact Scoping</i>' dated 10 November 2017 and has had regard to the location of the Proposed Development, its characteristics and the environmental importance of the receiving environment.</p> <p>The Inspectorate concludes that the Proposed Development <b><i>is unlikely to have a significant effect either alone or cumulatively on the environment in another European Economic Area State.</i></b> In reaching this conclusion the Inspectorate has identified and considered the Proposed Development's likely impacts including consideration of potential pathways and the extent, magnitude, probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impacts.</p> <p>The Inspectorate considers that the likelihood of transboundary effects resulting from the Proposed Development is so low that it does not warrant the issue of a detailed transboundary screening. However, this position will remain under review and will have regard to any new or materially different information coming to light which may alter that decision.</p>	
<p><b>Action:</b> No further action required at this stage.</p> <p><b>Date:</b> 11/04/2018</p> <p><b>Note:</b> The SoS' duty under Regulation 32 of the 2017 EIA Regulations continues throughout the application process.</p>	

<b>TRANSBOUNDARY RE-SCREENING</b>	
<b>Document(s) used for transboundary</b>	The Environmental Statement (Document Reference 6.1 to

<b>screening:</b>	6.10)
<p>The Inspectorate has re-considered the likelihood of transboundary effects resulting from the Proposed Development, taking into account any changes that have been made to the Proposed Development since the previous transboundary screening process was undertaken.</p> <p>The Inspectorate considers that the Proposed Development is <b>unlikely to have a significant effect either alone or cumulatively on the environment in another European Economic Area State</b>. This position will remain under review and will have regard to any new or materially different information coming to light which may alter that decision.</p>	
<p><b>Action:</b> No further action required at this stage.</p> <p><b>Date:</b> 10/07/2020</p> <p><b>Note:</b> The SoS' duty under Regulation 32 of the 2017 EIA Regulations continues throughout the application process.</p>	

**Note:**

The Inspectorate's screening of transboundary issues is based on the relevant considerations specified in the Annex to its Advice Note Twelve, available on our website at <http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/legislation-and-advice/advice-notes/>