

M42 Junction 6 Development Consent Order Scheme Number TR010027

8.78 Tree Preservation Orders and Trees within a Conservation Area

Planning Act 2008

Rule 8 (1)(k)

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

Volume 8

October 2019

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

M42 Junction 6
Development Consent Order 202[]

Tree Preservation Orders and Trees within a Conservation Area

Regulation Number	Rule 8(1)(k)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010027
Document Reference	8.78
Author	M42 Junction 6 Project Team & Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
1	11 October 2019	Final for submission for Deadline 6

Table of contents

Chapter	Pages
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1

List of Tables

Table 1-1: Trees located within the Order limits and within a Conservation Area	2
---	---

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 The following information expands upon Table 1 as presented in Annex 1 of Appendix 8.2: Arboricultural Survey [**APP-128/Volume 6.3**] of the Environmental Statement.
- 1.1.2 The Applicant can confirm that there are no Trees within the Order limits afforded Tree Preservation Orders as stated within Appendix 8.2 Arboricultural Survey [**APP-128/Volume 6.3**] of the Environmental Statement, which stated:
- “A TPO check of the overall proposal site was carried out through Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council which identified that there are no Tree Preservation Orders on any tree within the proposal area, however the large woodland of Barbers Coppice to the South of Bickenhill which borders the proposal area is covered by TPO order TPO/00270.*
- The Bickenhill Conservation Area covers the Northern part of the village and contains parts of G6, G7 and G8 within its boundary.”*
- 1.1.3 **Table 1-1** of this document identifies the trees that are located within the Order limits and fall within the boundary of a Conservation Area. This additional information is included within the columns as highlighted in green.

Table 1-1: Trees located within the Order limits and within a Conservation Area

Tree/ Group Ref No.	Plan Reference (Appendix 8.2/Volume 3 Arboricultural Survey)	TPO	Location to the Scheme	Within Conservation Area	Species	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)				Stem diamet er (mm)	Age class	Physiological Condition	Condition	Management recommenda tions	ERC	Cat Grade	Radius of Nominal Circle (m)	RPA SqM
							W	N	S	E									
G6	Sheet 6	No	Within Order Limits Directly impacted by the mainline link and realigned Catherine- de-Barnes	Yes, partial	<i>Fraxinus sp, Acer sp, Crataeg us sp,</i>	15	4	4	4	4	350	M	F-G	Boundary line of mature trees, mixed species. Exhibiting some good condition with some deadwood and dieback throughout. Dense undergrowth.	Retain where possible	20+	B2	4.2	55.42
G7	Sheet 6	No	Within the Order Limits Not within the footprint of the Scheme	Yes	<i>Robinia sp, Taxus sp, Acer sp.</i>	12	4	4	4	4	350- 400	M	F-G	Group of 9 trees in garden of property. Mostly good condition with robinia showing some cavities and poor condition.	Retain where possible	30+	B2	4.5	63.63
G8	Sheet 6	No	Within Order Limits Directly impacted by the mainline link and realigned Catherine- de-Barnes	Yes	<i>Acer sp, Chamae cyparis sp, Ulmus sp, Fraxinus sp.</i>	11	2. 5	2. 5	2. 5	2. 5	400	M	G	Line of boundary trees adjacent to road side. Overgrown and unmanaged and impeding road. Mostly exhibiting good condition.	Remove or retain as per development plans	30+	C2	4.8	72.39
G60	Sheet 6	No	Within Order Limits Directly impacted by the mainline link and realigned Catherine- de-Barnes	Yes	<i>Alnus sp, Fraxinus sp, Tilia sp, Prunus sp, Sorbus sp</i>	Oct-14	4	4	4	4	200- 500	M	F-G	Line of 8 trees growing along grass verge adjacent to road. Rowan shows some signs of decline, all others exhibit good health overall.	Retain where possible	20+	B2	4.2	55.42