Transboundary screening undertaken by the Planning Inspectorate (the Inspectorate) on behalf of the Secretary of State (SoS) for the purposes of Regulation 32 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (the 2017 EIA Regulations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name:</th>
<th>M42 Junction 6 Improvement Scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address/Location:</td>
<td>Junction 6 of the M42, near Bickenhill, West Midlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Inspectorate Ref:</td>
<td>TR010027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRANSBOUNDARY SCREENING

Document(s) used for transboundary screening:
M42 Junction 6 Improvement Scheme Environmental Impact Assessment Scoping Report (October 2017) (‘the Scoping Report’)
- Including Appendix 1.2 to the Scoping Report titled ‘Transboundary Effects Screening Matrix’.

The Planning Inspectorate (the Inspectorate) on behalf of the Secretary of State has considered the Proposed Development which comprises a new dumbbell junction approximately 1.8km south of the existing Junction 6 and a 2.4km section of new dual carriageway between the new junction and the existing Clock Interchange on the A45, and is the subject of this transboundary screening decision. The Inspectorate has taken into account information contained in the Applicant’s Scoping Report titled the ‘M42 Junction 6 Environmental Impact Assessment Scoping Report’ dated 20 October 2017 and has had regard to the location of the Proposed Development, its characteristics and the environmental importance of the receiving environment.

The Inspectorate concludes that the Proposed Development is unlikely to have a significant effect either alone or cumulatively on the environment in another European Economic Area State. In reaching this conclusion the Inspectorate has identified and considered the Proposed Development’s likely impacts including consideration of potential pathways and the extent, magnitude, probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impacts.

The Inspectorate considers that the likelihood of transboundary effects resulting from the Proposed Development is so low that it does not warrant the issue of a detailed transboundary screening. However, this position will remain under review and will have regard to any new or materially different information coming to light which may alter that decision.

Action: No further action required at this stage.
Date: 11/04/2018
Note: The SoS’ duty under Regulation 32 of the 2017 EIA Regulations continues throughout the application process.
### Transboundary Re-Screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Used for Transboundary Screening:</th>
<th>M42 Junction 6 Improvement Scheme Environmental Statement (Document Reference APP-046 to APP-174)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The Inspectorate has re-considered the likelihood of transboundary effects resulting from the Proposed Development, taking into account any changes that have been made to the Proposed Development since the previous transboundary screening process was undertaken.

The Inspectorate considers that the Proposed Development is **unlikely to have a significant effect either alone or cumulatively on the environment in another European Economic Area State.** This position will remain under review and will have regard to any new or materially different information coming to light which may alter that decision.

**Action:** No further action required at this stage.

**Date:** 29 July 2019

**Note:** The SoS’ duty under Regulation 32 of the 2017 EIA Regulations continues throughout the application process.

---

**Note:**

The Inspectorate’s screening of transboundary issues is based on the relevant considerations specified in the Annex to its Advice Note Twelve, available on our website at [http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/legislation-and-advice/advice-notes/](http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/legislation-and-advice/advice-notes/)