

From: [REDACTED]
To: silvertowntunnel
Subject: Westcombe Society Submission to PINS re Silvertown Tunnel (registration identification number 411)
Date: 20 March 2018 16:28:38

Re Silvertown Tunnel Interested Party SILV 411

We have read the TfL document " Silvertown Tunnel Updated Air Quality Assessment Response to DfT letter of 14 November 2017" published in February 2018.

We are concerned that a deterioration is still predicted around the A102 (paragraphs 3.1.8 and 3.1.13. As stated in previous responses to the SilvertownTunnel Consultation we do not find any reduction in Air Quality Acceptable. We have also previously stated that we have concerns about the underlying modelling and we do not think these have been adequately addressed. We therefore still do not have confidence that the air quality predictions are robust enough to undertake a project where it is admitted that there will be any reduction in air quality anywhere.

We are also concerned that although the proposals say in paragraphs 3.1.14 and 3.1.15 that the proposals do not compromise any committed actions for London such as the Central Zone ULEZ we have not seen any commitment that the Blackwall crossing and approach roads including the proposed Silvertown Tunnel will be included in the proposals to extend the ULEZ zone.

In summary without assurances

1. that there will be NO increase in pollution anywhere as a result of the proposed Silvertown Tunnel
2. that the Silvertown/Blackwall crossing and associated approach roads will be subject in the future to the same air quality restrictions as other roads in the area

we cannot support the Silvertown Tunnel proposal.

Emily Norton
on behalf of the Westcombe Society.

Westcombe Society Environment Committee
environment@westcombesociety.org
www.westcombesociety.org

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
