

From: [Matthew Browne](#)
To: [silvertowntunnel](#)
Subject: Green Party response to updated Silvertown Tunnel application
Date: 06 March 2018 14:03:01

Good afternoon,

The below constitutes the Greenwich Green Party response to the updated Silvertown Tunnel application.

We have considered the updated Air Quality assessment submitted by the applicant, and wish to raise serious concerns. The assessment fails to clearly specify locations where air quality testing has taken place, resulting in a document that is neither readily comprehensible or legible. We feel that the byzantine nature of the document amounts to an attempt to avoid further local scrutiny.

We would also like to see more a more culminative approach to modelling air quality in Greenwich – with the new cruise terminal, increased numbers of cruise ships visiting and increased traffic taken into full account.

Greenwich residents, especially in Peninsula ward, remain very concerned by the creation of a new tunnel on their doorstep. The tunnel will bring a lot of new heavier traffic into Greenwich increasing pollution levels (already far too high) and the amount of diesel, as bigger vehicles will be able to get through the new tunnel (unlike the present one). Whilst this may benefit the freight industry by cutting times for them so they don't have to go over Dartford bridge, this is to the detriment of the health and wellbeing of thousands of residents on both sides of the proposed tunnel.

We remain deeply concerned by these proposals, and oppose them.

Regards,

Matt Browne
On behalf of Greenwich Green Party



This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
