

**Planning Act 2008 (as amended)**

**TR010021 – Application by Transport for London for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Silvertown Tunnel Project**

**Action Points** arising from the Issue Specific Hearing (ISH) into Traffic & Transport Modelling held at the Crystal, Royal Victoria Dock on **7.12.2016**

Action	Party	Deadline
<b>Published 8.12.2016</b>		
1	TfL (The Applicant)	14 December 2016
Please provide copies of 1. Study undertaken for River Crossings Report with full documentation including Stated Preference (S.P)/Stated Intention (S.I), findings by market segments and details of data and samples (including Residential location of respondents); 2. Evidence Report summarising behavioural surveys; and 3. Note on values of time, benchmarking exercise including U.K. and International comparisons.		
2	The Applicant	14 December 2016
Please provide note concerning realism tests in relation to user charging and fuel costs.		
3	LB Newham	14 December 2016
Please provide reference in TfL's documentation to comments on inherent uncertainty of modelling.		
4	The Applicant	14 December 2016
Please confirm dates for engagement with LB Southwark prior to submission of application and whether omission of Southwark from list of Boroughs engaged with in TT1.16 is an error.  If not, please explain the omission.		

5	Please provide corrected answer to TT6, in particular TT6.4 and Figure 2.	The Applicant	14 December 2016
6	Please provide a detailed breakdown (Origin-Destination by 'Sector' and Traffic Zones for host and adjacent Boroughs) of cross-river trips that would be diverted to Public Transport as a consequence of the tunnel and user-charging to include socio-economic and other demographic indicators (tables and maps).	The Applicant	14 December 2016
7	Please provide commentary on the interface between strategic modelling and local modelling including provision of a map naming all junctions subject to local modelling (including Flow Charts of links and procedures).  Local planning/highway authorities also to provide plans showing named locations of junctions they consider should be subject to local modelling or mitigation measures if modelling has already defined necessary action.	The Applicant and RB Greenwich, LB Tower Hamlets and other LBs which have junctions that are considered to warrant local modelling or mitigation.	14 December 2016
8	Please provide a summary of the key data set outputs from the traffic and transport models that were used as inputs to prepare the air quality assessments (including details of junctions/equations with parameter values and levels of aggregation (spatial/temporal)).	The Applicant	14 December 2016
9	Please provide an explanation of the anomalies regarding the interface between the traffic/transport modelling outputs and noise assessments in relation to:- (a) the lack of any text in the ES chapter on noise regarding the assumptions that were made in the noise and vibration assessments in relation to daily/peak flow/off-peak flow vehicle movements that would occur in the operational phase of the development – please provide a summary of the vehicle movement data that was employed; and (b) why, if the noise impacts traffic data is needed for the opening year (2021) and the design year (2036), the noise assessment model has not been run for the design year but instead traffic data was interpolated for 2031 and 2041.	The Applicant	14 December 2016