

PLANNING ACT 2008

INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (EXAMINATION PROCEDURE) RULES 2010

APPLICATION FOR THE A14 (CAMBRIDGE TO HUNTINGDON IMPROVEMENT) DEVELOPMENT
CONSENT ORDER (Reference TR10008)

RESPONSE TO EXAMINING AUTHORITY'S SECOND WRITTEN QUESTIONS OF
NETWORK RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED (Unique Reference Number 10031042)

Question 2.4.1	At Annex A is a document headed “A14 Cambridge to Huntingdon improvement scheme: list of all objections to the granting of compulsory acquisition powers”. The document records the ExA’s understanding of the objections which have been received to the applicant’s request for the grant of compulsory acquisition and temporary use powers. The applicant is asked to please check the contents of Annex A and confirm whether or not they agree with its contents and, if not, to identify by way of track changes any amendments, additions or deletions with explanations where necessary.
	Annex A is not correct. Network Rail Infrastructure Limited (Network Rail’s) objection relates to the following plots: (a) Permanent <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 34/30• 34/31a• 34/32a• 34/32d• 34/35b• 34/36• 34/37 (b) Temporary Possession <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 34/32b (c) Temporary Possession and taking of Rights of Network Rail <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 9/7• 9/8• 9/9• 9/16b• 34/35a (d) Taking of Rights of Network Rail <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 34/25b• 34/25d• 34/25e

<p>Question 2.4.6</p>	<p>We refer to the relevant representation from Mr Robert Lenton of Corpus Christi Farm, Godmanchester (RR466). Mr Lenton has concerns regarding the proposed provision for temporary and permanent access rights being acquired over plots 9/6,9/11 and 9/14 and the configuration of the route. His concerns are set out in the representation (RR-466) sent by his agent Mr Michael Alexander dated 10th March 2015, which had attached to it a plan showing an alternative access over A – B (land which is in Mr Lenton’s tenancy) and also an alternative railway bridge which is of greater width than that proposed to be used. Will the applicant consider Mr Lenton’s concerns as expressed in the representation and discuss with him to see if a more acceptable alternative can be agreed which will accommodate both parties.</p>
	<p>Network Rail is the owner of both the Bridge affected by the Draft DCO (the Corpus Christi Bridge known to Network Rail as ECM1/139) and the other bridge within Mr Lenton’s tenancy (known to Network Rail as ECM1/140). Any solution involving Bridge ECM1/140 would therefore need to involve Network Rail.</p>

Bond Dickinson LLP

18 August 2015