

From: Sarah.Platts@kent.gov.uk
 To: [M20 Junction 10A](#)
 Subject: Deadline 7: KCC additional response to 2nd set of questions
 Date: 01 May 2017 09:46:27

Dear Sir/Madam,

There were three questions in the Second Set of Questions, which KCC requested an opportunity to comment further on at deadline 7.

Please see below the KCC responses to these questions.

6.01	Applicant; KCC	<p>Cultural Heritage</p> <p>Re. KCC's submission at Deadline 5 [REP5-026; Item D] in which KCC cited a meeting to take place after the hearings to discuss KCC's comments on cultural heritage:</p> <p>i. Would the Applicant and KCC state the current position and any matters outstanding (ie under discussion or not agreed)?</p>
<p>There are still some matters outstanding as set out in the Statement of Common Ground. However, Highways England has agreed to additional trenching and a revised WSI is being worked up now with Mott MacDonald. Highways England has not yet sent Geophysical Report to KCC Heritage.</p>		
6.02	Applicant; KCC	<p>Archaeological surveys - Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI)</p> <p>Re. the Applicant's submission at Deadline 5 [REP5-016; response D2], the Applicant states that further amendments will be made to this document to address comments from KCC on 20 February 2017, and a revised version will be submitted to KCC in due course:</p> <p>i. Would the Applicant state when the amended WSI will be submitted to KCC and the Examination, and when agreement is expected to be reached?</p> <p>ii. Other than the WSI, would KCC state which archaeological matters (if any) are still outstanding?</p>
<p>An amended WSI for 2nd phase of Evaluation trenching is being worked up, but not agreed yet. Comments sent to Mott MacDonald have not been responded to yet. However, it is agreed that the results of this additional Evaluation trenching will be used to inform the Archaeological Framework Strategy set out in Draft Development Control Order Rev D Schedule 2, part 9.</p>		
20.12	Applicant; KCC; ABC	<p>Schedule 2, Requirement 9 - Archaeology</p> <p>Re. Schedule 2 Requirement 9 in the dDCO Rev D [REP5-007/008]:</p> <p>i. Would the Applicant define the term 'county archaeologist' in the dDCO?</p> <p>ii. Are KCC and ABC content with this Requirement as drafted?</p>
<p>KCC is content with the statements in the DCO Rev D - Schedule 2 Requirement 9.</p>		

Kind regards

Sarah

Sarah Platts MRTPI | Strategic Planning and Infrastructure Manager | Environment, Planning and Enforcement | Kent County Council
 | Invicta House, Maidstone, Kent ME14 1XX | Internal: 419225 | External: 03000 419225 | www.kent.gov.uk |

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
 For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>