

Schedule and Article Number	Comments January 2017	Updated Comments April 2017 (left blank where no update)
Schedule 1 Authorised Development	<p>Work No 4 - No reference to the two bypass lanes on the new roundabout on Bad Munstereifel Road one from the south to J10(north) to accommodate local traffic and the second from J10a (East) to the south.</p> <p>Work No. 10 and Work No. 11 (a) - Should there be emergency access from J10 onto M20 eastbound from William Harvey Hospital?</p> <p>Work No. 13 Should there be emergency access from M20 J10 Westbound for access to William Harvey Hospital?</p>	
<b>Schedule 2 - Requirements Part 1</b>		
3. CEMP	CEMP to be prepared in consultation with KCC.	No comment
4. Landscaping		No comment
5. Implementation and maintenance of Landscaping	No comment.	
6. Fencing	No comment.	
7. Contaminated land	No comment.	
8. Archaeology	Awaiting comments from Conservation.	
9. Protected Species	<p>Biodiversity:</p> <p>The text says this: The requirement only covers protected species during construction.</p> <p>I'm not sure I agree with it as if there are any works that need to be carried out post construction (e.g. habitats haven't established properly) they will need to take protected species in to account.</p>	<p>The text says this: The requirement only covers protected species during construction.</p> <p>I'm not sure I agree with it as if there are any works that need to be carried out post construction (e.g. habitats haven't established properly) they will need to take protected species in to account.</p> <p>I'm wondering why NE/LPAs need to be contacted immediately if a protected species (previously un recorded) is identified on site. They should be speaking to their ecologists and agreeing</p>

	<p>I'm wondering why NE/LPAs need to be contacted immediately if a protected species (previously unrecorded) is identified on site. They should be speaking to their ecologists and agreeing a plan before contacting NE/LPAs to alert them to the changes in the mitigation strategies/monitoring plans. Works should stop but I'm not keen on the arbitrary 10metre buffer - if a protected species is recorded within a particular habitat it is likely that it may be present within all of that habitat not just 10metres. Their ecologist should be identifying the area that they should cease work. I'd expect an ecologist to be present on site for the majority of the works (where it will result in a loss of natural habitat) so this shouldn't cause them any delay.</p> <p>There does not appear to be any reference to the Ashford Green Corridor Local Nature Reserve (LNR) and Highfield Lane Roadside Nature Reserve (RNR). KCC does not consider that the appropriate mitigation measures are proposed and secured in the DCO to mitigate for the loss of these habitats.</p>	<p>a plan before contacting NE/LPAs to alert them to the changes in the mitigation strategies/monitoring plans. Works should stop but I'm not keen on the arbitrary 10metre buffer - if a protected species is recorded within a particular habitat it is likely that it may be present within all of that habitat not just 10metres. Their ecologist should be identifying the area that they should cease work. I'd expect an ecologist to be present on site for the majority of the works (where it will result in a loss of natural habitat) so this shouldn't cause them any delay.</p> <p>There does not appear to be any reference to the Ashford Green Corridor Local Nature Reserve (LNR) and Highfield Lane Roadside Nature Reserve (RNR). KCC does not consider that the appropriate mitigation measures are proposed and secured in the DCO to mitigate for the loss of these habitats. Please can the applicant confirm whether additional information has been submitted in respect of this point as KCC are unable at present to see if the above issue has been resolved.</p> <p>It would be preferable if protected species and nesting birds had not been combined in the same paragraphs. Birds (unless schedule 1) are only protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act when nesting.</p> <p>An amendment is required to Article 10(1-3) along the following lines. (all references to breeding birds have been removed) The additional wording is shown highlighted in yellow.</p> <p>10.—(1) In the event that any protected species found at any time when carrying out the authorised development, which were not previously identified in the environmental statement, the undertaker must cease construction works and report it immediately to the ecological clerk of works. (2) The undertaker must prepare a written scheme for the protection and mitigation measures for any protected species found when carrying out the authorised development,</p>
--	--	--

		<p>which we're not previously identified in the environmental statement.</p> <p>(3) The undertaker must implement the written scheme prepared under subparagraph (2) immediately and construction must not recommence within the area identified within the written scheme until any necessary licences are obtained.</p> <p>Unless there is a need for a European Protected Species licence or the proposed mitigation will change mitigation agreed as part of an existing EPS licence –NE will be unlikely to want to know that additional protected species have been recorded.</p> <p>The LPA should be contacted with details of the written scheme as it may impact existing proposed mitigation areas or the long term monitoring.</p> <p>Breeding Birds –Why does the reference to breeding birds need to be included? Particularly as paragraph 5.1.1 (appendix 8.4 Protected species report Birds) states the following:</p> <p>5.1.1 Vegetation clearance to facilitate both the Main and Alternative Scheme would pose risks to nesting birds, including killing or injuring due to physical removal of the trees whilst birds are present, or due to disturbance or destruction of a nest. These would constitute an offence under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).</p> <p>5.1.2 The best mitigation would be to avoid undertaking vegetation clearance during the bird breeding season (March – September). However, where it could not be avoided, any tree, scrub or hedgerow clearance would require an experienced ecologist to check the vegetation first for signs of nesting birds, and provide supervision throughout the operation. In the event that a nest is found, works must stop and a buffer zone established</p>
--	--	--

		<p>of a size appropriate to the species and context of the nest within the surrounding habitat would be required. The works would not resume until it is evident that the nest is no longer in use.</p> <p>In addition only breeding birds have been mentioned in this article whereas the ES submitted with the DCO included mitigation measures cover all relevant protected species recorded within the site. The applicants have already detailed that they are going to carry out mitigation for breeding birds so do breeding birds need to be included within the article?</p> <p>KCC would welcome discussions with the applicant on the wording of this article in light of the above comments.</p>
10. Traffic Management	<p>Highway Operations have not seen draft plan. Does it include allowance should Operation Stack be initiated when Traffic Management is in place?</p> <p>KCC to agree the Traffic and Transport Management Plan.</p>	
11. Detailed design	KCC to be consulted on the detailed design.	Reference to the local highways authority included as per comments made at the hearings in February 2017
12. Surface and foul water drainage	KCC have previously reviewed the Flood Risk Assessments and Drainage Strategies and are generally satisfied with the principles discussed. KCC as the Lead Local Flood Authority should be added as the statutory consultee for surface water drainage in planning in order to review the detailed drainage scheme information in conjunction with the local planning authority, the Environment Agency and the IDB.	Reference to the local highways authority included as per comments made at the hearings in February 2017
13. Approvals and amendments	No comments.	

to approved details		
14. Alternative A2070 options	Highways: KCC will be consulted on planning for Stour Park site.	Reference to the local highways authority included as per comments made at the hearings in February 2017