From: Botley West Solar Farm <BotleyWestSolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 August 2023 14:16
To: NI Enquiries <NIEnquiries@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>; Botley West Solar Farm
<BotleyWestSolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>;
Subject: RE: NSIP application South-East Region Botley West Solar Farm

Dear Mr Rogers,

The Scoping Opinion for Botley West Solar Farm has been adopted by the Secretary of State on 24 July 2023 which contains comments on the proposed scope of the assessment, including information related to house prices and residential visual amenity. Please see ID 3.2.3 and 3.9.8 of the Scoping Opinion here: <u>Botley West Solar Farm | National Infrastructure Planning (planninginspectorate.gov.uk)</u>.

The Proposed Development is currently at the Pre-application stage of the Planning Act 2008 process. Further information about the process can be accessed by following the link below to the National Infrastructure Planning website: https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/application-process/the-process/.

Should the application be accepted for Examination by the Planning Inspectorate, you will be able to register as an Interested Party by submitting a Relevant Representation. This must be submitted on the electronic form which will be made available on the project webpage of the National Infrastructure Planning website at the appropriate time. Further information about registering as an Interested Party can be found in the Inspectorate's 'Advice Note 8.2: How to register to participate in an Examination'.

In the meantime, you may find it helpful to subscribe to receive email notifications for key events that occur after an application has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate. To do this, please visit the <u>project webpage</u> and sign up using the '*Email updates*' box.

I hope you find this information helpful, however if you have any further queries regarding the proposed Botley West Solar Farm application, please email the project mailbox: BotleyWestSolar@planninginspectorate.gov.uk.

Kind regards,

Caelan Atkins

The Planning Inspectorate

Caelan Atkins | NSIP Case Officer – National Infrastructure

The Planning Inspectorate

Ensuring fairness, openness and impartiality across all our services

This communication does not constitute legal advice. Please view our <u>Information Charter</u> before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate. Our <u>Customer Privacy Notice</u> sets out how we handle personal data in accordance with the law.

From: David Rogers < Section 2010 Sent: 17 July 2023 23:43 To: NI Enquiries < <u>NIEnquiries@planninginspectorate.gov.uk</u>> Subject: NSIP application South-East Region Botley West Solar Farm

The Planning Inspectorate

Temple Quay House Temple Quay Bristol BS1 6PN

Dear Sirs,

Botley West Solar Farm

I refer to the Botley West Solar Farm (BWSF) proposal from PhotoVolt Development Partners (PVDP) on behalf of SolarFive Ltd (PINS NSIPs Projects, South-East Region).

SolarFive submitted its Scoping Report for BWSF to the Secretary of State on the 15th June, and various local District Councils have, I believe, submitted responses to you, or are in the process of doing so.

Botley West Solar Farm with a nameplate capacity of 1,350MWp would be by far and away the largest solar farm in Europe and the seventh largest in the world. It is one of an increasing number of large utility solar farms being developed at present in the UK and requiring submission as an NSIP.

In its Scoping Report, PVDP/SolarFive Ltd have scoped out both the Recreational and Visual Amenity impacts of BWSF (Table 7.3, p. 49) and any impacts of BWSF on house values (Table 7.18, p. 100).

I understand that submissions you may receive from CPRE and West Oxfordshire District Council have requested that Recreational and Visual Amenity impacts should be scoped in, but neither has made any comment about house values. In the case of the District Council, I imagine this is because any impact of a development on the value of a neighbouring property is judged not a material consideration under the Planning Act 2008.

I ask you to consider that the situation is very different for utility scale solar farms of the size of BWSF. There are at least 11,000 properties within 1.5kms of the boundary of BWSF (the original footprint of BWSF, slightly extended in the Scoping Report) and the values of many of these will be affected to a greater or lesser extent. There is no other solar installation on the planet with c. 11,000 properties within 1.5kms of it. Quite simply, BWSF is unique, and it presents unique planning challenges.

Please find attached a review I conducted recently of many published examples of the impacts of solar farms on local house values. Apart from some badly analysed American studies showing a slight increase in house values, the majority of studies show a decrease in house values near to solar installations (the global examples are reviewed in Annex B of the attached). These effects are greater the larger the solar installation and the nearer is the property to the edge of the installation.

In the document, I take the analysis of Dutch data by Droes and Koster as the starting point for estimating the impact of BWSF on house values in Oxfordshire, concluding that the cumulative reduction in the value of all the affected houses is of the order of at least £150 million. The Dutch study was chosen because it provided statistically robust results. The Netherlands also happens to have more or less exactly the same population density as does England, although the Dutch seem to site their largest solar installations away from population centres (thus the numbers of houses near their largest solar farms are about one thirtieth of the number around BWSF).

Please note that the Dutch study results are by no means the extremes of those reviewed. There are other studies with greater impacts on house values.

All of the studies in the document, including the Dutch one, refer to solar farms which are very much smaller than BWSF. Given the almost universal consensus that the reductions in house values are greater the larger the solar installation, I think that PVDP/SolarFive should not be allowed to scope out the possible impact of BWSF on house values. There is likely to be a significant impact on house values if BWSF is constructed - an externality that the developers simply ignore.

Given the scale of BWSF I ask you to consider this as an example of planning blight. The Highways England document covering this at:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/864535/BED1 9_0151_Your_property_and_blight_2020.pdf

states the following:

"Blight is when the value of a property is substantially reduced because of a proposal to carry out public works, such as a new road or improvements to an existing road and the owners are unable to sell it at unaffected market value; this is the amount the property would be worth if the scheme did not exist, not the blighted (reduced) value". "Properties that are on the line of the proposed route and where land is required for the scheme can be directly affected by blight; this is known as statutory blight. Blight can also affect properties that are not directly on the line of the route and where no land is required for the scheme; these properties are known as off-line. Although we are not obliged to buy off-line properties, Parliament has recognised that in certain circumstances home owners might have an urgent need to move but cannot sell their property except at a significantly reduced price as a result of the scheme."

The only difference is that BWSF is not a public works, but it is being presented as a public good.

Finally, given the uniqueness of BWSF, I would also ask you to consider the test of Wednesbury unreasonableness in this case. The decision to omit the obvious impact of a large scale solar utility installation on house values is so unreasonable that no reasonable person acting reasonably could have made it.

I therefore ask you to instruct the proposers to scope in the impact of BWSF on house values.

Yours sincerely,

David Rogers

David J. Rogers Professor of Ecology (Retired) Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, UK My email address has now changed to The old @zoo address will function only until November 2022

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72