

TRANSCRIPT_VIKING_ISH1_SESSION2_270 32024

00:07

Hey, welcome back. Everyone is now quarter to four. And just before the break, I rudely cut you off over there. So by all means, you have some additional points to those that we heard earlier and the floor is yours.

00:21

Thank you, sir. It's Martin Dixon, northeast Lincolnshire counsel. Please tell me if I'm straying from your agenda. I would just say my points and you can go from there. I mean, I think the first thing I think when I finished just before the break, I was kind of was jumping in kind of at the end of it, I think, just going back right to the beginning of the process. Just to acknowledge from Northeast links counsel point of view, the engagement that we've had from the applicant, right from the outset, we've had a very good proactive engagement with their team, pre application stage, green consultations, informal presentation to our planning committee. So I think it's just important to put that on record in terms of the process. And then in terms of the wider project, again, northeast Lincolnshire, acknowledged the importance of carbon capture in terms of Northeast Lincolnshire, our own regeneration and revolutions, particularly with towards renewable energy. The Humber estuary, we push ourselves as the Humber estuary in terms of the energy estuary aspects and infrastructure like this can clearly support our ongoing aspirations for economic development as listed in our local plan, and our economic strategies in terms of support for over industries that they can come in, hopefully locate in our area and go from there. So I just wanted to say that because it's never to say that again. So noting that. Thank you, sir. In terms of issues, again, I think because of the productive and engaged nature we've had with the applicants, there isn't any showstoppers headline issues, highways is one that we're in discussing, and that can come out of our statement of common ground. So we're fine with that. But I think just, again, we've got ongoing discussions, no issues, again, in terms of, you know, congestion, or highway safety from construction traffic, it's just some of the issues, the access points themselves. So we got engaging with that. And probably some of the provisions as well as raised by Lincolnshire county council, but we're happy to engage with the application through the statement common ground and our local impact report to do that. Similarly, another issue is archaeological evaluation, there's a little bit more work to be done on that. So just highlight that, that that needs to be done. Again, we'll go through our statement of common ground and ecology, again, no headline issues. But there's a few bits that have been raised by our colleges, which again, we are raising directly with the applicant to come out of our formal statement of common ground and local impact report. So that, I just wanted to, to make them note, comments if that's okay with you. In terms of where we started off before we we left. We mentioned the the discharge conditions and like we said before the break, agree with moving on that front, I think in terms of ecology, and how we move forward in terms of dealing with things like landscape and trees. That clearly landscape and ecological management plan, I agree is going to be an important document. I think there is a little bit light on details in terms of some issues, but I've read the ARB report our tree

report, tree officers read the report and that does provide some more, and actually states how many trees are allowed to come out and woodland blocks and things like that. We're comforted by that we're comforted by the fact that they applicant say no veteran trees are gonna come out. And we're comforted by the fact that they make a clear statement that all trees hedgerows will be replaced, and reinstated. And also in terms of biodiversity net gain, though not a requirement for them. Is that what they're pushing, so we're comforted in terms of that. In terms of really nuances, I think because that's where we started in terms of the requirement. So the notice the only is more things that we'll probably pick up again, probably our statement coming around just on requirement 13. That list must meet and this might be reread it. It doesn't seem to cover what would happen on a public holiday in a bank holiday or a Sunday, it would seem to suggest that you could work 24 hours on those days because it doesn't restrict that gives restriction of hours to weekdays except public and bank holidays and seven to 1330 on a Saturday that doesn't mention not working on a Sunday or not working on public and bank holidays. That maybe something that we just need to pick up in the drafting of that, if it's not written elsewhere, but I couldn't see that those days were covered elsewhere. And just a general point, we do tend. And we will say this in our statement of common ground and local Empire report, we do work more to the hours late till six for working hours, which is a commonality across most authorities working with our environmental health officers. So that may be again a subject matter that we'll move on as we discuss impacts of development through the construction process. Thank you, sir.

05:33

Thank you very much. Thank you for those points. So come to the applicant for any comments.

05:40

Patron rule for the applicant, I'm very grateful northeast Lincolnshire council for confirming the productive pre application engagement that has taken place. Leave the wider points and that were made should also be picked up separately, their construction hours requirement I can, I can see what you see my 31 minutes, take a look at that, so that there's clarification or in the public and bank or this point. And there's nothing else that at this point.

06:08

Thank you very much for for that. Right, in which case, I believe we've come to the end of that particular item on the agenda. And the next point on the agenda is Item 3d. And I'll hand over to Mr. Ghost.

06:29

Thank you, Mr. Wallace. And thank you for the for those words. It's It's heartening to hear about the level of engagement that has been between the the applicants and the councils. And you let I think we hope that when we get to see the statements of common ground, and the you know that that reflects it. And obviously, we'll also be looking forward to seeing the local impact reports as well. When considering this, I think as mentioned earlier, it was mentioned that this area is in CIP Central. And whilst we hear what the applicant says about a number of DCA O's in other parts of the country, it'd be I think it'll be useful if they they they do take into account the pressures on the council's in this area, who we're dealing with an unprecedented amount of work and pressure in dealing with these different GCOS. And revisions, particularly relating to deem consent is something which I think needs to be

needs to be looked at carefully. Come on to the action points in a moment. But just we've obviously put some questions to this afternoon. And as I said before, we've got the questions coming out the XQ, one coming out within the next week or so. And there's quite a few further questions. There are specific points on the on the DCO and in our schools and requirements. So this isn't the end of the process by any means. There's there's those questions, which we're going to look in for, to to have answers to. So there's plenty to go on that. They're just looking at the action points. Again, we'll produce a formalised of this, which we shall get next week. But going through the points that we've we've found there is the planning application that's to North Lincolnshire. And if you could try and give an update as to exactly where that is and confirm the timescale for that that will go there will be appreciated. There is the

09:11

we're looking at the most specific explanation about associated development and in the next version of the DCO. That's going to include reference to logical connections. Article Six, you mentioned that you will be the applicant will be engaging with landowners when looking at the depth of the 1.2 metres if it was going to be less than 1.2 metres that you will be discussing with them. And we are aware that that it could perhaps come down to naught point seven metres but there's also a further step where you could go to the SA OSRS and get Beyond nought point seven metres. So, yeah, that's something I think we we'd like a bit more certainty and clarity about because a lot of farmers raised that as a concern. The DCO was going to be amended with a fibre optic cables definition. You will also review the rough reference to electrical connections transmitting or distributed, distributed thing. I think it was it came from various areas about the definition maintain, and that you'd look at that there was concern about the word in particular abandon. When we came on to Essbase, that definition needs amending because you weren't acquiring it, you were just looking for restrictive covenants. So if you could look at that there was a discrepancies between the design and access statement and the DCO. That needs to be brought into the definition. So it's a certified document. There's overall consultation with highways mentioned deemed approval already 28 days is where we are at the moment on on the period was mentioned 70 days. And you'll have further discussions between the applicants and only the local authorities concerning that. There's there was discussion with authorities as to who's the discharging authority. So there's more clarity in relation to into out I think it'd be a discussion about these payable for discharging. So

12:01

they would be aware of that.

12:04

I think that's this. And that will come out formally of the course next week, and so on. Thank you.

12:13

Thank you very much. Mr. Goss, this has anyone else got any other actions that we haven't covered?

12:21

is definitely holding it to County Council's I don't have an action. But I did have an ARB phase. I think we probably skipped 3d and into four, before I raised my hand sufficiently quickly, is now an appropriate time to the thank you it didn't. There isn't a specific provision in the DCO. So it didn't fit neatly into my

burning issues and a three say the point is probably that there isn't a particular provision in the DCO at the moment, but perhaps there ought to be in the event that the the applicant goes with option one. There will be conflict between what is proposed and outstanding restoration conditions on a minerals consent or minerals consent, actually,

13:08

this is option one federal for that or thought Yes,

13:11

yes, there. Yeah, exactly the former, the former gas fight is subject to extant restoration requirements under the conditions with which it was operated. There would therefore be a bit of a clash. And it's not clear at the moment how the two would fit together, because obviously, the restoration requirements are for a larger science, that DCA only covers part of it. And there's an unhappy relationship there at the moment. So we just invite the applicant to give some thoughts as to how those two fit together. We know although some vintage now the Hinkley Point C DCO had to deal with something similar. And it made specific provision within article four as to how so the DCO how it would supersede and deal with the extant restoration requirements on another permission sitting in on a land which overlaps with the order limits. There's just a nice little quirk there to be ironed out to

14:08

mean not to give you a sneak peek at the first written questions. But yeah, that I can say because we've written it as such in that respect that that has been picked up and the applicant and yourself will be asked questions about that that minnows point but yeah, you're you're right to raise it because there are some unusual in in balances. There's no thank you very much for for that. Just a reminder then that all the hearing actions are to be completed by the next deadline, which is deadline one on the 26th of April 2024. I welcome the relatively positive discussions and the nature that's been going on today. It's encouraging to hear that there is the discussions there. So what I propose to do now is we will bring this hearing to a close and I would suggest whilst you're all in the room him that you take the advantage of the opportunity to talk to each other whilst whilst we're here. And we do expect ongoing dialogue to continue him outside of the examination to move matters forward. But it is encouraging that there are discussions there. So thank you very much everyone. It will get our late letter out probably next week, and keep an eye on our national infrastructure website for more updates and publications as they come forward. Thank you very much. The time is now four o'clock, and I have I closed this issue specific hearing. Thank you.