



# The Planning Inspectorate

## Application by Esso Petroleum Company, Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for the Southampton to London Pipeline Project

### Hearing Action Points arising from the Issue Specific Hearing on Environmental Matters held at the Holiday Inn Farnborough on Tuesday 3 December 2019

Action	Description	Action by	When
1	To provide a summary explaining route selection and how the route was picked with particular reference to the survey work that was undertaken prior to the selection of the route	Applicant	Deadline 3
2	To provide an explanation as to how the various control documents would work together with particular reference to illustration 16.1 of Chapter 16 of the Environmental Statement (ES)[APP-056]	Applicant	Deadline 3
3	Submission of an outline Landscape and Environmental Management Plan (LEMP)	Applicant	Deadline 4
4	To provide a written summary to clearly articulate S106 requests in terms of the legal tests for S106	Local Planning Authorities	Deadline 3
5	Confirm where in the ES the character of Queen Elizabeth Park is analysed	Applicant	Deadline 3
6	To signpost where in the Applicants response to the written questions it has been identified the total number of trees within the order limits that would be removed or to provide the answer to this question	Applicant	Deadline 3
7	Provide detail of the number of trees and the species of trees that would be lost in Queen Elizabeth Park – either in LEMP or Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) or signpost to where this information has been provided	Applicant	Deadline 3
8	Identify where in the Application the measures agreed with the Woodland Trust regarding working around Ancient Woodland, veteran	Applicant	Deadline 3



# The Planning Inspectorate

	and notable trees would be secured		
9	Provide a written response to clarify how the equipment for the proposed auger bore pit would be delivered ie whether this would be delivered via Farnborough Road (A325) or from the proposed construction compound at Cabrol Road. If access would be via Farnborough Road signpost where in the draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) this access would be secured	Applicant	Deadline 3
10	Provide a written note on the approach to trees in Queen Elizabeth Park including the results of the current tree survey work and in light of the proposed outline LEMP	Applicant	Deadline 3
11	Provide written response to clarify the situation with regards to tree removal where stringing out would occur ie would trees need to be removed or could they be retained?	Applicant	Deadline 3
12	Review the areas where narrow working widths are proposed and clarify if there are any other areas where a narrow working width is proposed where they would be separated into a 10m and a 5m section as proposed at Queen Elizabeth Park	Applicant	Deadline 3
13	To confirm the situation with regards Surrey County Council request for post construction monitoring along the whole route	Surrey County Council	Deadline 3
14	Applicants to talk to representatives of Queen Elizabeth Park regarding bats in trees within the park and where the information on bats could be found in the application documents	Applicant	Deadline 3
15	Applicant to provide an assessment on whether as an alternative to open cut a new trenchless section from Queen Elizabeth Park trenchless drill site in the play park to Farnborough Hill School going under most of	Applicant	Deadline 4



# The Planning Inspectorate

	the park and the A325 could be used		
16	Provide a written response on what restrictions prevented the use of Farnborough Hill School to enable the use of trenchless techniques to be used through Queen Elizabeth Park	Applicant	Deadline 3
17	Assessment by the Applicant of the alternative route for the Queen Elizabeth Park section suggested by the Friends of Queen Elizabeth Park	Applicant	Deadline 3
18	Drafting of a provision that in certain specific areas the construction period would be of a duration of no more than 2 years including a justification for the time period	Applicant	Deadline 3
19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) Applicant to take instruction as to how the alternative green commuter route would be secured/delivered by the Applicant given it would be on land outside of the Order Limits</li><li>b) Provide detail of what the alternative green commuter route would consist of – lighting, surfacing and signposting and when it would be delivered</li></ul>	Applicant	Deadline 3
20	Council to consider alternative locations for the temporary provision of play space given the concerns raised by local residents about the use of Cove Green	Rushmoor Council	Deadline 3
21	Applicant and Council to continue discussions as to how, where and when the Queen Elizabeth play space would be re-provided including on a temporary basis	Applicant and Rushmoor Council	Update to be provided at Deadline 3 and to be resolved by the end of the Examination
22	Written response on the issue with the surface water flooding on Cabrol Road and whether the proposed development could exacerbate this situation	Applicant	Deadline 3



# The Planning Inspectorate

23	Rushmoor Council to explain what they would expect to see in an outline LEMP with specific reference to Queen Elizabeth Park	Rushmoor Council	Deadline 3
24	Submission of arboricultural and topographical survey for Turf Hill that has been provided to residents and the Council with covering note explaining the basis on which survey was undertaken	Applicant	Deadline 3
25	Provision of a written statement between Affinity Water and Applicant clarifying the situation with regards to easements around the existing water main across Turf Hill and restrictions regarding separation between the water main and the proposed pipeline	Applicant/Affinity Water	Deadline 3
26	Response on whether a reversion to route F1C at Turf Hill would be a material or non-material change to the application and if it would be a material change could such a change be made during the remainder of the Examination?	Applicant	Deadline 3
27	To verify if the Surrey Heath Management Plan has been submitted into the Examination and if not a copy to be submitted	Applicant	Deadline 3
28	Clarify when the Surrey Amphibian Reptile Group data with regards to sand lizards was available to the Applicant	Applicant	Deadline 3
29	Confirm when the preferred route in Turf Hill was notified to the residents. In the consultation they received it was not apparent.	Applicant	Deadline 3
30	Surrey Heath to provide a response on comparison of two route alternatives including traffic impacts on Guildford Rd and Red Rd	Surrey Heath	Deadline 3
31	Provide copies of the correspondence between Rushmoor and Surrey Wildlife Trust regarding route selection at Turf Hill	Applicant	Deadline 3
32	Explain how the decision was reached on the preferred route through balancing a range of considerations including ecology,	Applicant	Deadline 3



# The Planning Inspectorate

	traffic lights, cost, timing of works and impact on residents		
33	ExA to ask further questions of Natural England regarding route selection and sand lizards	ExA	FWQ
34	Provide a note as to how the route at Chobham Common was selected and why it would appear that the approach to sand lizards was considered differently to Turf Hill	Applicant	Deadline 3
35	Provide a summary on route selection for Fordbridge Park and in particular why the route would go through the section of the park where the majority of trees are located rather than the adjacent are of open grass	Applicant	Deadline 3
36	Provide clarification of what is meant by stringing and the activities that this would involve – with particular reference to Ashford Road	Applicant	Deadline 3
37	Provide a written explanation as to why the Woodthorpe Road access is not being used and why Celia Crescent is being used	Applicant	Deadline 3
38	SDNPA to provide details to the Applicant of the features that they consider should be included in a LEMP	SDNPA	Deadline 3
39	SDNPA and the Applicant to provide further information on the proposals for the Persuasion hedge and details of how the measures required for its retention/protection would be secured	SDNPA and the Applicant	By end of Examination
40	SDNPA to provide suggested wording for Requirement 12	SDNPA	Deadline 3
41	To provide written questions with regards to the effect of the Proposed Development on the integrity and qualifying features of the Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area and the Thursley, Ash, Pirbright and Chobham Special Area of Conservation	Local Planning Authorities	Deadline 3