

Written Representation from Stephen English

I would like to make representation in order to strongly object to Esso's proposed pipeline route and particularly section G which would take the pipeline along Canford Drive in Chertsey.

The pipeline should not be approved due to:

Health and Safety

- i) Canford Drive is a narrow densely populated road with limited access.
- ii) Installation into Canford Drive would necessitate residents enduring significant adverse effects in terms of vibration, noise and dust pollution.
- iii) Esso were unable to demonstrate how delivery of materials, excavation and removal of waste from site could be carried out safely whilst maintaining a safe working width.

Restricted Access To Properties

- i) Esso have confirmed that installation will necessitate the need for restricted vehicular access. This is not acceptable.
- ii) What provision is being made for couriers, postal and other deliveries?
- iii) What provision is being made to maintain access for emergency services?
- iv) How are the elderly and perhaps those with young children supposed to remain mobile without being cut off?
- v) If residents don't have access to their driveways, where are their cars supposed to go.

Environmental Effect

- i) Granting consent to this application for a wider diameter pipeline will service greater demand and lead to increased consumption of fossil fuels, contributing significantly to climate change at time when we should all be taking steps to reduce dependency by restricting their use and investing in greener alternatives.

Alternative Options

- i)** Esso stated in their consultation that the existing pipeline is serviceable and will continue to be serviceable for the foreseeable future. It would be altogether less intrusive both to the environment and local communities to maintain the existing pipeline.

- ii)** There are numerous viable alternatives available that would keep the installation away from residential areas such as routing adjacent to major road networks or even power lines.

Given the risk and disruption that local residents would be exposed to and the availability of alternative options outline above, I do not think that this application should be granted.