

Dear Sir,

I write following the site visit to my land on 28th January 2015 to make a further representation and also to reiterate all of the points made in my earlier representation of 17th December 2014 (copy attached).

My concerns and questions to the applicant are as follows:

- It is clear from the site inspection that the method of drilling underneath the main drain River Hull canal and B1249 road has yet to become public knowledge or be decided but it would seem from the Wardell Armstrong report that there is merit in lengthening the crossing underground to reduce archaeological trenching costs.
- In order to minimise disturbance to the area that has been highlighted in the NGC report, identifying a previously unknown medieval settlement of archaeological importance, I feel it would be better if the option to tunnel under that area was chosen, to limit any possible damage.
- I reiterate that the working hours are unacceptable in a small rural area and question why these cannot be reduced to exclude Sunday working altogether and to reduce the hours generally.
- Equally I reiterate my question as to how noise levels will be monitored.
- This location provides the main aquifer for Kingston upon Hull and by its nature has high ground water levels which the applicant has assessed by way of a piezometer on my land. When the piezometer was being installed there was so much water that it had to be taken away from site in a 2000l tanker that had to make several journeys to dispose of the water. This was just from a small borehole.
- How is the applicant going to manage water levels during construction?

Yours faithfully,

Dee Atkinson & Harrison
For and on Behalf of Dr Vincini

Dear Sir,

My land will be affected by the pipeline if it is constructed and I wish to make the following representations:

- The area which the pipe is proposed to cross is rich in archaeological interest. I understand that the site could comprise a former medieval settlement and the consultant reports by the Applicant state that there would be **significant harm** to the interest if the scheme went ahead. To the south of my land is agricultural land within a different ownership but in which I am not aware of any archaeological interest.
- I have asked that the route of the pipe be moved away from my land in order to protect the archaeological interest and I would like further investigations in addition to those reports already carried out to be made to fully assess the historic interest in this area.
- The proposed pipeline route crosses close to my house and business. I have planning consent for an equine business on my land and the construction of the pipe has the potential to cause significant harm and disturbance both to my enterprise and to my animals.
- The extent of the working width over my land significantly reduces the amount of land I have available for my animals to graze/make hay etc. In my experience, opportunities for alternative grazing/stabling in the area are limited so how can I reasonably be expected to be compensated for this? Unlike a farmer losing a small corner of a large commercial arable field to this scheme I am potentially going to be losing a proportionally large area of my land during construction and reinstatement of the scheme. I am very concerned as to where my animals will be grazed/kept.
- I have, this week, received a Report from the Applicant regarding the method of crossing of the B1249 road, the River Hull, the Driffield Canal and the 'Main Drain' and would like to reserve the right to make further comments once I have had chance to read the same.
- The location of the crossing is both a Site of Special Scientific Interest and the main aquifer for the city of Kingston upon Hull and surrounding area. By its nature this is an incredibly important and sensitive resource. What information can the Applicant provide as to the efficacy of the preferred methods of crossing?
- The working hours contained within the DCO application are unacceptable in a small rural area.
- I note in the draft DCO that different noise thresholds apply. How will these be monitored?

Yours faithfully,

Dee Atkinson & Harrison
For and on Behalf of Dr Vincini