

**From:** [Samantha Mellor](#)  
**To:** [YorksHumberCCSPipeline](#)  
**Subject:** Dr C Vincini  
**Date:** 18 December 2014 17:10:50  
**Attachments:** [WRITTEN REPRESENTATION.pdf](#)

---



Dear Sir/Madam

Please see attached Written Representation.

Yours faithfully,

Sam Mellor

**SAMANTHA J MELLOR BSc (Hons) MRICS FAAV  
ASSOCIATE**

**RICS Registered Valuer**

T: 01377 253151 | M: 07739 322650 | DDI: 01377 232141 | F: 01377 241041

**The Exchange, Exchange Street, Driffield, YO25 6LD** | Office hours: Mon – Fri 9.00am to 5.30pm

Find us at [www.dee-atkinson-harrison.co.uk](http://www.dee-atkinson-harrison.co.uk) or [www.auctionhouse.uk.net/hullandeastyorkshire](http://www.auctionhouse.uk.net/hullandeastyorkshire)

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Dear Sir,

My land will be affected by the pipeline if it is constructed and I wish to make the following representations:

- The area which the pipe is proposed to cross is rich in archaeological interest. I understand that the site could comprise a former medieval settlement and the consultant reports by the Applicant state that there would be **significant harm** to the interest if the scheme went ahead. To the south of my land is agricultural land within a different ownership but in which I am not aware of any archaeological interest.
- I have asked that the route of the pipe be moved away from my land in order to protect the archaeological interest and I would like further investigations in addition to those reports already carried out to be made to fully assess the historic interest in this area.
- The proposed pipeline route crosses close to my house and business. I have planning consent for an equine business on my land and the construction of the pipe has the potential to cause significant harm and disturbance both to my enterprise and to my animals.
- The extent of the working width over my land significantly reduces the amount of land I have available for my animals to graze/make hay etc. In my experience, opportunities for alternative grazing/stabling in the area are limited so how can I reasonably be expected to be compensated for this? Unlike a farmer losing a small corner of a large commercial arable field to this scheme I am potentially going to be losing a proportionally large area of my land during construction and reinstatement of the scheme. I am very concerned as to where my animals will be grazed/kept.
- I have, this week, received a Report from the Applicant regarding the method of crossing of the B1249 road, the River Hull, the Driffield Canal and the 'Main Drain' and would like to reserve the right to make further comments once I have had chance to read the same.
- The location of the crossing is both a Site of Special Scientific Interest and the main aquifer for the city of Kingston upon Hull and surrounding area. By its nature this is an incredibly important and sensitive resource. What information can the Applicant provide as to the efficacy of the preferred methods of crossing?
- The working hours contained within the DCO application are unacceptable in a small rural area.
- I note in the draft DCO that different noise thresholds apply. How will these be monitored?

Yours faithfully,

Dee Atkinson & Harrison  
For and on Behalf of Dr Vincini