

From: [REDACTED]
To: [YorksHumberCCSPipeline](#)
Subject: deadline 1 Ref.10029777 Webb-Ingall
Date: 08 December 2014 10:47:15
Attachments: [Strawsons_P.doc](#)

Dear Sirs,

Further to the preliminary meeting at which we spoke briefly about our objection to the Strawson's site opposite our house being used as a parking place for vehicles, and a storage area for the CCSP project (temporary works compound):

- We wish to request an accompanied site visit to our property to assess the personal impact on health and visually, aurally, environmentally and aesthetically of the proposed site, including the entrance.
- We have contacted Strawsons to notify them of our intention. They referred us to the local council. (See attachment.)
- **Section C**, 3(iii) We wish to explore alternatives in relation to individual plots and specific sites.

4(i) We object to the temporary works compound in relation to landscape, local context and setting.

(ii) We object to the loss of, and change to, existing tree and vegetation cover, and the duration of impact.

7(i) We question the adequacy of reinstatement measures. Newly planted trees will take 25 years to reach the height of those currently screening us, and therefore impact on the value of our property.

8(i) We are concerned about the effect of noise and vibration on our residence. We had experience of this when Strawsons demolished a building on the site. Large vehicles entering and leaving the site were a hazard to traffic and to our property. Mud and other dirt was washed down our drive, blocking our drains, for example. (See also 11(iv) and 12(i))

Further to 11(iv) People, including families, walk/cycle/push buggies along the pathways daily. This would be adversely affected in respect of health, wellbeing and community cohesion.


12(i) The A614 is a busy road used by lorries and army training vehicles. Although there is a 40mph restriction along this part of the road, lorries thunder past this entrance. The pathway on our side of the road is a cycleway.

Please remember that we are private citizens, unused to these procedures. Kindly let us know if we have failed to include anything relevant/necessary.

Pam and Michael Webb-Ingall

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Strawsons Property
**Ref. Use of the Kelleythorpe
site as a parking place for
N.G.C. Ltd.**

P. Webb-Ingall,


23rd November, 2014

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to you about the property you own at Kelleythorpe, Driffield, East Yorkshire. It was previously owned by the M.O.D, I believe, and lies opposite my house at its eastern end.

I am currently involved in **opposing the use of the entrance to the site** by the National Grid Carbon Limited – Capturing carbon dioxide in the Yorkshire and Humber region. This entrance is directly opposite our house. It has been proposed to widen the gateway, and to remove/prune the trees on either side of the entrance.

This would impact adversely on our day-to-day lives. It would also devalue our property. The view beyond the trees (which would be revealed by the widening of the entrance and the removal/pruning of the existing trees) is of an enormous pile of rubble and an unkempt site. I discussed this with Adrian Sale a few years ago, and he assured me that no trees would be chopped down to expose this view. Also, when my husband and I attended a meeting at the local library to discuss plans for the site (480 houses), we said that we would not object to the houses being built, but that **we would object to this particular entrance being used. We do not wish a precedent to be set by agreeing to this entrance being used.**

Our next step is to request an onsite visit by the examining authority. Do you wish to be present?

Further to this matter, may I ask if you have agreed for the site to be used as a vehicle park, or if, like us, you are in opposition to the proposal? If the latter were the case, Strawsons and ourselves could give “notification by statutory parties of a wish to be considered an interested party”. A joint onsite visit would then be advantageous to us both.

It is imperative that we have a response by December 6th 2014, as we have to submit a written representation by December 18th.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs P. Webb-Ingall 