

INEOS Enterprises

INEOS Enterprises Limited
Northwich Sites
Holford Brinefields
Lostock Gralam
Northwich
Cheshire
CW9 7TD
United Kingdom

3 October 2014

DRAFT MINUTES FROM THE TWENTY FIFTH INEOS ENTERPRISES PARISH COUNCIL COMMUNITY LIAISON MEETING HELD ON 3RD OCTOBER 2014 AT HOLFORD BRINEFIELD OFFICES

Present:-

Mr Bob Richmond	Rudheath Parish Council
Mr Pip Wright	Anderton & Marbury
Ms Julia Wilson	Allostock Parish Council
Mr Frank Still	Allostock Parish Council
Mr Bob Taylor	Lower Peover Parish Council
Ms Naomi Morris	on behalf of Cllr Frank Smith, Wincham Parish Council
Mr Jon Whieldon	INEOS Enterprises Northwich Sites Manager
Mr Richard Stevenson	INEOS Enterprises Storage Projects Manager
Mr Peter Downes	INEOS Enterprises Northwich Sites Estates & Civil Engineering Manager
Mr Andrew Murphy	INEOS Estates Support Manager
Miss Janet Ward	INEOS Public Relations

Not Present:-

Mr Chris Wilson	Plumley, Bexton and Toft Parish Council
Mr Peter Kelly	Lostock Gralam Parish Council
Mr Les Holman	Lower Peover Parish Council
Representative	Lach Dennis Parish Council
Mr Roy Mainwaring	Wincham Parish Council
Mr Will Gibson	Northwich Town Council
Ms Nicola Clarke	Lostock Gralam Parish Council
Mr James Bennion	Byley Parish Council
Mr Graham Aveyard	Environmental Protection Team Manager, Environmental Health Division, Cheshire West and Chester Council

Apologies:-

Mr John Beecroft	Byley Parish Council
------------------	----------------------

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

Mr Whieldon, INEOS Enterprises Northwich Sites Manager, welcomed everyone to the meeting and shared apologies and requested a round table introduction session. It was noted that prior to the meeting some representatives had taken up the offer of a tour of the Holford Brinefield hosted by Mr Whieldon and attendees confirmed that this had been a useful exercise.

The minutes of the previous meeting were discussed and were agreed as an accurate record.

An overview of the agenda was shared with the group.

1. Introductions	All	5 mins
2. Safety Performance	Jon Whieldon	5 mins
3. Environmental Performance	Richard Stevenson	5 mins
4. Business Update	Jon Whieldon	10 mins
5. Projects Update	Richard Stevenson	5 mins
6. Estates Issues	Peter Downes/ A Murphy	15 mins
7. Open Discussion	All	15 mins

A discussion took place regarding the future changes in boundaries and about who is invited to this meeting.

2. INEOS ENTERPRISES SAFETY PERFORMANCE

Mr Whieldon discussed the 2013 safety performance and year to date (YTD) 2014 safety performance.

Mr Whieldon reiterated INEOS Enterprises' 10 safety principles and the company's commitment to safety.

“INEOS Enterprises continually strives to meet and where possible exceed strict safety, health and environmental performance targets.

We are committed to continuous improvement in all aspects of our operations; to ensure our safety, health and environmental performance is equal to the best in class.”

Mr Whieldon provided an overview of the slides.

B&W Business - Safety Review 2014 YTD

- Two HSE reportable injuries (1 INEOS and 1 Contractor)
 - Operator lost footing on rough ground and cut knee on valve spindle
 - Electrician twisted ankle, which caused a hair line fracture of his shin
- 3 First Aid injuries (2 INEOS and 1 Contractor)
 - Minor cut to wrist moving computer in drawing office due to sharp edge
 - Boilermaker received minor cut to his finger using a grinder

- Cleaner hit by lump of scale whilst jetting inside of vessel
- Team refocus with “roll the dice” initiative
 - Target is to reduce the number of incidents
 - Aim to encourage reporting of near miss reporting
 - Raise profile on housekeeping standards and risk assessment

Mr Whieldon confirmed that the Company had seen Poor injury performance in 2014 YTD

3. ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

Mr Stevenson provided an overview of the companies environmental performance.

- Northwich Sites’ Environmental Performance
 - Incidents
 - Complaints
 - Outfalls
- Northwich Sites’ ISO14001 Auditing
 - Internal Audits
 - External BSI audits
 - Land remediation audits by mineral planning officer.

Mr Stevenson provided an overview of each of the incidents and confirmed there had been 13 incidents since last meeting – (0 Cat Cs, 8 Cat Bs, 5 Cat As)

Holford and Cross Country Pipelines (Cat Bs)

- 1 water leak
 - No 1 Ravenscroft main at Farm Road
- 7 brine leaks
 - Spray of brine onto Hedge at H208
 - No 6 C/B main near PF08 at Park Farm
 - No 8 C/B main near PF08 at Park Farm
 - No 8 C/B main near H118 at Field house Farm
 - 14” trunk main failure at HHE02
 - LP system leak at H37
 - Manhole BL09

Holford and Cross Country Pipelines (Cat As)

- 1 water leak
 - LP system leak at MC11 near canal at Lostock
- 1 weak brine leak
 - Heading at H226
- 2 brine leaks
 - Small leak causing brown patch at Brine Pump Cottages
 - Small contained leak at Tunnel Top Cottages

BPP (Cat A)

- 1 minor brine leak on low level bridge

Mr Stevenson confirmed that there had been a number of brine leaks during 2014, but nothing that was considered major although a small number had required remediation.

Mr Whieldon explained that the main issues at the Brinefield were related to weak points in the system and that an audit had identified valve chambers for priority investment.

It is recognised that valve chambers are a higher risk of corrosion because they come into contact with brine but there are now plans to invest in these but this would take some time to implement.

Mr Still enquired whether there was a cyclical maintenance programme in place and if not could there be.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that this was possible but due to issues such as the loss of custom from the Winnington site this has reduced the brine flow by 20% so that would reduce the operating pressure in the field. This doesn't mean that the infrastructure is less vulnerable but it means there is now more time to fix it and this change would result in a permanent shift to lower brine flows because there is no increase in offtake from the salt business or INEOS ChlorVinyls both a Runcorn.

Mr Wright enquired whether the recycled brine project was now not going to proceed because of the Winnington Site closure.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that this was now defunct due to the investment on Manchester Road infrastructure.

It was confirmed that Rod Brookfield of Cheshire West & Chester Council carried out regular visits to the Site to ensure that things are in order and remediation for leaks is carried sufficiently.

Mr Stevenson provided overview of external justified complaints.

- 3 justified public complaints since last meeting.
 - 1 Noise complaint regarding Ravenscroft pumping station
 - 1 Due to overgrown vegetation – Clifton Road reservoir Runcorn
 - 1 Evidence of brine leak reported by forestry commission at Marbury park

Mr Wright enquired whether one of the complaints was related to the Brine Pump cottages.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that it was and that feedback of this nature was helpful.

4. BUSINESS UPDATE

Mr Whieldon provided a Business Update.

Key Business Improvement Plans - 2014

- Trial of improved methods for safe air bleed from brine mains - complete
- Fixed cost challenge resulting from Winnington Ash plant closure - 7 less roles
- De-brining of 1st phase of gas cavities for SGSP - on plan
- Sweetening of brine in ethylene cavities using degassing rig - ongoing
- DBO system to be simplified following Winnington Ash plant closure - complete
- Configure brine feed system to supply to OPA site via pipeline – testing ongoing
- External audits of compliance with 14001 environmental standard by BSI - ongoing

Mr Whieldon provided information on the air bleed trialling which would help in avoiding large leaks. He described 3 techniques for a safe air bleed. He stated that some of the techniques had been more successful than others in certain locations.

Mr Whieldon explained the fixed cost challenge project that had resulted from the Winnington Ash Plant closure. This project had resulted in the loss of 7 roles and was currently 50% complete. Restructuring had resulted in the organisation reducing to 60 roles to try and trim back costs, overall brine demand had reduced by 20% and there were now 10% less roles.

Mr Whieldon stated that the debrining of the 1st phase of gas cavities for SGSP was on track and that a couple of the cavities were now ready to start trading. The ongoing good work relationship with Storengy on site was continuing.

Mr Whieldon provided an overview of the project to sweeten brine in the ethylene cavities with a degassing rig and confirmed that work on site was ongoing and will continue for another 18 months or so.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that DBO system simplification was now complete.

Mr Whieldon stated that the project to feed brine via a pipeline to the OPA site was progressing and that testing of the pipeline that runs to OPA site was currently underway. This pipeline would only be used for transporting brine, all oil removed from the site would be tankered out. The testing of the pipeline will help in verifying the condition of the pipes that have been out of operation for about 30 years. It wasn't envisaged that brine would transfer for approximately 12 months.

Mr Whieldon informed the group that external audits of SHE compliance were currently ongoing as the 14001 standard required the Company to share its environmental performance, which was the original driver for the creation of this group.

Mr Richmond enquired whether there was any update on the joint venture with Solvay.

Mr Whieldon described the stages that have already been completed and signed off by the European Commission. The new company would include the ChlorVinyls operations at Runcorn and the Brine & Water operations at Holford and Solvay's Chlor Alkali business.

However, the European Commission, in giving approval, require the Company to put in place remedies to alleviate competition concerns in the PVC business in Europe and Hypo in Belgium. As a result, a series of asset sales have been proposed to address the issue and this is in the process of being agreed at the moment. A timeline of 6 months has been given so it should be coming fairly close to the end now. Once the joint venture has been formed and the remedies are in place the joint venture can then proceed. Mr Whieldon confirmed that the Brine & Water business was part of 50/50 joint venture.

Mr Wright enquired whether the Winnofil Business would be transferring over.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that no other part of Solvay would be included in the joint venture and that Winnofil would therefore remain outside of the joint venture.

Ms Wilson enquired at which stage Keuper Gas Storage would be happening.

Mr Whieldon deferred this enquiry to Mr Stevenson's slot.

5. NEW PROJECTS

Mr Stevenson provided an overview of the current new projects.

2014 B&W Capital Projects

- No significant Capex projects on the Brinefield.
- OPA Oil removal – Supply of brine by INEOS, traffic route an OPA matter.
- Minor Planning applications for saturators / DBO / Brine Mud
- KGSP (Subject of separate consultation)

OPA

Mr Stevenson explained that the business will be providing brine to the OPA using existing pipelines that already go to Plumley Site and testing is currently underway. Repairs to the pipeline will be made if necessary, it is not clear when the brine supply will be needed exactly but testing of the pipeline will be carried out in the next few months. Mr Stevenson went on to explain that the OPA and Essar are driving the project so any issues or questions should be addressed directly to them.

Mr Wright enquired who OPA were.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that OPA stood for Oil and Pipeline Agency and went on to explain what the OPA are about and their other operations and that part of their programme of work is to decommission the Plumley site, which would require a brine supply to remove the oil currently in storage.

Ms Wilson stated that she believed this project would cause many issues and that Lower Peover and Lach Dennis Parish Councils had written a letter stating their concerns and issues and enquired whether Miss Ward had received a copy.

Miss Ward confirmed that she had not received a copy.

Mr Taylor enquired whether this meeting would be providing any further information or if they were able to discuss it further.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that no further information on the OPA project would be available in this meeting as it isn't an INEOS project, however there was talk early on that the project may wish to use INEOS road but this was quickly ruled out.

Mr Taylor confirmed that he had attended a couple of meetings and the issues were very much related to the routing of traffic and then at a later meeting with Lach Dennis they had had a somewhat cavalier attitude which had resulted in a letter being written.

Action: Miss Ward to check the Lower Peover contact details are correct and add Mr Taylor's email address for future minutes.

Action: Miss Ward to add Keuper Gas Storage contact details to future minutes. - COMPLETE

Minor projects

Mr Taylor enquired what DBO was.

Mr Wright provided an explanation of what DBO was.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that DBO stood for Distiller Blow Off.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that as cavities become redundant they would then store DBO, but in order to transport the DBO to the redundant cavities, pipework would be required. In most cases this would be new pipework and would be subject to a planning application which would be subject to consultation including the parish councillors as statutory consultees.

Mr Whieldon added that this was a good way of recycling and making use of old cavities and if it were necessary to dispose of the DBO it would require a lime bed the size of Northwich.

Keuper Gas Storage Project

Mr Stevenson provided a full overview of the Keuper Gas Storage Project (KGSP) and a general review of recent progress and the benefits of the project.

Mr Stevenson provided information on the latest map of the project and explained the locations of the cavities and the traffic management system and location of the gas processing plant. It was confirmed that the non-statutory consultation had taken place in April/May 2014 and stated that the statutory consultation had just been launched on the 2nd of October and would run until the 27th November 2014.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that everyone had been written to in the area and that the consultation zone had been extended from the non-statutory consultation phase. It was confirmed that not a great deal of feedback had been received during the first stage of consultation so the consultation zone had been increased to 3.5km and included the parish councils.

Mr Stevenson described what would be included in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) eg. noise studies, assessment of traffic and transport impacts,

surveys on bats, badger etc. This report would be available to consultees to comment on and then the final version would be produced incorporating the final comments. It is not currently complete yet because some surveys have not yet been completed.

Ms Wilson requested that Allostock be included in the consultation.

Action: Mr Stevenson to ensure that Allostock is included in the consultation and ensure they received the necessary documentation.

Mr Stevenson stated exactly what documents would be available to download from the KGSP website.

Ms Wilson indicated that the PEIR Non-Technical Summary would be adequate.

Mr Taylor enquired where the centre of the consultation zone was and which parishes fall within the 3.5km zone. He assumed that neither Allostock nor Lower Peover would be within the zone so residents would not receive the documentation but assumed that the parish councils would.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that all the documents would be available to download from the website and that if anyone was having issues with this that it would be possible to provide a CD containing the documents or a hard copy.

Mr Taylor stated that he believed that the red line boundary implies that there are no consequences outside of the red line boundary.

Mr Stevenson described the red line boundary and what work would take place within the individual areas of development including the two new brine tanks at Lostock Works off Griffiths Road and that this was against an already industrial backdrop but an artist's impression could be viewed on the website.

There is also a pipeline that currently runs from Lostock to Runcorn and this will be used for excess brine from the project and this runs via Whitley and Whitley Pumping Station, which is a little tired and would need to be refurbished but all work would be inside the existing compound and mostly inside the pump house. It was evident from the Information Day held at Whitley earlier in the year that most local people don't know that the pumping station even existed.

At Runcorn there is an existing outfall pipe and the project proposed to extend the pipe to a new position along the Manchester Ship Canal and this was already consented but there is currently no pipework in place so this would be included in the overall project planning application. The new pipe would need to cross the Weaver Navigation and therefore a new pipebridge would be required and would need to be 20m high to accommodate ships but this would be against an existing backdrop of the main INEOS Runcorn Site works and would not be visible from residential areas but would be visible from the waterways.

Mr Taylor stated that when he had attended the presentation he had come to the conclusion that there would be no impact on Lower Peover and requested clarification that his understanding was reasonable.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that this was a fair assessment and he didn't believe there would be any impact on Lower Peover.

Mr Whieldon stated that there had been considerable learning from the two earlier projects and as a result the plan for this project was to route traffic from the existing entrance on King Street to alleviate local concerns.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that the proposal for the project is that all traffic would arrive and leave the development site via King Street from the A556 / A54 so the impact on local villages would be minimal.

Ms Wilson enquired whether if the brine produced from the KGSP project was sufficient to supply INEOS Enterprises customers would this eliminate the need to develop the Hulme Hall site.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that the intention is that KGSP would supply enough brine for approximately 6-10 years supply after the Storengy project is complete. Mr Stevenson stated that he couldn't make any promises but that this was certainly the intention. Mr Stevenson went on to explain that some development at Hulme Hall would be required to take over from KGSP. In addition some more drilling might be required but we don't know at this stage. Unfortunately it was impossible for INEOS Enterprises to confirm either way as the supply to customers going forward was an unknown quantity but it was likely that some drilling would need to take place at Hulme Hall to provide an alternative supply.

Mr Richmond enquired if when KGSP is being developed would this be the only place where water was going into the ground.

Mr Whieldon replied, potentially yes, but it was always about getting the balance right. At present all of our water is going to Storengy but we would always have the need to retain some capability north of Lach Dennis as it would always be necessary to have the option of saturating enough brine to satisfy customer demand.

Mr Taylor enquired whether Hulme Hall was off Hulme Lane where the house had recently been renovated.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that the Hulme Hall site is quite a few acres of land that already has planning consent for another 13 boreholes to be drilled in the area, and Mr Taylor was correct that it was where the house has recently been renovated.

Mr Whieldon stated that it would be necessary to drill at Hulme Hall in the next decade but the amount would be somewhat reduced if the KGSP project were successful.

Mr Taylor enquired when gas is stored underground what is the risk and what is the maximum accident that could occur from a leak of gas being ignited.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that any gas storage project is subject to the COMAH regulations along with a whole host of other regulations managed by the HSE. Prior to building a gas storage project a preconstruction and preoperational COMAH report has to be developed albeit this is not a public document due to security and terrorism threats. The Project would also have to apply for a Hazardous Substance Consent (HSC) and this application would be

made public. A COMAH report details all potential accidents, risks and details what precautions should be taken to prevent incidents eg. burying of pipes, fully welded pipes etc. In addition the HSC would define consultation zones, by drawing rings around developments and the HSE then define what could happen in those zones. Mr Stevenson confirmed that he didn't believe that the hazard zones would extend to Lower Peover or Plumley.

Mr Wright enquired how many gas storage cavities have currently been consented.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that EON have 8, Storengy have consent for 28 but have only developed 20 so far and this project would be a further 19.

Mr Taylor enquired why the COMAH report could not be made available.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that this was on the grounds of security and terrorism.

Mr Taylor enquired whether it would be possible to obtain the report through a Freedom of Information request.

Mr Stevenson stated that this may be possible but any report would be heavily redacted.

Mr Taylor enquired whether it could be assumed that the hazard rings take into account the potential accidents and worst case scenarios.

The HSE accept that there is a need for these types of projects so it is deemed acceptable to locate them in certain areas. The hazards rings are drawn around existing developments and they are usually hundreds of metres, there are lots of hazard rings drawn for a number of establishments and you would only know if you received a letter to inform you that you were in the zone.

Ms Wilson enquired when the planning application for KGSP is likely to be submitted.

Mr Stevenson confirmed that the application would be submitted around Q1 2015 and the team were currently working heavily on design and layout and environmental assessments. It would then take the Government 12-18 months to determine the application so if successful work would not start until early 2016 at the earliest but more likely to be mid-2016. The infrastructure work would be likely to last for 2 – 4 years with the project coming on line sometime in 2018 for brine production and 2020 for gas storage.

Mr Stevenson talked about the forthcoming information days and what feedback routes were available.

6. ESTATES

Park Farm Permissive Path – update

- INEOS have engaged Birketts LLP Rights of Way Executive Carol Ramsden to advise on establishing a permissive footpath.
- Birketts have contacted CWAC rights of way officer Steve Holden to discuss the proposal.

- Birketts have been issued with an example of an existing CWAC Permissive Path Agreement.
- INEOS has instructed Birketts to make amendments to the agreement.
- Once drafted this will be issued to CWAC for consideration.
- We would welcome a site meeting with interested parties to discuss our proposals before it is finalised.
- The agreement to run for 10 years.
- Requirement on council to request further extensions to the agreement.

Mr Downes provided an overview of the slides

Mr Downes explained the Park Farm Permissive Path route and conditions of use.

Mr Taylor enquired whether Park Farm was in Lostock Green.

Mr Whieldon confirmed that Park Farm was in Lostock Green and that it had been difficult to find a circular route and to stop walkers using short cuts therefore allowing us to try regulate the route. Following discussion a route was agreed with the Parish Council and the agreement is currently being progressed to create a permissive footpath, which would be handed over to the parish council who would maintain and manage maintenance of the route going forward.

Mr Downes confirmed that there had been an article in Gossip on the Green about a meeting that had taken place regarding INEOS' footpaths and Mr Downes was keen to understand what this had been about but as Ms Clarke was not at the meeting today this wasn't possible.

Estates Developments

- Planning Permissions Granted for:
 - Farm Road: Outline 48 houses.
 - Common Lane, Lach Dennis: Outline 12 Houses.
 - Hame Farm: Barn conversion into 6 units
 - Ridge farm: Barn conversion into 5 units.
- Numerous parties interested in Farm Road and Common Lane Sites. Interest building for Hame and Ridge Farms but likely to increase if marketed.
- Any decision to sell will now be made Post JV.
- Park Farm:
 - Due to deferral in decision for other sites Park Farm will also be deferred for time being.

Mr Downes explained that there hadn't been any progress on the Estates Developments and that there wasn't likely to be before Q1 2015.

Mr Taylor enquired where Farm Road was.

Mr Downes confirmed that it was in Rudheath, just before the school.

Mr Whieldon added that this situation was frustrating as originally the process was kicked off to try and get investment into the business but because the Joint Venture has come along this has brought things to a halt.

Mr Downes stated that some decisions would have to be made on some of the development sites due to vandalism and to prevent further deterioration.

Hulme Hall

- Hulme Hall purchased by Harewood Associates.
- Sherwood Homes have completed refurbishment/ development.
- Marketing of property has commenced
- £2.5m (if anyone interested!).

Ms Wilson showed a picture to the group of Hulme Hall being featured in Cheshire Life. She enquired whether the electricity supply had been reinstated.

Mr Downes explained that issues had been encountered with the builders during the development work including encroachment onto INEOS land and that this was an ongoing issue.

Ms Wilson questioned whether the agreement had been signed.

Mr Downes confirmed that the agreement had been signed and they now had electricity.

Memoria

- Memoria are currently finalising negotiations with a buyer for the site.
- Once contracts exchanged buyer to conclude planning conditions and related actions by March 2015.
- Buyer is an established operator of crematoria.
- Once planning conditions and environmental surveys concluded buyer will engage with Parish Council to advise on program of work.

Ms Wilson stated that she was surprised that the company had not been put off by the existing crematorium.

Mr Downes stated that they didn't appear to see any issues but that he couldn't share any further information as the scheme does not belong to INEOS.

HS2

- End 2014: Preferred Route to be announced.
(new consultation process if route changed completely)
- 2015: Hybrid Build Development Phase & draft safeguard proposals for HS2 to become Statutory Consultee for planning matters.

- 2015 to 2017: Parliamentary Documentation and Bills passed granting HS2 Compulsory Purchase Powers.

Mr Taylor stated that he thought the preferred route date had moved to September 2015. There had been a coffee morning in Lach Dennis and Raj Chandarana had given a presentation and attempted to answer local resident's questions. Mr Chandarana had stated that HS2 would be built but that the route is not yet in tablets of stone.

7. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be on Friday 17th April at 2:00pm at Holford Brinefield Offices.

EON UK GAS STORAGE UPDATE

The Holford Gas Storage Project is managed by E.On UK. A Local Liaison Committee has been formed (LLC).

Issues should be raised directly with the appropriate LLC.

STUBLACH GAS STORAGE PROJECT UPDATE

The Stublach Gas Storage Project is managed by Storengy Limited, a subsidiary of GdF. A Local Liaison Committee (LLC) has been set up.

Issues should be raised directly with the appropriate LLC.

INEOS CHLORVINYLS AND INEOS ENTERPRISES CONTACT NUMBERS

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Jon Whieldon	INEOS Enterprises Northwich Sites Manager	01928 51 7278
Peter Downes	INEOS Enterprises Northwich Sites Estates & Civil Engineering Manager	01928 51 7209
Craig Welsh	INEOS Public Relations Manager	01928 51 1528
Janet Ward	INEOS Public Relations Officer – Community Liaison	01928 51 5227
PANAD	Security at INEOS Enterprises Gatehouse, Lostock	01928 51 7296
INEOS Enterprises	General Contact Number (office hours)	01928 51 7270
INEOS Enterprises	Emergency Contact Number (24 hours)	0800 328 9485

ICI CONTACT NUMBER (AKZO NOBEL)

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Louise Dinnage	Akzo Nobel (Formerly ICI) 26th Floor Portland House Bressenden Place London SW1E 5BG Louise.dinnage@akzonobel.com	0207 932 9908 07884 117603

E.ON CONTACT NUMBER

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Dave Thompson	E.On Site Contact Please note that E-On have a website dedicated to the project. This can be accessed on www.eon-uk.com . Alternatively e-mail on holfordenquiries@eon-uk.com .	07703 010459

STORENGY/GDF CONTACT NUMBER

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Storengy Duty Manager	Duty Manager	01606 814 699 (landline diverts to mobile)

OPA CONTACT NUMBER

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Michele Flemming (Commercial Legal Manager)	The Oil and Pipelines Agency (OPA) (Acting as agent for the Secretary of State) York House 23 Kingsway London WC2B 6UJ	0207 420 1682 07715 205197

KEUPER GAS STORAGE CONTACT NUMBER

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Richard Stevenson Project Manager	INEOS Enterprises Limited Northwich Sites Holford Brinefields Lostock Gralam Northwich Cheshire CW9 7TD	01928 517275

MEMORIA CONTACT NUMBER

Name	Position	Contact Phone Number
Jamieson Hodgson	Memoria	01869 278780 or 07899 886994

Circulation:

Clerk to Allostock Parish Council - Mrs Hazel West
Clerk to Anderton with Marbury Parish Council - Mrs A Brazier
Clerk to Byley Parish Council - Mr J Bennion
Clerk to Lach Dennis Parish Council - Mrs T Cash
Clerk to Lostock Gralam Parish Council – Mrs Lyndsey Sanderson
Clerk to Lower Peover (Nether Peover) Parish Council – Mrs Liz McGrath
Clerk to Marston Parish Council - Mrs E J Massey
Clerk to Northwich Town Council - Mr C Shaw
Clerk to Rudheath Parish Council - Miss F Penny
Clerk to Plumley with Toft and Bexton Parish Council - Mrs Sharon Jones
Clerk to Wincham Parish Council - Mrs N Morris
Environmental Health/Protection Department - Cheshire West and Chester Council
Development Management, CWAC – Principle Planning Enforcement Officer