

**Halite CLP Meeting 25<sup>th</sup> January 2012**  
**Additions Café Bar, Fleetwood**

**Minutes**

In attendance           Iain Johnstone [Fleetwood Civic Society] [IJ]  
                                  David Evans [DE]  
                                  David Shaw, Fleetwood Town Council [DS]  
                                  Eleri Davies [Infrastructure Planning Commission (IPC)] [ED]  
                                  Alan Netley [IPC] [AN]  
                                  Robert Ranger [IPC] [RR]  
                                  Debbie Morris [Halite] [DM]  
                                  Alban Cassidy, CA Planning [Facilitator] [APC]

**1.     Introductions**

APC introduced DM from Halite and asked members if they were happy for her to attend future meetings as a way of reporting questions raised directly back to Halite. Members later agreed this would be helpful.

**2.     Minutes from previous meeting**

Previously agreed as a true record.

**3.     Matters arising at the meeting**

None.

**4.     Presentation by IPC**

Presented by ED.

ED explained that the major infrastructure planning process is set out in the 2008 Planning Act and outlined the 6 stages (pre-application; acceptance; pre-examination; examination; recommendation/decision; and post-decision).

An initial stage of Pre Application consultation and assessment had already been undertaken by the developer Halite.

Following the submission of the application, the IPC had a 28 day acceptance period to determine if the application met the legal requirements to allow it to be accepted.

Once accepted, interested parties have until 10<sup>th</sup> February 2012 to register an interest in the application.

The Examining Authority (i.e. a single Commissioner or panel of Commissioners) will be appointed after the deadline for registration closes.

The Examining Authority will then hold a preliminary meeting to discuss how the application is to be examined. This meeting is not for the discussion of the issues or merits pertaining to the application.

The Public Examination will be held within 6 months of the registration date, following which the Examining Authority will make a recommendation to the relevant Secretary of State who will make the final decision. After his decision is made there is a 6 week challenge period through the courts.

In terms of registration to be involved in the process, ED explained that this could be in the form of a summary at this stage, with more detail of the issues to be submitted later. However, if a person fails to provide all of the information required on the registration form (including the summary of comments), they may not be registered and may not be able to take any further part in the process.

Interested persons can register on-line:

- Go to Preesall page on the IPC web site;
- Click on registration button, [needs to be done in one go];
- Copy and paste recommended to insert at least a summary of case.

A full and detailed submission can be submitted afterwards, but you will need to identify the key issues at this stage.

Also, interested persons are recommended to try and give an idea as to whether you intend to submit further information and attend meetings (however, this is not binding).

Subsequent written submissions should be written as stand alone documents (not at this stage).

Where people have common issues to present to the Examining Authority, they may allocate a representative and may combine comments and objections to avoid repetition.

## **5. Drop in Session**

ED and her colleagues reviewed today's drop in session – which some 20 persons attended (Thornton).

Number of attendees fairly typical.

Tomorrow to be held in Knott End.

During subsequent discussion, the IPC confirmed that they are in contact with the Protect Wyre Group.

It was also confirmed that the 10<sup>th</sup> February 2012 is an absolute deadline, no delays for post or anything will be allowed.

End of IPC questions.

## **6. Other Matters**

DS asked how best to submit his technical paper – Summary as registration and paper as written reps.

DE – requested that the PWG/Halite discussion minutes to be uploaded to the Halite web site.

IJ – explained why PWG not previously represented on CLP, due to Halite not being directly involved. However, they may do so in the future if DM is to attend.

Subsequent question for the IPC – Can any changes now be made to application post submission?

DE also repeated suggestion for common easement for all pipeline proposals and stated that in his opinion the compressor station was safer in it's original location.

IJ commented that people are still looking for more information regarding the brinewell incident at BW45

APC ended the meeting and stated that the next meeting would be held following the end of the registration period at a time to be arranged.

**Meeting Ended – 8.10**