This independent report does not prove HMITE’s case – and there also appears to be a distinct lack of information from HMITE, and one must question why?

Seweryn Technical Team Page iii Final Report 3rd Para.
“Data received incomplete, or late and delivered in obscure formats” “Examples are missing … no
vertical seismic profile … improper data …
interpretations with mistakes … absence of fault
interpretations” etc.
5th Para. “Bore salt not adequately imaged … no
borehole data … development is speculative …
uncertainty in calculations … interpretations illustrate
centrifugality in the sub-surface and fault presence” etc
Page iv 2nd Para. “Criteria used … optimistic”
2.2.51 Missing data
On this alone the application should be refused.

2.3 Data coverage Again inadequate information.
3.2.3 Top salt “A high degree of uncertainty”

3.3.2 Syn-depositional faults
3.4 Summary Damage of movement to 9 caverns
4.2 Additional risks “Pre-existing salt deposits
influenced by faults” “Fracture systems”
to gas at high pressure.”
Final Report, Page 38. 5.3. Conclusions
"Decay considers where are significant uncertainties ...... not reflected in resulting volumes."

Planning Applications have previously been refused in 2004 and 2009 "due to the unacceptable risk of gas migration" i.e. instability, overseep, erosion by wind and storm, close proximity to the sewage tunnel and Fleetwood population 4 mile away.


The above dangers reiterated.

HALLIF 'Well Heads' exploded on 18.6.2011 and 30.3.2012 devastating agricultural and River Wyre mussel beds. Farmers told not to use their fields.

Can we afford explosions with 900 m.c.m of gas?

This application is no different to previous applications - it's completely unsafe.

Abbey Knott was 'guaranteed safe' - When 12 people died.

I hope my comments, from this local man, will be useful.

Yours faithfully

To NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTS TEAM,
D. G. C. C.
2nd Floor Kings Buildings
3 Whitehall Place
London SW1A 2AW

Mr. D. Barker